

Interactive comment on “Earthquake Response of Heavily Damaged Historical Masonry Mosques after Restoration” by Ahmet Can Altunışık and Ali Fuat Genç

Ahmet Can Altunışık and Ali Fuat Genç

ahmetcan8284@hotmail.com

Received and published: 19 June 2017

Ms. Ref. No : nhess-2017-141-R1 (Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences)
Author(s) : Ahmet Can Altunışık and Ali Fuat Genç Title : Earthquake Response of Heavily Damaged Historical Masonry Mosques after Restoration

ANSWERS TO THE COMMENTS: First of all, we would like to thank the editor and reviewers for their helpful comments and contributions to our study. We have tried to answer the number of points that the editor and reviewers have outlined. These points are discussed below following the editor and reviewers' comments. We hope that the points amended in the paper comprehensively would be satisfactory enough

C1

to correspond the comments of the editor and reviewers.

Comments: This paper presents a detail investigation about the structural dynamic response of masonry mosques, which was nearly collapsed after earthquake, after restoration studies. This is very interesting, well written and organized paper. This paper will be very useful for academic researchers and project engineers related to this subject. The reviewer recommends the publication of the paper after minor revisions given in below in Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences.

Reviewer 1: Fig. 1 should be removed. The earthquake region can be stated as one sentence in related places.

Answer: According to the reviewer suggestions, Fig. 1 is deducted. This point is ordered in the revised paper.

Reviewer 1: The section “Structural analyses of the mosque with 8 window openings” should be removed to avoid the repetition. It is shown that the results can be summarized in Tables 3 and 4 for better understanding.

Answer: According to the reviewer suggestions, the section is deducted to better understanding and avoid the repetition. This point is ordered in the revised paper. Reviewer 1: The conclusion part of the paper should be shortened. Only main results should be given.

Answer: According to the reviewer suggestions, the conclusion part of the paper is revised as:

“In this study restoration effects on the earthquake behaviour of masonry mosques is investigated with considering different opening ratios on dome. As a result of the study the following observations were made:

• Reductions of window openings are caused an increase on frequencies. This situation shows that reduction of window openings affects the structural and stability of the mosque positively. • When the stress results are examined it is seen that compres-

C2

sion and shear stresses values don't exceed the recommended compression and shear stresses values for masonry structures in the Turkish Earthquake Code (2007). Tension stresses are occurred at near openings, bottom of walls and under the dome areas locally for each case. Compression stresses, tension stresses and shear stresses collects some critic areas which are especially near openings and crossing points because of this, those areas must construct as monolithic in restoration process. FRP strengthening can be used for to resist the tension stresses in restoration applications. According to the results, the displacements, compression, tension and shear stresses decrease when the openings reduced.

Consequently, the reduction of window openings ensures integrity on the walls, so this situation supports the structural performance of the mosque. It is seen from the study that restoration applications, especially reduction of the window openings on dome are improves the earthquake response of the mosque.”

This point is ordered in the revised paper.

Please also note the supplement to this comment:

<http://www.nat-hazards-earth-syst-sci-discuss.net/nhess-2017-141/nhess-2017-141-AC1-supplement.pdf>

Interactive comment on Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2017-141>, 2017.