1 **What Does Nature Have to Do with It?**
2 **Reconsidering Distinctions in International Disaster Response Frameworks in the Danube**
3 **Basin**

5 Shanna N. McClain[1], Carl Bruch[2], Silvia Secchi[1, 3], Jonathan W.F. Remo[3]
6
7 [1]Environmental Resources and Policy, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, USA
8 [2]Environmental Law Institute, Washington DC, USA
9 [3]Department of Geography and Environmental Resources, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, USA
10
11 *Correspondence to*: Shanna N. McClain (shannamcclain@siu.edu)

13 **Abstract**

15 This article examines the policy and institutional frameworks for response to ~~and~~ man-made

16 disasters occurring in the Danube basin and the Tisza sub-basin. Response to these types of

17 incidents has historically been managed separately, as has the monitoring of these types of

18 incidents. Given policy distinctions in response to natural and man-made disasters, we discuss

19 whether the distinctions remain functional given recent international trends toward holistic

20 response to both natural and man-made disasters. We suggest that these distinctions are

21 counterproductive, outdated, and ultimately flawed and conclude with a reflection of the lessons

22 learned, and propose an integrated framework in the Danube basin and Tisza sub-basin.

24 **Keywords**: International Disaster Response Frameworks; Natural Disasters; Man-made
25 Accidents; Industrial Accidents; Natech Accidents; Danube River basin; Tisza River Sub-basin
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

1 Introduction

41  What are the benefits of maintaining the distinction between natural and man-made

42 disasters? What are the consequences of eliminating this distinction? When a disaster occurs,

43 local and national capacities can be overwhelmed, often triggering a request for external,

44 international assistance. The actors engaged in disaster response have historically been

45 determined by the nature of the disaster (i.e., industrial accidents, nuclear accidents, marine oil

46 spills); but with growing recognition that anthropogenic climate change is driving more extreme,

47 and sometimes cascading events (e.g., where the effects of disasters are multiplied, or where they

48 are composite, or concurrent) that require complex and often overlapping types of response, the

49 question of eliminating this dichotomy is brought to the forefront.

50  In Europe, natural and man-made disasters combined caused total losses of US\$ 13

51 billion in 2015 of which only US\$ 6 billion were insured; the predominant losses came from

52 flood events (Swiss Re, 2016). Flooding and pollution are considered to be the primary

53 transboundary pressures of the Danube River basin; however, a number of other man-made

54 accidents occurred in the region (ICPDR, 2015a).

55  In 2000, the Baia Mare and Baia Borsa mine-tailing pond failures mobilized

56 approximately 100,000 m³ of metal-contaminated water into the Tisza River, eventually

57 polluting the Danube River and Black Sea. Since the industrial accidents occurred originally as a

58 result of significant rainfall and flooding, these events are an example of what are commonly

59 referred to as natech accidents, technological accidents triggered by natural disasters. In 2010, an

60 industrial accident occurred in the Hungarian portion of the Danube River when a dam

61 containing alkaline red sludge collapsed, releasing 1.5 million m³ of sludge into the surrounding

62 land (approximately 4000 hectares) and waterways (including Kolontár, Torna Creek, and the

63   Danube River), killing 10 people and injuring several hundred more (ICPDR, 2010). In 2014,

64   following Cyclone Tamara, over 1,000 landslide events occurred in Serbia as well as significant

65   flooding, resulting in damage to properties and infrastructure and the inundation of agricultural

66   land. Due to concern over possible breaches in infrastructure to mine tailing dams in the

67   surrounding area, and the harmful effects to human health, technical experts investigated mining

68   sites and provided recommendations for local evacuations (NERC, 2014). In all three disasters,

69   the need for disaster response exceeded the capacity of national actors; therefore, international

70   response involved the United Nations, the European Commission, and various other international

71   organizations.

72   While international humanitarian law is generally well defined, the law of international

73   disaster response is still incomplete (Fisher, 2008). Historically, a distinction has been drawn

74   between the scope of natural disasters and man-made disasters; however, this distinction is

75   absent from the 2015 Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction, which adopts a multi-

76   hazard risk approach providing management tools for disasters that are both natural and man-

77   made (UNISDR, 2015). The European Union's disaster response framework is also holistic and

78   includes natural and man-made disasters, and some multilateral sub-regional agreements are also

79   taking similar approaches, such as those adopted by the Association of South East Asian Nations

80   (ASEAN) and the Baltic Sea Economic Cooperation (BSEC).

81   With international policies starting to shift toward more holistic frameworks of response

82   that incorporate both natural and man-made disasters, this article explores what this trend will

83   mean for regional institutions in the Danube basin and Tisza sub-basin, whose policy

84   frameworks for monitoring and response continue to distinguish between types of disasters.

85   This article begins with an overview of the study area and a description of the methodology.

86   Next is a discussion of the distinctions between natural disasters and industrial accidents – how

87   and why they have been treated differently and how recent developments in international law and

88   practice are raising questions about the merits of these distinctions. It is followed by an

89   examination of the international frameworks governing disaster response in the Danube basin

90   and Tisza sub-basin. Subsequently, the differences in how natural disasters and industrial

91   accidents are monitored, and how they are responded to, are explored. The article discusses the

92   transition of international policies toward more holistic frameworks for response, and concludes

93   with a reflection of how this might affect the Danube basin and Tisza sub-basin.

94   **2 Overview of study area and methodology**

95   The Danube River basin covers more than 800,000 km² – over 10 percent of continental

96   Europe – and flows through the territories of 19 countries with nearly 80 million people residing

97   within the basin. Today, 14 of the 19 countries, plus the EU, have committed to transboundary

98   cooperation in protecting the Danube via the Danube River Protection Convention (DRPC), and

99   work jointly toward the sustainable management of the Danube basin and the implementation of

100   both the European Union's Water Framework Directive (WFD) and Floods Directive (EU FD)

101   (ICPDR 2015a). Among the tributaries of the Danube River, the Tisza sub-basin has the largest

102   catchment area, and covers approximately 160,000 km² (20 percent of the Danube basin's area),

103   with approximately 14 million people (Fig. 1). There exists a distinct socio-economic contrast in

104   the basin between western and former socialist countries, and since the end of communism in the

105   late 1980s, the central and lower Danube has experienced a rapid shift to free market democracy

106   within the context of increased globalization, privatization, and deregulation. This has led to

107   rural decline as well as increased poverty, unemployment, and depopulation (WWF, 2003).

108   Additionally, as a result of the continuing conflict in Syria and neighboring states, countries in

109   the Danube and throughout Europe are experiencing a significant increase in population from

110   refugees, displaced persons, and other migrants who are escaping persecution, conflict, and

111   poverty, and are settling in empty buildings, hotels, or refugee camps that have become ad hoc

112   shelters (UNHCR, 2016)

113   **Fig. 1** Map of Danube River basin and Tisza River sub-basin.

114

115   The headwaters of the Danube are located in the Black Forest of Germany. After leaving

116   the Black Forest the Danube flows generally south-east through Central and Eastern Europe to

117   the Black Sea in eastern Romania (Fig. 1; ICPDR, 2009a). International measures regulating the

118   Danube were first undertaken in 1882 for flood protection and navigation. Dams were

119   constructed within the upper Danube basin for flood mitigation, hydroelectric power generation,

120   and regulation of river levels for navigation. The operation of these dams for these services has

121   been attributed with altering the flow regime of this segment of river and consequently varying

122   the ecological disturbance regime within the river and on the floodplain resulting is substantial

123   changes in the riverine ecosystem. The flow regulation provided by the dams and the

124   construction of levees has allowed for the conversion of floodplains and riverine wetlands into

125   area suitable for agricultural and urban development. Today only 12 small reaches (<1 km in

126   length) of the Upper Danube relatively remain untransformed (Schneider, 2010). In the Middle

127   and Lower Danube, the river bed has been dredged repeatedly to maintain a navigable river

128   channel. Along these segments of the Danube River, levees and dams mitigate or prevent

129   inundation of over 72 percent of the floodplain. The substantial reduction is Danube's connection

130   with its floodplain combined with wastewater discharge from agricultural and industrial sources,

131   and increasing levels of pollutants along these river segments have substantially altered or

132   damaged riverine ecosystem and reduced resiliency of urban and rural communities to large

133   floods which exceed the protection level of their flood mitigation measures (Schneider, 2010;

134   UNECE, 2011). The degree of industrial development and amount of pollution created by the

135   industrial sector varies among Danube countries. In general, pulp and paper industries represent

136   the largest contributors of pollution, followed by chemical, textile, and food industries (ICPDR

137   2009a).

138   The Tisza headwaters are located in the Carpathian Mountains in Ukraine. From these

139   headwaters the Tisza River flows southwest across central portions of the great Hungarian Plain

140   into the Danube River in Serbia (Fig. 1; ICPDR, 2008a). Precipitation within the Tisza basin is

141   generally concentrated in the Carpathian mountains within the upper portion of the watershed.

142   The intensity of the rainfall and the steep terrain coupled with deforestation and channelization

143   of many streams within this portion of the Tisza watershed, results in some of the most sudden

144   and high-energy flooding in Europe. Flood levels along the upper reaches of the Tisza can range

145   up to 12 m deep within as little as 24-36 hours (Nagy et al., 2010). The sudden water level rises

146   coupled with the high energy of the flows often threaten human lives and result in substantial

147   damage to infrastructure and croplands (ICPDR, 2008a).

148   While industrial production has dropped drastically in the Tisza since the 1990s, there

149   remain a variety of industries that contribute to the economy of the region, and the legacy of

150   heavily concentrated industrial activities continues to threaten the surrounding ecosystems. The

151   main industrial regions of the Tisza are located in Romania and Hungary, where the potential for

152   greatest flood damage and losses is also greatest. Chemical and petrochemical industries

153   (including oil refinery, storage and transport) are important for both Hungary and Ukraine, and

154   the cellulose and paper, textile, and furniture industries are also present predominantly in the

155   upper portion of the Tisza in Slovakia, Romania, and Ukraine (ICPDR, 2011). Beyond the threat

156   of mobilizing hazardous materials from industrial activities directly into the Danube or Tisza

157   Rivers, the risks posed from industrial accidents to the surrounding communities, particularly

158   with increasing urbanization, is of growing concern.

159   Mining activities, and the accidental spills of chemical substances, have affected the

160   aquatic environment and water quality within the Tisza sub-basin since the 2000 Baia Mare and

161   Baia Borsa natech accidents. Natech accidents present significant challenges, as natural events

162   can trigger multiple and simultaneous accidents in one installation, or depending on the impact

163   of the natural hazard, in several hazardous facilities at the same time (Krausmann and Baranzini,

164   2012). A 2009 assessment identified more than 92 potential sources for industrial and waste

165   deposits; however, the list does not include abandoned mine sites and their mine tailing dams –

166   only those from currently operational mines; therefore, the potential risk of accidental pollution

167   could be substantially higher (ICPDR, 2015a).

168   **2.1 Methodology**

169   The analysis of policy and institutional frameworks for monitoring and responding to

170   natural disasters and man-made accidents in the Danube River basin and Tisza River sub-basin

171   was conducted through a combination of primary and secondary data collection and analysis.

172   The primary data collection and analysis consisted of semi-structured interviews, while the

173   secondary data analysis included literature review of peer-reviewed publications and an analysis

174   of international laws, policies, and institutions within the Danube basin and Tisza sub-basin.

175   Semi-structured interviews were conducted over an eight-month period from January to August

176   2013.

177   Seventy-one interviews were conducted in various locations throughout Europe. The

178   interviews took place with experts working within the International Commission for the

179   Protection of the Danube River, within the expert groups of the International Commission for the

180   Protection of the Danube River (i.e., Tisza group, river basin management, flood protection, and

181   accident prevention and control), with respondents working at the national ministries, water

182   management directorates, and non-governmental organizations in the Tisza and Danube

183   countries, as well as with experts working within the European Commission, and the United

184   Nations involved in the Danube basin and Tisza sub-basin. Given public roles, the interviews are

185   intentionally left anonymous to ensure candidness in the responses (Table 1). The numbers

186   appearing in brackets in the table below reflect multiple interviews conducted at each level of

187   governance indicated. The questions focused on how Danube basin and Tisza sub-basin policies

188   and laws were implemented in practice, as well as the perceptions of the experts regarding the

189   frameworks and implementation of disaster monitoring and response throughout the Danube

190   basin and Tisza sub-basin.[1]

191   **Table 1.** Organizations from which experts were drawn for interviews.

International	United Nations, United Nations Economic Commission for Europe, and United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP)/UN Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA) Joint Environment Unit [1]
Regional	European Commission [2] International Commission for the Protection of the Danube River (ICPDR) and Expert Groups (Tisza Group, River Basin Management, Flood Protection, and Accident Prevention and Control) [3]
National	National Ministries of Environment, Rural Development, Interior, Environment Agency [4] Water Directorates [5]
Non-State Actors	NGOs [6]

207   ***** Numbers in brackets refer to interview citations in text.

209   **3 Distinctions between natural disasters and man-made accidents in policy frameworks**

211   Traditionally the approaches used for describing, limiting, and categorizing disasters

212   fundamentally shapes the methods for monitoring and responding to disasters. They determine

213   the solutions utilized, the resources allocated, and the governance frameworks selected by

214   categorizing the types of disaster into that which is natural or man-made. It is therefore important

215   to understand the etiology of disaster in order to understand why the distinctions among the

216   various types of disaster still remain. These are discussed below.

217
218
219
220

---

[1] Questions relevant to international frameworks for disaster response included: (1) What are the respective roles in multilevel governance in regard to response for natural and man-made disasters? (2) To what extent are natural and man-made disasters included in policy frameworks for response; in what context and at what level, and what is the language being used? (3) What gaps exist between policies and practice in regard to response for natural and man-made disasters? (4) What constraints or opportunities exist in including policies for response to natural and man-made disasters; which type would be most effective and at what level?

3.1 Rationale for different treatment

The manner in which disasters are framed by society has evolved over time, still the role

of human responsibility features prominently in disaster narratives. Natural disasters are

naturally occurring physical phenomena, which can include earthquakes, landslides, tsunamis,

volcanoes and floods. Natural disasters have historically been characterized either (1) as a direct

form of punishment from God for the sins of humanity, or (2) more recently as an "act of God"

that removed humans from culpability (Rozario, 2007). The framing of natural disasters

continues to shift, and some natural events – earthquakes, hurricanes, tsunamis – only become

disasters as they impact and interact with individuals and communities. The consequences of

natural disasters become a function of where people reside – along coastlines, in floodplains, in

vicinity of fault lines, and within mountainous regions – and their overall vulnerability, including

aging infrastructure and a function of their ability to monitor and prepare for these events.

Vulnerability within and between populations can vary, and occur for multiple reasons – social

inequalities, community demographics (e.g., age and poverty), lack of access to health care, and

limited access to jobs or to lifelines (e.g., emergency response, goods, services) (Cutter and

Emrich, 2006). While building in disaster-prone areas is not the sole responsibility of

individuals, they do share responsibility for investing in the risk involved; the existence of moral

hazard[2] can increase the amount of damage from disaster and reduce the capacity of insurance to

cover disaster loss; this occurs due to individuals acting irresponsibly and because of those who

erroneously believe there is coverage for any loss incurred (Smith, 2013). For example, offering

---

[2] For purposes of this paper and described by Munich Re (2007), moral hazard is a lack of incentive by an individual
to guard or protect against risk (or to enter into a situation of risk), knowing that they are protected from risk through
insurance, which results in higher insurance loss claims. Examples provided are assured compensation for flood
damage, leading to increased building in flood-prone areas and assured compensation for crop losses in drought-
prone areas that encourage farmers to grow more compensated crops instead of planting alternative crops or
adopting alternative land uses.

242   insurance encourages people to build and live in flood-prone areas, in spite of the known risks –

243   if insurance were not available, the household would absorb the entirety of the risk and

244   prospective buyers would most likely choose to reside elsewhere. Additionally, as seen with

245   some large disasters such as Hurricane Katrina, losses suffered by policyholders can be several

246   times larger than collected premiums, consuming insurers' capital and, if the losses are severe

247   enough, not only jeopardize claim payments, but also cause insurance companies to declare

248   bankruptcy before covering any – or only some – insured losses (Nekoul and Drexler, 2016). For

249   example, while the total economic loss incurred during Hurricane Katrina is assessed at

250   approximately US$ 125 billion, insured losses covered an estimated US$ 45 billion, however,

251   only an estimated US$ 2 million in insurance claims were paid (Munich Re, 2005). Moral

252   hazard can also exist in disaster preparedness and response activities when actors believe they

253   are sufficiently prepared to respond to any event or crises. During Hurricane Katrina despite

254   emergency preparations, preexisting social vulnerabilities and the collective failure to adequately

255   respond to the emergency made response inadequate for the type of complex emergency relief

256   needed (Cutter and Emrich, 2006).

257   Industrial accidents and other man-made accidents are traditionally considered separately

258   from natural disasters. The role of human agency features even more prominently in these

259   events, due to potential moral or legal obligations to mitigate risk (e.g., preparedness, insurance,

260   disaster aid). Man-made disasters suggest potential moral and legal obligations to both aid the

261   victims of the disaster in a response capacity in the period immediately following the disaster, as

262   well as to compensate those who are harmed during their long-term recovery (Verchick, 2012).

263   The liability is only effective if a polluter can be identified or liability can be assigned. As

264   disasters continue to multiply, become more complex, and their costs mount, responsibility for

265 the disaster also becomes more complex. For example, in assigning liability to the 2010 red

266 sludge spill in Hungary, early reports from the Hungarian Prime Minister Victor Orbán indicated

267 that the breach was likely due to human error, and that "there was no sign the disaster was

268 caused by natural causes, therefore it must be caused by people" (Dunai, 2010). In ongoing

269 efforts to determine human negligence, it was determined that flooding and subsidence led to

270 structural breaches in the reservoir containing the alumina, yet it remained difficult to prove

271 whether officials at the MAL alumina facility knew of the weakened infrastructure (NDGDM,

272 2010).

273   The degree of uncertainty related to the amount of damage and probability of occurrence

274 is very high with disasters, particularly those influenced by climate change (Greiving et al.,

275 2012; Munich Re, 2016). Liability can be more difficult to calculate and assign in these cases, in

276 part because disaster loss agencies (i.e., Munich Re, Swiss Re), are often accounting for specific

277 losses from flooding and sudden-onset disasters that are more easily quantified, whereas the

278 impact of slow-onset, or "silent", disasters related to climate change can be more difficult to

279 quantify since they occur slowly over time (IFRC, 2013).

280 **3.2 Dimensions for different treatment**
281
282   Increased frequency of major disasters, legal barriers and the absence of response to

283 natural disasters and man-made accidents have led to increased attention at a variety of levels for

284 more integrated international frameworks for disaster response (IFRC, 2007). The fragmented

285 nature of disaster response has emerged from the need to address specific types of disasters, in

286 specific regions, or response modalities. Furthermore, while natural disasters and industrial and

287 nuclear accidents have established frameworks for response, natech accidents are often missing

288 from chemical accident response programs (OECD, 2015). Natech accidents can lead to the

289   release of toxic substances, fires, or explosions and result in injuries and fatalities; therefore, the

290   lack of consideration for natech response mechanisms, planning tools or response programs can

291   be an external risk source for chemical facilities (Krausmann and Baranzini, 2012). Some

292   international instruments, such as the Convention on Assistance in the Case of a Nuclear

293   Accident or Radiological Emergency and the Convention on Early Notification of a Nuclear

294   Accident apply only to specific types of disaster. While the Nuclear Accidents Conventions were

295   adopted almost immediately following the Chernobyl nuclear accident, there still remains no

296   similar overarching global framework for notification or assistance in response to industrial

297   accidents, or for environmental emergencies more broadly (Bruch et al., 2016). Other disaster

298   frameworks, like the Tampere Convention, apply only to a single sector or area of relief (such as

299   importing telecommunication resources following disasters caused by nature or human activity,

300   or whether occurring suddenly or as the result of complex, long-term processes). However, the

301   ability to provide disaster response for natural disasters is quite broad and is included in a

302   number of international frameworks. A question of applicability of agreements arises, however,

303   when a complex disaster occurs and multiple institutions have a mandate for response, but it is

304   unclear which institution should take the lead in responding or coordinating response efforts

305   (Bruch et al., 2016). During the Lebanon crisis in 2006, international assistance was requested in

306   response to the bombing of fuel storage tanks at a power station, and over 70 countries and

307   organizations responded – it was unclear who should take lead, and the need for coordination

308   was reflected among response efforts (Nijenhuis, 2014).

309   An additional difficulty lies in the types of international actors engaged in natural

310   disasters and man-made accident response. Generally, there is a failure to include non-state

311   actors, the private sector, or individuals in response efforts to disaster. The Tampere Convention

312   and the sub-regional Black Sea Economic Cooperation (BSEC) and Association of South East

313   Asian Nations (ASEAN) agreements are exceptions. With the Tampere Convention, for example,

314   the decision to offer assistance, the type of assistance provided, and the terms of assistance are

315   up to the discretion of the non-state actors offering assistance (Bruch et al., 2016). Given the

316   increasing role of private funds in disaster response and relief operations, considering the

317   inclusion of these actors in disaster frameworks can be beneficial. Oftentimes, there is the

318   assumption that assets and personnel are provided as a favor to an affected state government,

319   where they might normally be expected to reimburse costs and manage how assistance is carried

320   out. However, efforts are increasingly being made to clarify the respective roles of actors and

321   institutions in regard to disaster response, and more recently laws are changing in favor of

322   including broader terminology to comprise both natural and man-made disasters (IFRC, 2007).

323   4 Disaster frameworks in the Danube and Tisza

324   Response to natural and man-made disasters, including natech accidents, is governed by a

325   range of global, regional and national laws, policies and soft-law instruments. In the Danube

326   basin and Tisza sub-basin this includes the Industrial Accidents Convention and the Seveso

327   Directive, the Water Framework Directive and the Floods Directive, as well as treaties and

328   policies developed at the level of the Danube and Tisza. Here, natural and man-made disasters

329   continue to be treated as distinct and separate issues, where monitoring and response are

330   managed independently.

331   4.1 Introduction to Danube and Tisza

332   In 1994 the Danube countries developed the Danube River Protection Convention

333   (DRPC) to ensure sustainable management of the Danube River. Through the International

334   Commission for the Protection of the Danube River (ICPDR), the DRPC requested the ICPDR to

335 coordinate the activities of the EU Water Framework Directive (WFD) and EU Floods Directive

336 among the EU member states. The WFD combines the monitoring and assessment of surface and

337 groundwater quality in the basin, and the Floods Directive instructs national authorities to

338 establish flood risk management plans by 2015, linking the objectives of the WFD and the risk to

339 these objectives from flooding or coastal erosion through the Floods Directive, and integrating

340 them into basin level activities via the ICPDR. However, because not all countries of the Danube

341 are EU member states, not all measures and outcomes of the WFD and Floods Directive are

342 implemented equally among the basin countries.

343   The Danube basin and the Tisza sub-basin have experienced numerous natural and man-

344 made disasters, including natech accidents (e.g., Baia Mare Cyanide Spill, Hungarian Chemical

345 Accident, and recent Serbian landslides). These are tallied in Table 2. However, the frameworks

346 for disaster response at the levels of the United Nations, the European Union, and those utilized

347 by the ICPDR and implemented at the national level by the Danube countries, are restricted to

348 particular types of disaster – monitoring and response to flooding is the most advanced

349 throughout the basin, while pollution is monitored, but does not have the same frameworks for

350 response. Additionally, there remain a variety of natural and man-made disasters that occur

351 throughout the basin that are not integrated into any type of basin monitoring or response

352 framework, including fire, drought, and other types of predictive climate modeling.

353 **Table 2.** Natural and man-made disasters in the Danube basin, reported by country (2000-2012). (Adapted from European Commission, 2016.)

Year	Type of Event	Country
2000	Mine tailing failure/cyanide and heavy metal pollution (natech)	Romania, Hungary, Bulgaria, Macedonia
	Landslide/avalanche	Austria, Slovenia
	Extreme temp./drought	Bulgaria, Croatia, Slovenia
	Flooding	Croatia, Hungary, Romania, Slovenia
	Severe ice storms	Moldova, Ukraine
	Wildfires	Croatia, Slovakia

Year	Event	Countries
	Factory fire	Slovenia
2001	Mining accident (natech)	Slovenia
	Flooding	Croatia, Hungary, Romania, Slovakia, Ukraine
2002	Industrial fire at waste dump	Slovenia
2003	Mining accident (natech)	Slovenia
	Extreme temp./drought	Austria, Croatia, Germany, Slovenia, Bosnia and Herzegovina
	Flash floods/severe storms	Hungary
	Wildfires	Slovenia
2004	Drinking water pollution (natech)	Hungary
	Dam failure	Romania
	Earthquake	Slovenia
	Flooding/severe storms	Hungary, Slovakia
	Drought	Bosnia and Herzegovina
2005	Landslides	Slovenia
	Flooding/Severe Storms	All Danube Countries, except Ukraine
2006	Avian (H5N1) flu pandemic	Hungary, Romania, Slovenia
	Aircraft accident	Hungary
	Earthquake	Hungary
	Extreme Temp.	Bulgaria
	Wildfires	Slovenia
2007	Wildfires/forest fires	Bulgaria, Croatia
	Hurricane	Germany
	Extreme temp./drought	Austria, Bulgaria, Croatia, Hungary, Romania, Slovakia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Montenegro, Serbia, Moldova
	Flash floods/severe storms	Bulgaria, Germany, Hungary, Romania, Slovenia, Montenegro, Serbia, Ukraine
2008	Transportation accident	Croatia
	Extreme temp.	Bulgaria
	Forest fires	Bulgaria
	Flash floods/severe storms	Hungary
	Flooding	Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Serbia, Moldova, Ukraine
2009	Swine (H1N1) flu pandemic	All Danube Countries
	Ice storms/blizzard	Croatia, Romania, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Ukraine
2010	Chemical accident (natech)	Hungary
	Earthquake	Serbia
2012	Ice storms/blizzards	Bulgaria, Hungary, Romania, Montenegro, Serbia, Moldova, Ukraine
	Extreme temp./drought	Moldova

354   -Note that economic losses, deaths and displacements are not reported to either European Commission or ICPDR.

4.2 How disasters are treated differently within response frameworks

355

356   In the absence of a centralized institution for disaster response, the development of a

357   large and diverse international disaster relief community has occurred. Initially the large-scale

358   relief work after natural disasters was undertaken by the Red Cross movement at the end of the

359   19th century, but eventually the disaster relief community expanded capacity and function to

360   include a variety of disaster assistance activities and involve other international initiatives and

361   organizations (IFRC, 2007). The United Nations (UN) began humanitarian work shortly after

362   World War II with agencies such as the United Nations High Commission for Refugees

363   (UNHCR), and predecessor agencies such as the United Nations Office for the Coordination of

364   Humanitarian Affairs (UN OCHA) are now regularly engaged in disaster response and relief

365   (IFRC, 2007).

366   Numerous frameworks for response to natural disasters exist. One example is the 2002

367   UN General Assembly Resolution 57/150 on "Strengthening Effectiveness and Coordination of

368   Urban Search and Rescue Assistance" (UN, 2003). While non-binding, the resolution highlights

369   the importance of national responsibility to victims of natural disasters within country borders,

370   but in the event that an incident exceeds country capacity, Urban Search and Rescue (USAR)

371   assistance through the International Search and Rescue Advisory Group (INSARAG) can

372   supplement local rescuers, and the coordination of these resources, particularly following

373   earthquakes or other events leading to structural collapse (INSARAG, 2016).

374   Apart from natural disasters, the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe's

375   (UNECE) Industrial Accident Convention applies to land-based, non-military, and non-

376   radiological industrial accidents (UNECE, 2009). Through the convention, response for

377   industrial accidents is provided through bilateral or multilateral arrangements developed in

378   advance among the parties. If no prior agreements exist, an affected country can request

379   assistance from other parties through mutual assistance agreements. However, in these situations,

380   it is the responsibility of the requesting country to cover all costs incurred for disaster response,

381   unless otherwise agreed upon among the responding countries (UNECE, 2009). Flooding in the

382   Danube in 2013 and 2014 caused approximately €15 billion in damage (Table 3), and while the

383   economic cost from industrial and other man-made accidents are not monitored or reported in the

384   same manner (Table 2), such accidents have occurred quite frequently and make apparent the

385   need for improved agreements on bilateral or multilateral relief (ICPDR 2015b).

386   **Table 3.** Estimated human and economic loss in Danube per flood event (2002-2014) (Adapted from ICPDR, 2008b and ICPDR, 2015b).

Flood Year	# Deaths or # Displaced	Economic Losses €
2002	N/A	N/A
2006	N/A	> €6 billion
2010	35 deaths	€2 billion
2013	9 deaths	€2.4 billion
2014	79 deaths; 137,000 displaced	€4 billion

387   *N/A – Data not available

388   The facilitation of international disaster response can be inadequate if mobilization is

389   untimely, or fails to include sufficient financial support. Response frameworks may neglect or

390   place disproportionate attention on certain types of disasters, which could become more

391   problematic with growing concerns over climate change and increased urbanization. For

392   example, there is visible delayed response for sudden-onset disasters such as the 2005 Indian

393   Ocean tsunami and the 2010 Haiti earthquake which received the majority of funding support

394   within one to three months of the initial request, compared to the slow-onset drought events of

395   the 2011 appeals by Kenya and Somalia where funding was not provided until nearly 7-12

396   months after the initial request (GHA, 2013). In 2005, nearly three quarters of all UN

397   contributions for natural disasters arrived within a month of their appeal; the comparable figure

398   for complex emergencies was only seven percent (IFRC, 2007). While differences exist among

399   slow-onset and sudden-onset disasters, they can create cumulative impacts to the community that

400   increase vulnerability and lead to larger disasters in the future – precipitation deficiencies in soil

401   and water lead to drought and when combined with high temperatures and dry conditions, this

402   can lead to wildfires (e.g., extreme fire hazard situations in the eastern US and south-east

403   Australia) (Smith, 2013).

404   The growing size and diversity of international responders to disasters can have

405   ramifications for the facilitation, coordination, and quality of response efforts (IFRC, 2007).

406   Diverse systems of response are implemented among the Danube basin countries due to the

407   variety of disasters experienced. Some utilize a single Civil Protection Mechanism, while others

408   rely on multiple parties among Ministries of the Interior, Ministries of Rural Development,

409   Water Directorates, and a variety of additional local protection committees [4, 5]. Interviews

410   indicated that not all responders/parties are sufficiently trained, and many lack managerial or

411   technical capacity to manage specific disasters appropriately [4]. There is also large

412   compartmentalization of tasks at lower levels – both regional and local – where integration

413   among the various types of disaster, as well as increased cooperation is needed [2, 3]. Other than

414   the fact that these diverse actors are providing certain types of disaster assistance, there is

415   nothing uniting them – no international or regional disaster response system. Given the increased

416   frequency of natural and man-made disasters and the growing number of actors involved in

417   disaster response efforts, ensuring effectiveness of aid should not detract from response and

418   assistance (IFRC, 2007).

419   Besides the diverse ensemble of international organizations with a mandate and capacity

420   for responding to natural disasters and/or specific types of technological or industrial accidents,

421   there are also agencies experienced in particular types of international disasters, but which may

422   not necessarily have the mandate or capacity for response. In 1994, the United Nations

423   Environment Programme (UNEP) and the UN Department of Humanitarian Affairs (DHA, the

424   predecessor of OCHA), developed an administrative arrangement through an exchange of letters

425   (Bruch et al., 2016). The arrangement relies on the environmental mandates of UNEP and the

426   humanitarian mandates of the DHA. Through UNEP's Governing Council Decision

427   UNEP/GC.26/15 on "Strengthening International Cooperation on the Environmental Aspects of

428   Emergency Response and Preparedness", the Joint UNEP/UN OCHA Environment Unit (JEU)

429   plays a leading role in facilitating coordination among international organizations in the event of

430   natural and man-made disasters, including natech accidents, which are more broadly termed

431   environmental emergencies (UNEP, 2011). The JEU has a number of existing agreements and

432   interface procedures in place with these organizations, in order to facilitate response, particularly

433   because there is a lack of familiarity among UN member states regarding existing regional and

434   international systems for response to the various types of disasters, as well as the coordination

435   between them. For example, the JEU facilitated international agreements and interface

436   procedures to aid with response between UN Disaster Assessment and Coordination (UNDAC)

437   and the EU Civil Protection Mechanism to the 2014 Serbian landslides following Cyclone

438   Tamara (NERC, 2014). During the 2000 Baia Mare natech accident in the Tisza River sub-basin,

439   sixteen experts from seven countries deployed for response to the natech accident, and the JEU

440   assisted to coordinate response efforts among UNDAC, the European Commission, the Military

441   Civil Defence Unit, the World Health Organization, and a variety of other actors (JEU, 2000).

442   At the regional level, the European Union's Civil Protection Mechanism (EU CPM) is an

443   instrument for disaster response that protects people, the environment, property, and cultural

444   heritage in the event of natural or man-made disasters, occurring within or outside of the

445   European Community (European Commission, 2016). Disasters are monitored internationally

446   through the Emergency Response Coordination Centre (ERCC) in cooperation with the JEU and

447   with participating states.

448   The European Union's Seveso Directives (I enacted in 1982, II enacted in 1996, and III

449   enacted in 2012) are some of the earliest pieces of legislation to address disaster risk (European

450   Community, 1982; European Community, 1996; European Community, 2012). The various

451   iterations of the Directive govern the establishments where dangerous substances are present,

452   and require the establishments to classify and report the amounts, types, and locations of

453   dangerous substances present. The majority of the Directives' focus is on notification

454   requirements and accident prevention, including notification to the public due to the increased

455   risk by natural disasters associated with the location of the establishment and associated risks

456   from natech accidents (European Union, 2012). The responsibility for response under the

457   Directives falls on the establishment for developing preparedness response measures in advance

458   of an accident, and notifying the competent authority in case of a major accident (European

459   Union, 2012). However, a 2012 study by the European Commission indicated that industry in

460   nearly half of the EU countries is believed to insufficiently consider natech risks in their

461   preparedness response measures (Krausmann and Baranzini, 2012).

462   The EU Floods Directive provides a framework for addressing risk from natural disasters,

463   specifically floods. While inspired not only by the damaging effects of floods, but also by

464   increasing flood risks as a result of climate change, the main objective of the Directive is to

465   require member states to assess and manage risks of flooding within their territories and to

466   develop flood risk management plans. Though the plans are restricted to areas considered at high

467   risk of floods, these are not integrated into other types of plans and maps available – such as the

468   Inventory of Potential Accidental Risk Spots in the Danube[3] – nor are they used for developing

469   preparedness response measures in advance of an accident or natural disaster, such as in the case

470   of the Seveso Directive. Though the Flood Directive was expected to reduce flood risk,

471   interviewees voiced disappointment regarding the limitations of integrating disaster risk more

472   broadly, particularly in relation to water quality and accidental pollution [3]. These present as

473   policy limitations to the Water Framework Directive and Flood Directive, as neither of the two

474   directives require the integration of disaster risk of both floods and accidental pollution.

475   The European Union also developed a set of macro-regional strategies for the Adriatic

476   and Ionian, Alpine, Baltic Sea, and Danube regions (European Commission, 2010). While the

477   intent from the EU was to not provide new EU funding, these integrated frameworks are

478   supported by EU Structural and Investment Funds in order to address common challenges faced

479   in each defined area in order to strengthen cooperation and achieve greater economic, social, and

480   territorial cohesion. In the Danube Strategy, risks from floods and industrial accidents are

481   reflected as having substantially negative transnational impacts, and are listed as requiring

482   preventive and disaster management measures that are implemented jointly, with the

483   understanding that work undertaken in isolation in one place (e.g., to build levees) displaces the

484   problem and places neighboring regions at greater risk of flooding (European Commission,

485   2010). Other man-made disasters are integrated in the discussion of risks, as well as the need to

486   account for climate change by taking a regional focus at the basin level (European Commission,

487   2010, p. 8). In a 2015 European Commission Communication report following implementation

488   of the Danube Strategy, several limitations were highlighted, including: the need to improve

---

[3] Pursuant to the 2001 Baia Mare natech accident in Romania, the ICPDR conducted a qualitative evaluation of the hazardous locations in the Danube catchment area, with reference to location of possible water pollution. The report of Inventory of Potential Accidental Risk Spots was released in 2001, and has not been updated since (ICPDR, 2001; ICPDR, 2015a).

489   efforts to reduce the Danube region's risk of exposure to major floods and accidental hazardous

490   material releases; limited political commitment, funding, and capacity among countries and

491   institutions in the Danube; lack of staff, funding, and expertise impeding participation,

492   particularly in lesser-developed areas of Danube – the report also acknowledged that these

493   challenges are more acute in non-EU countries (EPRS, 2015). The limitations in funding,

494   technical expertise, and capacity were confirmed in interviews with experts at various levels,

495   who also noted how this leads to uneven implementation of EU Directives within the basin that

496   can create pockets of vulnerability to both flood risk and risks from industrial accidents [2, 3, 4].

497   While the Danube Strategy does not provide a framework for response to natural and

498   man-made disasters, it does highlight the EU's continued support for managing multi-hazard

499   response at multiple levels, particularly through Priority Area 5 "To Manage Environmental

500   Risks". Specifically, it requests that the countries "strengthen operational cooperation among

501   emergency response authorities in the Danube countries and improve the interoperability for

502   risks that are common to an important number of countries in the region (i.e., floods and risks of

503   other natural and man-made disasters)", and advises that each country's civil protection

504   mechanism have an updated understanding of neighboring country's systems so that response

505   teams can function smoothly in case of emergencies involving bilateral, European, or

506   international response (EUSDR, 2015). Experts also expressed the need for formal agreements

507   with specific language on integrated mapping of complex disasters, as well as provisions

508   addressing response to both natural and man-made disasters, particularly if additional grants

509   could be given from the EU to support these activities [2, 3, 4, 5]. Some interviewees reflected

510   that the regional Strategy depended on stronger countries helping the weaker ones, but

511   limitations with funding and capacity are difficult to overcome [2]. In the 2015 Annual Report on

512   implementation of the Danube Strategy produced by the Danube countries, all projects focused

513   on implementation of the Floods Directive. The only mention of industrial accidents was to

514   reflect the failure to include an updated Inventory of Potential Accidental Risk Spots along the

515   Danube, which is also discussed in the 2015 Danube River Basin Management Plan (DRBMP)

516   (EUSDR, 2015; ICPDR, 2015b). Given past issues with mine tailing collapses and other

517   pollution disasters associated with flooding, the 2015 DRBMP acknowledged the need to update

518   the Inventory of Potential Accidental Risk Spots promptly (ICPDR, 2015b). Unfortunately, this

519   recommendation from the 2015 DRBMP, and initially expressed in first Danube River Basin

520   Management Plan of 2009 has yet to be realized.

521   Through the Danube River Protection Convention, Article 17 provides for mutual

522   assistance "where a critical situation of riverine conditions should arise". While "critical

523   situation" is not defined, Article 17 indicates that the ICPDR will elaborate procedures for

524   mutual assistance including, the facilities and services to be rendered by the contracting party,

525   the facilitation of border-crossing formalities, arrangements for compensation, and methods of

526   reimbursement (ICPDR, 1994). These elaborations have not occurred through the ICPDR, but

527   rather in the form of bilateral agreements regarding transboundary flood measures among

528   Danube countries; however virtually no bilateral agreements exist regarding response to man-

529   made disasters in the basin (Table 4).

530   **Table 4.** Bilateral agreements on transboundary watercourses and disasters among Danube
countries (Adapted from ICPDR, 2009a; ICPDR, 2015a; UNEP, 2002).

Countries	Transboundary Watercourses	Disasters / Emergencies
Austria – Czech Republic	1967**	1994 (Floods Only)
Austria – Germany	1987	1991 (Floods Only)
Austria – Hungary	1956	1959 (Floods Only)
Austria – Slovakia	1967**	1994 (Floods Only)
Austria – Slovenia	1956*	1956* (Floods Only)

Bosnia and Herzegovina – Croatia	1996	1996 (Natural/Manmade Disasters)
Bosnia and Herzegovina – Serbia and Montenegro*	-	2011 (Flood EWS)
Bulgaria – Romania	2004	2004 (Floods Only)
Bulgaria – Serbia	Draft	Draft (Floods Only)
Croatia – Hungary	1994	1994 (Floods Only)
Croatia – Serbia	-	-
Croatia – Slovenia	No Date	1977*** (Coastal Pollution)
Czech Republic – Slovakia	1999	-
Hungary – Romania	1986	2003 (Floods Only)
Hungary – Slovakia	1956**	2014 (Floods Only)
Hungary – Slovenia	1994	1994 (Floods Only)
Hungary – Ukraine	1997	1998 (Floods Only)
Moldova – Romania	2010	2010 (Floods Only)
Moldova – Ukraine	1994	-
Serbia and Montenegro – Hungary	1955*	1955*
Serbia and Montenegro – Romania	1955*	Under Discussion
Ukraine – Romania	1997	1952*** (Floods Only)
Ukraine – Slovakia	1995	2000 (Floods Only)

531 *Agreement formed with Yugoslavia
532 **Agreement formed with Czechoslovak Socialist Republic
533 ***Agreement formed with Union of Soviet Socialist Republics
534 - No Information Available
535

536   To bridge the gap regarding man-made accidents, some Danube basin countries have

537 engaged in such agreements. Bulgaria, Moldova, Romania, Serbia, and Ukraine are Parties to the

538 DRPC, but have separately engaged in the BSEC Agreement on Response to Natural and Man-

539 made disasters (Bruch et al., 2016). Furthermore, the Danube Delta countries (Moldova,

540 Romania, and Ukraine) are working together with the UNECE Industrial Accidents Convention

541 due to the large concentration of oil-related industries in the area in order to improve hazard

542 management, increase transboundary cooperation, and strengthen operational response [1].

543   At the Danube basin level, the countries have engaged in a series of non-binding

544   Memoranda of Understanding (MOU) referred to as the Danube Declarations, first in 2004,

545   revised in 2010, and updated in 2016. The Declarations reinforce the language of the 1996

546   Danube River Protection Convention to sustainably manage the waters of the Danube, and

547   reinforce the countries' commitment to continue the work of the WFD and Floods Directive. The

548   2016 Declaration recognizes the need for increased investment and improved warning systems

549   for flood protection and contamination, as well as improving the exchange of information

550   throughout the Danube (ICPDR, 2016). The Danube River basin countries engage currently in

551   two separate systems for flood monitoring and monitoring pollution from man-made accidents –

552   the Emergency Flood Alert System and the Principal International Alert Centres (PIACs) of the

553   Danube Accident Emergency Warning System (Danube AEWS), respectively. The Emergency

554   Flood Alert System has been functioning since 2003 at the Joint Research Centre, a Directorate

555   General of the European Commission, and works in collaboration with the national authorities of

556   the member states and with a variety of meteorological services. The Emergency Flood Alert

557   System provides two medium-range flood forecasts each day, with 3-10 day advance warning for

558   flooding in the main stem of the Danube. An MOU has been signed with several, but not all of

559   the Danube countries (Austria, Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Germany, Hungary, Moldova, Serbia,

560   Slovakia, Slovenia, and Romania, and negotiations are underway with Bosnia and Herzegovina

561   and Croatia), and information is available 24 hours a day through an online service managed by

562   the Joint Research Centre (ICPDR, 2010). The Emergency Flood Alert System gives national

563   authorities the ability to prepare response measures, including opening temporary flood retention

564   areas, building temporary flood protection structures such as sandbag walls, and adopting civil

565   protection measures such as closing down water supply systems (ICPDR, 2009b). These

566   responses reduce further threat of flooding downstream, and prevent loss of lives and

567   infrastructure. The MOU does not include tributaries draining areas less than 4,000 km²,

568   therefore the Emergency Flood Alert System does not address flood risks in the Tisza, nor in

569   certain basin countries where significant flood concerns arise, such as Ukraine [1].

570   Transboundary floods typically affect larger areas, can be more severe, result in a higher number

571   of deaths, and cause increased economic loss than non-transboundary rivers (Baaker, 2009).

572   Therefore, the repeated occurrence of such large, costly flood events (Table 3) highlights the

573   ongoing need for improved strategies for flood preparedness and response, particularly in the

574   absence of coordinated, multi-hazard bilateral and multilateral agreements among basin

575   countries.

576   The Principle International Alert Centres of the Danube Accident Emergency Warning

577   System monitor accidental water pollution incidents in the Danube River basin. Unlike the

578   Emergency Flood Alert System, which is linked to monitoring conducted by the European

579   Commission and is transmitted to national authorities (without involving the ICPDR in the

580   monitoring process); the Danube AEWS system is managed by the ICPDR, but does not involve

581   the European Commission. While all contracting parties of the DRPC cooperate with the Danube

582   AEWS, they also are expected to have national policies regarding response to accidental

583   pollution in the Danube that connects to the Principle International Alert Centres. The PIACs are

584   expected to operate on a 24-hour basis within each country, and are in charge of all international

585   communications. When a message regarding potentially serious accidental pollution occurs, the

586   PIAC is responsible for communicating the accident to the ICPDR, and decides whether it is

587   necessary to notify downstream countries, engages experts to assess the impacts of the pollution,

588   and decides what response activities need to be taken at the national level (ICPDR, 2014).

589   Challenges to the Danube AEWS monitoring include territorial gaps (several areas along the

590   Danube and Tisza are not monitored) [3, 4, 5], a limited number of bilateral agreements for

591   response in case the accident exceeds national capacity (Table 4), and even though a variety of

592   natural and man-made accidents occur (Table 2), not all types of man-made accidents are

593   monitored. Increasing pressures are felt by downstream countries from the failure to monitor

594   pollution events in a consistent and effective manner [4]. Furthermore, in order to keep the

595   AEWS operational there is increasing reliance on citizen reporting of pollution events in some

596   countries [4, 5]. This is particularly problematic in the Tisza countries where the lack of

597   monitoring of both flood and accidental pollution events, combined with limited bilateral

598   agreements raise concern among several countries [4, 5].

599   In the most recent Tisza River sub-basin MOU (from 2011), the Tisza countries agreed,

600   among other things, to "take coordinated steps to prevent accidental risks, and develop

601   harmonized mitigation and response measures, with the aim to present an updated Inventory of

602   Potential Accidental Risk Spots by the end of 2012" (ICPDR, 2011). This complements the 2009

603   request in the Danube basin (but as reflected above, has yet to be updated) (ICPDR, 2015b). To

604   date, this has not occurred for the Tisza sub-basin, but the language in the MOU does reflect an

605   interest at the sub-basin level to prioritize not only the mapping and development of an Inventory

606   of Potential Accidental Risk Spots, but also the development of harmonized response measures

607   among floods and man-made hazards.

608   **5 Questioning the distinction**
609
610   While "natural" disasters may be a commonly used term, no disaster can be regarded as

611   entirely natural if people have the capacity to avoid, mitigate, or reduce the risk from an entirely

612   natural hazard (Picard, 2016). However, the vulnerability to lives and livelihoods can be avoided

613   with proper disaster preparedness and response, such as the proper placement, function, and use

614   of early warning systems, flood maintenance, and mitigation works such as levees and controlled

615   flood outlets and properly timed dam releases.

616   There is an additional shift in what is considered truly a natural disaster as well – not only

617   from the perspective of mitigation or vulnerability, but in acknowledgement of the anthropogenic

618   influences on natural disasters. Climate change is one aspect, but there are also induced

619   earthquakes occurring as a result of slipping faults from fluid injection in hydraulic fracturing

620   (Legere, 2016) and from the weight of shifting water impoundments from Three Gorges (Stone,

621   2008), landslides from subsidence and increased land use activities including urbanization

622   (Smith, 2013), and pandemics from deforestation and habitat conversion (Greger, 2007), to name

623   a few. Holistic frameworks that include multiple types of disasters are needed in order to respond

624   effectively.

625   Human intervention in the physical environment exposes populations to natural hazards

626   from the built environment, such as housing and associated infrastructure, including industrial

627   facilities, drainage works, and planning—especially when the built environment is not

628   appropriately designed or built to account for the risks. Human, economic, and environmental

629   losses can be worse in highly populated, urbanized areas; with increased urbanization and

630   climate change, they are placed at increased risk to natural and man-made hazards (Bruch and

631   Goldman, 2012; Huppert and Sparks, 2006). For this reason, natech accidents and other

632   cascading disasters are particularly problematic types of disasters. Simultaneous response efforts

633   are required to attend to both the industrial, chemical, or technological accident as well as the

634   triggering natural disaster. Therefore, broad definitions of disaster, as well as broad frameworks

635   for response to multiple types of disaster are needed in order to recognize that many disasters can

636   arise from multiple hazards—and to take the necessary measures to reduce the risks of those

637   hazards.

638   While distinctions among disasters are still claimed for liability in some cases (including

639   in determining deliberate conduct or negligence), the distinction between natural and man-made

640   disasters is largely irrelevant from the perspective of humanitarian response and the humanitarian

641   consequence of multi-hazard events and those that are caused by natural or technological

642   hazards. Furthermore, in the event that disasters are slow-onset, or when the ability to mitigate or

643   respond to risk is not timely or effective, the long-term effects of the disaster can be magnified

644   and lead to further vulnerability, such as famine, malnutrition, or mortality (IFRC, 2006).

645   The 2011 Fukushima nuclear disaster in Japan, triggered by the Great East Japan

646   Earthquake and resultant tsunami, illustrated the complex relationship of natural hazards and the

647   built environment and human factors, resulting in natech vulnerabilities. In part as a response to

648   the earthquake, tsunami, and nuclear accident at Fukushima and as a more general approach to

649   providing a comprehensive, multidimensional and multi-sectoral approach to reducing disaster

650   risk, the United Nations member states adopted the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk

651   Reduction in 2015. To some experts, the preceding 2005 Hyogo Framework for Action focused

652   too much on disaster risk reduction from natural disasters, and ignored industrial accidents and

653   complex accidents like natech accidents [6]. In fact, in a 2011 study by the European

654   Commission, out of 14 EU countries that experienced natech accidents, more than half of the

655   accidents resulted in the release of toxic substances, fires, or explosions (Krausmann and

656   Baranzini, 2012).

657   The Sendai Framework places unprecedented emphasis on the interaction between

658   hazards (natural and man-made), exposure levels, and pre-existing vulnerability (Aitsi-Selmi and

659   Murray, 2016). It calls to action for improving decision making through a stronger science-

660   policy-practice interface, with four priority areas for action –including strengthening disaster

661   governance with regard to shared resources and at the basin level (UNISDR, 2015).

662   The Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) also provides

663   guidance for the planning and operation of facilities where hazardous substances are located

664   through the use of their 2003 Guiding Principles for Chemical Accident Prevention,

665   Preparedness, and Response. Recognizing the gaps in natech risk management and

666   methodologies, the OECD developed an addendum in 2015 to the Guiding Principles that

667   include 1) an investigation of the prevention of chemical accidents, as well as preparedness for

668   and response to chemical accidents resulting from natural hazards that are not a part of national

669   chemical accident programs; and 2) recommendations for best practices with respect to

670   prevention of, preparedness for, and response to natech accidents (OECD, 2015).

671   Regional frameworks for response to natural and man-made disasters have been

672   developed by member states of the Black Sea Economic Cooperation (BSEC) and the

673   Association of South East Asian Nations (ASEAN). These regional agreements have also

674   progressed to include national efforts, such as the coordination of technical assistance and

675   resource mobilization during response to natural and man-made disasters (ASEAN, 2010; BSEC,

676   1998).

677   **6 Building holistic approaches for integrating multilevel disaster response**

678   The transition toward a multi-hazard approach for response to natural and man-made

679   disasters, and the acknowledgement of the risks of natech accidents is occurring at many levels.

680   It is present in the work of the United Nations and the multilevel response frameworks of the EU

681   Civil Protection Mechanism; some regional agencies are also adopting similar agreements (i.e.,

682   ASEAN, BSEC). However, there remains a disparity in managing natural and man-made

683   disasters in a holistic manner at the national level, as well as in the monitoring of these types of

684   events at the Danube basin and Tisza sub-basin levels. The challenges are not insurmountable;

685   this section proposes two sets of options for reducing and eventually eliminating the historic

686   dichotomy among approaches to disaster response and monitoring.

687   **6.1 Multi-hazard approaches**

688   The process of building holistic approaches to planning, preparedness, and response can

689   strengthen systems for responding to natural and man-made disasters in a more integrated

690   manner. Building holistic disaster risk management processes may be done at the global (e.g.,

691   Sendai), regional (e.g., BSEC), bilateral, and national levels.

692   The review of legal and policy frameworks and interviews reflected that while some

693   planning and preparedness activities take place regarding flood hazard, this generally is not the

694   case for accidental pollution (at least in the Danube and Tisza context), and natech accidents are

695   largely removed or ignored [2, 3, 4, 5, 6] (European Commission, 2010; ICPDR, 2015a). Gaps in

696   monitoring were cited along the length of both the Danube and the Tisza in regard to both

697   flooding and accidental pollution, which should also be improved in future planning efforts. The

698   Tisza sub-basin and smaller water bodies are beyond the scope of the WFD, consequently, no

699   holistic monitoring or response measures are in place; regional agreements at the basin or sub-

700   basin level could aid in developing improved response frameworks [2, 3] (McClain et al., 2016).

701   Improving the mapping of hazards to reflect not only flood hazard, but also risks from

702   man-made disasters and natech events – and integrating these risks into a holistic map of

703   vulnerability to disaster – would provide a foundation for more holistic policies and

704   programming to manage disaster risks. It would also aid in improving measures for preparedness

705 at the national and local levels. Multi-hazard response frameworks provide the opportunity to

706 intervene and mitigate the size of future disasters. Interviews indicate that harmonized

707 approaches to natural and man-made disasters offer additional opportunities to strengthen

708 capacity among transboundary actors [1, 4].

709 **6.2 Multi-hazard response modalities**

710 In order to empower, guide, and facilitate the institutional arrangements and mandates

711 necessary to improve monitoring of and response to natural and man-made disasters, the legal

712 and policy frameworks need to provide the necessary mandates and procedures. In regard to the

713 Danube basin, this could be done in a variety of ways. The Danube River Protection Convention

714 has not been updated or amended since it was originally drafted in 1994, but it unites all

715 countries of the Danube basin and its tributaries under a formal, legal agreement. Cooperation

716 among Danube countries was generally reported as good [3]; therefore, continuing the use of the

717 ICPDR and its expert groups as a mechanism to gain cooperation among the countries on a

718 regional framework for improving monitoring and response could be considered [3, 4, 5].

719 Another possibility would be to expand the numerous bilateral agreements among the Danube

720 and Tisza countries regarding flooding to also include man-made disasters and natech events.

721 Working on agreements at a regional level improves communication, breaks down barriers

722 (particularly in transboundary situations), and aids in the development of a common legal

723 language among participating parties [1, 2].

724 Updating conventions and other hard law can be difficult; countries often find soft law to

725 be more flexible, they are sometimes unwilling to adopt binding obligations, particularly in the

726 face of uncertainty (e.g., climate change), or when they feel there might be a need to act quickly

727 to changing circumstances. In this regard, updating the Danube Declaration and the

728   corresponding Tisza MOUs can provide particularly viable options. Through the Declarations

729   and MOUs, the Danube or Tisza countries could decide whether to engage in a particular action

730   through a separate strategy, or pilot project, or whether to incorporate the issue into the broader

731   basin or sub-basin management plan (e.g., improvement of accidental pollution and flood

732   monitoring, integrated accidental pollution and flood maps). Improved vertical and horizontal

733   cooperation was a request of several interviewees, particularly in regard to the risks posed from

734   man-made accidents and how to respond to these accidents [4, 5].

735   **7 Conclusions**

736

737   The historic distinction between natural and man-made disasters is outdated,

738   counterproductive, and ultimately flawed. Natural disasters have the potential to trigger

739   simultaneous technological or chemical accidents from one or multiple sources. With

740   anthropogenic climate change influencing the frequency and intensity of disasters, the

741   distinctions in preventing, monitoring, and responding to disasters from either natural or man-

742   made sources are further called into question. Moreover, increased urbanization and shifting

743   populations are placing more people at greater risk in times of disaster (whether natural or man-

744   made). As a result, it is increasingly clear that there are no purely natural disasters.

745   Recognizing that the historic distinctions between natural and man-made disasters are no

746   longer relevant, there is increasing recognition of the need to address disasters holistically,

747   regardless of the contributing causes and aggravating factors. This trend is noted in the Sendai

748   Framework, which adopts a multi-hazard risk approach and provides tools for managing

749   disasters that are both natural and man-made (UNISDR, 2015). While the current policy

750   frameworks in the Danube basin and Tisza sub-basin do not address preparedness and response

751   holistically across types of disasters, the basin countries have several options for more integrated

752   response. A key opportunity is the development or amendment of agreements governing

753   response to natural and man-made disasters. This could be negotiated through updates to the

754   Danube Convention or through bilateral treaties between the basin countries. Improving planning

755   and preparedness through more integrated monitoring and mapping of natural and man-made

756   disasters, such as combining the flood risk areas with the Inventory of Potential Accidental Risk

757   Spots, could be elaborated upon in Declarations and MOUs at the basin and sub-basin levels.

758   A coordinated approach to natural and man-made disasters, including natech accidents, is

759   currently taken through the European Union Civil Protection Mechanism and BSEC. This is not

760   unique to Europe alone, and other similar regional approaches exist from which to draw lessons

761   (including the ASEAN agreement). The Danube and Tisza countries are well versed in the

762   transboundary impacts from natural and man-made disasters, and natech accidents; climate

763   change is likely to increase the frequency and severity of these events in the foreseeable future.

764   Nevertheless, while approaches for integrating holistic frameworks for disaster response are

765   recognized at multiple levels, implementation within the Danube basin and Tisza sub-basin

766   remains distinct and fragmented.

767   Acknowledgements

768   This material is based upon work supported by the United States' National Science

769   Foundation under Grant No. 0903510. Any opinions expressed here are those of the authors and

770   do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

771   We thank the Southern Illinois University IGERT Program in Watershed Science and

772   Policy and associated colleagues for their support. The authors are also grateful for the

773   suggestions and comments of Professor Cindy Buys. We additionally thank the International

774 Commission for the Protection of the Danube River (ICPDR) for assisting in obtaining data, and

775 for hosting Shanna while she conducted her research.

776 **References**
777

778 Aitsi-Selmi, A., and Murray, V. 2016. The Chernobyl Disaster and Beyond: Implications of the
779   Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015-2030. *PLOS Medicine* 13(4): 1-4.
780

781 ASEAN (Association of South East Asian Nations). 2010. ASEAN Agreement on Disaster
782   Management and Emergency Response: Work Programme 2010-2015. Jakarta: ASEAN.
783   http://www.asean.org/wp-
784   content/uploads/images/resources/ASEAN%20Publication/2013%20(12.%20Dec)%20-
785   %20AADMER%20Work%20Programme%20(4th%20Reprint).pdf.
786

787 Baaker, M.H.N. 2009. Transboundary River Floods: Examining Countries, International River
788   Basins, and Continents. *Water Policy* 11: 269-288.
789

790 BSEC (Black Sea Economic Cooperation). 1998. Agreement among the Governments of the
791   Participating States of the Black Sea Economic Cooperation (BSEC) on Collaboration in
792   Emergency Assistance and Emergency Response to Natural and Man-Made Disasters.
793   http://www.bsec-
794   organization.org/documents/LegalDocuments/agreementmous/agr4/Documents/Emergen
795   cyagreement%20071116.pdf.
796

797 Bruch, C., Nijenhuis, R., and McClain, S.N. 2016. International Frameworks Governing
798   Environmental Emergency Preparedness and Response: An Assessment of Approaches.
799   In *The Role of International Environmental Law in Reducing Disaster Risk*, Jacqueline
800   Peel & David Fisher eds. Leiden: Brill Nijhoff.
801

802 Cutter, S.L., and Emrich, C.T. 2006. Moral Hazard, Social Catastrophe: The Changing Face of
803   Vulnerability along the Hurricane Coasts. *American Academy of Political and Social
804   Science* 604:102-112.
805

806 Dunai, M. 2010. Hungary Declares Emergency after Red Sludge Spill. *Reuters*, October 5.
807   http://in.reuters.com/article/idINIndia-51952420101005.
808

809 European Commission. 2010. Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament,
810   the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee, and the Committee of the
811   Regions: European Strategy for the Danube Region. COM (2010) 715 Final.
812

813 European Commission. 2016. EU Civil Protection Mechanism. 2 July.
814   http://ec.europa.eu/echo/what/civil-protection/mechanism_en.
815

816   European Community. 1982. Council Directive of 24 June 1982 on the Major-Accident Hazards
817   of Certain Industrial Activities. Official Journal of the European Communities L230, pp.
818   01-18.
819
820   European Community. 1996. Council Directive of 9 December 1996 on the Control of Major-
821   Accident Hazards Involving Dangerous Substances. Official Journal of the European
822   Union. L010, pp. 13.
823
824   European Community. 2012. Council Directive of 4 July 2012 on the Control of Major-Accident
825   Hazards Involving Dangerous Substances, Amending and Subsequently Repealing
826   Council Directive 96/82/EC. Official Journal of the European Union L197, pp. 01-37.
827
828   European Union. 2012. Directive 2012/18/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of
829   4 July 2012 on the Control of Major-Accident Hazards Involving Dangerous Substances,
830   Amending and Subsequently Repealing Council Directive 96/82/EC. Official Journal of
831   the European Union L197, pp. 01-37.
832
833   EPRS (European Parliamentary Research Service). 2015. The EU Strategy for the Danube
834   Region: Briefing. PE 557.024.
835   http://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/BRIE/2015/557024/EPRS_BRI(2015)55
836   7024_EN.pdf.
837
838   EUSDR (European Union Strategy for the Danube Region). 2015. Danube Region Strategy
839   Priority Area 5: To Manage Environmental Risks. Coordinated by Hungary and
840   Romania. June.
841
842   Fisher, D. 2008. The Law of International Disaster Response: Overview and Ramifications.
843   *International Law Studies* 83: 293-320.
844
845   GHA (Global Humanitarian Assistance). Global Humanitarian Assistance Report. Bristol: GHA.
846
847   Greger, M. 2007. The Human/Animal Interface: Emergence and Resurgence of Zoonotic
848   Infectious Diseases. *Critical Reviews in Microbiology* 33: 243-299.
849
850   Grieving, S., Pratzler –Wanczura, S. Sapountzaki, K., Ferri, F., Grifoni, P., Firus, K., and
851   Xanthopoulos, G. 2012. Linking the actors and policies throughout the management cycle
852   by "Agreement on Objectives" – a new output-oriented approach. *Natural Hazards and
853   Earth Systems Sciences* 12: 1085-1107.
854
855   Huppert, H.E., and Sparks, R.S.J. 2007. Extreme Natural Hazards: Population Growth,
856   Globalization and Environmental Change. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal
857   Society* 364: 1875-1888.
858
859   ICPDR (International Commission for the Protection of the Danube River). 1994. Danube River
860   Protection Convention. Vienna: ICPDR.
861   https://www.icpdr.org/main/sites/default/files/DRPC%20English%20ver.pdf.

862

863   ICPDR (International Commission for the Protection of the Danube River). 2001. Inventory of
864   Potential Accidental Risk Spots. Vienna: ICPDR.

865

866   ICPDR (International Commission for the Protection of the Danube River). 2008a. Analysis of
867   the Tisza River Basin 2007.Vienna: ICPDR.
868   http://www.icpdr.org/main/sites/default/files/Tisza_RB_Analysis_2007.pdf.

869

870   ICPDR (International Commission for the Protection of the Danube River). 2008b. The Analysis
871   of the Danube Floods 2006. Vienna: ICPDR.
872   https://www.icpdr.org/main/sites/default/files/The%20Analysis%20of%20the%20Danub
873   e%20Floods%202006%20FINAL.pdf

874

875   ICPDR (International Commission for the Protection of the Danube River). 2009a. The Danube
876   River Basin District Management Plan: Part A- Basin-wide Overview. Vienna: ICPDR.
877   http://www.icpdr.org/main/sites/default/files/DRBM_Plan_2009.pdf.

878

879   ICPDR (International Commission for the Protection of the Danube River). 2009b. Assessment
880   of Flood Monitoring and Forecasting in the Danube River Basin. Vienna: ICPDR.
881   http://www.icpdr.org/main/sites/default/files/OM-12%20-
882   %203.6%20ASSESSMENTof%20Flood%20Monitoring%20FINAL.pdf.

883

884   ICPDR (International Commission for the Protection of the Danube River). 2010. New
885   International System for Early Flood Warning in Danube River Basin Launched. March.
886   https://www.icpdr.org/main/sites/default/files/nodes/documents/080310_efas_pr_final_ic
887   pdr.pdf.

888

889   ICPDR (International Commission for the Protection of the Danube River). 2011. Memorandum
890   of Understanding: Towards the Implementation of the Integrated Tisza River Basin
891   Management Plan Supporting the Sustainable Development of the Region. Vienna:
892   ICPDR.

893

894   ICPDR (International Commission for the Protection of the Danube River). 2014. International
895   Operations Manual for PIACs of the Danube AEWS. Vienna: ICPDR.
896   http://www.icpdr.org/main/sites/default/files/nodes/documents/aews_manual_2014_final.
897   pdf.

898

899   ICPDR (International Commission for the Protection of the Danube River). 2015a. The Danube
900   River Basin District Management Plan – Update 2015. Vienna: ICPDR.
901   https://www.icpdr.org/main/sites/default/files/nodes/documents/drbmp-update2015.pdf.

902

903   ICPDR (International Commission for the Protection of the Danube River). 2015b. Flood Risk
904   Management Plan for the Danube River Basin District. Vienna: ICPDR.
905   https://www.icpdr.org/main/sites/default/files/nodes/documents/1stdfrmp-final_1.pdf.

906

907 ICPDR (International Commission for the Protection of the Danube River). 2016. Danube
908   Declaration: Water Management in the Danube River Basin: Integration and Solidarity in
909   the Most International River Basin of the World. Vienna: ICPDR.
910
911 IFRC (International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies). 2006. World Disasters
912   Report: Focus on Neglected Crises. Geneva: ATAR Roto Presse.
913
914 IFRC (International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies). 2007. Law and Legal
915   Issues in International Disaster Response: A Desk Study. Geneva: IFRC.
916
917 IFRC (International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies). 2013. Responding to
918   Silent Disasters. IFRC Annual Report. Geneva: IFRC.
919
920 INSARAG (International Search and Rescue Advisory Group). 2016. United Nations General
921   Assembly Resolution. Accessed 17 June. http://www.insarag.org/en/about/ga-
922   resolution.html.
923
924 JEU (Joint United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP)/Office for the Coordination of
925   Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA) Environment Unit). 2000. Cyanide Spill at Baia Mare
926   Romania: Spill of Liquid and Suspended Waste at the Aurul S.A. Retreatement Plant.
927   Geneva: OCHA.
928
929 Krausmann, E., and Baranzini, D. 2012. Natech Risk Reduction in the European Union. *Journal
930   of Risk Research* 15(8): 1027-1047.
931
932 Legere, L. 2016. State Seismic Network Helps Tell Fracking Quakes from Natural Ones.
933   *Pittsburgh Post-Gazette*. June 26. http://powersource.post-
934   gazette.com/powersource/policy-powersource/2016/06/26/State-seismic-network-helps-
935   tell-fracking-quakes-from-natural-ones/stories/201606210014.
936
937 Linnerooth-Bayer, J., Eckenberg, L. and Vári, A. 2013. Catastrophe Models and Policy
938   Processes: Managing Flood Risk in the Hungarian Tisza River Basin – An Introduction.
939   In *Integrated Catastrophe Risk Modeling: Supporting Policy Processes*, A. Amendola et
940   al. Eds., 171-179. Dordrecht: Springer Science.
941
942 McClain, S.N., Bruch, C., and Secchi, S. 2016. Adaptation in the Tisza: Innovation and
943   Tribulation at the Sub-basin Level. *Water International* 0: 1-23.
944
945 Munich Re. 2005. Topics Geo Annual Review: Natural Catastrophes 2005. *Geo Risks Research*.
946   Munich: Munich Re Group.
947
948 Munich Re. 2016. Group Annual Report 2015. Munich: Munich Re.
949   https://www.munichre.com/site/corporate/get/documents_E1695037882/mr/assetpool.sha
950   red/Documents/0_Corporate%20Website/_Financial%20Reports/2016/Annual%20Report
951   %202015/302-08843_en.pdf.
952

953 Nagy, I., Ligetvári, F., and Schweitzer, F. 2010. Tisza River Valley: Future Prospects.
954    *Hungarian Geographical Bulletin* 59(4): 361-370.
955

956 NDGDM (National Directorate General for Disaster Management). 2010. Red Sludge – Hungary
957    2010. Ministry of the Interior. Budapest: Ministry of the Interior.
958    http://www.katasztrofavedelem.hu/index2.php?pageid=szervezet_red_sludge_2010&lang
959    =eng.
960

961 Nekoul, F.M., and Drexler, A. 2016. Do Insurers in Catastrophe-prone Regions Buy Enough
962    Reinsurance? *Chicago Fed Letter* No 360.
963    https://www.chicagofed.org/~/media/publications/chicago-fed-letter/2016/cfl360-pdf.pdf.
964

965 NERC (Natural Environmental Research Council). 2014. UNDAC Landslide Advisory Visit to
966    Serbia June 2014. Open Report IR/14/043. P. Hobbs Ed. Keyworth: British Geological
967    Survey.
968

969 Nijenhuis, R. 2014. The International Environmental Emergencies Response System: A Case
970    Study of Supertyphoon Haiyan (Yolanda), the Philippines. *Asian Journal of Environment*
971    *and Disaster Management* 6(2): 175-190.
972

973 OECD (Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development). 2015. Addendum No. 2 to
974    the OECD Guiding Principles for Chemical Accident Prevention, Preparedness, and
975    Response (2nd Ed.) to Address Natural Hazards Triggering Technological Accidents
976    (Natechs).
977    http://www.oecd.org/officialdocuments/publicdisplaydocumentpdf/?cote=env/jm/mono(2
978    015)1&doclanguage=en.
979

980 Picard, M. 2016. Water Treaty Regimes as a Vehicle for Cooperation to Reduce Water-Related
981    Disaster Risk: The Case of Southern Africa and the Zambesi Basin. In *The Role of*
982    *International Environmental Law in Reducing Disaster Risk*, Jacqueline Peel & David
983    Fisher eds. Leiden: Brill Nijhoff.
984

985 Rozario, K. 2007. The Culture of Calamity: Disaster & the Making of Modern America.
986    Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
987

988 Schneider, E. 2010. Floodplain Restoration of Large European Rivers, with Examples from the
989    Rhine and the Danube. In *Restoration of Lakes, Streams, Floodplains, and Bogs in*
990    *Europe: Principles and Case Studies*, 185–223. USA: Springer Science.
991

992 Schneller, K., Bálint, G. Chicos, A. Csete, M. Dzurdzenik, J. Göncz, A. Petrisor, A. Jaroslav, T.
993    and Pálvolgyi, T. 2013. Climate Change Impacts on the Hungarian, Romanian, and
994    Slovak Territories of the Tisza Catchment Area. In *European Climate Vulnerabilities and*
995    *Adaptation: A Spatial Planning Perspective*, P. Schmidt-Thomé and S. Greiving, Eds.,
996    205-229. New Jersey, USA: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
997

998   Smith, K. 2013. Environmental Hazards: Assessing Risk and Reducing Hazard. New York:
999   Routledge.
1000
1001   Stone, R. 2008. Three Gorges Dam: Into the Unknown. *Science* 321(5889): 628-632.
1002
1003   Swiss Re. 2016. Natural Catastrophes and Man-Made Disasters in 2015: Asia Suffers Substantial
1004   Losses. Sigma Report No 1/2016. Zurich: Swiss Re.
1005   http://media.swissre.com/documents/sigma1_2016_en.pdf.
1006
1007   UN (United Nations). 2003. Strengthening the Effectiveness and Coordination of International
1008   Urban Search and Rescue Assistance. Official Records of the General Assembly. Fifty-
1009   Seventh Session. A/RES/57/150.
1010
1011   UNECE (United Nations Economic Commission for Europe). 2009. Guidance on Water and
1012   Adaptation to Climate Change. Geneva: United Nations.
1013
1014   UNECE (United Nations Economic Commission for Europe). 2011. Second Assessment of
1015   Transboundary Rivers, Lakes and Groundwaters. New York and Geneva: UNECE.
1016
1017   UNEP (United Nations Environment Programme). 2002. Atlas of International Freshwater
1018   Agreements. Nairobi: UNEP.
1019
1020   UNEP (United Nations Environment Programme). 2011. Enhanced Coordination Across the
1021   United Nations System, Including the Environment Management Group. Twenty-Sixth
1022   Session. UNEP/GC.26/15.
1023
1024   UNHCR (United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees). 2016. UNHCR, Displacement and
1025   Disaster Risk Reduction. Geneva: UNHCR.
1026
1027   UNISDR (United Nations Institute for Disaster Reduction). 2015. Sendai Framework for
1028   Disaster Risk Reduction: 2015-2030. Geneva: UNISDR.
1029
1030   Verchick, R. 2012. Disaster Justice: The Geography of Human Capability. *Duke Environmental*
1031   *Law & Policy Forum* 23: 23-71.
1032
1033   WWF (World Wildlife Fund). 2003. Managing Rivers Wisely: Lessons from WWF's Work for
1034   Integrated River Basin Management. Introduction, Synthesis and Case Studies.
1035   Washington, DC: WWF.
1036   http://d2ouvy59p0dg6k.cloudfront.net/downloads/mrwdanubecasestudy.pdf.
1037
1038
1039
1040
1041
1042
1043