

1 **What Does Nature Have to Do with It?**  
2 **Reconsidering Distinctions in International Disaster Response Frameworks in the Danube**  
3 **Basin**

4  
5 Shanna N. McClain<sup>1</sup>, Silvia Secchi<sup>2</sup>, Carl Bruch<sup>3</sup>, Jonathan W.F. Remo<sup>1,4</sup>

6  
7 <sup>1</sup>Environmental Resources and Policy, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, USA

8 <sup>2</sup> Department of Geographical and Sustainability Sciences, University of Iowa, Iowa City, USA

9 <sup>3</sup> Environmental Law Institute, Washington DC, USA

10 <sup>4</sup>Department of Geography and Environmental Resources, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, USA

11  
12 *Correspondence to:* Shanna N. McClain (shannamccclain@siu.edu)

13  
14 **Abstract**

15  
16 This article examines the international policy and institutional frameworks for response to  
17 natural and man-made disasters occurring in the Danube basin and the Tisza sub-basin, two  
18 transnational basins. Monitoring and response to these types of incidents have historically been  
19 managed separately. We discuss whether the policy distinctions in response to natural and man-  
20 made disasters remain functional given recent international trends toward holistic response to  
21 both kinds of disasters. We suggest that these distinctions are counterproductive, outdated, and  
22 ultimately flawed, illustrate some of the specific gaps in the Danube and the Tisza, and conclude  
23 by proposing an integrated framework for disaster response in the Danube basin and Tisza sub-  
24 basin.

25  
26 **Keywords:** International Disaster Response Frameworks; Natural Disasters; Man-made  
27 Accidents; Industrial Accidents; Natech Accidents; Danube River basin; Tisza River Sub-basin

28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38

## 39 **1 Introduction**

40           The actors engaged in disaster response<sup>1</sup> have historically been determined by the nature  
41 of the disaster (i.e., natural disaster, industrial accidents, nuclear accidents, marine oil spills), and  
42 legal frameworks typically divide response between natural and man-made disasters. However,  
43 there is growing recognition that anthropogenic climate change and other human activities such  
44 as land use change are driving more extreme and sometimes cascading events (Sun, 2016).  
45 Cascading events refer to cases in which a primary threat is followed by a sequence of secondary  
46 or additional hazards that require complex and often overlapping types of response (Pescaroli  
47 and Alexander, 2015). We conjecture that the tight coupling of human and environmental  
48 systems and the intensive nature of natural resource extraction and management, industrial  
49 activity and agriculture have increased the risk of cascading events. Thus, the question of  
50 eliminating the natural/man-made dichotomy in disaster response policy is brought to the  
51 forefront. We focus on transboundary response frameworks because they present exceptional  
52 logistical and technical challenges, particularly in watersheds such as the Danube and the Tisza,  
53 where countries have very disparate histories, levels of economic development, and are governed  
54 by different statutes.

55           In Europe, natural and man-made disasters combined caused total losses of US\$ 13  
56 billion in 2015, of which only US\$ 6 billion were insured; the predominant losses came from  
57 flood events (Swiss Re, 2016). Flooding and pollution are considered to be the primary  
58 transboundary pressures of the Danube River basin; however, a number of other man-made

---

<sup>1</sup> While disaster response is considered part of the disaster management cycle, disaster management includes the application of policies and actions regarding disaster risk (i.e., prevention, preparedness and mitigation, response, and recovery). Each have their own set of policy frameworks, actors and mechanisms for implementation. This paper focuses on the disaster response phase specifically, on the policy frameworks and actors related to requesting and receiving assistance immediately following a disaster, and the legal mechanisms by which responders are deployed.

59 accidents occurred in the region (ICPDR, 2015a). Specifically, in 2000, the Baia Mare and Baia  
60 Borsa mine-tailing pond failures mobilized approximately 100,000 m<sup>3</sup> of metal-contaminated  
61 water into the Tisza River, eventually polluting the Danube River and Black Sea. Since the  
62 industrial accidents occurred originally as a result of significant rainfall and flooding, these  
63 events are an example of what are commonly referred to as natech accidents – technological  
64 accidents triggered by natural disasters – and which lack regulation to analyze, prepare for, or  
65 mitigate (Krausmann, Cruz, Salzano, 2017). In 2010, an industrial accident occurred in the  
66 Hungarian portion of the Danube River when a dam containing alkaline red sludge collapsed,  
67 releasing 1.5 million m<sup>3</sup> of sludge into the surrounding land (approximately 4000 hectares) and  
68 waterways (including Kolontár, Torna Creek, and the Danube River), killing 10 people and  
69 injuring several hundred more (ICPDR, 2010). In 2014, following Cyclone Tamara, over 1,000  
70 landslide events occurred in Serbia as well as significant flooding, resulting in damage to  
71 properties and infrastructure and the inundation of agricultural land. Due to concern over  
72 possible breaches to mine tailing dams in the surrounding area, and the harmful effects on human  
73 health, technical experts investigated mining sites and provided recommendations for local  
74 evacuations (NERC, 2014). In all three disasters, the need for disaster response exceeded the  
75 capacity of national actors; therefore, international response involved the United Nations, the  
76 European Commission, and various other international organizations. Thus, adequate  
77 international disaster response frameworks have already been put to task in the Danube and the  
78 Tisza. Though international humanitarian law is generally well defined, the law of international  
79 disaster response is still incomplete (Fisher, 2008). Historically, a distinction has been drawn  
80 between the scope of response to natural disasters and man-made disasters; however, this  
81 distinction is absent from the 2015 Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction, which adopts

82 a multi-hazard risk approach providing management tools for disasters that are both natural and  
83 man-made (UNISDR, 2015). The Sendai Framework places unprecedented emphasis on the  
84 interaction between hazards (natural and man-made), exposure levels, and pre-existing  
85 vulnerability (Aitsi-Selmi and Murray, 2016). It calls for improving decision making through a  
86 stronger science-policy-practice interface, with four priority areas for action – including  
87 strengthening disaster governance with regard to shared resources and at the basin level  
88 (UNISDR, 2015). The European Union’s disaster response framework is also holistic and  
89 includes natural and man-made disasters, and some multilateral sub-regional agreements are also  
90 taking similar approaches, such as those adopted by the Association of South East Asian Nations  
91 (ASEAN) and the Baltic Sea Economic Cooperation (ASEAN, 2012; BSEC, 1998). Adopting a  
92 multi-hazard, or all-hazards, approach to disaster response allows for recognition of known  
93 conditions, natural or man-made, that have the potential to cause injury, illness or death; damage  
94 to or loss of infrastructure and property; or social, economic and environmental functional  
95 degradation (Kappes et al., 2012).

96 With international policies starting to shift toward more holistic frameworks of response  
97 that incorporate both natural and man-made disasters, this article explores policy frameworks for  
98 response in the Danube basin and Tisza sub-basin, which continue to distinguish between types  
99 of disasters, and resultantly have separate response options depending on the type of disaster, and  
100 what the holistic frameworks trend could mean for regional institutions in the study basins.

101 This article begins with an overview of the study area and a description of the methodology.  
102 Next is a discussion of the historical distinctions in response between natural disasters and  
103 industrial accidents – how and why they have been treated differently and how recent  
104 developments in international law and practice are raising questions about the merits of these

105 distinctions. It is followed by an examination of the international frameworks governing disaster  
106 response in the Danube basin and Tisza sub-basin, and an analysis of the monitoring and  
107 response to natural disasters and industrial accidents in the basins. The article concludes with a  
108 reflection of how the transition of international policies toward more holistic frameworks for  
109 response might affect the Danube basin and Tisza sub-basin.

## 110 **2 Overview of study area**

111 The Danube River basin covers more than 800,000 km<sup>2</sup> – over 10 percent of continental  
112 Europe – and flows through the territories of 19 countries, with nearly 80 million people residing  
113 within the basin. Today, 14 of the 19 countries, plus the EU, have committed to transboundary  
114 cooperation in protecting the Danube via the Danube River Protection Convention (DRPC), and  
115 work jointly toward the sustainable management of the Danube basin and the implementation of  
116 both the European Union’s Water Framework Directive (WFD) and Floods Directive (EU FD)  
117 (ICPDR, 2015a).

118 Among the tributaries of the Danube River, the Tisza sub-basin has the largest catchment  
119 area, and covers approximately 160,000 km<sup>2</sup> (20 percent of the Danube basin’s area), with  
120 approximately 14 million people (Fig. 1). There exists a distinct socio-economic contrast in the  
121 basin between western and former socialist countries, however, since the end of communism in  
122 the late 1980s, the central and lower Danube has experienced a rapid shift to free market  
123 democracy within the context of increased globalization, privatization, and deregulation. This  
124 has been accompanied by changes in governments and institutions, affecting the continuity of  
125 policies and international arrangements which could potentially impact the international  
126 frameworks countries adhere to.



127

128 **Fig. 1** Map of Danube River basin and Tisza River sub-basin. Source: Authors, using  
 129 data from Eurosat, 2014; ICPDR, 2013; Lehner et al., 2008.

130

131 International measures regulating the Danube were first undertaken in 1882 for flood protection  
 132 and navigation. Dams were constructed within the upper basin for flood mitigation, hydroelectric  
 133 power generation, and regulation of river levels for navigation. The operation of these dams has  
 134 been associated with altering the flow regime of this segment of river and consequently varying  
 135 the ecological disturbance regime within the river and on the floodplain resulting in substantial  
 136 changes in the riverine ecosystem (ICPDR, 2009a). The flow regulation provided by the dams  
 137 and the construction of levees has allowed for the conversion of floodplains and riverine  
 138 wetlands into areas suitable for agricultural and urban development. Today, only 12 small  
 139 reaches (<1 km in length) of the Upper Danube remain relatively untransformed (Schneider,

140 2010, 197). In the Middle and Lower Danube, the river bed has been dredged repeatedly to  
141 maintain a navigable river channel. Along these segments of the Danube River, levees and dams  
142 mitigate or prevent inundation of over 72 percent of the floodplain. The substantial reduction in  
143 Danube's connection with its floodplain combined with wastewater discharge from agricultural  
144 and industrial sources, and increasing levels of pollutants along these river segments, have  
145 substantially altered or damaged the riverine ecosystem and reduced the resilience of urban and  
146 rural communities to large floods, which exceed the protection level of their flood mitigation  
147 measures (Schneider, 2010; UNECE, 2011). The degree of industrial development and amount  
148 of pollution created by the industrial sector varies among Danube countries. In general, pulp and  
149 paper industries represent the largest contributors of pollution, followed by chemical, textile, and  
150 food industries (ICPDR, 2009a).

151 The Tisza headwaters are located in the Carpathian Mountains in Ukraine. From these  
152 headwaters the Tisza River flows southwest across central portions of the great Hungarian Plain  
153 into the Danube River in Serbia (Fig. 1; ICPDR, 2008). Intense, concentrated rainfall and the  
154 steep terrain coupled with deforestation and channelization of many streams result in some of the  
155 most sudden and high-energy flooding in Europe (Nagy et al., 2010). The sudden water level  
156 rises, coupled with the high energy of the flows, often threaten human lives and result in  
157 substantial damage to infrastructure and croplands (ICPDR, 2008).

158 While industrial production has dropped drastically in the Tisza region since the 1990s, a  
159 variety of industries remain, and the legacy of heavily concentrated industrial activities continues  
160 to threaten the surrounding ecosystems. The main industrial regions of the Tisza sub-basin are  
161 located in Romania and Hungary, where the potential for flood damage and losses is also  
162 greatest. Chemical and petrochemical industries (including oil refinery, storage, and transport)

163 are important for both Hungary and Ukraine, and the cellulose and paper, textile, and furniture  
164 industries are also present predominantly in the upper portion of the Tisza in Slovakia, Romania,  
165 and Ukraine (ICPDR, 2011).

166 Mining activities, and the accidental spills of chemical substances, have affected the  
167 aquatic environment and water quality within the Tisza sub-basin, as exemplified by the 2000  
168 Baia Mare and Baia Borsa natech accidents (JEU, 2000). Natech accidents, more broadly termed  
169 environmental emergencies, present significant challenges, as natural events can trigger multiple  
170 and simultaneous accidents in one installation, or depending on the impact of the natural hazard,  
171 in several hazardous facilities at the same time (Krausmann and Baranzini, 2012; UNEP, 2011).  
172 A 2009 assessment identified more than 92 potential sources for industrial and waste deposits;  
173 however, the list does not include abandoned mine sites and their mine tailing dams – only those  
174 from currently operational mines (ICPDR, 2015a). Therefore, the potential risk of accidental  
175 pollution could be substantially higher (ICPDR, 2015a). Furthermore, natech accidents present  
176 additional difficulties as they remain absent from disaster response frameworks (Krausmann,  
177 Cruz, and Salzano, 2017).

### 178 **3 Methodology**

179 The policy and institutional frameworks for monitoring of and responding to natural and  
180 man-made disasters in the Danube and Tisza were examined with a combination of primary and  
181 secondary data collection and analysis. The primary data consisted of semi-structured interviews,  
182 while the secondary data included analysis of the legally binding mechanisms, conventions, and  
183 directives in the region (Table 1). A review of bilateral agreements (Table 2), and of peer-  
184 reviewed publications and white papers on the provision of disaster response within the Danube  
185 basin and Tisza sub-basin highlighted the international laws, policies, and institutions present in



186 the region. Semi-structured interviews were conducted over an eight-month period from January  
 187 to August 2013. This format of interviews was chosen so that the pre-determined set of interview  
 188 questions could be expanded through the natural course of conversation and allow for a more  
 189 thorough understanding of what was initially queried – in particular, each expert interviewed was  
 190 provided with the freedom to express their personal views in their own terms.

191 **Table 1.** List of legally binding mechanisms for the Danube basin and Tisza sub-basin.  
 192

<b>Governing Body</b>	<b>Convention</b>	<b>Type of Instrument</b>	<b>Description of Instrument</b>
UN Economic Commission for Europe	Industrial Accidents Convention	Legally binding for parties to convention.	Determines actions of request for assistance and response for industrial accidents specifically.
European Commission	Water Framework Directive	Legally binding for EU member states, and through Danube Convention for non-EU member states.	Sets basin-level management of water quality and quantity.
European Commission	Floods Directive	Legally binding for EU member states, and through Danube Convention for non-EU member states.	Requires action regarding flood mapping at the basin level.
European Commission	Seveso Directives	Legally binding for EU member states.	Requires corporations to list possible risk of industrial accident, and develop preparedness plans.
European Commission	Civil Protection Mechanism Directive	Legally binding for EU member states.	First EU-wide law to include multiple-hazards in disaster risk strategies.
International Commission for the Protection of the Danube River (ICPDR)	Danube River Protection Convention	Legally binding for Danube member states.	Provides integrated framework for all Danube countries to participate in basin-level management, regardless of EU affiliation.

193  
 194  
 195

197 **Table 2.** List of bilateral agreements within countries in the Danube basin and Tisza sub-basin.

<b>Countries</b>	<b>Transboundary Watercourses</b>	<b>Disasters / Emergencies</b>
Serbia and Montenegro – Hungary	1955**	1955*
Serbia and Montenegro – Romania	1955**	Under Discussion
Austria – Hungary	1956	1959 (Floods Only)
Austria – Slovenia	1956***	1956* (Floods Only)
Hungary – Slovakia	1956*	2014 (Floods Only)
Austria – Czech Republic	1967*	1994 (Floods Only)
Austria – Slovakia	1967*	1994 (Floods Only)
Croatia – Slovenia	No Date	1977*** (Coastal Pollution)
Hungary – Romania	1986	2003 (Floods Only)
Croatia – Hungary	1994	1994 (Floods Only)
Hungary – Slovenia	1994	1994 (Floods Only)
Moldova – Ukraine	1994	-
Ukraine – Slovakia	1995	2000 (Floods Only)
Ukraine – Romania	1997	1952*** (Floods Only)
Hungary – Ukraine	1997	1998 (Floods Only)
Czech Republic – Slovakia	1999	-
Bulgaria – Romania	2004	2004 (Floods Only)
Moldova – Romania	2010	2010 (Floods Only)
Bosnia and Herzegovina – Serbia and Montenegro**	-	2011 (Flood EWS)
Bulgaria – Serbia	Draft	Draft (Floods Only)
Croatia – Serbia	-	-

198 \* Agreement formed with Czechoslovak Socialist Republic

199 \*\* Agreement formed with Yugoslavia

200 \*\*\* Agreement formed with Union of Soviet Socialist Republics

201 - No Information Available

202

203 Seventy-one interviews were conducted in various locations throughout Europe. The

204 interviews took place with experts in the International Commission for the Protection of the

205 Danube River, the expert groups of the International Commission for the Protection of the

206 Danube River (i.e., Tisza group, river basin management, flood protection, and accident  
207 prevention and control), with respondents working at the national ministries, water management  
208 directorates, and non-governmental organizations in the Tisza and Danube countries, as well as  
209 with experts in the European Commission and the United Nations. Those interviewed were  
210 chosen based on their knowledge of and work within the Danube River basin and Tisza sub-  
211 basin. Specifically, all individuals interviewed held positions (as reflected in Table 3) within the  
212 countries of the Danube basin and Tisza sub-basin, and were contacted through the International  
213 Commission for the Protection of the Danube River (ICPDR) expert groups and through a  
214 snowball method whereby one person interviewed would suggest additional people to interview.  
215 Given public roles, the interviews are intentionally left anonymous to ensure candidness in the  
216 responses. Thus, only the type of organization the experts work for is identified – the numbers  
217 appearing in brackets in the table below refer to the interview citations in text; multiple  
218 interviews were conducted within each level of governance indicated (Table 3). The  
219 classification distinguishes between international (global) organization experts, professionals  
220 working in institutions within the Danube basin (regional), and experts working at national  
221 agencies/ministries. The questions focused on how international frameworks affected Danube  
222 basin and Tisza sub-basin policies and laws, and how these were implemented in practice. The  
223 interviews also elicited the opinion of the experts regarding the adequacy of existing  
224 international frameworks and their impacts on policy implementation of disaster monitoring and  
225 response throughout the Danube basin and Tisza sub-basin.<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>2</sup> Questions relevant to international frameworks for disaster response included: (1) What are the respective roles in multilevel governance in regard to response for natural and man-made disasters? (2) To what extent are natural and man-made disasters included in policy frameworks for response; in what context and at what level, and what is the language being used? (3) What gaps exist between policies and practice in regard to response for natural and man-made disasters? (4) What constraints or opportunities exist in including policies for response to natural and man-made disasters; which type would be most effective and at what level?

227 **Table 3.** Organizations from which experts were drawn for interviews.

		228
<b>International</b>	United Nations, United Nations Economic Commission for Europe, and United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP)/UN Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA) Joint Environment Unit [1]	229 230 231 232
<b>Regional</b>	European Commission [2] International Commission for the Protection of the Danube River (ICPDR) and Expert Groups (Tisza Group, River Basin Management, Flood Protection, and Accident Prevention and Control) [3]	233 234 235 236 237
<b>National</b>	National Ministries of Environment, Rural Development, Interior, Environment Agency [4] Water Directorates [5]	238 239 240
<b>Non-State Actors</b>	NGOs [6]	241 242

243 \* Numbers in brackets refer to interview citations in text.

244

245 **4 Distinctions between natural and man-made disasters in policy frameworks**

246

247 The approaches used for describing, limiting, and classifying disasters fundamentally

248 shape the methods for responding to disasters. They determine the solutions utilized, the

249 resources allocated, and the governance frameworks selected by categorizing the types of

250 disaster into either natural or man-made. It is therefore important to recognize the etiology of

251 disaster to understand why the distinctions among the various types of disasters still remain.

252 Natural hazards are naturally occurring physical phenomena, which can include

253 earthquakes, landslides, tsunamis, volcanoes, and floods, with a potential to create losses or

254 dangers to humans (Smith, 2013). If the potential is realized, disasters occur. These disrupt the

255 functioning of societies due to exposure, vulnerability, and risk – leading to human, material,

256 economic and environmental losses and impacts.<sup>3</sup> Natural disasters have historically been

---

<sup>3</sup> Exposure is understood as people, infrastructure and housing, production capacities and other human assets located in hazard-prone areas. Vulnerability is defined as a set of physical, social, economic and environmental factors or processes that increase the susceptibility of an individual, a community, assets or systems to the impacts of hazards. Disaster risk is the potential loss of life, injury, or damaged assets occurring to an individual or community as a function of hazard, exposure and vulnerability (UNISDR, 2015).

257 characterized either (1) as a direct form of punishment from God for the sins of humanity, or (2)  
258 in more recent history as an “act of God” that removed humans from culpability (Rozario, 2007).  
259 However, such a dichotomous view masks the fact that natural disasters are a function of where  
260 people reside and their overall vulnerability, including aging infrastructure, and their  
261 consequences depend on people’s ability to monitor and prepare for these events (Peel and  
262 Fisher, 2016).

263 Industrial and other man-made disasters are traditionally governed and responded to  
264 separately from natural disasters. The fragmented nature of disaster response is a historical  
265 artifact, resulting from the need to address specific types of disasters, in specific regions, or  
266 response modalities. More recently, evidence of increased losses due to disasters (Barredo, 2009;  
267 Cutter and Emrich, 2005), legal barriers to disaster response (Janssen et al., 2009; Venturini,  
268 2012), and the absence of unified response have led to increased attention at a variety of levels  
269 for more integrated international frameworks (IFRC, 2007). However, currently, natural disasters  
270 and industrial and nuclear accidents have established frameworks for response, while natech  
271 accidents are often missing from response programs (OECD, 2015). Natech accidents can lead to  
272 the release of toxic substances, fires, or explosions and result in injuries and fatalities; therefore,  
273 the lack of consideration for natech response mechanisms, planning tools or response programs  
274 can be an external risk source for chemical and nuclear facilities (Krausmann and Baranzini,  
275 2012). Nuclear accidents are an exception, as they are holistically covered by the Convention on  
276 Assistance in the Case of a Nuclear Accident or Radiological Emergency and the Convention on  
277 Early Notification of a Nuclear Accident, which were adopted almost immediately following the  
278 Chernobyl nuclear accident. However, there still remains no similar overarching global  
279 framework for notification or assistance in response to industrial accidents, or for natech

280 accidents more broadly (Bruch et al., 2016). Other disaster frameworks, like the Tampere  
281 Convention, apply only to a single sector or area of relief. Conversely, the ability to provide  
282 disaster response for natural disasters is quite broad and is included in a number of international  
283 frameworks. A question of applicability of agreements arises, however, when a cascading  
284 disaster or a natech occurs and multiple institutions have a mandate for response, but it is unclear  
285 which institution should take the lead in responding or coordinating response efforts (Bruch et  
286 al., 2016).

## 287 **5 Disaster frameworks in the Danube basin and Tisza sub-basin, and their treatment of** 288 **disasters**

289 The Danube and the Tisza have experienced numerous natural and man-made disasters,  
290 including natech accidents (e.g., Baia Mare Cyanide Spill, Hungarian Chemical Accident, and  
291 recent Serbian landslides) (European Commission, 2016). There have been over 40 reported  
292 disasters in the Danube basin between 2000 and 2012, ranging from natechs to earthquakes and  
293 industrial fires. A majority of them involved more than one country at the same time (European  
294 Commission, 2016). However, the frameworks for disaster response at the levels of the United  
295 Nations, the European Union, and those utilized by the ICPDR are restricted to particular types  
296 of disaster – response to flooding is the most advanced throughout the basin, while pollution is  
297 monitored, but does not have the same frameworks for response. Additionally, there remain a  
298 variety of natural and man-made disasters that are not integrated into any type of basin  
299 monitoring or response framework, including fire, and drought.

300 Response to these disasters is governed by a range of global, regional, and national laws,  
301 policies, and soft law instruments, that is, “normative provisions contained in non-binding texts”  
302 (Shelton, 2000, 292). In the Danube basin and Tisza sub-basin, this includes the Industrial

303 Accidents Convention and the Seveso Directive, the Water Framework Directive and the Floods  
304 Directive, as well as treaties and policies developed at the level of the Danube and Tisza. As  
305 such, natural and man-made disasters continue to be treated as distinct and separate issues, their  
306 monitoring and response are managed independently, and consideration for natech accidents is  
307 missing from policy guidance. Here, we discuss some of the issues that have arisen from the  
308 international/global and regional (EU and basin wide) frameworks for response to natural  
309 disasters in the Danube and the Tisza. We consider frameworks in decreasing geographical  
310 scope.

311 At the international level, since there are agencies experienced in particular types of  
312 international disasters which are often without a mandate or capacity for response, the  
313 approaches used fall under the soft law umbrella. For the Danube and the Tisza, in 1994, the  
314 United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) and the UN Department of Humanitarian  
315 Affairs (DHA, the predecessor of OCHA), developed an administrative arrangement through an  
316 exchange of letters (Bruch et al., 2016). The resulting Joint UNEP/UN OCHA Environment Unit  
317 (JEU) plays a leading role in facilitating coordination among international organizations in the  
318 event of natural and man-made disasters, including natech accidents. The JEU has a number of  
319 existing agreements and interface procedures in place with these organizations, in order to  
320 facilitate response. For example, the JEU facilitated international agreements and interface  
321 procedures to aid with response between UN Disaster Assessment and Coordination (UNDAC)  
322 and the EU Civil Protection Mechanism to the 2014 Serbian landslides following Cyclone  
323 Tamara (NERC, 2014). During the 2000 Baia Mare natech accident in the Tisza River sub-basin,  
324 sixteen experts from seven countries deployed for response to the natech accident. The JEU

325 assisted to coordinate response efforts among UNDAC, the European Commission, the Military  
326 Civil Defence Unit, the World Health Organization, and a variety of other actors (JEU, 2000).

327 Also at the international level, response for industrial accidents is provided via the United  
328 Nations Economic Commission for Europe's (UNECE) Industrial Accident Convention. UNECE  
329 applies to land-based, non-military, and non-radiological industrial accidents, and response is  
330 provided through bilateral or multilateral arrangements (UNECE, 2009). If no prior agreements  
331 exist, an affected country can request assistance from other parties through mutual assistance  
332 agreements. However, in these situations, it is the responsibility of the requesting country to  
333 cover all costs, unless otherwise agreed upon among the responding countries (UNECE, 2009). If  
334 an industrial accident occurs as a result of flooding, or other environmental effects, multiple  
335 disaster response frameworks must be triggered, therefore the Convention is not comprehensive  
336 enough to address cascading disasters in a holistic manner.

337 At the regional level, in our study areas, the Danube countries developed the Danube  
338 River Protection Convention (DRPC) in 1994, which is a legally binding instrument that ensures  
339 sustainable management of the Danube River (ICPDR, 1994). Through the ICPDR, the DRPC  
340 requested the ICPDR to coordinate the activities of the EU Water Framework Directive (WFD)  
341 and EU Floods Directive among the Danube member states. The WFD and Floods Directive are  
342 legally binding to members of the European Union, but through the DRPC become legally  
343 binding to all Danube member states, regardless of EU member status. The WFD combines the  
344 monitoring and assessment of water quality in the basin, and the Floods Directive instructs  
345 national authorities to establish flood risk management plans by 2015, linking the objectives of  
346 the WFD and the risk to these objectives from flooding or coastal erosion through the Floods  
347 Directive, and integrating them into basin level activities via the ICPDR. However, because not



348 all countries of the Danube are EU member states, not all measures and outcomes of the WFD  
349 and Floods Directive are implemented equally among the basin countries. Though the Flood  
350 Directive was expected to reduce flood risk, interviewees voiced disappointment regarding the  
351 limitations of integrating disaster risk more broadly, particularly in relation to water quality and  
352 accidental pollution [3]. Thus, the Water Framework Directive and Flood Directive have  
353 substantial policy limitations, as neither of the two directives require the integration of disaster  
354 risk of both floods and accidental pollution.

355         The European Union's Civil Protection Mechanism (EU CPM) is an instrument for  
356 disaster response that protects people, the environment, property, and cultural heritage in the  
357 event of natural or man-made disasters, occurring within or outside of the European Community  
358 (European Commission, 2016). Disasters are monitored internationally through the Emergency  
359 Response Coordination Centre (ERCC) in cooperation with the JEU and with participating  
360 states. The ERCC and JEU interface with a diverse system of response among the Danube basin  
361 countries due to the variety of disasters experienced. Some countries utilize a single Civil  
362 Protection Mechanism, while others rely on multiple parties among Ministries of the Interior,  
363 Ministries of Rural Development, Water Directorates, and a variety of additional local protection  
364 committees [4, 5]. Interviews indicated that not all responders/parties are sufficiently trained, and  
365 many lack managerial or technical capacity to manage specific disasters appropriately [4]. There  
366 is also large compartmentalization of tasks at lower levels – both regional and local – where  
367 integration among the various types of disaster, as well as increased cooperation is needed [2, 3].  
368 Other than the fact that these diverse actors are providing certain types of disaster assistance,  
369 there is nothing uniting them – there is no international or regional disaster response system.  
370 Limitations in funding, technical expertise, and capacity were confirmed in interviews with

371 experts at various levels, who also noted how this leads to uneven implementation of EU  
372 Directives within the basin that can create pockets of vulnerability to both flood risk and risks  
373 from industrial accidents [2, 3, 4]. Experts also expressed the need for formal agreements with  
374 specific language on integrated mapping of cascading disasters, as well as provisions addressing  
375 response to both natural and man-made disasters, particularly if additional grants could be given  
376 from the EU to support these activities [2, 3, 4, 5]. Some interviewees reflected that the regional  
377 Danube Strategy depended on stronger countries helping the weaker ones, but limitations with  
378 funding and capacity are difficult to overcome [2].

379 In the 2015 Annual Report on implementation of the Danube Strategy produced by the  
380 Danube countries, all projects focused on implementation of the Floods Directive. The only  
381 mention of industrial accidents was to reflect the failure to include an updated Inventory of  
382 Potential Accidental Risk Spots along the Danube, which is also discussed in the 2015 Danube  
383 River Basin Management Plan (DRBMP) (EUSDR, 2015; ICPDR, 2015b). Given past issues  
384 with mine tailing collapses and other pollution disasters associated with flooding, the 2015  
385 DRBMP acknowledged the need to update the Inventory of Potential Accidental Risk Spots  
386 promptly (ICPDR, 2015b). Unfortunately, this recommendation from the 2015 DRBMP, and  
387 initially expressed in the first Danube River Basin Management Plan of 2009, has yet to be  
388 realized.

389 The Danube River Protection Convention is supplemented by a series of non-binding  
390 Memoranda of Understanding (MOU) referred to as the Danube Declarations, first agreed upon  
391 in 2004, revised in 2010, and updated in 2016. Within this umbrella, the Danube River basin  
392 countries engage currently in two separate systems: the Emergency Flood Alert System  
393 (associated with the EU) for flood monitoring, and the Principal International Alert Centres

394 (PIACs) of the Danube Accident Emergency Warning System (Danube AEWS, not associated  
395 with EU institutions) to monitor pollution from man-made accidents. These two separate systems  
396 well illustrate the issues associated with separate response mechanisms and institutional  
397 arrangements. The Emergency Flood Alert System has been functioning since 2003 at the Joint  
398 Research Centre, a Directorate General of the European Commission, and works in collaboration  
399 with the national authorities of the member states. Note that a MOU has been signed with  
400 several, but not all of the Danube countries. The Emergency Flood Alert System provides  
401 national authorities the ability to develop response measures, including opening temporary flood  
402 retention areas, building temporary flood protection structures such as sandbag walls, and  
403 adopting civil protection measures such as closing down water supply systems (ICPDR, 2009b).  
404 The MOU does not include tributaries draining areas less than 4,000 km<sup>2</sup>, therefore the  
405 Emergency Flood Alert System neither addresses flood risks in the Tisza, nor in certain basin  
406 countries where significant flood concerns arise, such as Ukraine [1].

407         The Principal International Alert Centres (PIACs) of the Danube Accident Emergency  
408 Warning System monitor accidental water pollution incidents in the Danube River basin. Unlike  
409 the Emergency Flood Alert System, which is linked to monitoring conducted by the European  
410 Commission and is transmitted to national authorities (without involving the ICPDR in the  
411 monitoring process), the Danube AEWS system is managed by the ICPDR, but does not involve  
412 the European Commission. While all contracting parties of the DRPC cooperate with the Danube  
413 AEWS, they also are expected to have national policies regarding response to accidental  
414 pollution in the Danube that connects to the Principal International Alert Centres. The PIACs are  
415 expected to operate on a 24-hour basis within each country, and are in charge of all international  
416 communications. When a message of a potentially serious accidental pollution is received, the

417 PIAC is responsible for communicating the accident to the ICPDR, it decides whether it is  
418 necessary to notify downstream countries and to engage experts to assess the impacts of the  
419 pollution, and it determines which response activities need to be taken at the national level  
420 (ICPDR, 2014). Challenges to the monitoring capabilities of the Danube AEWS include  
421 territorial gaps (several areas along the Danube and Tisza are not monitored) [3, 4, 5], a limited  
422 number of bilateral agreements for response in case the accident exceeds national capacity  
423 (Table 2), and a non-comprehensive list of man-made accidents being monitored. The failure to  
424 monitor pollution events in a consistent and effective manner creates difficulties for downstream  
425 countries [4]. This is particularly problematic in the Tisza countries where the lack of monitoring  
426 of both flood and accidental pollution events, combined with limited bilateral agreements, raises  
427 concern among several countries [4, 5].

428         Bilateral agreements are also in place to address transboundary flood measures among  
429 Danube countries and, to a smaller extent, to respond to man-made disasters. Bulgaria, Moldova,  
430 Romania, Serbia, and Ukraine are parties to the DRPC, but have separately engaged in the BSEC  
431 Agreement on Response to Natural and Man-made disasters (Bruch et al., 2016). Furthermore,  
432 the Danube Delta countries (Moldova, Romania, and Ukraine) are working together with the  
433 UNECE Industrial Accidents Convention due to the large concentration of oil-related industries  
434 in the area in order to improve hazard management, increase transboundary cooperation, and  
435 strengthen operational response [1].

## 436 **6 Building holistic approaches for disaster response**

437         While “natural” disasters may be a commonly used term, no disaster can be regarded as  
438 entirely natural if people have the capacity to avoid, mitigate, or reduce the risk from it (Picard,  
439 2016). Generally, the vulnerability to lives and livelihoods can be reduced with disaster

440 preparedness and response, such as the proper placement, function, and use of early warning  
441 systems, and mitigation activities. Additional shifts in what is considered a natural disaster have  
442 come from the acknowledgement of the anthropogenic influences on natural disasters. Besides  
443 climate change, there are also induced earthquakes occurring as a result of slipping faults from  
444 fluid injection in hydraulic fracturing (Legere, 2016), landslides from subsidence and increased  
445 land use activities including urbanization (Smith, 2013), and pandemics from deforestation and  
446 habitat conversion (Greger, 2007), to name a few.

447 Human, economic, and environmental losses can be worse in highly populated, urbanized  
448 areas; with increased urbanization and climate change, these areas are placed at increased risk to  
449 natural and man-made hazards (Bruch and Goldman, 2012; Huppert and Sparks, 2006). This is  
450 especially true for natech accidents and other cascading disasters, since simultaneous response  
451 efforts are required to attend to the industrial, chemical, or technological accidents as well as the  
452 triggering natural disaster. The overlap from numerous responders, the activation of numerous –  
453 and disparate – response frameworks, and the difficulties in integrating the separate response  
454 activities make fragmented frameworks of disaster response costly and ineffective. Therefore,  
455 expanded definitions that reflect multiple types of disaster, as well as improved comprehensive  
456 response frameworks, are needed in order to recognize that many disasters can arise from  
457 multiple, potentially co-located hazards, to take the necessary measures to reduce the risks of  
458 those hazards and to holistically address their impacts. Otherwise, piecemeal, uncoordinated  
459 responses may result in duplication of costs and activities and, more importantly, overlooked  
460 health and environmental consequences.

461 The process of developing a holistic approach to natural and man-made disasters (i.e.,  
462 adopting a multi-hazard approach) can further be integrated into other areas of the disaster cycle,

463 including planning, preparedness, response, and recovery. These approaches may be  
464 implemented at the global, regional, bilateral, or national levels. By adopting a multi-hazard  
465 framework for disaster response, the expertise and practices of responders can be increased to  
466 include improved modeling and assessment approaches, response methodologies and tools, and  
467 enhanced measures to prevent or mitigate the consequences from natech accidents (Krausmann,  
468 Cruz, and Salzano, 2017).

469 The review of legal and policy frameworks and interviews reflected that while some  
470 preparedness activities take place regarding flood hazard, this is not the case for accidental  
471 pollution (at least in the Danube and Tisza context), and natech accidents are absent in the  
472 framework language [2, 3, 4, 5, 6] (European Commission, 2010; ICPDR, 2015a). Monitoring  
473 gaps are reported along the length of both the Danube and the Tisza for both flooding and  
474 accidental pollution, and these gaps should be corrected in future planning efforts. The Tisza  
475 sub-basin and smaller water bodies are beyond the scope of the WFD, consequently, no holistic  
476 monitoring or response measures are in place; regional agreements at the basin or sub-basin level  
477 could aid in developing improved response frameworks [2, 3] (McClain et al., 2016).

478 Improving the mapping of hazards to reflect not only flood hazard, but also risks from  
479 man-made disasters and natech events – and integrating these risks into a comprehensive map of  
480 vulnerability to disaster – would provide a foundation for more holistic policies and  
481 programming to manage disaster risks. It would also aid in improving measures for preparedness  
482 at the national and local levels. Interviews indicate that harmonized approaches to natural and  
483 man-made disasters offer additional opportunities to strengthen capacity among transboundary  
484 actors [1, 4].

485           In order to avoid fragmentation among response to natural and man-made disasters, and  
486 empower, guide, and facilitate the institutional arrangements and mandates necessary to improve  
487 these activities, the legal and policy frameworks need to provide the necessary mandates and  
488 procedures – this is accomplished by incorporating an integrated, multi-hazard approach to  
489 disaster response. Though this is can be challenging, there is a growing literature on the  
490 development of the technical and policy tools necessary (Kappes et. al., 2012; Holub and Fuchs,  
491 2009), and on how to address fairness considerations (Thaler and Hartmann, 2016). There are  
492 multiple examples of more holistic and comprehensive approaches being used in the EU  
493 countries (Greiving et al. 2012; Thaler et al., 2016). Such approaches emphasize stakeholder  
494 involvement and adaptive management, and could form a blueprint for efforts in the Danube and  
495 the Tisza.

496           With regard to the Danube basin specifically, a more holistic approach that accounts for  
497 the specific challenges of the basin could be implemented in a variety of ways. The Danube  
498 River Protection Convention has not been updated or amended since it was originally drafted in  
499 1994, but it unites all countries of the Danube basin and its tributaries under a formal, legal  
500 agreement. Cooperation among Danube countries was generally reported as good [3]; therefore,  
501 continuing the use of the ICPDR and its expert groups as a mechanism to gain cooperation  
502 among the countries on a regional framework for improving monitoring and response could be  
503 considered [3, 4, 5]. Another possibility would be to expand the numerous bilateral agreements  
504 among the Danube and Tisza countries regarding flooding to also include man-made disasters  
505 and natech events. Working on agreements at a regional level improves communication, breaks  
506 down barriers (particularly in transboundary situations), and aids in the development of a  
507 common legal language among participating parties [1, 2].

508 Updating conventions and other hard law (e.g., legal frameworks) can be difficult;  
509 countries are sometimes unwilling to adopt binding obligations, particularly in the face of  
510 uncertainty (e.g., climate change), or when they feel there might be a need to act quickly to  
511 changing circumstances. Soft law (e.g., policies and guidelines) is often argued as a more  
512 flexible tool. In this regard, updating the Danube Declaration and the corresponding Tisza MOUs  
513 can provide particularly viable options. Through the Declarations and MOUs, the Danube or  
514 Tisza countries could decide whether to engage in a particular action through a separate strategy,  
515 or pilot project, or whether to incorporate the issue into the broader basin or sub-basin  
516 management plan (e.g., improvement of accidental pollution and flood monitoring, integrated  
517 accidental pollution and flood maps). Improved vertical and horizontal cooperation was a need  
518 identified by several interviewees, particularly in regard to the risks posed from man-made  
519 accidents and how to respond to these accidents [4, 5].

## 520 **7 Conclusions**

521  
522 The historic distinction between natural and man-made disasters is outdated,  
523 counterproductive, and ultimately flawed. The recognition of this has resulted in the need to  
524 address disasters holistically, regardless of the contributing causes and aggravating factors. This  
525 trend is noted in the Sendai Framework, which adopts a multi-hazard risk approach and provides  
526 tools for responding to disasters that are both natural and man-made (UNISDR, 2015).

527 The Danube and Tisza countries have already been affected multiple times by  
528 transboundary natural and man-made disasters and natech accidents. Nevertheless, though  
529 approaches for integrating holistic frameworks for disaster response are recognized at multiple  
530 levels, implementation within the Danube basin and Tisza sub-basin remains distinct and  
531 fragmented. While the current policy frameworks do not address monitoring and response



532 comprehensively across types of disasters, the basin countries have several options for more  
533 integrated response. A key opportunity is the development or amendment of agreements  
534 governing response to natural and man-made disasters. This could be negotiated through updates  
535 to the Danube Convention or through bilateral treaties between the basin countries. Improving  
536 planning and preparedness through more integrated monitoring and mapping of natural and man-  
537 made disasters, such as combining the flood risk areas with the Inventory of Potential Accidental  
538 Risk Spots, could be elaborated upon in Declarations and MOUs at the basin and sub-basin  
539 levels. Such negotiations and the resulting increased coordination will become even more critical  
540 as climate change is likely to increase the frequency and severity of extreme events in the  
541 foreseeable future.

#### 542 **Acknowledgements**

543 This material is based upon work supported by the United States' National Science  
544 Foundation under Grant No. 0903510. Any opinions expressed here are those of the authors and  
545 do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

546 We thank the Southern Illinois University IGERT Program in Watershed Science and  
547 Policy and associated colleagues for their support. The authors are also grateful for the  
548 suggestions and comments of Professor Cindy Buys. We additionally thank the International  
549 Commission for the Protection of the Danube River (ICPDR) for assisting in obtaining data, and  
550 for hosting Shanna while she conducted her research.

#### 551 552 **References**

553  
554 Aitsi-Selmi, A., and Murray, V. 2016. The Chernobyl Disaster and Beyond: Implications of the  
555 Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015-2030. *PLOS Medicine* 13(4): 1-4.  
556  
557 ASEAN (Association of South East Asian Nations). 2010. ASEAN Agreement on Disaster  
558 Management and Emergency Response: Work Programme 2010-2015. Jakarta: ASEAN.

559 [http://www.asean.org/wp-](http://www.asean.org/wp-content/uploads/images/resources/ASEAN%20Publication/2013%20(12.%20Dec)%20-%20AADMER%20Work%20Programme%20(4th%20Reprint).pdf)  
560 [content/uploads/images/resources/ASEAN%20Publication/2013%20\(12.%20Dec\)%20-](http://www.asean.org/wp-content/uploads/images/resources/ASEAN%20Publication/2013%20(12.%20Dec)%20-%20AADMER%20Work%20Programme%20(4th%20Reprint).pdf)  
561 [%20AADMER%20Work%20Programme%20\(4th%20Reprint\).pdf.](http://www.asean.org/wp-content/uploads/images/resources/ASEAN%20Publication/2013%20(12.%20Dec)%20-%20AADMER%20Work%20Programme%20(4th%20Reprint).pdf)  
562  
563 Barredo, J.I., 2009. Normalised flood losses in Europe: 1970–2006. *Natural Hazards and Earth*  
564 *System Sciences*, 9(1): 97-104.  
565  
566 BSEC (Black Sea Economic Cooperation). 1998. Agreement among the Governments of the  
567 Participating States of the Black Sea Economic Cooperation (BSEC) on Collaboration in  
568 Emergency Assistance and Emergency Response to Natural and Man-Made Disasters.  
569 [http://www.bsec-](http://www.bsec-organization.org/documents/LegalDocuments/agreementmou/agr4/Documents/Emergencyagreement%20071116.pdf)  
570 [organization.org/documents/LegalDocuments/agreementmou/agr4/Documents/Emergen](http://www.bsec-organization.org/documents/LegalDocuments/agreementmou/agr4/Documents/Emergencyagreement%20071116.pdf)  
571 [cyagreement%20071116.pdf.](http://www.bsec-organization.org/documents/LegalDocuments/agreementmou/agr4/Documents/Emergencyagreement%20071116.pdf)  
572  
573 Bruch, C., and Goldman, L. 2012. Keeping up with Megatrends: the implications of climate  
574 change and urbanization for environmental emergency preparedness and response. Office  
575 for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs, Joint UNEP/OCHA Environment Unit,  
576 Emergency Services Branch, Geneva, Switzerland.  
577  
578 Bruch, C., Nijenhuis, R., and McClain, S.N. 2016. International Frameworks Governing  
579 Environmental Emergency Preparedness and Response: An Assessment of Approaches.  
580 In *The Role of International Environmental Law in Reducing Disaster Risk*, Jacqueline  
581 Peel & David Fisher eds. Leiden: Brill Nijhoff.  
582  
583 Cutter, S. L., & Emrich, C.T. 2005. Are natural hazards and disaster losses in the U.S.  
584 increasing? *Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union*, 86(41): 381-389.  
585  
586 European Commission. 2010. Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament,  
587 the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee, and the Committee of the  
588 Regions: European Strategy for the Danube Region. COM (2010) 715 Final.  
589  
590 European Commission. 2016. EU Civil Protection Mechanism. 2 July.  
591 [http://ec.europa.eu/echo/what/civil-protection/mechanism\\_en](http://ec.europa.eu/echo/what/civil-protection/mechanism_en).  
592  
593 EUROSATA. 2014. Countries, 2014 - Administrative Units – Dataset.  
594 <https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/fpfis/wikis/x/vQXOB>.  
595  
596 EUSDR (European Union Strategy for the Danube Region). 2015. Danube Region Strategy  
597 Priority Area 5: To Manage Environmental Risks. Coordinated by Hungary and  
598 Romania. June.  
599  
600 Fisher, D. 2008. The Law of International Disaster Response: Overview and Ramifications.  
601 *International Law Studies* 83: 293-320.  
602  
603 Greger, M. 2007. The Human/Animal Interface: Emergence and Resurgence of Zoonotic  
604 Infectious Diseases. *Critical Reviews in Microbiology* 33: 243-299.

605  
606 Grieving, S., Pratzler –Wanczura, S. Sapountzaki, K., Ferri, F., Grifoni, P., Firus, K., and  
607 Xanthopoulos, G. 2012. Linking the actors and policies throughout the management cycle  
608 by “Agreement on Objectives” – a new output-oriented approach. *Natural Hazards and*  
609 *Earth Systems Sciences* 12: 1085-1107.  
610  
611 Holub, M., and Fuchs, S. 2009. Mitigating mountain hazards in Austria – legislation, risk  
612 transfer, and awareness building. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences* 9(2): 523-  
613 537.  
614  
615 Huppert, H.E., and Sparks, R.S.J. 2007. Extreme Natural Hazards: Population Growth,  
616 Globalization and Environmental Change. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal*  
617 *Society* 364: 1875-1888.  
618  
619 ICPDR (International Commission for the Protection of the Danube River). 1994. Danube River  
620 Protection Convention. Vienna: ICPDR.  
621 <https://www.icpdr.org/main/sites/default/files/DRPC%20English%20ver.pdf>.  
622  
623 ICPDR (International Commission for the Protection of the Danube River). 2008a. Analysis of  
624 the Tisza River Basin 2007. Vienna: ICPDR.  
625 [http://www.icpdr.org/main/sites/default/files/Tisza\\_RB\\_Analysis\\_2007.pdf](http://www.icpdr.org/main/sites/default/files/Tisza_RB_Analysis_2007.pdf).  
626  
627 ICPDR (International Commission for the Protection of the Danube River). 2009a. The Danube  
628 River Basin District Management Plan: Part A- Basin-wide Overview. Vienna: ICPDR.  
629 [http://www.icpdr.org/main/sites/default/files/DRBM\\_Plan\\_2009.pdf](http://www.icpdr.org/main/sites/default/files/DRBM_Plan_2009.pdf).  
630  
631 ICPDR (International Commission for the Protection of the Danube River). 2009b. Assessment  
632 of Flood Monitoring and Forecasting in the Danube River Basin. Vienna: ICPDR.  
633 [http://www.icpdr.org/main/sites/default/files/OM-12%20-](http://www.icpdr.org/main/sites/default/files/OM-12%20-%203.6%20ASSESSMENTof%20Flood%20Monitoring%20FINAL.pdf)  
634 [%203.6%20ASSESSMENTof%20Flood%20Monitoring%20FINAL.pdf](http://www.icpdr.org/main/sites/default/files/OM-12%20-%203.6%20ASSESSMENTof%20Flood%20Monitoring%20FINAL.pdf).  
635  
636 ICPDR (International Commission for the Protection of the Danube River). 2010. New  
637 International System for Early Flood Warning in Danube River Basin Launched. March.  
638 [https://www.icpdr.org/main/sites/default/files/nodes/documents/080310\\_efas\\_pr\\_final\\_ic](https://www.icpdr.org/main/sites/default/files/nodes/documents/080310_efas_pr_final_icpdr.pdf)  
639 [pdr.pdf](https://www.icpdr.org/main/sites/default/files/nodes/documents/080310_efas_pr_final_icpdr.pdf).  
640  
641 ICPDR (International Commission for the Protection of the Danube River). 2011. Memorandum  
642 of Understanding: Towards the Implementation of the Integrated Tisza River Basin  
643 Management Plan Supporting the Sustainable Development of the Region. Vienna:  
644 ICPDR.  
645  
646 ICPDR (International Commission for the Protection of the Danube River). 2014. International  
647 Operations Manual for PIACs of the Danube AEWS. Vienna: ICPDR.  
648 [http://www.icpdr.org/main/sites/default/files/nodes/documents/aews\\_manual\\_2014\\_final](http://www.icpdr.org/main/sites/default/files/nodes/documents/aews_manual_2014_final.pdf)  
649 [pdf](http://www.icpdr.org/main/sites/default/files/nodes/documents/aews_manual_2014_final.pdf).  
650

651 ICPDR (International Commission for the Protection of the Danube River). 2015a. The Danube  
652 River Basin District Management Plan – Update 2015. Vienna: ICPDR.  
653 <https://www.icpdr.org/main/sites/default/files/nodes/documents/dr bmp-update2015.pdf>.  
654

655 ICPDR (International Commission for the Protection of the Danube River). 2015b. Flood Risk  
656 Management Plan for the Danube River Basin District. Vienna: ICPDR.  
657 [https://www.icpdr.org/main/sites/default/files/nodes/documents/1stdfrmp-final\\_1.pdf](https://www.icpdr.org/main/sites/default/files/nodes/documents/1stdfrmp-final_1.pdf).  
658

659 IFRC (International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies). 2007. Law and Legal  
660 Issues in International Disaster Response: A Desk Study. Geneva: IFRC.  
661

662 Janssen, M., Lee, J., Bharosa, N. and Cresswell, A., 2010. Advances in multi-agency disaster  
663 management: Key elements in disaster research. *Information Systems Frontiers*, 12(1):1-  
664 7.  
665

666 JEU (Joint United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP)/Office for the Coordination of  
667 Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA) Environment Unit). 2000. Cyanide Spill at Baia Mare  
668 Romania: Spill of Liquid and Suspended Waste at the Aurul S.A. Retreatment Plant.  
669 Geneva: OCHA.  
670

671 Kappes, M., Keiler, M., von Elverfeldt, K., and Glade, T. 2012. Challenges of analyzing  
672 multihazard risk: A review. *Natural Hazards* 64: 1925-1958.  
673

674 Krausmann, E., A.M. Cruz, and E. Salzano. 2017. Natech Risk Assessment and Management:  
675 Reducing the Risks of Natural-hazard Impact on Hazardous Installations. Amsterdam:  
676 Elsevier.  
677

678 Krausmann, E., and Baranzini, D. 2012. Natech Risk Reduction in the European Union. *Journal*  
679 *of Risk Research* 15(8): 1027-1047.  
680

681 Legere, L. 2016. State Seismic Network Helps Tell Fracking Quakes from Natural Ones.  
682 *Pittsburgh Post-Gazette*. June 26. [http://powersource.post-](http://powersource.post-gazette.com/powersource/policy-powersource/2016/06/26/State-seismic-network-helps-tell-fracking-quakes-from-natural-ones/stories/201606210014)  
683 [gazette.com/powersource/policy-powersource/2016/06/26/State-seismic-network-helps-](http://powersource.post-gazette.com/powersource/policy-powersource/2016/06/26/State-seismic-network-helps-tell-fracking-quakes-from-natural-ones/stories/201606210014)  
684 [tell-fracking-quakes-from-natural-ones/stories/201606210014](http://powersource.post-gazette.com/powersource/policy-powersource/2016/06/26/State-seismic-network-helps-tell-fracking-quakes-from-natural-ones/stories/201606210014).  
685

686 Lehner, B., Verdin, K., Jarvis, A. 2008. New global hydrography derived from spaceborne  
687 elevation data. *Eos, Transactions, AGU*, 89(10): 93-94.  
688

689 McClain, S.N., Bruch, C., and Secchi, S. 2016. Adaptation in the Tisza: Innovation and  
690 Tribulation at the Sub-basin Level. *Water International* 0: 1-23.  
691

692 Nagy, I., Ligetvári, F., and Schweitzer, F. 2010. Tisza River Valley: Future Prospects.  
693 *Hungarian Geographical Bulletin* 59(4): 361-370.  
694

695 NERC (Natural Environmental Research Council). 2014. UNDAC Landslide Advisory Visit to  
696 Serbia June 2014. Open Report IR/14/043. P. Hobbs Ed. Keyworth: British Geological  
697 Survey.

698

699 OECD (Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development). 2015. Addendum No. 2 to  
700 the OECD Guiding Principles for Chemical Accident Prevention, Preparedness, and  
701 Response (2<sup>nd</sup> Ed.) to Address Natural Hazards Triggering Technological Accidents  
702 (Natechs).  
703 [http://www.oecd.org/officialdocuments/publicdisplaydocumentpdf/?cote=en/v/jm/mono\(2](http://www.oecd.org/officialdocuments/publicdisplaydocumentpdf/?cote=en/v/jm/mono(2015)1&doclanguage=en)  
704 [015\)1&doclanguage=en](http://www.oecd.org/officialdocuments/publicdisplaydocumentpdf/?cote=en/v/jm/mono(2015)1&doclanguage=en).

705

706 Peel, J., and D. Fisher. 2016. International Law at the Intersection of Environmental Protection  
707 and Disaster Risk Reduction. In *The Role of International Environmental Law in*  
708 *Reducing Disaster Risk*, Jacqueline Peel & David Fisher eds. Leiden: Brill Nijhoff.

709

710 Pescaroli, G., and D. Alexander. 2015. A definition of cascading disasters and cascading effects:  
711 Going beyond the “toppling dominos” metaphor. *Planet at Risk* 2(3): 58-67.

712

713 Picard, M. 2016. Water Treaty Regimes as a Vehicle for Cooperation to Reduce Water-Related  
714 Disaster Risk: The Case of Southern Africa and the Zambesi Basin. In *The Role of*  
715 *International Environmental Law in Reducing Disaster Risk*, Jacqueline Peel & David  
716 Fisher eds. Leiden: Brill Nijhoff.

717

718 Rozario, K. 2007. *The Culture of Calamity: Disaster & the Making of Modern America*.  
719 Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

720

721 Schneider, E. 2010. Floodplain Restoration of Large European Rivers, with Examples from the  
722 Rhine and the Danube. In *Restoration of Lakes, Streams, Floodplains, and Bogs in*  
723 *Europe: Principles and Case Studies*, 185–223. USA: Springer Science.

724

725 Shelton, D. ed. 2000. *Commitment and Compliance: The Role of Non-binding Norms in the*  
726 *International Legal System*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

727

728 Smith, K. 2013. *Environmental Hazards: Assessing Risk and Reducing Hazard*. New York:  
729 Routledge.

730

731 Sun, L.G. 2016. Climate Change and the Narrative of Disaster. In *The Role of International*  
732 *Environmental Law in Reducing Disaster Risk*, Jacqueline Peel & David Fisher eds.  
733 Leiden: Brill Nijhoff.

734

735 Swiss Re. 2016. Natural Catastrophes and Man-Made Disasters in 2015: Asia Suffers Substantial  
736 Losses. Sigma Report No 1/2016. Zurich: Swiss Re.  
737 [http://media.swissre.com/documents/sigma1\\_2016\\_en.pdf](http://media.swissre.com/documents/sigma1_2016_en.pdf).

738

739 Thaler, T., and Hartmann, T. 2016. Justice and flood risk management: reflecting on different  
740 approaches to distribute and allocate flood risk management in Europe, *Natural Hazards*.  
741 83(1): 129-147.  
742

743 Thaler, T. A., Priest, S.J., and Fuchs, S. 2016. Evolving inter-regional co-operation in flood risk  
744 management: distances and types of partnership approaches in Austria." *Regional*  
745 *Environmental Change* 16(3): 841-853.  
746

747 UNECE (United Nations Economic Commission for Europe). 2009. Guidance on Water and  
748 Adaptation to Climate Change. Geneva: United Nations.  
749

750 UNECE (United Nations Economic Commission for Europe). 2011. Second Assessment of  
751 Transboundary Rivers, Lakes and Groundwaters. New York and Geneva: UNECE.  
752

753 UNEP (United Nations Environment Programme). 2011. Enhanced Coordination Across the  
754 United Nations System, Including the Environment Management Group. Twenty-Sixth  
755 Session. UNEP/GC.26/15.  
756

757 UNISDR (United Nations Institute for Disaster Reduction). 2015. Sendai Framework for  
758 Disaster Risk Reduction: 2015-2030. Geneva: UNISDR.  
759

760 Venturini G. (2012) International Disaster Response Law in Relation to Other Branches of  
761 International Law. In: de Guttery A., Gestri M., Venturini G. (eds) International Disaster  
762 Response Law. T.M.C. Asser Press, The Hague, The Netherlands.  
763  
764  
765