

Interactive comment on “Assessment of Social Vulnerability to Natural Hazards in Nepal” by Dipendra Gautam

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 23 July 2017

The paper is interesting and it deals with a relevant topic. However, I think some improvements are required and for this I recommend major revisions. In particular, the introduction of the paper is strongly focused on multi-risk that affected and can, in future, occur again in Nepal. I think a broad susceptibility to the main hazards should be included in the performed analysis, highlighting the district where the multi-hazard is more prone to occur. I think the author could try to map as point data the districts affected by several natural hazards and obtain a final score, which highlights the worst ones. This could be an idea, but I'm sure the author can also find another way to account for this. This evaluation could be coupled with the final map and provide more consistency to the results. In the following same typesetting reviews: Page 1: row 7 remove "are reported in Nepal", row 9 "fulfill", row 17 "seismotectonic" is enough, row

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



24 remove "in Nepal". Page 2: row 18 remove "in" with "from" Page 3:remove "no any" with "no kind of", row 16 "Table 1" Page 5: Table 2 is not cited

Interactive comment on Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2017-137>, 2017.

NHESSD

[Interactive
comment](#)

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)

