Published: 14 October 2016

© Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.





1 2	What Does Nature Have to Do with It? Reconsidering Distinctions in International Disaster Response Frameworks in the Danube
3	Basin
4 5 6	Shanna N. McClain ¹ , Carl Bruch ² , Silvia Secchi ^{1, 3} , Jonathan W.F. Remo ³
7 8 9	¹ Environmental Resources and Policy, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, USA ² Environmental Law Institute, Washington DC, USA ³ Department of Geography and Environmental Resources, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, USA
10 11	Correspondence to: Shanna N. McClain (shannamcclain@siu.edu)
12 13 14	Abstract
15	This article examines the policy and institutional frameworks for response to and man-made
16	disasters occurring in the Danube basin and the Tisza sub-basin. Response to these types of
17	incidents has historically been managed separately, as has the monitoring of these types of
18	incidents. Given policy distinctions in response to natural and man-made disasters, we discuss
19	whether the distinctions remain functional given recent international trends toward holistic
20	response to both natural and man-made disasters. We suggest that these distinctions are
21	counterproductive, outdated, and ultimately flawed and conclude with a reflection of the lessons
22	learned, and propose an integrated framework in the Danube basin and Tisza sub-basin.
23 24 25 26 27	Keywords : International Disaster Response Frameworks; Natural Disasters; Man-made Accidents; Industrial Accidents; Natech Accidents; Danube River basin; Tisza River Sub-basin
28	
29	
30 31	
32	
33	
34 35	
35 36	
37	
38	
39	

Manuscript under review for journal Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.

Published: 14 October 2016

© Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.



40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62



1 Introduction

What are the benefits of maintaining the distinction between natural and man-made disasters? What are the consequences of eliminating this distinction? When a disaster occurs, local and national capacities can be overwhelmed, often triggering a request for external, international assistance. The actors engaged in disaster response have historically been determined by the nature of the disaster (i.e., industrial accidents, nuclear accidents, marine oil spills); but with growing recognition that anthropogenic climate change is driving more extreme, and sometimes cascading events (e.g., where the effects of disasters are multiplied, or where they are composite, or concurrent) that require complex and often overlapping types of response, the question of eliminating this dichotomy is brought to the forefront. In Europe, natural and man-made disasters combined caused total losses of US\$ 13 billion in 2015 of which only US\$ 6 billion were insured; the predominant losses came from flood events (Swiss Re, 2016). Flooding and pollution are considered to be the primary transboundary pressures of the Danube River basin; however, a number of other man-made accidents occurred in the region (ICPDR, 2015a). In 2000, the Baia Mare and Baia Borsa mine-tailing pond failures mobilized approximately 100,000 m³ of metal-contaminated water into the Tisza River, eventually polluting the Danube River and Black Sea. Since the industrial accidents occurred originally as a result of significant rainfall and flooding, these events are an example of what are commonly referred to as natech accidents, technological accidents triggered by natural disasters. In 2010, an industrial accident occurred in the Hungarian portion of the Danube River when a dam containing alkaline red sludge collapsed, releasing 1.5 million m³ of sludge into the surrounding land (approximately 4000 hectares) and waterways (including Kolontár, Torna Creek, and the

Manuscript under review for journal Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.

Published: 14 October 2016

© Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.



63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84



Danube River), killing 10 people and injuring several hundred more (ICPDR, 2010). In 2014, following Cyclone Tamara, over 1,000 landslide events occurred in Serbia as well as significant flooding, resulting in damage to properties and infrastructure and the inundation of agricultural land. Due to concern over possible breaches in infrastructure to mine tailing dams in the surrounding area, and the harmful effects to human health, technical experts investigated mining sites and provided recommendations for local evacuations (NERC, 2014). In all three disasters, the need for disaster response exceeded the capacity of national actors; therefore, international response involved the United Nations, the European Commission, and various other international organizations. While international humanitarian law is generally well defined, the law of international disaster response is still incomplete (Fisher, 2008). Historically, a distinction has been drawn between the scope of natural disasters and man-made disasters; however, this distinction is absent from the 2015 Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction, which adopts a multihazard risk approach providing management tools for disasters that are both natural and manmade (UNISDR, 2015). The European Union's disaster response framework is also holistic and includes natural and man-made disasters, and some multilateral sub-regional agreements are also taking similar approaches, such as those adopted by the Association of South East Asian Nations (ASEAN) and the Baltic Sea Economic Cooperation (BSEC). With international policies starting to shift toward more holistic frameworks of response that incorporate both natural and man-made disasters, this article explores what this trend will mean for regional institutions in the Danube basin and Tisza sub-basin, whose policy frameworks for monitoring and response continue to distinguish between types of disasters.

Manuscript under review for journal Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.

Published: 14 October 2016

© Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.





This article begins with an overview of the study area and a description of the methodology. Next is a discussion of the distinctions between natural disasters and industrial accidents – how and why they have been treated differently and how recent developments in international law and practice are raising questions about the merits of these distinctions. It is followed by an examination of the international frameworks governing disaster response in the Danube basin and Tisza sub-basin. Subsequently, the differences in how natural disasters and industrial accidents are monitored, and how they are responded to, are explored. The article discusses the transition of international policies toward more holistic frameworks for response, and concludes with a reflection of how this might affect the Danube basin and Tisza sub-basin.

2 Overview of study area and methodology

The Danube River basin covers more than 800,000 km² – over 10 percent of continental Europe – and flows through the territories of 19 countries with nearly 80 million people residing within the basin. Today, 14 of the 19 countries, plus the EU, have committed to transboundary cooperation in protecting the Danube via the Danube River Protection Convention (DRPC), and work jointly toward the sustainable management of the Danube basin and the implementation of both the European Union's Water Framework Directive (WFD) and Floods Directive (EU FD) (ICPDR 2015a). Among the tributaries of the Danube River, the Tisza sub-basin has the largest catchment area, and covers approximately 160,000 km² (20 percent of the Danube basin's area), with approximately 14 million people (Fig. 1). There exists a distinct socio-economic contrast in the basin between western and former socialist countries, and since the end of communism in the late 1980s, the central and lower Danube has experienced a rapid shift to free market democracy within the context of increased globalization, privatization, and deregulation. This has led to rural decline as well as increased poverty, unemployment, and depopulation (WWF, 2003).

Published: 14 October 2016

© Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.



108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119



Additionally, as a result of the continuing conflict in Syria and neighboring states, countries in the Danube and throughout Europe are experiencing a significant increase in population from refugees, displaced persons, and other migrants who are escaping persecution, conflict, and poverty, and are settling in empty buildings, hotels, or refugee camps that have become ad hoc shelters (UNHCR, 2016)



Fig. 1 Map of Danube River basin and Tisza River sub-basin.

The headwaters of the Danube are located in the Black Forest of Germany. After leaving the Black Forest the Danube flows generally south-east through Central and Eastern Europe to the Black Sea in eastern Romania (Fig. 1; ICPDR, 2009a). International measures regulating the Danube were first undertaken in 1882 for flood protection and navigation. Dams were constructed within the upper Danube basin for flood mitigation, hydroelectric power generation,

Published: 14 October 2016

© Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.



120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142



been attributed with altering the flow regime of this segment of river and consequently varying the ecological disturbance regime within the river and on the floodplain resulting is substantial changes in the riverine ecosystem. The flow regulation provided by the dams and the construction of levees has allowed for the conversion of floodplains and riverine wetlands into area suitable for agricultural and urban development. Today only 12 small reaches (<1 km in length) of the Upper Danube relatively remain untransformed (Schneider, 2010). In the Middle and Lower Danube, the river bed has been dredged repeatedly to maintain a navigable river channel. Along these segments of the Danube River, levees and dams mitigate or prevent inundation of over 72 percent of the floodplain. The substantial reduction is Danube's connection with its floodplain combined with wastewater discharge from agricultural and industrial sources, and increasing levels of pollutants along these river segments have substantially altered or damaged riverine ecosystem and reduced resiliency of urban and rural communities to large floods which exceed the protection level of their flood mitigation measures (Schneider, 2010; UNECE, 2011). The degree of industrial development and amount of pollution created by the industrial sector varies among Danube countries. In general, pulp and paper industries represent the largest contributors of pollution, followed by chemical, textile, and food industries (ICPDR 2009a). The Tisza headwaters are located in the Carpathian Mountains in Ukraine. From these headwaters the Tisza River flows southwest across central portions of the great Hungarian Plain into the Danube River in Serbia (Fig. 1; ICPDR, 2008a). Precipitation within the Tisza basin is generally concentrated in the Carpathian mountains within the upper portion of the watershed. The intensity of the rainfall and the steep terrain coupled with deforestation and channelization

and regulation of river levels for navigation. The operation of these dams for these services has

Manuscript under review for journal Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.

Published: 14 October 2016

© Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.





of many streams within this portion of the Tisza watershed, results in some of the most sudden and high-energy flooding in Europe. Flood levels along the upper reaches of the Tisza can range up to 12 m deep within as little as 24-36 hours (Nagy et al., 2010). The sudden water level rises coupled with the high energy of the flows often threaten human lives and result in substantial damage to infrastructure and croplands (ICPDR, 2008a).

While industrial production has dropped drastically in the Tisza since the 1990s, there remain a variety of industries that contribute to the economy of the region, and the legacy of heavily concentrated industrial activities continues to threaten the surrounding ecosystems. The main industrial regions of the Tisza are located in Romania and Hungary, where the potential for greatest flood damage and losses is also greatest. Chemical and petrochemical industries (including oil refinery, storage and transport) are important for both Hungary and Ukraine, and the cellulose and paper, textile, and furniture industries are also present predominantly in the upper portion of the Tisza in Slovakia, Romania, and Ukraine (ICPDR, 2011). Beyond the threat of mobilizing hazardous materials from industrial activities directly into the Danube or Tisza Rivers, the risks posed from industrial accidents to the surrounding communities, particularly with increasing urbanization, is of growing concern.

Mining activities, and the accidental spills of chemical substances, have affected the aquatic environment and water quality within the Tisza sub-basin since the 2000 Baia Mare and Baia Borsa natech accidents. Natech accidents present significant challenges, as natural events can trigger multiple and simultaneous accidents in one installation, or depending on the impact of the natural hazard, in several hazardous facilities at the same time (Krausmann and Baranzini, 2012). A 2009 assessment identified more than 92 potential sources for industrial and waste deposits; however, the list does not include abandoned mine sites and their mine tailing dams –

Manuscript under review for journal Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.

Published: 14 October 2016

© Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.



166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188



only those from currently operational mines. Therefore, the potential risk of accidental pollution could be substantially higher (ICPDR, 2015a).

2.1 Methodology

The analysis of policy and institutional frameworks for monitoring and responding to natural disasters and man-made accidents in the Danube River basin and Tisza River sub-basin was conducted through a combination of primary and secondary data collection and analysis. The primary data collection and analysis consisted of semi-structured interviews, while the secondary data analysis included literature review of peer-reviewed publications and an analysis of international laws, policies, and institutions within the Danube basin and Tisza sub-basin. Semi-structured interviews were conducted over an eight-month period from January to August 2013. Seventy-one interviews were conducted in various locations throughout Europe. The interviews took place with experts working within the International Commission for the Protection of the Danube River, within the expert groups of the International Commission for the Protection of the Danube River (i.e., Tisza group, river basin management, flood protection, and accident prevention and control), with respondents working at the national ministries, water management directorates, and non-governmental organizations in the Tisza and Danube countries, as well as with experts working within the European Commission, and the United Nations involved in the Danube basin and Tisza sub-basin. Given public roles, the interviews are intentionally left anonymous to ensure candidness in the responses (Table 1). The numbers appearing in brackets in the table below reflect multiple interviews conducted at each level of governance indicated. The questions focused on how Danube basin and Tisza sub-basin policies

and laws were implemented in practice, as well as the perceptions of the experts regarding the

Published: 14 October 2016

© Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.





frameworks and implementation of disaster monitoring and response throughout the Danube basin and Tisza sub-basin.¹

Table 1. Organizations from which experts were drawn for interviews.

	•	192
International	United Nations, United Nations Economic Commission for	193
	Europe, and United Nations Environment Programme	194
	(UNEP)/UN Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian	195
	Affairs (OCHA) Joint Environment Unit [1]	196
Regional	European Commission [2]	197
	International Commission for the Protection of the Danube	198
	River (ICPDR) and Expert Groups (Tisza Group, River Basi	in199
	Management, Flood Protection, and Accident Prevention	200
	and Control) [3]	201
National	National Ministries of Environment, Rural Development,	202
	Interior, Environment Agency [4]	203
	Water Directorates [5]	204
Non-State Actors	NGOs [6]	205
		206

^{*} Numbers in brackets refer to interview citations in text.

3 Distinctions between natural disasters and man-made accidents in policy frameworks

Traditionally the approaches used for describing, limiting, and categorizing disasters fundamentally shapes the methods for monitoring and responding to disasters. They determine the solutions utilized, the resources allocated, and the governance frameworks selected by categorizing the types of disaster into that which is natural or man-made. It is therefore important to understand the etiology of disaster in order to understand why the distinctions among the various types of disaster still remain. These are discussed below.

¹ Questions relevant to international frameworks for disaster response included: (1) What are the respective roles in multilevel governance in regard to response for natural and man-made disasters? (2) To what extent are natural and man-made disasters included in policy frameworks for response; in what context and at what level, and what is the language being used? (3) What gaps exist between policies and practice in regard to response for natural and man-made disasters? (4) What constraints or opportunities exist in including policies for response to natural and man-made disasters; which type would be most effective and at what level?

Published: 14 October 2016

© Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.





3.1 Rationale for different treatment

222 223

221

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

The manner in which disasters are framed by society has evolved over time, still the role of human responsibility features prominently in disaster narratives. Natural disasters are naturally occurring physical phenomena, which can include earthquakes, landslides, tsunamis, volcanoes and floods. Natural disasters have historically been characterized either (1) as a direct form of punishment from God for the sins of humanity, or (2) more recently as an "act of God" that removed humans from culpability (Rozario, 2007). The framing of natural disasters continues to shift, and some natural events - earthquakes, hurricanes, tsunamis - only become disasters as they impact and interact with individuals and communities. The consequences of natural disasters become a function of where people reside – along coastlines, in floodplains, in vicinity of fault lines, and within mountainous regions – and their overall vulnerability, including aging infrastructure and a function of their ability to monitor and prepare for these events. Vulnerability within and between populations can vary, and occur for multiple reasons – social inequalities, community demographics (e.g., age and poverty), lack of access to health care, and limited access to jobs or to lifelines (e.g., emergency response, goods, services) (Cutter and Emrich, 2006). While building in disaster-prone areas is not the sole responsibility of individuals, they do share responsibility for investing in the risk involved. The existence of moral hazard² can increase the amount of damage from disaster and reduce the capacity of insurance to cover disaster loss; this occurs due to individuals acting irresponsibly and because of those who erroneously believe there is coverage for any loss incurred (Smith, 2013). For example, offering

² For purposes of this paper and described by Munich Re (2007), moral hazard is a lack of incentive by an individual to guard or protect against risk (or to enter into a situation of risk), knowing that they are protected from risk through insurance, which results in higher insurance loss claims. Examples provided are assured compensation for flood damage, leading to increased building in flood-prone areas and assured compensation for crop losses in droughtprone areas that encourage farmers to grow more compensated crops instead of planting alternative crops or adopting alternative land uses.

Manuscript under review for journal Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.

Published: 14 October 2016

© Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.



242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264



insurance encourages people to build and live in flood-prone areas, in spite of the known risks – if insurance were not available, the household would absorb the entirety of the risk and prospective buyers would most likely choose to reside elsewhere. Additionally, as seen with some large disasters such as Hurricane Katrina, losses suffered by policyholders can be several times larger than collected premiums, consuming insurers' capital and, if the losses are severe enough, not only jeopardize claim payments, but also cause insurance companies to declare bankruptcy before covering any - or only some - insured losses (Nekoul and Drexler, 2016). For example, while the total economic loss incurred during Hurricane Katrina is assessed at approximately US\$ 125 billion, insured losses covered an estimated US\$ 45 billion, however, only an estimated US\$ 2 million in insurance claims were paid (Munich Re, 2005). Moral hazard can also exist in disaster preparedness and response activities when actors believe they are sufficiently prepared to respond to any event or crises. During Hurricane Katrina despite emergency preparations, preexisting social vulnerabilities and the collective failure to adequately respond to the emergency made response inadequate for the type of complex emergency relief needed (Cutter and Emrich, 2006). Industrial accidents and other man-made accidents are traditionally considered separately from natural disasters. The role of human agency features even more prominently in these events, due to potential moral or legal obligations to mitigate risk (e.g., preparedness, insurance, disaster aid). Man-made disasters suggest potential moral and legal obligations to both aid the victims of the disaster in a response capacity in the period immediately following the disaster, as well as to compensate those who are harmed during their long-term recovery (Verchick, 2012). The liability is only effective if a polluter can be identified or liability can be assigned. As disasters continue to multiply, become more complex, and their costs mount, responsibility for

Manuscript under review for journal Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.

Published: 14 October 2016

© Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.





the disaster also becomes more complex. For example, in assigning liability to the 2010 red sludge spill in Hungary, early reports from the Hungarian Prime Minister Victor Orbán indicated that the breach was likely due to human error, and that "there was no sign the disaster was caused by natural causes, therefore it must be caused by people" (Dunai, 2010). In ongoing efforts to determine human negligence, it was determined that flooding and subsidence led to structural breaches in the reservoir containing the alumina, yet it remained difficult to prove whether officials at the MAL alumina facility knew of the weakened infrastructure (NDGDM, 2010).

The degree of uncertainty related to the amount of damage and probability of occurrence is very high with disasters, particularly those influenced by climate change (Greiving et al., 2012; Munich Re, 2016). Liability can be more difficult to calculate and assign in these cases, in part because disaster loss agencies (i.e., Munich Re, Swiss Re), are often accounting for specific losses from flooding and sudden-onset disasters that are more easily quantified, whereas the impact of slow-onset, or "silent", disasters related to climate change can be more difficult to quantify since they occur slowly over time (IFRC, 2013).

3.2 Dimensions for different treatment

Increased frequency of major disasters, legal barriers and the absence of response to natural disasters and man-made accidents have led to increased attention at a variety of levels for more integrated international frameworks for disaster response (IFRC, 2007). The fragmented nature of disaster response has emerged from the need to address specific types of disasters, in specific regions, or response modalities. Furthermore, while natural disasters and industrial and nuclear accidents have established frameworks for response, natech accidents are often missing from chemical accident response programs (OECD, 2015). Natech accidents can lead to the

Manuscript under review for journal Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.

Published: 14 October 2016

© Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.



289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311



release of toxic substances, fires, or explosions and result in injuries and fatalities; therefore, the lack of consideration for natech response mechanisms, planning tools or response programs can be an external risk source for chemical facilities (Krausmann and Baranzini, 2012). Some international instruments, such as the Convention on Assistance in the Case of a Nuclear Accident or Radiological Emergency and the Convention on Early Notification of a Nuclear Accident apply only to specific types of disaster. While the Nuclear Accidents Conventions were adopted almost immediately following the Chernobyl nuclear accident, there still remains no similar overarching global framework for notification or assistance in response to industrial accidents, or for environmental emergencies more broadly (Bruch et al., 2016). Other disaster frameworks, like the Tampere Convention, apply only to a single sector or area of relief (such as importing telecommunication resources following disasters caused by nature or human activity, or whether occurring suddenly or as the result of complex, long-term processes). However, the ability to provide disaster response for natural disasters is quite broad and is included in a number of international frameworks. A question of applicability of agreements arises, however, when a complex disaster occurs and multiple institutions have a mandate for response, but it is unclear which institution should take the lead in responding or coordinating response efforts (Bruch et al., 2016). During the Lebanon crisis in 2006, international assistance was requested in response to the bombing of fuel storage tanks at a power station, and over 70 countries and organizations responded – it was unclear who should take lead, and the need for coordination was reflected among response efforts (Nijenhuis, 2014). An additional difficulty lies in the types of international actors engaged in natural disasters and man-made accident response. Generally, there is a failure to include non-state actors, the private sector, or individuals in response efforts to disasters. The Tampere Convention

Manuscript under review for journal Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.

Published: 14 October 2016

© Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.



312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334



and the sub-regional Black Sea Economic Cooperation (BSEC) and Association of South East Asian Nations (ASEAN) agreements are exceptions. With the Tampere Convention, for example, the decision to offer assistance, the type of assistance provided, and the terms of assistance are up to the discretion of the non-state actors offering assistance (Bruch et al., 2016). Given the increasing role of private funds in disaster response and relief operations, considering the inclusion of these actors in disaster frameworks can be beneficial. Oftentimes, there is the assumption that assets and personnel are provided as a favor to an affected state government, where they might normally be expected to reimburse costs and manage how assistance is carried out. However, efforts are increasingly being made to clarify the respective roles of actors and institutions in regard to disaster response, and more recently laws are changing in favor of including broader terminology to comprise both natural and man-made disasters (IFRC, 2007).

4 Disaster frameworks in the Danube and Tisza

Response to natural and man-made disasters, including natech accidents, is governed by a range of global, regional and national laws, policies and soft-law instruments. In the Danube basin and Tisza sub-basin this includes the Industrial Accidents Convention and the Seveso Directive, the Water Framework Directive and the Floods Directive, as well as treaties and policies developed at the level of the Danube and Tisza. Here, natural and man-made disasters continue to be treated as distinct and separate issues, where monitoring and response are managed independently.

4.1 Introduction to Danube and Tisza

In 1994 the Danube countries developed the Danube River Protection Convention (DRPC) to ensure sustainable management of the Danube River. Through the International Commission for the Protection of the Danube River (ICPDR), the DRPC requested the ICPDR to

Published: 14 October 2016

© Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.





coordinate the activities of the EU Water Framework Directive (WFD) and EU Floods Directive among the EU member states. The WFD combines the monitoring and assessment of surface and groundwater quality in the basin, and the Floods Directive instructs national authorities to establish flood risk management plans by 2015, linking the objectives of the WFD and the risk to these objectives from flooding or coastal erosion through the Floods Directive, and integrating them into basin level activities via the ICPDR. However, because not all countries of the Danube are EU member states, not all measures and outcomes of the WFD and Floods Directive are implemented equally among the basin countries.

The Danube basin and the Tisza sub-basin have experienced numerous natural and manmade disasters, including natech accidents (e.g., Baia Mare Cyanide Spill, Hungarian Chemical Accident, and recent Serbian landslides). These are tallied in Table 2. However, the frameworks for disaster response at the levels of the United Nations, the European Union, and those utilized by the ICPDR and implemented at the national level by the Danube countries, are restricted to particular types of disaster – monitoring and response to flooding is the most advanced throughout the basin, while pollution is monitored, but does not have the same frameworks for response. Additionally, there remain a variety of natural and man-made disasters that occur throughout the basin that are not integrated into any type of basin monitoring or response framework, including fire, drought, and other types of predictive climate modeling.

Table 2. Natural and man-made disasters in the Danube basin, reported by country (2000-2012). (Adapted from European Commission, 2016.)

Year	Type of Event	Country
2000	Mine tailing failure/cyanide and	Romania, Hungary, Bulgaria,
	heavy metal pollution (natech)	Macedonia
	Landslide/avalanche	Austria, Slovenia
	Extreme temp./drought	Bulgaria, Croatia, Slovenia
	Flooding	Croatia, Hungary, Romania,
		Slovenia
	Severe ice storms	Moldova, Ukraine
	Wildfires	Croatia, Slovakia

Published: 14 October 2016

© Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.





2001	Factory fire Mining accident (natech)	Slovenia Slovenia
	Flooding	Croatia, Hungary, Romania, Slovakia, Ukraine
2002	Industrial fire at waste dump	Slovenia
2003	Mining accident (natech)	Slovenia
	Extreme temp./drought	Austria, Croatia, Germany,
		Slovenia, Bosnia and
		Herzegovina
	Flash floods/severe storms	Hungary
	Wildfires	Slovenia
2004	Drinking water pollution (natech)	Hungary
	Dam failure	Romania
	Earthquake	Slovenia
	Flooding/severe storms	Hungary, Slovakia
	Drought	Bosnia and Herzegovina
2005	Landslides	Slovenia
	Flooding/Severe Storms	All Danube Countries, except
		Ukraine
2006	Avian (H5N1) flu pandemic	Hungary, Romania, Slovenia
	Aircraft accident	Hungary
	Earthquake	Hungary
	Extreme Temp.	Bulgaria
	Wildfires	Slovenia
2007	Wildfires/forest fires	Bulgaria, Croatia
	Hurricane	Germany
	Extreme temp./drought	Austria, Bulgaria, Croatia,
		Hungary, Romania, Slovakia,
		Bosnia and Herzegovina,
		Montenegro, Serbia, Moldova
	Flash floods/severe storms	Bulgaria, Germany, Hungary,
	Frash froods/severe storms	Romania, Slovenia,
2008	Transportation assident	Montenegro, Serbia, Ukraine Croatia
2008	Transportation accident Extreme temp.	Bulgaria
	Forest fires	Bulgaria
	Flash floods/severe storms	Hungary
	Flooding	Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia,
	Trooung	Serbia, Moldova, Ukraine
2009	Swine (H1N1) flu pandemic	All Danube Countries
2009	Ice storms/blizzard	Croatia, Romania, Bosnia and
	To Storing, STEERING	Herzegovina, Ukraine
2010	Chemical accident (natech)	Hungary
	Earthquake	Serbia
2012	Ice storms/blizzards	Bulgaria, Hungary, Romania,
	ice storms, one and	Montenegro, Serbia, Moldova,
		Ukraine
	Extreme temp./drought	Moldova

Manuscript under review for journal Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.

Published: 14 October 2016

© Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.



355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377



4.2 How disasters are treated differently within response frameworks

In the absence of a centralized institution for disaster response, the development of a large and diverse international disaster relief community has occurred. Initially the large-scale relief work after natural disasters was undertaken by the Red Cross movement at the end of the 19th century, but eventually the disaster relief community expanded capacity and function to include a variety of disaster assistance activities and involve other international initiatives and organizations (IFRC, 2007). The United Nations (UN) began humanitarian work shortly after World War II with agencies such as the United Nations High Commission for Refugees (UNHCR), and predecessor agencies such as the United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (UN OCHA) are now regularly engaged in disaster response and relief (IFRC, 2007). Numerous frameworks for response to natural disasters exist. One example is the 2002 UN General Assembly Resolution 57/150 on "Strengthening Effectiveness and Coordination of Urban Search and Rescue Assistance" (UN, 2003). While non-binding, the resolution highlights the importance of national responsibility to victims of natural disasters within country borders, but in the event that an incident exceeds country capacity, Urban Search and Rescue (USAR) assistance through the International Search and Rescue Advisory Group (INSARAG) can supplement local rescuers, and the coordination of these resources, particularly following earthquakes or other events leading to structural collapse (INSARAG, 2016). Apart from natural disasters, the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe's (UNECE) Industrial Accident Convention applies to land-based, non-military, and nonradiological industrial accidents (UNECE, 2009). Through the convention, response for industrial accidents is provided through bilateral or multilateral arrangements developed in

Published: 14 October 2016

© Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.







378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

advance among the parties. If no prior agreements exist, an affected country can request assistance from other parties through mutual assistance agreements. However, in these situations, it is the responsibility of the requesting country to cover all costs incurred for disaster response, unless otherwise agreed upon among the responding countries (UNECE, 2009). Flooding in the Danube in 2013 and 2014 caused approximately €15 billion in damage (Table 3), and while the economic cost from industrial and other man-made accidents are not monitored or reported in the same manner (Table 2), such accidents have occurred quite frequently and make apparent the need for improved agreements on bilateral or multilateral relief (ICPDR 2015b).

Table 3. Estimated human and economic loss in Danube per flood event (2002-2014) (Adapted from ICPDR, 2008b and ICPDR, 2015b).

Flood Year	# Deaths or # Displaced	Economic Losses €
2002	N/A	N/A
2006	N/A	> €6 billion
2010	35 deaths	€ 2 billion
2013	9 deaths	€2.4 billion
2014	79 deaths; 137,000 displaced	€ 4 billion

*N/A – Data not available

The facilitation of international disaster response can be inadequate if mobilization is untimely, or fails to include sufficient financial support. Response frameworks may neglect or place disproportionate attention on certain types of disasters, which could become more problematic with growing concerns over climate change and increased urbanization. For example, there is visible delayed response for sudden-onset disasters such as the 2005 Indian Ocean tsunami and the 2010 Haiti earthquake which received the majority of funding support within one to three months of the initial request, compared to the slow-onset drought events of the 2011 appeals by Kenya and Somalia where funding was not provided until nearly 7-12 months after the initial request (GHA, 2013). In 2005, nearly three quarters of all UN contributions for natural disasters arrived within a month of their appeal; the comparable figure for complex emergencies was only seven percent (IFRC, 2007). While differences exist among

Manuscript under review for journal Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.

Published: 14 October 2016

© Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.



399



400 increase vulnerability and lead to larger disasters in the future – precipitation deficiencies in soil 401 and water lead to drought and when combined with high temperatures and dry conditions, this 402 can lead to wildfires (e.g., extreme fire hazard situations in the eastern US and south-east 403 Australia) (Smith, 2013). 404 The growing size and diversity of international responders to disasters can have 405 ramifications for the facilitation, coordination, and quality of response efforts (IFRC, 2007). 406 Diverse systems of response are implemented among the Danube basin countries due to the 407 variety of disasters experienced. Some utilize a single Civil Protection Mechanism, while others 408 rely on multiple parties among Ministries of the Interior, Ministries of Rural Development, 409 Water Directorates, and a variety of additional local protection committees [4, 5]. Interviews 410 indicated that not all responders/parties are sufficiently trained, and many lack managerial or 411 technical capacity to manage specific disasters appropriately [4]. There is also large 412 compartmentalization of tasks at lower levels - both regional and local - where integration 413 among the various types of disaster, as well as increased cooperation is needed [2, 3]. Other than 414 the fact that these diverse actors are providing certain types of disaster assistance, there is 415 nothing uniting them - no international or regional disaster response system. Given the increased 416 frequency of natural and man-made disasters and the growing number of actors involved in 417 disaster response efforts, ensuring effectiveness of aid should not detract from response and 418 assistance (IFRC, 2007). 419 Besides the diverse ensemble of international organizations with a mandate and capacity 420 for responding to natural disasters and/or specific types of technological or industrial accidents, 421 there are also agencies experienced in particular types of international disasters, but which may

slow-onset and sudden-onset disasters, they can create cumulative impacts to the community that

Manuscript under review for journal Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.

Published: 14 October 2016

© Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.



422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444



Environment Programme (UNEP) and the UN Department of Humanitarian Affairs (DHA, the predecessor of OCHA), developed an administrative arrangement through an exchange of letters (Bruch et al., 2016). The arrangement relies on the environmental mandates of UNEP and the humanitarian mandates of the DHA. Through UNEP's Governing Council Decision UNEP/GC.26/15 on "Strengthening International Cooperation on the Environmental Aspects of Emergency Response and Preparedness", the Joint UNEP/UN OCHA Environment Unit (JEU) plays a leading role in facilitating coordination among international organizations in the event of natural and man-made disasters, including natech accidents, which are more broadly termed environmental emergencies (UNEP, 2011). The JEU has a number of existing agreements and interface procedures in place with these organizations, in order to facilitate response, particularly because there is a lack of familiarity among UN member states regarding existing regional and international systems for response to the various types of disasters, as well as the coordination between them. For example, the JEU facilitated international agreements and interface procedures to aid with response between UN Disaster Assessment and Coordination (UNDAC) and the EU Civil Protection Mechanism to the 2014 Serbian landslides following Cyclone Tamara (NERC, 2014). During the 2000 Baia Mare natech accident in the Tisza River sub-basin, sixteen experts from seven countries deployed for response to the natech accident, and the JEU assisted to coordinate response efforts among UNDAC, the European Commission, the Military Civil Defence Unit, the World Health Organization, and a variety of other actors (JEU, 2000). At the regional level, the European Union's Civil Protection Mechanism (EU CPM) is an instrument for disaster response that protects people, the environment, property, and cultural heritage in the event of natural or man-made disasters, occurring within or outside of the

not necessarily have the mandate or capacity for response. In 1994, the United Nations

Manuscript under review for journal Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.

Published: 14 October 2016

© Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.



445



446 through the Emergency Response Coordination Centre (ERCC) in cooperation with the JEU and 447 with participating states. 448 The European Union's Seveso Directives (I enacted in 1982, II enacted in 1996, and III 449 enacted in 2012) are some of the earliest pieces of legislation to address disaster risk (European 450 Community, 1982; European Community, 1996; European Community, 2012). The various 451 iterations of the Directive govern the establishments where dangerous substances are present, 452 and require the establishments to classify and report the amounts, types, and locations of 453 dangerous substances present. The majority of the Directives' focus is on notification 454 requirements and accident prevention, including notification to the public due to the increased 455 risk by natural disasters associated with the location of the establishment and associated risks from natech accidents (European Union, 2012). The responsibility for response under the 456 457 Directives falls on the establishment for developing preparedness response measures in advance 458 of an accident, and notifying the competent authority in case of a major accident (European 459 Union, 2012). However, a 2012 study by the European Commission indicated that industry in 460 nearly half of the EU countries is believed to insufficiently consider natech risks in their 461 preparedness response measures (Krausmann and Baranzini, 2012). 462 The EU Floods Directive provides a framework for addressing risk from natural disasters, 463 specifically floods. While inspired not only by the damaging effects of floods, but also by 464 increasing flood risks as a result of climate change, the main objective of the Directive is to 465 require member states to assess and manage risks of flooding within their territories and to 466 develop flood risk management plans. Though the plans are restricted to areas considered at high 467 risk of floods, these are not integrated into other types of plans and maps available – such as the

European Community (European Commission, 2016). Disasters are monitored internationally

Manuscript under review for journal Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.

Published: 14 October 2016

© Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.





preparedness response measures in advance of an accident or natural disaster, such as in the case of the Seveso Directive. Though the Flood Directive was expected to reduce flood risk, interviewees voiced disappointment regarding the limitations of integrating disaster risk more broadly, particularly in relation to water quality and accidental pollution [3]. These present as policy limitations to the Water Framework Directive and Flood Directive, as neither of the two directives require the integration of disaster risk of both floods and accidental pollution.

The European Union also developed a set of macro-regional strategies for the Adriatic and Ionian, Alpine, Baltic Sea, and Danube regions (European Commission, 2010). While the intent from the EU was to not provide new EU funding, these integrated frameworks are supported by EU Structural and Investment Funds in order to address common challenges faced in each defined area in order to strengthen cooperation and achieve greater economic, social, and territorial cohesion. In the Danube Strategy, risks from floods and industrial accidents are reflected as having substantially negative transnational impacts, and are listed as requiring preventive and disaster management measures that are implemented jointly, with the understanding that work undertaken in isolation in one place (e.g., to build levees) displaces the

Inventory of Potential Accidental Risk Spots in the Danube³ – nor are they used for developing

³ Pursuant to the 2001 Baia Mare natech accident in Romania, the ICPDR conducted a qualitative evaluation of the hazardous locations in the Danube catchment area, with reference to location of possible water pollution. The report of Inventory of Potential Accidental Risk Spots was released in 2001, and has not been updated since (ICPDR, 2001; ICPDR, 2015a).

problem and places neighboring regions at greater risk of flooding (European Commission,

2010). Other man-made disasters are integrated in the discussion of risks, as well as the need to

account for climate change by taking a regional focus at the basin level (European Commission,

2010, p. 8). In a 2015 European Commission Communication report following implementation

of the Danube Strategy, several limitations were highlighted, including: the need to improve

Manuscript under review for journal Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.

Published: 14 October 2016

© Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.



489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511



material releases; limited political commitment, funding, and capacity among countries and institutions in the Danube; lack of staff, funding, and expertise impeding participation, particularly in lesser-developed areas of Danube – the report also acknowledged that these challenges are more acute in non-EU countries (EPRS, 2015). The limitations in funding, technical expertise, and capacity were confirmed in interviews with experts at various levels, who also noted how this leads to uneven implementation of EU Directives within the basin that can create pockets of vulnerability to both flood risk and risks from industrial accidents [2, 3, 4]. While the Danube Strategy does not provide a framework for response to natural and man-made disasters, it does highlight the EU's continued support for managing multi-hazard response at multiple levels, particularly through Priority Area 5 "To Manage Environmental Risks". Specifically, it requests that the countries "strengthen operational cooperation among emergency response authorities in the Danube countries and improve the interoperability for risks that are common to an important number of countries in the region (i.e., floods and risks of other natural and man-made disasters)", and advises that each country's civil protection mechanism have an updated understanding of neighboring country's systems so that response teams can function smoothly in case of emergencies involving bilateral, European, or international response (EUSDR, 2015). Experts also expressed the need for formal agreements with specific language on integrated mapping of complex disasters, as well as provisions addressing response to both natural and man-made disasters, particularly if additional grants could be given from the EU to support these activities [2, 3, 4, 5]. Some interviewees reflected that the regional Strategy depended on stronger countries helping the weaker ones, but limitations with funding and capacity are difficult to overcome [2]. In the 2015 Annual Report on

efforts to reduce the Danube region's risk of exposure to major floods and accidental hazardous

Published: 14 October 2016

© Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.



512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530



implementation of the Danube Strategy produced by the Danube countries, all projects focused on implementation of the Floods Directive. The only mention of industrial accidents was to reflect the failure to include an updated Inventory of Potential Accidental Risk Spots along the Danube, which is also discussed in the 2015 Danube River Basin Management Plan (DRBMP) (EUSDR, 2015; ICPDR, 2015b). Given past issues with mine tailing collapses and other pollution disasters associated with flooding, the 2015 DRBMP acknowledged the need to update the Inventory of Potential Accidental Risk Spots promptly (ICPDR, 2015b). Unfortunately, this recommendation from the 2015 DRBMP, and initially expressed in first Danube River Basin Management Plan of 2009 has yet to be realized. Through the Danube River Protection Convention, Article 17 provides for mutual

assistance "where a critical situation of riverine conditions should arise". While "critical situation" is not defined, Article 17 indicates that the ICPDR will elaborate procedures for mutual assistance including, the facilities and services to be rendered by the contracting party, the facilitation of border-crossing formalities, arrangements for compensation, and methods of reimbursement (ICPDR, 1994). These elaborations have not occurred through the ICPDR, but rather in the form of bilateral agreements regarding transboundary flood measures among Danube countries; however virtually no bilateral agreements exist regarding response to manmade disasters in the basin (Table 4).

Table 4. Bilateral agreements on transboundary watercourses and disasters among Danube countries (Adapted from ICPDR, 2009a; ICPDR, 2015a; UNEP, 2002).

Countries	Transboundary Watercourses	Disasters / Emergencies
Austria – Czech Republic	1967**	1994 (Floods Only)
Austria – Germany	1987	1991 (Floods Only)
Austria – Hungary	1956	1959 (Floods Only)
Austria – Slovakia	1967**	1994 (Floods Only)
Austria – Slovenia	1956*	1956* (Floods Only)

Published: 14 October 2016

© Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.





Bosnia and Herzegovina – Croatia	1996	1996 (Natural/Manmade Disasters)
Bosnia and Herzegovina – Serbia and Montenegro*	-	2011 (Flood EWS)
Bulgaria – Romania	2004	2004 (Floods Only)
Bulgaria – Serbia	Draft	Draft (Floods Only)
Croatia – Hungary	1994	1994 (Floods Only)
Croatia – Serbia	-	-
Croatia – Slovenia	No Date	1977*** (Coastal Pollution)
Czech Republic – Slovakia	1999	-
Hungary – Romania	1986	2003 (Floods Only)
Hungary – Slovakia	1956**	2014 (Floods Only)
Hungary – Slovenia	1994	1994 (Floods Only)
Hungary – Ukraine	1997	1998 (Floods Only)
Moldova – Romania	2010	2010 (Floods Only)
Moldova – Ukraine	1994	-
Serbia and Montenegro – Hungary	1955*	1955*
Serbia and Montenegro – Romania	1955*	Under Discussion
Ukraine – Romania	1997	1952*** (Floods Only)
Ukraine – Slovakia	1995	2000 (Floods Only)

^{*}Agreement formed with Yugoslavia

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

To bridge the gap regarding man-made accidents, some Danube basin countries have engaged in such agreements. Bulgaria, Moldova, Romania, Serbia, and Ukraine are Parties to the DRPC, but have separately engaged in the BSEC Agreement on Response to Natural and Manmade disasters (Bruch et al., 2016). Furthermore, the Danube Delta countries (Moldova, Romania, and Ukraine) are working together with the UNECE Industrial Accidents Convention due to the large concentration of oil-related industries in the area in order to improve hazard management, increase transboundary cooperation, and strengthen operational response [1].

^{**}Agreement formed with Czechoslovak Socialist Republic

^{***}Agreement formed with Union of Soviet Socialist Republics

⁻ No Information Available

wianuscript under review for journal N

Published: 14 October 2016

© Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.



543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565



At the Danube basin level, the countries have engaged in a series of non-binding Memoranda of Understanding (MOU) referred to as the Danube Declarations, first in 2004, revised in 2010, and updated in 2016. The Declarations reinforce the language of the 1996 Danube River Protection Convention to sustainably manage the waters of the Danube, and reinforce the countries' commitment to continue the work of the WFD and Floods Directive. The 2016 Declaration recognizes the need for increased investment and improved warning systems for flood protection and contamination, as well as improving the exchange of information throughout the Danube (ICPDR, 2016). The Danube River basin countries engage currently in two separate systems for flood monitoring and monitoring pollution from man-made accidents the Emergency Flood Alert System and the Principal International Alert Centres (PIACs) of the Danube Accident Emergency Warning System (Danube AEWS), respectively. The Emergency Flood Alert System has been functioning since 2003 at the Joint Research Centre, a Directorate General of the European Commission, and works in collaboration with the national authorities of the member states and with a variety of meteorological services. The Emergency Flood Alert System provides two medium-range flood forecasts each day, with 3-10 day advance warning for flooding in the main stem of the Danube. An MOU has been signed with several, but not all of the Danube countries (Austria, Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Germany, Hungary, Moldova, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, and Romania, and negotiations are underway with Bosnia and Herzegovina and Croatia), and information is available 24 hours a day through an online service managed by the Joint Research Centre (ICPDR, 2010). The Emergency Flood Alert System gives national authorities the ability to prepare response measures, including opening temporary flood retention areas, building temporary flood protection structures such as sandbag walls, and adopting civil protection measures such as closing down water supply systems (ICPDR, 2009b). These

Manuscript under review for journal Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.

Published: 14 October 2016

© Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.



566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588



infrastructure. The MOU does not include tributaries draining areas less than 4,000 km², therefore the Emergency Flood Alert System does not address flood risks in the Tisza, nor in certain basin countries where significant flood concerns arise, such as Ukraine [1]. Transboundary floods typically affect larger areas, can be more severe, result in a higher number of deaths, and cause increased economic loss than non-transboundary rivers (Baaker, 2009). Therefore, the repeated occurrence of such large, costly flood events (Table 3) highlights the ongoing need for improved strategies for flood preparedness and response, particularly in the absence of coordinated, multi-hazard bilateral and multilateral agreements among basin countries. The Principle International Alert Centres of the Danube Accident Emergency Warning System monitor accidental water pollution incidents in the Danube River basin. Unlike the Emergency Flood Alert System, which is linked to monitoring conducted by the European Commission and is transmitted to national authorities (without involving the ICPDR in the monitoring process); the Danube AEWS system is managed by the ICPDR, but does not involve the European Commission. While all contracting parties of the DRPC cooperate with the Danube AEWS, they also are expected to have national policies regarding response to accidental pollution in the Danube that connects to the Principle International Alert Centres. The PIACs are expected to operate on a 24-hour basis within each country, and are in charge of all international communications. When a message regarding potentially serious accidental pollution occurs, the PIAC is responsible for communicating the accident to the ICPDR, and decides whether it is necessary to notify downstream countries, engages experts to assess the impacts of the pollution, and decides what response activities need to be taken at the national level (ICPDR, 2014).

responses reduce further threat of flooding downstream, and prevent loss of lives and

Manuscript under review for journal Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.

Published: 14 October 2016

© Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.





Challenges to the Danube AEWS monitoring include territorial gaps (several areas along the Danube and Tisza are not monitored) [3, 4, 5], a limited number of bilateral agreements for response in case the accident exceeds national capacity (Table 4), and even though a variety of natural and man-made accidents occur (Table 2), not all types of man-made accidents are monitored. Increasing pressures are felt by downstream countries from the failure to monitor pollution events in a consistent and effective manner [4]. Furthermore, in order to keep the AEWS operational there is increasing reliance on citizen reporting of pollution events in some countries [4, 5]. This is particularly problematic in the Tisza countries where the lack of monitoring of both flood and accidental pollution events, combined with limited bilateral agreements raise concern among several countries [4, 5].

In the most recent Tisza River sub-basin MOU (from 2011), the Tisza countries agreed, among other things, to "take coordinated steps to prevent accidental risks, and develop harmonized mitigation and response measures, with the aim to present an updated Inventory of

harmonized mitigation and response measures, with the aim to present an updated Inventory of Potential Accidental Risk Spots by the end of 2012" (ICPDR, 2011). This complements the 2009 request in the Danube basin (but as reflected above, has yet to be updated) (ICPDR, 2015b). To date, this has not occurred for the Tisza sub-basin, but the language in the MOU does reflect an interest at the sub-basin level to prioritize not only the mapping and development of an Inventory of Potential Accidental Risk Spots, but also the development of harmonized response measures among floods and man-made hazards.

Questioning the distinction

While "natural" disasters may be a commonly used term, no disaster can be regarded as entirely natural if people have the capacity to avoid, mitigate, or reduce the risk from an entirely natural hazard (Picard, 2016). However, the vulnerability to lives and livelihoods can be avoided

Manuscript under review for journal Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.

Published: 14 October 2016

© Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.





with proper disaster preparedness and response, such as the proper placement, function, and use of early warning systems, flood maintenance, and mitigation works such as levees and controlled flood outlets and properly timed dam releases.

There is an additional shift in what is considered truly a natural disaster as well – not only from the perspective of mitigation or vulnerability, but in acknowledgement of the anthropogenic influences on natural disasters. Climate change is one aspect, but there are also induced earthquakes occurring as a result of slipping faults from fluid injection in hydraulic fracturing (Legere, 2016) and from the weight of shifting water impoundments from Three Gorges (Stone, 2008), landslides from subsidence and increased land use activities including urbanization (Smith, 2013), and pandemics from deforestation and habitat conversion (Greger, 2007), to name a few. Holistic frameworks that include multiple types of disasters are needed in order to respond effectively.

Human intervention in the physical environment exposes populations to natural hazards from the built environment, such as housing and associated infrastructure, including industrial facilities, drainage works, and planning—especially when the built environment is not appropriately designed or built to account for the risks. Human, economic, and environmental losses can be worse in highly populated, urbanized areas; with increased urbanization and climate change, they are placed at increased risk to natural and man-made hazards (Bruch and Goldman, 2012; Huppert and Sparks, 2006). For this reason, natech accidents and other cascading disasters are particularly problematic types of disasters. Simultaneous response efforts are required to attend to both the industrial, chemical, or technological accident as well as the triggering natural disaster. Therefore, broad definitions of disaster, as well as broad frameworks for response to multiple types of disaster are needed in order to recognize that many disasters can

Manuscript under review for journal Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.

Published: 14 October 2016

© Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.





636 arise from multiple hazards—and to take the necessary measures to reduce the risks of those 637 hazards. 638 While distinctions among disasters are still claimed for liability in some cases (including 639 in determining deliberate conduct or negligence), the distinction between natural and man-made 640 disasters is largely irrelevant from the perspective of humanitarian response and the humanitarian 641 consequence of multi-hazard events and those that are caused by natural or technological 642 hazards. Furthermore, in the event that disasters are slow-onset, or when the ability to mitigate or 643 respond to risk is not timely or effective, the long-term effects of the disaster can be magnified 644 and lead to further vulnerability, such as famine, malnutrition, or mortality (IFRC, 2006). 645 The 2011 Fukushima nuclear disaster in Japan, triggered by the Great East Japan 646 Earthquake and resultant tsunami, illustrated the complex relationship of natural hazards and the 647 built environment and human factors, resulting in natech vulnerabilities. In part as a response to 648 the earthquake, tsunami, and nuclear accident at Fukushima and as a more general approach to 649 providing a comprehensive, multidimensional and multi-sectoral approach to reducing disaster 650 risk, the United Nations member states adopted the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk 651 Reduction in 2015. To some experts, the preceding 2005 Hyogo Framework for Action focused 652 too much on disaster risk reduction from natural disasters, and ignored industrial accidents and 653 complex accidents like natech accidents [6]. In fact, in a 2011 study by the European 654 Commission, out of 14 EU countries that experienced natech accidents, more than half of the 655 accidents resulted in the release of toxic substances, fires, or explosions (Krausmann and 656 Baranzini, 2012). 657 The Sendai Framework places unprecedented emphasis on the interaction between 658 hazards (natural and man-made), exposure levels, and pre-existing vulnerability (Aitsi-Selmi and

Manuscript under review for journal Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.

Published: 14 October 2016

© Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.



681



659 Murray, 2016). It calls to action for improving decision making through a stronger science-660 policy-practice interface, with four priority areas for action –including strengthening disaster 661 governance with regard to shared resources and at the basin level (UNISDR, 2015). 662 The Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) also provides 663 guidance for the planning and operation of facilities where hazardous substances are located 664 through the use of their 2003 Guiding Principles for Chemical Accident Prevention, 665 Preparedness, and Response. Recognizing the gaps in natech risk management and 666 methodologies, the OECD developed an addendum in 2015 to the Guiding Principles that 667 include 1) an investigation of the prevention of chemical accidents, as well as preparedness for 668 and response to chemical accidents resulting from natural hazards that are not a part of national 669 chemical accident programs; and 2) recommendations for best practices with respect to 670 prevention of, preparedness for, and response to natech accidents (OECD, 2015). 671 Regional frameworks for response to natural and man-made disasters have been 672 developed by member states of the Black Sea Economic Cooperation (BSEC) and the 673 Association of South East Asian Nations (ASEAN). These regional agreements have also 674 progressed to include national efforts, such as the coordination of technical assistance and 675 resource mobilization during response to natural and man-made disasters (ASEAN, 2010; BSEC, 676 1998). 677 6 Building holistic approaches for integrating multilevel disaster response 678 The transition toward a multi-hazard approach for response to natural and man-made 679 disasters, and the acknowledgement of the risks of natech accidents is occurring at many levels. 680 It is present in the work of the United Nations and the multilevel response frameworks of the EU

Civil Protection Mechanism; some regional agencies are also adopting similar agreements (i.e.,

Manuscript under review for journal Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.

Published: 14 October 2016

© Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.





ASEAN, BSEC). However, there remains a disparity in managing natural and man-made disasters in a holistic manner at the national level, as well as in the monitoring of these types of events at the Danube basin and Tisza sub-basin levels. The challenges are not insurmountable; this section proposes two sets of options for reducing and eventually eliminating the historic dichotomy among approaches to disaster response and monitoring.

6.1 Multi-hazard approaches

The process of building holistic approaches to planning, preparedness, and response can strengthen systems for responding to natural and man-made disasters in a more integrated manner. Building holistic disaster risk management processes may be done at the global (e.g., Sendai), regional (e.g., BSEC), bilateral, and national levels.

The review of legal and policy frameworks and interviews reflected that while some planning and preparedness activities take place regarding flood hazard, this generally is not the case for accidental pollution (at least in the Danube and Tisza context), and natech accidents are largely removed or ignored [2, 3, 4, 5, 6] (European Commission, 2010; ICPDR, 2015a). Gaps in monitoring were cited along the length of both the Danube and the Tisza in regard to both flooding and accidental pollution, which should also be improved in future planning efforts. The Tisza sub-basin and smaller water bodies are beyond the scope of the WFD, consequently, no holistic monitoring or response measures are in place; regional agreements at the basin or sub-basin level could aid in developing improved response frameworks [2, 3] (McClain et al., 2016).

Improving the mapping of hazards to reflect not only flood hazard, but also risks from man-made disasters and natech events – and integrating these risks into a holistic map of vulnerability to disaster – would provide a foundation for more holistic policies and programming to manage disaster risks. It would also aid in improving measures for preparedness

Manuscript under review for journal Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.

Published: 14 October 2016

© Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.



705

706

707

708

709

710

711

712

713

714

715

716

717

718

719

720

721

722

723

724

725

726

727



at the national and local levels. Multi-hazard response frameworks provide the opportunity to intervene and mitigate the size of future disasters. Interviews indicate that harmonized approaches to natural and man-made disasters offer additional opportunities to strengthen capacity among transboundary actors [1, 4].

6.2 Multi-hazard response modalities

In order to empower, guide, and facilitate the institutional arrangements and mandates necessary to improve monitoring of and response to natural and man-made disasters, the legal and policy frameworks need to provide the necessary mandates and procedures. In regard to the Danube basin, this could be done in a variety of ways. The Danube River Protection Convention has not been updated or amended since it was originally drafted in 1994, but it unites all countries of the Danube basin and its tributaries under a formal, legal agreement. Cooperation among Danube countries was generally reported as good [3]; therefore, continuing the use of the ICPDR and its expert groups as a mechanism to gain cooperation among the countries on a regional framework for improving monitoring and response could be considered [3, 4, 5]. Another possibility would be to expand the numerous bilateral agreements among the Danube and Tisza countries regarding flooding to also include man-made disasters and natech events. Working on agreements at a regional level improves communication, breaks down barriers (particularly in transboundary situations), and aids in the development of a common legal language among participating parties [1, 2]. Updating conventions and other hard law can be difficult; countries often find soft law to be more flexible, they are sometimes unwilling to adopt binding obligations, particularly in the face of uncertainty (e.g., climate change), or when they feel there might be a need to act quickly to changing circumstances. In this regard, updating the Danube Declaration and the

Manuscript under review for journal Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.

Published: 14 October 2016

© Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.





corresponding Tisza MOUs can provide particularly viable options. Through the Declarations and MOUs, the Danube or Tisza countries could decide whether to engage in a particular action through a separate strategy, or pilot project, or whether to incorporate the issue into the broader basin or sub-basin management plan (e.g., improvement of accidental pollution and flood monitoring, integrated accidental pollution and flood maps). Improved vertical and horizontal cooperation was a request of several interviewees, particularly in regard to the risks posed from man-made accidents and how to respond to these accidents [4, 5].

7 Conclusions

The historic distinction between natural and man-made disasters is outdated, counterproductive, and ultimately flawed. Natural disasters have the potential to trigger simultaneous technological or chemical accidents from one or multiple sources. With anthropogenic climate change influencing the frequency and intensity of disasters, the distinctions in preventing, monitoring, and responding to disasters from either natural or manmade sources are further called into question. Moreover, increased urbanization and shifting populations are placing more people at greater risk in times of disaster (whether natural or manmade). As a result, it is increasingly clear that there are no purely natural disasters.

Recognizing that the historic distinctions between natural and man-made disasters are no longer relevant, there is increasing recognition of the need to address disasters holistically, regardless of the contributing causes and aggravating factors. This trend is noted in the Sendai Framework, which adopts a multi-hazard risk approach and provides tools for managing disasters that are both natural and man-made (UNISDR, 2015). While the current policy frameworks in the Danube basin and Tisza sub-basin do not address preparedness and response holistically across types of disasters, the basin countries have several options for more integrated

Manuscript under review for journal Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.

Published: 14 October 2016

© Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.





752 response. A key opportunity is the development or amendment of agreements governing 753 response to natural and man-made disasters. This could be negotiated through updates to the 754 Danube Convention or through bilateral treaties between the basin countries. Improving planning 755 and preparedness through more integrated monitoring and mapping of natural and man-made 756 disasters, such as combining the flood risk areas with the Inventory of Potential Accidental Risk 757 Spots, could be elaborated upon in Declarations and MOUs at the basin and sub-basin levels. 758 A coordinated approach to natural and man-made disasters, including natech accidents, is 759 currently taken through the European Union Civil Protection Mechanism and BSEC. This is not 760 unique to Europe alone, and other similar regional approaches exist from which to draw lessons 761 (including the ASEAN agreement). The Danube and Tisza countries are well versed in the 762 transboundary impacts from natural and man-made disasters, and natech accidents; climate 763 change is likely to increase the frequency and severity of these events in the foreseeable future. 764 Nevertheless, while approaches for integrating holistic frameworks for disaster response are 765 recognized at multiple levels, implementation within the Danube basin and Tisza sub-basin 766 remains distinct and fragmented. 767 Acknowledgements 768 This material is based upon work supported by the United States' National Science 769 Foundation under Grant No. 0903510. Any opinions expressed here are those of the authors and 770 do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation. 771 We thank the Southern Illinois University IGERT Program in Watershed Science and 772 Policy and associated colleagues for their support. The authors are also grateful for the 773 suggestions and comments of Professor Cindy Buys. We additionally thank the International

Published: 14 October 2016

© Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.



814

815



774 Commission for the Protection of the Danube River (ICPDR) for assisting in obtaining data, and 775 for hosting Shanna while she conducted her research. 776 References 777 778 Aitsi-Selmi, A., and Murray, V. 2016. The Chernobyl Disaster and Beyond: Implications of the 779 Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015-2030. PLOS Medicine 13(4): 1-4. 780 ASEAN (Association of South East Asian Nations). 2010. ASEAN Agreement on Disaster 781 782 Management and Emergency Response: Work Programme 2010-2015. Jakarta: ASEAN. 783 http://www.asean.org/wp-784 content/uploads/images/resources/ASEAN%20Publication/2013%20(12.%20Dec)%20-%20AADMER%20Work%20Programme%20(4th%20Reprint).pdf. 785 786 Baaker, M.H.N. 2009. Transboundary River Floods: Examining Countries, International River 787 788 Basins, and Continents. Water Policy 11: 269-288. 789 790 BSEC (Black Sea Economic Cooperation). 1998. Agreement among the Governments of the 791 Participating States of the Black Sea Economic Cooperation (BSEC) on Collaboration in 792 Emergency Assistance and Emergency Response to Natural and Man-Made Disasters. 793 http://www.bsec-794 organization.org/documents/LegalDocuments/agreementmous/agr4/Documents/Emergen 795 cyagreement%20071116.pdf. 796 797 Bruch, C., Nijenhuis, R., and McClain, S.N. 2016. International Frameworks Governing 798 Environmental Emergency Preparedness and Response: An Assessment of Approaches. 799 In The Role of International Environmental Law in Reducing Disaster Risk, Jacqueline 800 Peel & David Fisher eds. Leiden: Brill Nijhoff. 801 802 Cutter, S.L., and Emrich, C.T. 2006. Moral Hazard, Social Catastrophe: The Changing Face of 803 Vulnerability along the Hurricane Coasts. American Academy of Political and Social 804 Science 604:102-112. 805 806 Dunai, M. 2010. Hungary Declares Emergency after Red Sludge Spill. Reuters, October 5. 807 http://in.reuters.com/article/idINIndia-51952420101005. 808 809 European Commission. 2010. Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, 810 the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee, and the Committee of the 811 Regions: European Strategy for the Danube Region. COM (2010) 715 Final. 812 813 European Commission. 2016. EU Civil Protection Mechanism. 2 July.

http://ec.europa.eu/echo/what/civil-protection/mechanism_en.

Published: 14 October 2016

© Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.





816 817 818	European Community. 1982. Council Directive of 24 June 1982 on the Major-Accident Hazards of Certain Industrial Activities. Official Journal of the European Communities L230, pp. 01-18.
819 820 821 822	European Community. 1996. Council Directive of 9 December 1996 on the Control of Major-Accident Hazards Involving Dangerous Substances. Official Journal of the European Union. L010, pp. 13.
823 824 825 826	European Community. 2012. Council Directive of 4 July 2012 on the Control of Major-Accident Hazards Involving Dangerous Substances, Amending and Subsequently Repealing Council Directive 96/82/EC. Official Journal of the European Union L197, pp. 01-37.
827 828 829 830 831	European Union. 2012. Directive 2012/18/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 4 July 2012 on the Control of Major-Accident Hazards Involving Dangerous Substances, Amending and Subsequently Repealing Council Directive 96/82/EC. Official Journal of the European Union L197, pp. 01-37.
832 833 834 835 836	EPRS (European Parliamentary Research Service). 2015. The EU Strategy for the Danube Region: Briefing. PE 557.024. http://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/BRIE/2015/557024/EPRS_BRI(2015)557024_EN.pdf.
837 838 839 840	EUSDR (European Union Strategy for the Danube Region). 2015. Danube Region Strategy Priority Area 5: To Manage Environmental Risks. Coordinated by Hungary and Romania. June.
841 842 843	Fisher, D. 2008. The Law of International Disaster Response: Overview and Ramifications. <i>International Law Studies</i> 83: 293-320.
844 845 846	GHA (Global Humanitarian Assistance). Global Humanitarian Assistance Report. Bristol: GHA.
847 848 849	Greger, M. 2007. The Human/Animal Interface: Emergence and Resurgence of Zoonotic Infectious Diseases. <i>Critical Reviews in Microbiology</i> 33: 243-299.
850 851 852 853 854	Grieving, S., Pratzler –Wanczura, S. Sapountzaki, K., Ferri, F., Grifoni, P., Firus, K., and Xanthopoulos, G. 2012. Linking the actors and policies throughout the management cycle by "Agreement on Objectives" – a new output-oriented approach. <i>Natural Hazards and Earth Systems Sciences</i> 12: 1085-1107.
855 856 857 858	Huppert, H.E., and Sparks, R.S.J. 2007. Extreme Natural Hazards: Population Growth, Globalization and Environmental Change. <i>Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society</i> 364: 1875-1888.
859 860 861	ICPDR (International Commission for the Protection of the Danube River). 1994. Danube River Protection Convention. Vienna: ICPDR. https://www.icpdr.org/main/sites/default/files/DRPC%20English%20ver.pdf.

Published: 14 October 2016

© Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.



862



863 864 865	ICPDR (International Commission for the Protection of the Danube River). 2001. Inventory of Potential Accidental Risk Spots. Vienna: ICPDR.
866	ICPDR (International Commission for the Protection of the Danube River). 2008a. Analysis of
867	the Tisza River Basin 2007. Vienna: ICPDR.
868	http://www.icpdr.org/main/sites/default/files/Tisza_RB_Analysis_2007.pdf.
869	
870	ICPDR (International Commission for the Protection of the Danube River). 2008b. The Analysis
871	of the Danube Floods 2006. Vienna: ICPDR.
872	https://www.icpdr.org/main/sites/default/files/The%20Analysis%20of%20the%20Danub
873	e%20Floods%202006%20FINAL.pdf
874	
875	ICPDR (International Commission for the Protection of the Danube River). 2009a. The Danube
876	River Basin District Management Plan: Part A- Basin-wide Overview. Vienna: ICPDR.
877	http://www.icpdr.org/main/sites/default/files/DRBM_Plan_2009.pdf.
878	
879	ICPDR (International Commission for the Protection of the Danube River). 2009b. Assessment
880	of Flood Monitoring and Forecasting in the Danube River Basin. Vienna: ICPDR.
881	http://www.icpdr.org/main/sites/default/files/OM-12%20-
882	%203.6%20ASSESSMENTof%20Flood%20Monitoring%20FINAL.pdf.
883	
884	ICPDR (International Commission for the Protection of the Danube River). 2010. New
885	International System for Early Flood Warning in Danube River Basin Launched. March.
886	https://www.icpdr.org/main/sites/default/files/nodes/documents/080310_efas_pr_final_ic
887	pdr.pdf.
888	
889	ICPDR (International Commission for the Protection of the Danube River). 2011. Memorandum
890	of Understanding: Towards the Implementation of the Integrated Tisza River Basin
891	Management Plan Supporting the Sustainable Development of the Region. Vienna:
892	ICPDR.
893	
894	ICPDR (International Commission for the Protection of the Danube River). 2014. International
895	Operations Manual for PIACs of the Danube AEWS. Vienna: ICPDR.
896	http://www.icpdr.org/main/sites/default/files/nodes/documents/aews_manual_2014_final.
897	pdf.
898	
899	ICPDR (International Commission for the Protection of the Danube River). 2015a. The Danube
900	River Basin District Management Plan – Update 2015. Vienna: ICPDR.
901	https://www.icpdr.org/main/sites/default/files/nodes/documents/drbmp-update2015.pdf.
902	
903	ICPDR (International Commission for the Protection of the Danube River). 2015b. Flood Risk
904	Management Plan for the Danube River Basin District. Vienna: ICPDR.
905	https://www.icpdr.org/main/sites/default/files/nodes/documents/1stdfrmp-final_1.pdf.
906	

Published: 14 October 2016

© Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.



907



908 909	Declaration: Water Management in the Danube River Basin: Integration and Solidarity in the Most International River Basin of the World. Vienna: ICPDR.
910	
911 912	IFRC (International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies). 2006. World Disasters Report: Focus on Neglected Crises. Geneva: ATAR Roto Presse.
913	
914	IFRC (International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies). 2007. Law and Legal
915	Issues in International Disaster Response: A Desk Study. Geneva: IFRC.
916	
917 918	IFRC (International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies). 2013. Responding to Silent Disasters. IFRC Annual Report. Geneva: IFRC.
919	2.10.10 2.10 II TO 1.11.11 CO 1.11.11 CO 1.11.11 CO
920	INSARAG (International Search and Rescue Advisory Group). 2016. United Nations General
921	Assembly Resolution. Accessed 17 June. http://www.insarag.org/en/about/ga-
922	resolution.html.
923	
924	JEU (Joint United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP)/Office for the Coordination of
925	Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA) Environment Unit). 2000. Cyanide Spill at Baia Mare
926	Romania: Spill of Liquid and Suspended Waste at the Aurul S.A. Retreatement Plant.
927	Geneva: OCHA.
928	
929	Krausmann, E., and Baranzini, D. 2012. Natech Risk Reduction in the European Union. Journal
930	of Risk Research 15(8): 1027-1047.
931	
932	Legere, L. 2016. State Seismic Network Helps Tell Fracking Quakes from Natural Ones.
933	Pittsburgh Post-Gazette. June 26. http://powersource.post-
934	gazette.com/powersource/policy-powersource/2016/06/26/State-seismic-network-helps-
935	tell-fracking-quakes-from-natural-ones/stories/201606210014.
936	• •
937	Linnerooth-Bayer, J., Eckenberg, L. and Vári, A. 2013. Catastrophe Models and Policy
938	Processes: Managing Flood Risk in the Hungarian Tisza River Basin – An Introduction.
939	In Integrated Catastrophe Risk Modeling: Supporting Policy Processes, A. Amendola et
940	al. Eds., 171-179. Dordrecht: Springer Science.
941	
942	McClain, S.N., Bruch, C., and Secchi, S. 2016. Adaptation in the Tisza: Innovation and
943	Tribulation at the Sub-basin Level. Water International 0: 1-23.
944	
945	Munich Re. 2005. Topics Geo Annual Review: Natural Catastrophes 2005. Geo Risks Research.
946	Munich: Munich Re Group.
947	•
948	Munich Re. 2016. Group Annual Report 2015. Munich: Munich Re.
949	https://www.munichre.com/site/corporate/get/documents_E1695037882/mr/assetpool.sha
950	red/Documents/0_Corporate%20Website/_Financial%20Reports/2016/Annual%20Report
951	%202015/302-08843_en.pdf.
952	•

ICPDR (International Commission for the Protection of the Danube River). 2016. Danube

Published: 14 October 2016

© Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.



997



953 954 955	Nagy, I., Ligetvári, F., and Schweitzer, F. 2010. Tisza River Valley: Future Prospects. Hungarian Geographical Bulletin 59(4): 361-370.
956 957 958 959	NDGDM (National Directorate General for Disaster Management). 2010. Red Sludge – Hungary 2010. Ministry of the Interior. Budapest: Ministry of the Interior. http://www.katasztrofavedelem.hu/index2.php?pageid=szervezet_red_sludge_2010⟨ =eng.
960 961 962 963 964	Nekoul, F.M., and Drexler, A. 2016. Do Insurers in Catastrophe-prone Regions Buy Enough Reinsurance? <i>Chicago Fed Letter</i> No 360. https://www.chicagofed.org/~/media/publications/chicago-fed-letter/2016/cfl360-pdf.pdf.
965 966 967 968	NERC (Natural Environmental Research Council). 2014. UNDAC Landslide Advisory Visit to Serbia June 2014. Open Report IR/14/043. P. Hobbs Ed. Keyworth: British Geological Survey.
969 970 971 972	Nijenhuis, R. 2014. The International Environmental Emergencies Response System: A Case Study of Supertyphoon Haiyan (Yolanda), the Philippines. <i>Asian Journal of Environment and Disaster Management</i> 6(2): 175-190.
973 974 975 976	OECD (Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development). 2015. Addendum No. 2 to the OECD Guiding Principles for Chemical Accident Prevention, Preparedness, and Response (2 nd Ed.) to Address Natural Hazards Triggering Technological Accidents (Natechs).
977 978 979	http://www.oecd.org/officialdocuments/publicdisplaydocumentpdf/?cote=env/jm/mono(2 015)1&doclanguage=en.
980 981 982 983 984	Picard, M. 2016. Water Treaty Regimes as a Vehicle for Cooperation to Reduce Water-Related Disaster Risk: The Case of Southern Africa and the Zambesi Basin. In <i>The Role of International Environmental Law in Reducing Disaster Risk</i> , Jacqueline Peel & David Fisher eds. Leiden: Brill Nijhoff.
985 986 987	Rozario, K. 2007. The Culture of Calamity: Disaster & the Making of Modern America. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
988 989 990 991	Schneider, E. 2010. Floodplain Restoration of Large European Rivers, with Examples from the Rhine and the Danube. In <i>Restoration of Lakes, Streams, Floodplains, and Bogs in Europe: Principles and Case Studies</i> , 185–223. USA: Springer Science.
992 993 994 995	Schneller, K., Bálint, G. Chicos, A. Csete, M. Dzurdzenik, J. Göncz, A. Petrisor, A. Jaroslav, T. and Pálvolgyi, T. 2013. Climate Change Impacts on the Hungarian, Romanian, and Slovak Territories of the Tisza Catchment Area. In <i>European Climate Vulnerabilities and Adaptation: A Spatial Planning Perspective</i> , P. Schmidt-Thomé and S. Greiving, Eds., 205-229. New Jersey, USA: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Published: 14 October 2016

© Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.





998	Smith, K. 2013. Environmental Hazards: Assessing Risk and Reducing Hazard. New York:
999	Routledge.
1000	
1001	Stone, R. 2008. Three Gorges Dam: Into the Unknown. Science 321(5889): 628-632.
1002	
1003	Swiss Re. 2016. Natural Catastrophes and Man-Made Disasters in 2015: Asia Suffers Substantial
1004	Losses. Sigma Report No 1/2016. Zurich: Swiss Re.
1005	http://media.swissre.com/documents/sigma1_2016_en.pdf.
1006	0 1
1007	UN (United Nations). 2003. Strengthening the Effectiveness and Coordination of International
1008	Urban Search and Rescue Assistance. Official Records of the General Assembly. Fifty-
1009	Seventh Session. A/RES/57/150.
1010	
1011	UNECE (United Nations Economic Commission for Europe). 2009. Guidance on Water and
1012	Adaptation to Climate Change. Geneva: United Nations.
1013	raupation to children change. Geneva. Childen various.
1013	UNECE (United Nations Economic Commission for Europe). 2011. Second Assessment of
1015	Transboundary Rivers, Lakes and Groundwaters. New York and Geneva: UNECE.
1015	Transboundary Rivers, Lakes and Groundwaters. New Tork and Geneva. Civilet.
1017	UNEP (United Nations Environment Programme). 2002. Atlas of International Freshwater
1017	Agreements. Nairobi: UNEP.
1018	Agreements, Ivanoui, UNEF.
	UNEP (United Nations Environment Programme). 2011. Enhanced Coordination Across the
1020	United Nations System, Including the Environment Management Group. Twenty-Sixth
1021	
1022	Session. UNEP/GC.26/15.
1023	Thurs (II., 1917, II.) C
1024	UNHCR (United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees). 2016. UNHCR, Displacement and
1025	Disaster Risk Reduction. Geneva: UNHCR.
1026	
1027	UNISDR (United Nations Institute for Disaster Reduction). 2015. Sendai Framework for
1028	Disaster Risk Reduction: 2015-2030. Geneva: UNISDR.
1029	
1030	Verchick, R. 2012. Disaster Justice: The Geography of Human Capability. <i>Duke Environmental</i>
1031	Law & Policy Forum 23: 23-71.
1032	
1033	WWF (World Wildlife Fund). 2003. Managing Rivers Wisely: Lessons from WWF's Work for
1034	Integrated River Basin Management. Introduction, Synthesis and Case Studies.
1035	Washington, DC: WWF.
1036	http://d2ouvy59p0dg6k.cloudfront.net/downloads/mrwdanubecasestudy.pdf.
1037	
1038	
1039	
1040	
1041	
1042	
1043	