

## Response to Editor

We thank the editor for reviewing our paper. All changes suggested by the reviewers have been made in the attached manuscript, including shortening the text and removing repetition. Below are our responses to the reviewers, which describe the changes we made to our manuscript. Our responses are shown in red text. Following these responses is a marked-up version of our manuscript highlighting the changes that we made.

### *Response to Reviewer #1:*

We thank the reviewer for the insightful comments. We have addressed all of them in the revised manuscript. Below we provide a point-by-point response (red text).

“The premise of the paper is simple and the methods are straightforward, and the topic is interesting. The authors quantify the change in flood risk in four southeastern U.S. drainage basins under the assumption that the Atlantic hurricane season would increase by one month at the beginning and one month at the end of the currently delineated tropical cyclone season. Such research is placed in the proper theoretical context, as the expected continued warming would leave ocean temperatures warm enough to sustain a tropical system for a larger number of months per year. Furthermore, the authors do a proper job, without getting too bogged down in tangential points, of introducing the reader to the somewhat conflicted literature on whether it would be the frequency and/or intensity of tropical cyclones that would increase under such warming.”

Thank you.

“A minor point: I think that the wording is a bit strong on Page 3, Line 13, where the authors say, ‘This hypothesis was refuted by...’ – at a minimum, a hypothesis can’t be refuted when one of the papers doing the refuting was written before the opposing papers, but more importantly, I think the jury is still out on which hypothesis is correct. That point notwithstanding, I like the theoretical set-up for the paper.”

The sentences in question were amended as follows:

“Major hurricanes, those that are Category 3 or higher on the Saffir-Simpson scale, are the most likely to intensify (Frey et al., 2010; Mousavi et al., 2011), but there is some debate about changes in tropical cyclone frequency. Some research predicts that tropical cyclone frequency will increase (e.g. Greenough et al., 2001; Ouellet et al., 2012), while other research suggests that tropical cyclones are likely to intensify with global climate warming, but occur less frequently (e.g. Irish and Resio, 2013; Kostaschuk et al., 2001).”

“The primary theoretical/methodological weakness of the paper is the failure to account for synergistic effects of interactions between May or December tropical cyclones with extratropical systems. We all saw in 2012 (i.e., Sandy) how such interactions can cause greatly increased precipitation totals. At a bare minimum, the authors need to acknowledge this as a major weakness of the study.”

We added this as a weakness of our paper (page 8, starting in line 18):

“Further, this research does not consider synergistic effects due to the potential interplay between May and/or December tropical cyclones and mid-latitude cyclones, which could increase precipitation and flooding risk even further.”

“The chief non-theoretical/methodological weakness is that the paper could have delivered the same message in perhaps 60% of the words. Even though I generally enjoyed reading the manuscript, I continually found myself a bit frustrated and thinking, ‘not again?!?’ when I read repetitious text or text that was unnecessary. If the text were tightened fairly significantly, I’m sure that I am many others would find the paper to be a nice contribution to the literature. I attach a marked-up version of the manuscript in the hope that this will assist the authors as they tighten the manuscript.”

Thank you. We incorporated all of the suggestions in the marked-up version in the revised manuscript.

“One other comment: Please insert the word ‘Atlantic’ in the title and elsewhere in the text, to show that your study only considers one of the world’s tropical cyclone-vulnerable areas.”

Done!

*Response to Reviewer #2:*

We thank the reviewer for the insightful comments. We have addressed all of them in the revised manuscript. Below we provide a point-by-point response (red text).

“The premise of this study is simple, yet in its simplicity it answers a fundamental and important question. The methodology is well written and easy to understand, which allows the reader to fully engage and understand the reasoning on how and why this important science question posed can be answered.”

Thank you.

“Yet, there are a few minor tweaks that could really help this paper. Like the first reviewer, I think the writing could be more succinct, especially in the introduction section. For example, paragraphs 5 and 6 in this section could be merged to avoid some repeating. More details can be found in the marked up pdf.”

The changes suggested in the marked up pdf were made. Please see the revised manuscript.

“I would like to see further justification for the selection of the study sites and/or examples of flood events and their characteristics. For example, when was the last large flood in each basin and was it associated with a tropical cyclone? This will particularly help the reader not familiar with the basins in question. This could be in a simple table to aid readability.”

The following explanation was added in the first paragraph of the “Study Areas” section:

*“This research is focused in the south-eastern United States, where tropical cyclone events occur quite frequently, and where severe flooding following these events can have profound impacts on the prosperity of communities. Specifically, four river basins (Neches, Pearl, Mobile, and Roanoke) were selected for analysis (Fig. 1; Table 1). These four basins were chosen to be in areas that experience tropical cyclones, and a high number of severe hurricanes. Currently, tropical cyclones impacting these four basins rarely cause flooding. As is shown later in this paper, this is primarily due to the overlap of the current hurricane season with the low discharge seasons on these four rivers. However, an extension of the hurricane season, such that it encroaches upon the high discharge seasons on these rivers, could likely lead to increases in flooding following tropical cyclones that impact these basins.”*

“In the discussion section you have outlined some limitations, but I think you could add that the intensity of the storms could be lower in May and December than the average statistic used. This could mean that the expected increase could be less as well as greater to what you have presented in the paper. I believe in the further study these issues will be addressed, but I think this limitation should at least be mentioned here.”

We added this as a limitation to our study on the line suggested in marked up pdf.

“Lastly, a brief discussion about the uncertainty associated with the DFO satellite river discharge measurement sites needs to be included.”

The following sentences about the DFO satellite river gages were added:

*“Brakenridge et al. (2012) tested the accuracy of DFO satellite river discharge measurements and reported regression  $r^2$  values  $> 0.6$ . They also provide a site specific ‘Quality Assessment’ which, for sites in the United States, is based on calculating the Nash-Sutcliffe (NS) statistics for the DFO site and near gaging station hydrographs (Brakenridge et al., 2015). For the Mobile River site, for example, the DFO ‘Quality Assessment’ ranking is 2 (Fair), which means that the NS statistics were  $> 0.44$ . However, since both bankfull and time series discharge are estimated from the same source in this study, while the absolute value may somewhat differ from the actual discharge, temporal trends and fluctuation magnitude were found to be well captured. This is clearly evident in the Mobile River DFO site (<http://floodobservatory.colorado.edu/SiteDisplays/467.htm>)”*

# The influence of an extended Atlantic hurricane season on inland flooding potential in the Southeast United States

Monica H. Stone<sup>1</sup>, Sagy Cohen<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Geography, The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, 35487-0322, USA

5 *Correspondence to:* Monica H. Stone (mhstone@crimson.ua.edu)

**Abstract.** Recent tropical cyclones, like Hurricane Katrina, have been some of the worst the United States has experienced. Tropical cyclones are expected to intensify, bringing about 20 % more precipitation, in the near future in response to global climate warming. Further, global climate warming may extend the hurricane season. This study focuses on four major river basins (Neches, Pearl, Mobile, and Roanoke) in the Southeast United States that are frequently impacted by tropical cyclones. An analysis of the timing of tropical cyclones that impact these river basins found that most occur during the low discharge season, and thus rarely produce riverine flooding conditions. However, an extension of the current hurricane season of June-November, could encroach upon the high discharge seasons in these basins, increasing the susceptibility for riverine hurricane-induced flooding. Our results indicate that 37-258 % more days would be at risk to flooding from an average tropical cyclone with an extension of the hurricane season to May-December (just 2 months longer). Future research should aim to extend this analysis to all river basins in the United States that are impacted by tropical cyclones in order to provide a bigger picture of which areas are likely to experience the worst increases in flooding risk due to a probable extension of the hurricane season with expected global climate change in the near future.

10  
15

## 1 Introduction

In the south-eastern United States tropical cyclones are some of the most severe rain events (Schumacher and Johnson, 2006). While tropical cyclones occur less frequently than other rain-producing events, they cause the most damage because they cover a large geographic area and often cause widespread flooding (Greenough et al., 2001; Mousavi et al., 2011; Schumacher and Johnson, 2006). On average, tropical cyclones occurring in the Southeast bring 240.4 mm of rain in a 24-hour period (Schumacher and Johnson, 2006). The severity of flooding following tropical cyclone events is a function of tropical storm frequency, landfall location, precipitation intensity, and for coastal areas, mean sea level (Irish and Resio,

20

2013). In addition to flooding, these storms cause further damage from their strong winds (Greenough et al., 2001; Mousavi et al., 2011), and they frequently can cause tornadoes and landslides (Greenough et al., 2001; National Science Board (NSB), 2007).

Coastal communities in the United States, especially along the East Coast and the Gulf Coast, are most at risk to the flooding, strong winds, and heavy precipitation associated with tropical cyclones (Irish et al., 2014). Approximately half of the United States population lives within only 50 miles of the coast (NSB, 2007), and, on average, areas that are prone to tropical cyclones are 5 times more heavily populated than the rest of the nation (Frey et al., 2010). About 70 million people live in hurricane-prone areas (Greenough et al., 2001). Recent increases in coastal populations and development in coastal areas are posing an increasing risk to coastal infrastructure and human life (Greenough et al., 2001; Irish et al., 2014). Based off of 2010 estimates, 39 % of U.S. homes are located in coastal counties, an 8 % increase since 2000 (NOAA, 2013). The monetary losses from hurricanes are increasing; in 2006 dollars, average annual losses were \$1.3 billion from 1949-1989, \$10.1 billion from 1990-1995, and \$35.8 billion from 2002-2007 (NSB, 2007). Flooding from high storm surges during hurricanes has caused approximately 14,600 deaths over the last century; about 50-100 deaths occur per hurricane event (Greenough et al., 2001). In addition to deaths caused by flooding, hurricanes can cause a variety of health impacts including: illnesses that result from ecological changes (changes in the abundance and distribution of disease-carrying insects, rodents, mold, and fungi), damage to healthcare infrastructure and reduced access to healthcare services, damage to water and sewage systems, overcrowded conditions in shelters, and psychological effects from the trauma faced by victims (Greenough et al., 2001).

Several studies have looked at the influence of tropical cyclones on river flooding in small catchments. Kostaschuk et al. (2001) investigated tropical cyclone-induced flooding in the Rewa River system in Viti Levu, Fiji. They observed that rainstorms caused a higher number of floods, but that floods caused by tropical cyclones were much larger (Kostaschuk et al., 2001). Waylen (1991) conducted a partial duration series flood analysis for the Santa Fe River in Florida, and found similar results. Tropical cyclone-induced floods were found to occur less often than floods from other rain-producing events. However, they tended to have larger magnitudes and longer durations (Waylen, 1991). Specifically, they found that tropical cyclone floods were ~3 times larger and ~2 times longer than other floods (Waylen, 1991). (last sentence of this paragraph deleted)

Greenhouse gases in the atmosphere not only increase atmospheric temperature, but also can lead to increased sea-surface temperatures (Irish et al., 2014). The warmer the sea surface temperature, the greater the intensity of tropical cyclones. Thus, global warming may intensify tropical cyclones, such that storms may tend to have higher storm surge levels (Frey et

al., 2010; Irish et al., 2014; Mousavi et al., 2011). (sentence here deleted) The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) predicts that global sea-surface temperatures will increase 1.1-6.4 °C over the next century (Irish and Resio, 2013; Mousavi et al., 2011). Sea surface temperatures need to be at or above ~26.7 °C for tropical cyclones to form (Steenhof and Gough, 2008). The current hurricane season extends from June to November; however, longer seasons (i.e. storms occurring 5 before June and/or after November) have been occurring in recent years (Dwyer et al., 2015). While research on this topic is not conclusive, there is some indication that global climate change may lead to a change in the Atlantic hurricane season (Dwyer et al., 2015). There is an 8 % increase in a tropical cyclone's central pressure for each 1 °C increase in tropical sea-surface temperature (Irish and Resio, 2013; Irish et al., 2014; Mousavi et al., 2011). Further, there is a 3.7 % increase in a tropical cyclone's wind speed for each 1 °C increase in tropical sea-surface temperature (Irish et al., 2014). Climate models 10 also suggest that precipitation rates from tropical cyclones may increase by 20 % by 2100 (Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory (GFDL), 2016; Knutson et al., 2010).

(next paragraph was moved to be below the paragraph above)

Numerous studies have indicated that global climate warming may intensify tropical cyclones, and is very likely to result in sea level rise (Bronstert et al., 2002; Frey et al., 2010; Greenough et al., 2001; Irish and Resio, 2013; Irish et al., 2014; 15 Kostaschuk et al., 2001; Mousavi et al., 2011; Ouellet et al., 2012). Major hurricanes, those that are Category 3 or higher on the Saffir-Simpson scale, are the most likely to intensify (Frey et al., 2010; Mousavi et al., 2011). However, there is some debate about changes in tropical cyclone frequency. Some research predicts that tropical cyclone frequency will increase (e.g. Greenough et al., 2001; Ouellet et al., 2012), while other research suggests that tropical cyclones are likely to intensify with global climate warming, but occur less frequently (e.g. Irish and Resio, 2013; Kostaschuk et al., 2001).

20 Several studies about the effects of climate change on tropical cyclone intensity have been conducted for the Corpus Christi, TX area (Frey et al., 2010; Mousavi et al., 2011). Frey et al. (2010) determined how severe historical hurricanes would be if they were to occur in the current climate, and those predicted for the 2030s and 2080s. They found that, in all three climate scenarios, storm-surge flood depth, area of flood inundation, population affected, and economic damages would all increase compared to the historical levels (Frey et al., 2010). In a follow-up study by Mousavi et al. (2011), sea 25 level rise and tropical cyclone intensification, due to global warming, are likely to equally contribute to increased flood depths. (sentence here deleted)

While there has been much focus on the impact of tropical cyclones on coastal flooding, there has been little research on how these high-intensity precipitation events affect the hydrology of streams just inland of coastal areas. Further, few studies have focused on how inland flooding is likely to be altered with an extended hurricane season in the near future due

to likely global climate change. This study investigates the potential increase in flooding risk with an extension of the hurricane season on four rivers in the Southeast United States. The goal is to help determine how flooding potential may change in the near future in order to elucidate the impact such changes may have on communities in the south-eastern United States.

## 5 2 Study Areas

This research is focused in the south-eastern United States, where tropical cyclone events occur quite frequently, and where severe flooding following these events can have profound impacts on the prosperity of communities. Specifically, four river basins (Neches, Pearl, Mobile, and Roanoke) were selected for analysis (Fig. 1; Table 1). These four basins were chosen to be in areas that experience tropical cyclones, and a high number of severe hurricanes. Currently, tropical cyclones impacting these four basins rarely cause flooding. As is shown later in this paper, this is primarily due to the overlap of the current hurricane season with the low discharge seasons on these four rivers. However, an extension of the hurricane season, such that it encroaches upon the high discharge seasons on these rivers, could likely lead to increases in flooding following tropical cyclones that impact these basins.

Gaging stations along these rivers were chosen to be inland of coastal areas so that tidal fluctuation and storm surge would not be factors when analyzing discharge, and far enough downstream to include as much of the basins as possible. These four basins were selected to represent a range of sizes and geographic locations that exist throughout the south-eastern United States. ~~(sentence here deleted)~~ United States Geological Survey (USGS) gages were used where data was available for the period extending from 1998-2014. In many cases (Pearl, Mobile, and Roanoke) USGS stream gages for these basins either did not have daily discharge data or did not have a long enough history of daily discharge data, or if sufficient daily discharge data were available, the location of the gaging station was either too close to the coast where there were tidal fluctuations or too far upstream in the catchment such that only a small fraction of the catchment was flowing to the gaging station. In these situations, Dartmouth Flood Observatory (DFO) satellite river gages were used (Brakenridge et al., 2016).

### 3 Methods

#### 3.1 Frequency and Timing of Tropical Cyclones

NOAA's HURDAT2 dataset (Landsea et al., 2015) was used to determine when tropical cyclones passed over the four basins. For each tropical cyclone event on record, this dataset provides information on the year, month, day, time, latitude, longitude, maximum sustained wind speed (in knots), minimum pressure (in millibars), and several wind speed radii extents for points along a tropical cyclone's track (where points are spaced at 6-hour intervals). The data provided in the HURDAT2 dataset are downloadable in a text file format. A Python script was developed to extract this information in order to create point shapefiles of tropical cyclone paths that could be analyzed in GIS. The paths of tropical cyclones between 1998 and 2014 were buffered to a width of 300 mi (~500 km), the average precipitation extent of a tropical cyclone (Darby et al., 2013). Then, a selection by location procedure was used to determine which buffered tropical cyclones passed over each of the basins. The coordinates of the buffered points along tropical cyclone paths passing over the basins were then used to look up the corresponding dates each storm passed over each basin in the HURDAT2 dataset.

#### 3.2 Determining Bankfull Discharge

Daily discharge data for the outlet of each of the basins over the period from 1998-2014 was obtained from either the USGS or the DFO's Satellite River Discharge Measurements. The DFO sites provide daily measures of discharge since January 1, 1998 (Brakenridge et al., 2012). Discharge is estimated from NASA and the Japanese Space Agency TRMM microwave data (Brakenridge et al., 2012). This dataset is particularly useful because it allows the user to place gaging stations at any location along world rivers. Brakenridge et al. (2012) tested the accuracy of DFO satellite river discharge measurements and reported regression  $r^2$  values  $> 0.6$ . They also provide a site specific "Quality Assessment" which, for sites in the United States, is based on calculating the Nash-Sutcliffe (NS) statistics for the DFO site and near gaging station hydrographs (Brakenridge et al., 2015). For the Mobile River site, for example, the DFO "Quality Assessment" ranking is 2 (Fair), which means that the NS statistics were  $> 0.44$ . However, since both bankfull and time series discharge are estimated from the same source in this study, while the absolute value may somewhat differ from the actual discharge, temporal trends and fluctuation magnitude were found to be well captured. This is clearly evident in the Mobile River DFO site (<http://floodobservatory.colorado.edu/SiteDisplays/467.htm>). Using the daily discharge data obtained, the Log-Pearson Type III statistic (Interagency Advisory Committee on Water Data (IACWD), 1982) was calculated for each basin. The Log-Pearson Type III statistic can be used to provide an "industry standard" of bankfull discharge for a river at a particular



gaging station; times when discharge is greater than the bankfull discharge indicate the occurrence of a flood (IACWD, 1982). In Kostaschuk et al.'s (2001) study of tropical cyclone floods in Fiji, the Log-Pearson Type III statistic was found to represent their partial duration flood series more accurately than the Pareto distribution, even though it tended to underestimate the largest flows slightly.

5 The Log Pearson Type III statistic was calculated using maximum yearly discharge values from 1998-2014:

$$\log Q = \log \bar{Q} + K\sigma \quad (1)$$

10 where Q is the discharge of some return period,  $\log \bar{Q}$  is the average of the log Q maximum discharge values, K is the frequency factor (found using the K frequency factor table, which is based upon return period and the skew coefficient), and  $\sigma$  is the standard deviation of the log Q discharge values (Oregon State University (OSU), 2005). The variance can be found using Eq. (2):

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{\sum_1^n (\log Q - \log \bar{Q})^2}{n-1} \quad (2)$$

15

where n is the number of maximum discharge values (i.e. the number of years) (OSU, 2005). (sentence here deleted) The skew coefficient can be found using (OSU, 2005):

$$C_s = \frac{n \sum (\log Q - \log \bar{Q})^3}{(n-1)(n-2)(\sigma^3)} \quad (3)$$

20

The bankfull discharge was calculated using a return period of 2.33, following Waylen (1991). (2 sentences here deleted)

### 3.3 Analyzing the Effects of an Extended Hurricane Season on Flooding Susceptibility

25 An analysis was performed to determine how many days from 1998-2014 during the hurricane season would have been at risk of flooding were an average tropical cyclone to have occurred on any given day. For each tropical cyclone in each basin from 1998-2014 the discharge the day before the event was compared to the peak discharge in order to determine the increases in discharge due to the tropical cyclones. Within each basin, these increases in discharge were averaged to determine the average increase in discharge due to a tropical cyclone.

For each June-November day from 1998-2014, the daily discharge in the Neches River was increased by the average increase in discharge due to a tropical cyclone experienced by the Neches Basin. This increased discharge due to a hypothetical average tropical cyclone was compared with the bankfull discharge value on each individual day for the Neches River. A day with a hypothetical discharge greater than bankfull discharge indicates that the Neches River likely would have flooded on this day if an average tropical cyclone were to have impacted this basin. Similar analyses were conducted for the Pearl, Mobile, and Roanoke basins.

The above methodology was then repeated with an extended Atlantic hurricane season of May-December. A 1-month extension of the present June-November Atlantic hurricane season (Dwyer et al., 2015) was considered because several May (1 month outside the current hurricane season) tropical cyclones have impacted the Roanoke Basin in 2007, 2009, and 2012. NOAA's HURDAT2 dataset also indicates the occurrence of some May, as well as some December, Atlantic tropical cyclones. These data were then compared to the percentage of days susceptible to tropical cyclone-induced flooding in current hurricane season.

## 4 Results

### 4.1 Tropical Cyclone Frequency and Timing

From 1998-2014 (17 years), 15 tropical cyclones impacted the Neches Basin, 28 impacted the Pearl Basin, 30 impacted the Mobile Basin, and 36 impacted the Roanoke Basin. The number of tropical cyclones impacting each basin each year has not been constant over the period of study. The years 2004 and 2005 had high numbers of storms in every basin, and in recent years there have been very few storms. For example, in 2004 and 2005 most basins experienced 2 or more tropical cyclones, while in 2013 and 2014 only the Roanoke basin was impacted by tropical cyclones (and only 1 in each year). Most notably, almost all tropical cyclones impacting these four basins occur during low-discharge seasons, when flood risk is minimized (Figs. 2 and 3).

### 4.2 Effects of an Extended Hurricane Season on Flooding Susceptibility

(3 sentences here deleted) On average, tropical cyclones increased discharge (calculated from the difference between peak discharge and discharge the day before the storm) by 97.85  $\text{m}^3\text{s}^{-1}$  on the Neches River, 226.71  $\text{m}^3\text{s}^{-1}$  on the Pearl River, 787.25  $\text{m}^3\text{s}^{-1}$  on the Mobile River, and 101.26  $\text{m}^3\text{s}^{-1}$  on the Roanoke River (Table 2). The average percent increase in discharge following a tropical cyclone impact in all four rivers was 92 % (Table 2).

The Roanoke River was the most susceptible to potential flooding from an average tropical cyclone in the current hurricane season scenario. On about 50 (out of 3,111) days in the 1998-2014 June-November hurricane seasons the Roanoke River would be above bankfull discharge and at risk to flooding from an average tropical cyclone (Fig. 7a; Table 2). That is, about 1.61 % of days are susceptible to potential flooding were an average tropical cyclone to occur (Table 2). The Mobile River showed the least susceptibility with only about 10 days (or 0.32% of the time). The average susceptibility for potential tropical cyclone-induced flooding for all four rivers was about 32 days 1 (or 1.04 % of the time) (Figs., 4a, 5a, 6a, and 7a; Table 2). The extended hurricane season showed greater flooding risk for all four of the rivers. Again, the flood risk was greatest again on the Roanoke River (84 days or 2.02% of the time), and least on the Mobile River (28 days or 0.67% of the time) (Figs. 4b, 5b, 6b, and 7b; Table 2). On average, the extended hurricane scenario led to about 20 more days per basin that likely would be at risk to a flood were the average tropical cyclone to occur (Table 2). Over the 17 seasons, this is a 63 % increase in the number of days at risk to flooding, or an increase from 1.9 days/yr to 3.1 days/yr.

## 5 Conclusions **(Discussion and Conclusions sections were combined into this section)**

Most tropical cyclones impacting these four basins occur during September, or the middle of the low discharge season (Figs. 2 and 3). The current hurricane season coincides primarily with the low discharge seasons of the four basins. Thus, tropical cyclones rarely cause flood events on these rivers, even though they bring high amounts of precipitation, because they occur primarily during the low discharge season. This is in contrast to tropical cyclones in Southeast Asia, for example, which are frequent during the monsoon season, causing widespread inland flooding (Darby et al., 2013). Some May tropical cyclones have already occurred in the Roanoke Basin during 2007, 2009, and 2012, and NOAA's HURDAT2 dataset contains other May and December tropical cyclones occurring in the Atlantic Ocean. This suggests that while tropical cyclones rarely led to inland flooding from 1998-2014 in the four basins, a future extension of the hurricane season, such that it encroaches upon the high discharge season in these rivers, has the potential to considerably enhance flooding risks.

**(2 sentences here deleted)** Adding the months of May and December increases the number of days during the year that fall within the hurricane season by 34 %. For just a 34 % increase in the length of the hurricane season, there was, on average, a 63 % increase in the number of days at risk to a tropical-cyclone-induced flood along these Southeast rivers (Table 2). When averaged over the 17-year period analyzed in this study, the number of days at risk of tropical cyclone-induced flooding increases from 1.9 days/yr to 3.1 days/yr. While 3 day/yr may not seem substantial, it not only represents a 63 % increase, but it is also a conservative number, as it excludes predicted enhancements in the intensity and/or frequency

of future tropical cyclones (Bronstert et al., 2002; Frey et al., 2010; Greenough et al., 2001; Irish and Resio, 2013; Irish et al., 2014; Kostaschuk et al., 2001; Mousavi et al., 2011; Ouellet et al., 2012). Further, this research does not consider synergistic effects due to the potential interplay between May and/or December tropical cyclones and mid-latitude cyclones, which could increase precipitation and flooding risk even further.

5 The timing of the hurricane season in relation to the high and low discharge seasons is crucial to understanding flooding risk following tropical cyclones on these rivers. The Mobile and Roanoke rivers showed the greatest increase in flooding risk (68 % and 180 % respectively) in an extended May-December hurricane season (Figs. 2c and 2d) as compared to the Neches and Pearl rivers (Figs. 2a and 2b). The Pearl River showed the least increase in flooding risk following the average tropical cyclone (28 %) in an extended May-December hurricane season. While the Neches, Mobile, and Roanoke rivers  
10 tend to have slightly higher discharges in May than in June, discharges in the Pearl River are slightly lower in May than June. Thus, this study reveals that flooding risk following tropical cyclones not only is expected to increase were the hurricane season to be extended due to global climate warming, but also that this increase will not be uniform across the Southeast United States. Rivers with high discharge seasons in May and December, such as the Mobile and Roanoke rivers, are likely to be most affected by a lengthened hurricane season.

15 The main limitation of this study is its use of average statistics. Future work could extend this study to look at increase in flood risk not only due to the average tropical cyclone, but the full range of tropical cyclones a basin is likely to experience (the tropical cyclone with the maximum increase in discharge, the tropical cyclone with the minimum increase in discharge, etc.). For instance, given that tropical cyclones are likely to intensify (Bronstert et al., 2002; Frey et al., 2010; Greenough et al., 2001; Irish and Resio, 2013; Irish et al., 2014; Kostaschuk et al., 2001; Mousavi et al., 2011; Ouellet et al., 2012),  
20 flooding risk in an extended hurricane season likely could exceed the results presented in this paper, although, May and December tropical cyclones likely could be weaker than mid-season storms. Further, more explicit modeling of future tropical cyclone dynamics using a stochastic approach, rather than average statistics, could potentially produce a more robust understanding of the effects of future climate dynamics on flood susceptibility. Because the high discharge season varies from basin to basin, extending this study to other basins along the East and Gulf coasts would allow for a fuller  
25 understanding of which areas in the south-eastern United States are likely to be more at risk to flooding following tropical cyclones due to an extension of the hurricane season in response to global climate warming.

## Acknowledgments

We wish to thank Dr. Jason Senkbeil and Dr. Peter Waylen for their guidance in this research. We are thankful for the help of Dr. G. Robert Brakenridge for his help in installing river gages for some of the study basins with the Dartmouth Flood Observatory. And lastly, thank you to The University of Alabama for funding portions of this research.

## 5 References

Brakenridge, G.R., Cohen, S., Kettner, A.J., De Groeve, T., and Nghiem, S.V.: Satellite river discharge measurements, available at: <http://floodobservatory.colorado.edu/IndexMapweb.htm>, 2012.

Brakenridge, G.R., De Groeve, T., Kettner, A., Cohen, S., and Nghiem, S.V.: River Watch, Version 3, University of Colorado, Boulder, available at: <http://floodobservatory.colorado.edu/DischargeAccess.html>, 2016.

- 10 Brakenridge, G.R., Kettner, A., Syvitski, J., Overeem, I., De Groeve, T., Cohen, S., and Nghiem, S.V.: Experimental satellite-based river discharge measurements: Technical summary, available at: <http://floodobservatory.colorado.edu/SatelliteGaugingSites/technical.html>, 2015.

Bronstert, A., Niehoff, D., and Büger, G.: Effects of climate and land-use change on storm runoff generation: Present knowledge and modeling capabilities, *Hydrol. Processes*, 16, 509-529, 2002.

- 15 Darby, S.E., Leyland, J., Kumm, M., Räsänen, T.A., and Lauri, H.: Decoding the drivers of bank erosion on the Mekong river: The roles of the Asian monsoon, tropical storms, and snowmelt, *Water Resour. Res.*, 49(4), 2146-2163, 2013.


Dwyer, J.G., Camargo, S.J., Sobel, A.H., Biasutti, M., Emanuel, K.A., Vecchi, G.A., Zhao, M., and Tippett, M.K.: Projected twenty-first century changes in the length of the tropical cyclone season, *J. Climate*, 28, 6181-6192, 2015.

- 20 Frey, A.E., Olivera, F., Irish, J.L., Dunkin, L.M., Kaihatu, J.M., Ferreira, C.M., and Edge, B.L.: Potential impact of climate change on hurricane flooding inundation, population affected, and property damages in Corpus Christi, *J. Am. Water Resour. As.*, 46(5), 1049-1059, 2010.

Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory (GFDL): <http://www.gfdl.noaa.gov/global-warming-and-hurricanes>, last access: 23 August 2016.

- 25 Greenough, G., McGeehin, M., Bernard, S.M., Trtanj, J., Riad, J., and Engelber, D.: The potential impacts of climate variability and change on health impacts of extreme weather events in the United States, *Environ. Health Persp.*, 109(2), 191-198, 2001.

- Interagency Advisory Committee on Water Data (IACWD): Guidelines for determining flood flow frequency (Bulletin #17B), Hydrology Subcommittee, U.S. Department of the Interior, Reston, VA, 1982.
- Irish, J.L., and Resio, D.T.: Method for estimating future hurricane flood probabilities and associated uncertainty, *J. Waterw. Port. C.*, 139(2), 126-134, 2013.
- 5 Irish, J.L., Sleath, A., Cialone, M.A., Knutson, T.R., and Jensen, R.E.: Simulations of Hurricane Katrina (2005) under sea level and climate conditions for 1900, *Climatic Change*, 122, 635-649, 2014.
- Kostaschuk, R., Terry, J., and Raj, R.: Tropical cyclones and floods in Fiji, *Hydrolog. Sci. J.*, 46(3), 435-450, 2001.
- Knutson, T.R., McBride, J.L., Chan, J., Emanuel, K., Holland, G., Landsea, C., Held, I., Kossin, J.P., Srivastava, A.K., and Sugi, M.: Tropical cyclones and climate change, *Nat. Geosci.*, 3, 157-163, 2010.
- 10 Landsea, C., Franklin, J., and Beven, J.: Atlantic hurricane database, available at: <http://www.nhc.noaa.gov/data/>, 2015.
- (Maxwell et al. deleted)
- Mousavi, M.E., Irish, J.L., Frey, A.E., Olivera, F., and Edge, B.L.: Global warming and hurricanes: the potential impact of hurricane intensification and sea level rise on coastal flooding, *Climatic Change*, 104, 575-597, 2011.
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA): National coastal population report: Population trends from 15 1970 – 2020, Department of Commerce, 2013.
- National Science Board (NSB): Hurricane warning: The critical need for a national hurricane research initiative, National Science Foundation, Arlington, VA, 2007.
- Oregon State University (OSU): <http://streamflow.engr.oregonstate.edu/analysis/floodfreq/>, last access: 23 August 2016.
- Ouellet, C., Saint-Laurent, D., and Normand, F.: Flood events and flood risk assessment in relation to climate and land-use 20 changes: Saint-François River, Southern Québec, Canada, *Hydrolog. Sci. J.*, 57(2), 313-325, 2012.
- Schumacher, R.S., and Johnson, R.H.: Characteristics of U.S. extreme rain events during 1999-2003, *Weather Forecast.*, 21, 69-85, 2006.
- Schumann, G., and Di Baldassarre, G.: The direct use of radar satellites for event-specific flood risk mapping, *Remote Sens. Lett.*, 1(2), 75-84, 2010.
- 25 Steenhof, P.A., and Gough, W.A.: The impact of tropical sea surface temperatures on various measures of Atlantic tropical cyclone activity, *Theor. Appl. Climatol.*, 92, 249-255, 2008.
- Waylen, P.R.: Modeling the effects of tropical cyclones on flooding in the Santa Fe river basin, Florida, *GeoJ.*, 23(4), 361-373, 1991.




5 **Figure 1: Location of the study basins analyzed in this study (blue); colored dots represent points along the tracks of all tropical cyclones since 1998 that impacted the study basins, where the color/size of the dot indicates the severity of the storm at that location (see legend). (HURDAT2, NHD, ESRI)**





**Table 1: Location and size of the four study basins.**

<b>River Basin</b>	<b>Near</b>	<b>Latitude</b>	<b>Longitude</b>	<b>Basin Size</b>
<b>Neches</b>	Silsbee/Evadale, TX	30.374	-94.094	25,117 km <sup>2</sup>
<b>Pearl</b>	Slidell, LA	30.374	-89.774	22,894 km <sup>2</sup>
<b>Mobile</b>	Mt. Vernon, AL	31.094	-87.974	110,955 km <sup>2</sup>
<b>Roanoke</b>	Williamston, NC	35.864	-76.904	25,963 km <sup>2</sup>






**Figure 2: Comparison of average monthly discharge (blue bars) with the number of tropical cyclones occurring each month (yellow line) from 1998-2014 for the Neches (a), Pearl (b), Mobile (c), and Roanoke (d) basins.**




**Figure 3: Comparison of monthly discharge maximum/minimum range (red bars) with the number of tropical cyclones occurring each month (yellow line) from 1998-2014 in the Neches (a), Pearl (b), Mobile (c), and Roanoke (d) basins.**

(bars on this graph were changed to be red)



**Figure 4: Bankfull discharge (black lines), flow duration curves (blue curves), and flow duration curves with discharge increased due to the average tropical cyclone (red curves) for the current hurricane season on the Neches (a), Pearl (b), Mobile (c), and Roanoke (d) rivers.**



**Figure 5: Bankfull discharge (black lines), flow duration curves (blue curves), and flow duration curves with discharge increased due to the average tropical cyclone (red curves) for an extended May-December hurricane season on the Neches (a), Pearl (b), Mobile (c), and Roanoke (d) rivers.**

**Table 2: Flooding risk from 1998-2014 for the four study basins with the current hurricane season and with an extended May-December hurricane season.**

<b>Basin</b>	<b>Increase in Discharge due to Average Tropical Cyclone</b>	<b>Days at risk with June-Nov. Season (% of time period)</b>	<b>Days at risk with May-Dec. Season (% of time period)</b>	<b>Increase in Risk with Extended Season</b>
Neches	97.85 m <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	30 (0.96 %)	44 (1.06 %)	+ 14 days (47 % increase)
Pearl	226.71 m <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	39 (1.25 %)	50 (1.20 %)	+ 11 days (28 % increase)
Mobile	787.25 m <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	10 (0.32 %)	28 (0.67 %)	+ 18 days (180 % increase)
Roanoke	101.26 m <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	50 (1.61 %)	84 (2.02 %)	+ 34 days (68 % increase)
<b>Average</b>	<b>92 % increase</b>	<b>32 (1.04 %)</b>	<b>52 (1.24%)</b>	<b>63 % increase in # of days at risk</b>