The Authors improved the paper by adding a description of the phenomenon analysed and a clear description of rip evidences in pictures. Nevertheless, some formatting features could be applied to strengthen the message and be more concise.

1. the diagrams seems to have the same problems of the previous version. On my monitor, I cannot read the labels of the column in histograms. It is sufficient to reduce the size of the diagram and increase the size of the labels.
2. it is important to add the appendix to the paper because it explain the survey steps and can contain suggestions for similar surveys, even focused on different topics. Nevertheless, the Authors should do a minimum effort to rearrange it in a concise, pleasant and printable way, reducing it into a table, in order to fill the entire page by putting sessions in two columns, for example.
3. I also suggest checking formatting criteria especially of the parts in the text where they quote the answers of respondents. The format applied, could be changed in a more concise way, and being sure that the spaces before and after the quotations are always the same throughout the entire text.

An example:

497 inconsistency reflected the temporal and spatial broadness of the rip forecast compared to what
498 they observed:
499
500
501 *Weather changed quickly and no beach flags were posted, advising of rip*
502 *currents.*
503
504
505
506 *Rip currents cannot be predicted for individual beaches, they are blanket*
507 *warnings.*
508
509 Other respondents noted the forecast was inaccurate because other beach users had not adjusted
510 their behavior:
511
512 *I never noticed an[y] thing unusual and people in general don't seem to adjust*
513 *their behavior.*
514
515
516 Others noted it was not possible to determine if the forecast was accurate because they were not
517 able to spot a rip on the beach at that specific time or in general:

inconsistency reflected the temporal and spatial broadness of the rip forecast compared to what 497 they observed:

- *Weather changed quickly and no beach flags were posted, advising of rip currents*
- *Rip currents cannot be predicted for individual beaches, they are blanket warnings.*

Other respondents noted the forecast was inaccurate because other beach users had not adjusted their behavior:

- *I never noticed an[y] thing unusual and people in general don't seem to adjust their behavior.*

Others noted it was not possible to determine if the forecast was accurate because they were not 516 able to spot a rip on the beach at that specific time or in general: