

I see the change made by the authors in the new manuscript was the inclusion of lines 252-258. By doing so the authors attempted to address my concern regarding the inclusion of a proper discussion on the advantages of the use of currents in the wave model. Although the discussion added in the current version improved the manuscript and compare both simulations (with and without currents), my point from the last two revisions was not addressed whatsoever. There are still no discussion on whether the inclusion of current improves or deteriorates wave simulation at the buoy location. It, once again, simply mention that currents reduce wave height and period and that the overall effect is marginal. The final conclusion on the relevance and benefits of currents to the simulations are left to be drawn by the readers.

My two simple questions to the authors are: Do the inclusion of currents improve wave simulation? Are the current-induced reduction in wave height and period beneficial to wave simulation in hurricane Hudson? This is the same point I raised in my previous two revisions, which I feel compelled to raise once again, since it was not properly addressed. I suggest the use of statistical metrics of bias and errors to answer the above questions in a quantitative sense.