



1	Causes and consequences of the Sinkhole at "El Trebol" of Quito,				
2	Ecuador - Implications to economic damage and risk assessment				
3					
4					
5	Theofilos Toulkeridis ¹ *, Fabián Rodríguez ¹ , Nelson Arias Jiménez ² , Débora Simón				
6	Baile ¹ , Rodolfo Salazar Martínez ¹ , Aaron Addison ³ , Dora Carreón Freyre ⁴ , Fernando				
7	Mato ¹ and Carmen Díaz Perez ⁵				
8					
9	ttoulkeridis@espe.edu.ec, ffrodriguez3@espe.edu.ec, nelsonarias1959@gmail.com,				
10	ddsimon@espe.edu.ec, rjsalazar@espe.edu.ec, aaddison@wustl.edu,				
11	freyre@geociencias.unam.mx, fjmato@espe.edu.ec, carmen.diaz@espoch.edu.ec				
12					
13	¹ Centro Geoespacial, Universidad de las Fuerzas Armadas ESPE, Sangolquí, Ecuador				
14	² Empresa Metropolitana de Alcantarrillado y Agua Potable de Quito, Quito, Ecuador				
15	³ Washington University St. Louis, Missouri, USA				
16	⁴ Universidad Nacional Autonoma de México, Campus Queretaro, México				
17	⁵ Escuela Superior Politécnica de Chimborazo, Riobamba, Ecuador				
18					
19	*Corresponding author				
20					
21					
22					
23					
24 25 26 27	Part of this study has been presented at the NINTH INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON LAND SUBSIDENCE, NISOLS 2015, 15 - 19, November, 2015, Nagoya Congress Center, Nagoya, Japan				





28 Abstract

29 The so-called "El Trébol" is a critical road interchange in Quito connecting the north and south regions of the city. In addition, it connects Quito with the highly populated 30 31 "Los Chillos" valley, one of the most traveled zones in the Ecuadorian capital. El 32 Trébol was constructed in the late sixties in order to resolve the traffic jams of the 33 capital city and for that purpose the Machángara river was rerouted through a concrete 34 box tunnel. In March 2008, the tunnel contained a high amount of trash furniture that 35 had been impacting the top portion of the tunnel, compromising the structural 36 integrity. On the 31st of March 2008 after a heavy rainfall a sinkhole of great proportions was formed in the Trébol traffic hub. In the first few minutes, with an 37 38 initial diameter of 30 meters. The collapse continued to grow in the following days 39 until the final dimensions of 120 meters in diameter and some 40 meters of depth, 40 revealing the Machángara river at the base of the sinkhole.

A state of emergency was declared, the cause of the sinkhole was a result of the lack of monitoring of the older subterranean infrastructure where trash had accumulated and damaged the concrete tunnel that channelized the Machángara river until it was worn away for a length of some 20 meters, leaving behind the sinkhole and the fear of recurrence in populated areas.

In an intend to understand the causes and consequences of this sinkhole event, rainfall data are shown together with hydrogeological characteristics and a view back to the recent history of sinkhole lineation or arrangement of the city of Quito. The economic impact is also emphasized, where the direct costs of the damage and the reconstruction are presented and compared to indirect costs associated with this socionatural disaster. These analyses suggest that the costs of indirect financial damage, like time loss or delay, and subsequent higher expenses for different types of vehicles,





- are equivalent to many times the costs of the reconstruction of El Trébol.
- 54
- 55 Keywords: Subsidence, sinkholes, economic damage, Quito
- 56

57 **1. Introduction**

58 The so-called "El Trébol" is a critical road interchange in Quito connecting the north 59 and south regions of the city. In addition, it connects Quito with the highly populated 60 valley "De los Chillos", one of the most traveled zones in the Ecuadorian capital. El 61 Trébol was constructed in the late sixties in order to resolve the traffic jams of the 62 capital city and for that purpose the Machángara river was rerouted through a concrete 63 box tunnel. In March 2008, the tunnel contained a high amount of trash such as 64 refrigerators, furniture, old parts of vehicles and even some motorbikes. These materials were impacting the top portion of the tunnel, compromising the structural 65 66 integrity.

67 Considering this context, on the 31st of March 2008, during a regular working day, a 68 heavy rainfall took place within an already extremely wet season, and around 2 p.m., 69 a sinkhole of great proportions was observed in the Trébol traffic hub. In the first few 70 minutes, the sinkhole started to be generated in the form of a crater with a diameter of 71 30 meters. The collapse continued to grow in the following days until the final 72 dimensions of this almost round sinkhole reached approximately 120 meters in 73 diameter and some 40 meters of depth, revealing base of the sinkhole, and the 74 Machángara river.

Although fortunately no person was harmed in any way, the generation of this sinkhole paralyzed the traffic of the south-central part of the city for the following weeks and therefore the state of emergency was declared. Soon the cause of the





sinkhole was a result of the lack of monitoring of the older subterranean infrastructure

79 where trash had accumulated and compromized the concrete tunnel that channelized

80 the Machángara river until it was worn away for a length of some 20 meters leaving

81 behind the sinkhole and the fear of recurrence in populated areas.

82 In order to understand the causes and consequences of this sinkhole event, rainfall 83 data are shown together with hydrogeological characteristics and a view back to the 84 recent history of sinkhole lineation or arrangement of the city of Quito. The economic 85 impact is also emphasized, where the direct costs of the damage and the 86 reconstruction are presented and compared to indirect costs associated with this socio-87 natural disaster. These analyses suggest that the indirect financial damage, like time 88 loss or delay, and subsequent higher expenses for different types of vehicles, has been 89 many times the costs of the reconstruction of El Trébol.

90 Sinkholes can be of artificial, natural or combined origin as studied and observed in a 91 variety of cities and regions (Peek, 1991; Aisong and Jianhua, 1994; Salvati and 92 Sasowsky, 2002; Williams, 2003; Beck, 2004; Waltham et al., 2005). Sinkhole 93 collapses in urban areas however, may be a man-made result of a forgotten and 94 covered as well as outdated sewer system, of which its real magnitude appears to be a potential risk of unknown proportions. Such sinkholes may be absolutely devastating 95 96 in the area where they appear and the appearance itself may be with signs or without 97 any warning. Prominent examples of sinkholes appeared in recent years in the United 98 States like the Macungie Sinkhole in 1986 (Dougherty and Perlow Jr., 1988), Daisetta 99 Sinkhole in 2008 (Paine et al., 2009), and in Guatemala City, Guatemala 2007 and 100 2010 (Hermosilla, 2012) and even in desert areas like in Kuwait (Shaqour, 1994). 101 Man induced sinkholes are associated with the increasing industrialization and 102 urbanization of cities and the intense human economic activity in the investment of





103 the corresponding hydrological systems (Reese et al., 1997; Gutiérrez et al., 2007;

104 Brinkmann et al., 2008; Gutiérrez et al., 2009).

Based on considerably high economic damages caused by sinkholes in urban areas, the monitoring and detection with geophysical tools and geographic as well as historic data of sinkholes has recently been a major focus in city planning and hazard prevention (Condorff and Lagueux, 2000; Lei et al., 2004; Gutiérrez-Santolalla et al., 2005; Gutierrez et al., 2008; Brinkmann et al., 2008; Bruno et al., 2008; Kaufmann

and Romanov, 2009; Krawczyk et al., 2012; Margiotta et al., 2012).

In Quito (Ecuador), a variety of sinkholes occurred during the 20th century. However, 111 112 it has not been until 2008, when the great sinkhole within the area called "El Trebol" 113 appeared and reminded the city population and city planners to re-evaluate the spatial distribution of past sinkholes and further areas, which might be vulnerable of such a 114 115 hazard. It is of fundamental interest to society, and authorities, to identify their 116 potential distribution and to prevent the occurrence of further sinkholes, and assess 117 the potential economic damage and loss of lives. Therefore, the aim of this study is of 118 twofold as it focuses firstly on the causes of the sinkhole in 2008 and its direct and 119 indirect economic damage, while secondly a historic reconstruction of past sinkholes 120 and the older sewer system may be able to help to indicate where future hazards may 121 be triggered in order to monitor and prevent them involving adequate and corrective 122 mitigation measures in time.

123

124 2. Geological setting and geo-mechanical behavior of involved deposits

In Ecuador two prominent cordilleras limit to the west and to the east the so-called the Interandean Depression, which was created at the end of Lower Pliocene and where many thousands of meters of pyroclastics and sediments were deposited, mostly due





to the erosion and high volcanic activity up to the present day (Winter and Lavenù,
1989; Tibaldi and Ferrari, 1992; Coltori and Ollier, 2000). The "El Trebol" (traffic
hub) sinkhole is situated within the thick sequence of sediments of the Interandean
Depression, right next to the western flanks of the Pichincha Volcanic Complex
(Monzier et al., 2002; Robin et al., 2008). These Quaternary deposits are composed of
the (a) Cangahua formation, (b) Conglomerates, (c) alluvial sediments and (d)
refilling of sediments and materials (Clapperton and Vera, 1986).

135 The Cangahua Formation is a 25–30-m-thick sequence has usually a vellowish-136 brownish color and is composed of paleo-soils, fine-grained volcanic tuff, pumices, 137 eolian-reworked volcanic ash and glacial loess as well as occasionally having mud-138 flows and alluvial channels (Hall and Mothes 1997). Generally the grain size 139 distribution of the Cangahua Formation is silty to sandy, well consolidated, with low 140 permeability and extremely stable when dry. In contact with water, the strength of 141 these materials is highly reduced producing instability of slopes, which in turn 142 collapse in forms of big blocks (O'Rourke and Crespo, 1988).

The conglomerates, that outcrop in the vicinity of the sinkhole, are composed subrounded to rounded blocks and gravels, with a silty to sandy compacted matrix. Nonetheless, these deposits are absent in the stratigraphy of the sinkhole. In the lower part of the sequence are sub-rounded, up to meter-sized fluvial transported blocks, surrounded by smaller material of previous terraces. This deposit is very compact, presenting a high resistance to loosening

149 In order to construct the transport exchanger designed for that site, the deviation of

the Machángara river has been performed on a stretch of approximately 300 m. Above
this structure a silty-sand compacted filling was placed, corresponding to materials
involved in the subsidence. At the northeastern side of the compacted filling, a non





- damaged area was observed, having a middle-high strength, these materials presented
 N values between 17 and 28 obtained by a Standard Penetration Test (SPT)drilling.
 No water phreatic level has been observed in this area. Nonetheless, at the southern
 and southwestern side of the slopes of the sinkhole water flows have been observed at
 a depth of 20 m, which will be discussed in this paper.
- 158

159 3. Hydrological conditions

160 The distribution of rainfall along the year considering data from 1962 shows the 161 prevalence of two periods with abundant rainfall being these, February-May and 162 October-November respectively, while the drier seasons correspond to June-163 September and December-January (EMAAP-Q, 2006; Fig. 5). On the other hand, as 164 for the multiannual distribution of rainfall it is important to highlight that the wet 165 season between January and March of 2008 was considered the strongest of the last 166 20 years with accumulated rainfall during the first three months of 2008 being much 167 higher that the recorded during another heavy rainy seasons of 1989, 1993 and 2000 (Salazar et al., 2009). In particular, a peak of 21.2 1/m² was registered on the 31st of 168 March, 2008 during a heavy rainfall that lasted 4 hours from 1p.m. to 5 p.m (INAMHI, 169 170 2008; El Comercio, 2008; Salazar et al., 2009). Additionally, within the whole 2008 171 and coinciding in the three meteorological stations closest to El Trebol, March 172 recorded continuous raining since it was the month with the highest number of days of 173 rain, with 27 and 29 out of 31 days in Iñaquito and Izobamba respectively (Fig 5a, 5b, 174 5c).

The hydrographic network of the South Valley of Quito is classified as dendritic, having the Machángara as its main river course. The Machángara rises in the steep foothills of Atacazo volcano and crosses the valley from south-west to north-east running parallel to the basin until it reaches the Trebol area (Panecillo) where it takes





179 a turn to the East, gets deeper and flows to the valleys of Cumbayá and Tumbaco. 180 Another important drainage is the so-called "Quebrada Grande" that has its source in 181 the northwest foothills of Atacazo volcano and for a stretch it aligns parallel to the 182 Machángara river until it becomes its tributary. When it exits to the valley, the 183 Machángara river flow varies between 3 m³/sec during dry season and 170 m³/sec 184 during the wet season. Furthermore, the Machángara river is the main sewage receiver 185 of Quito.

186

187 5. Hydrogeology of the study area

188 After the subsidence and collapse took place, groundwater emerged in the south and 189 southwestern slopes at a depth of 20 meters from the upper rim of the slope (Fig. 6), 190 These water springs contributed with a total flow of around 6 l/s, which decreased 191 50% due to drilling of pumping wells strategically located. These springs revealed the 192 presence of a free aquifer located in the south side of the sinkhole. Indeed, the 193 existence of the aquifer was determined after field observations followed by three 194 drillings, the water table is located between 12 and 15 meters deep. The aquifer is 195 composed by granular materials within a silty sandy matrix, with a thickness of 196 around 18 m with a gradient from southwest to northeast, the direction to the 197 Machángara River (Fig. 7). The aquifer recharge probably takes place in the 198 Luluncoto gorge situated 2 km to the southwestern.

A flow of around 10 l/s was obtained from the aquifer considering two sources: (1) the continuous pumping from the wells and (2) the water springs from the south slope of the sinkhole. Despite this 10 l/s flow that was permanently extracted, the aquifer kept on flowing, suggesting that its recharge must have been higher than 10 l/s. Therefore, this flow should be taken into account when performing any reconstruction





- work in the area (Table 1).
- 205

206 5. Historical hydraulic facilities of the area

207 The site where El Trébol was constructed is about 300 - 600 m. downstream of the 208 confluence of Jerusalem and El Tejar streams with the Machángara River. Today, the 209 channeled stream of Jerusalem is the Boulevard 24 de Mayo Avenue and its extension 210 to the old bus station, current Qumandá Park. The channeled stream of El Tejar, after 211 passing below the historic center, merges and flows with the stream of Manosalvas 212 down in the low neighborhood of San Juan, in the area that is the center s transit 213 transfer, now known as La Marin, before reaching Machángara River. 214 As a result of uncontrolled growth of the city, this natural drainage system was conducted on sewer channels below the construction of roads for vehicular traffic., 215 216 Historical records include 12 sinking holes (Table 2; Fig. 8) of different magnitudes, 217 defined as "... declines or collapses of roadway in the filler material streams, caused by faulty sewers"; as well as the filling, initially performed with debris and garbage, 218 219 the age, type of construction and degree of deterioration of the physical work of the

channels, sewers and collectors (Pewter, 1986).

The former sinkholes were located on the old bed of the filled streams, so we can assume that it will continue to occur, especially in periods of daily rainfall above the historical average. In the area of El Trébol, the first serious collapse was registered the 31st of March 2008, 10 years after channels were made for the construction of the infrastructure to solve the problems of the traffic jams. Furthermore, there was a second sinkhole of lower rate on 10 January 2014 (Fig. 9).

227

228 6. Analysis of the causes of the sinkhole





229 The sinkhole generated in "El Trébol" site, involved 25.000 m³ of earthy material 230 disappeared. This area is the most important southern inter-connector of the city to the 231 "Los Chillos" Valley in the eastern end of Quito, but serves as well to connect the 232 southern to the northern side of the city, where an extremely high amount of vehicles 233 transit daily. This site was constructed four decades before its collapse. The sinkhole 234 started in form of a crater with a diameter of approximately 30 m emplifying its size constantly due to the instability of the slopes, when contact water from the high 235 precipitations prior to the event. Further presence of groundwater has been noticed in 236 237 the southern slope of the sinkhole, when the diameter reached some 120 m in 238 diameter and a depth of 40 m_determined from the top part down to the previously covered river. That river was detected after the visible collapse of the squeezed river 239 240 concrete channel of the river Machángara for a distance of some 20 m. The result of this subsidence led to a traffic collapse and the declaration of the state of emergency 241 242 of the Municipality of Quito. This analysis intend to identify the causes of this 243 subsidence and afterwards to search for further areas with similar problems and 244 vulnerabilities in order to avoid future disasters where potentially people could be 245 involved.

Three hypothesis were taken into consideration as the most potential cause for this
disaster: (a) extreme water discharge, (b) erosive process and chemical alteration
through time and finally (c) influence of the groundwater

249

250 6.1. Extreme water discharge

One of the most likely triggers may be the high amount of flowing and floating material that was carried by the river A strong rainfall with 21 liters per m², appears to have damaged the weakest area of the vault, located 145 meters from the gate of the Machángara river. Materials found two meters above the highest tunnel entrance





- 255 point, indicate that the channel was working under a high pressure. The detachment of
- the concrete cover of the vault of the channeled part of the tunnel produced a drag
- 257 filler, which formed in a few hours a conduct of about 30 meters in diameter at the
- surface, which later got even extended.
- 259

260 **6.2** Erosive processes and chemical alteration with time

As previously described, the Machángara river has a variable behavior in time. Typical significant decreases in dry seasons reached a minimum of 3 m^3 / s, while sharp increases in wet season, generated floods up to 170 m³ / s. These variations have been the main cause for the deterioration of the structural elements of the channel (iron and concrete) and will be described below.

266

267 6.2.1. Potential damage of structures by the river in dry season

268 Considering that the Machángara River is the largest recipient of discharges of sewage and industrial effluents from the city of Quito and water consumption in the 269 city is about 7 m³ / s and 40% of this flow (2.8 m³ / s), is for use of the southern part 270 271 of Quito, then we imply that in dry seasons the flow through the river Machángara 272 corresponds to sewage and industrial effluents that are produced in the south of the 273 city (Arias Jiménez, 2008). Furthermore, at drier times the potential of chemically 274 altered waters may be additionally influence structures by corrosion, also may cause 275 systematic deterioration of the elements and the weakening of the structures as a 276 whole. Nonetheless, as the waters flowing though the Machángara river are 277 sometimes altered, being slightly basic, this aspect may be disregarded.

278

279 6.2.2 Potential damage of structures by crescents of the river





280 In winter times the river has high flow rates, reaching up to 170 l / s, there is sufficient

281 capacity to both receive sewage and cause a dilution of the elements, changing hereby

282 dramatically the water chemistry (reduction of the conductivity, pH, etc.), becoming a

283 less aggressive water (Arias Jiménez, 2008).

284 The water with the chemical conditions described above, does not cause a significant

effect on the elements of the collector at all. The potential alteration is rather directly
related to the high speeds of the water and sediment carried by the river (boulders
large, scrap wood, etc), which colliding with the structures produce weakening,
fracturing and erosion, mainly at the floor (see figure 10a) (Arias Jiménez, 2008).

Based on the analysis of the behavior of the river in dry and wet seasons, we may be assure that the collapse of the channel was caused by a systematic alteration of structural elements by the erosion produced by big sediments and to a very less extend by the chemical action of the waters.

293 This hypothesis is based on the fact that in the collapsed collector on the left margin 294 of the channel there are no vestiges of the floor. It would have a higher weight 295 considering that the channel on that site makes a small break and the force of the 296 water colliding directly in the union of the right gable with the floor, causing 297 weakening and faulting. The water caused floor erosion, leaving the right transept 298 without support and initiated a process of dragging of sediments filling and covering 299 the channel, causing the phenomenon known as piping, a process that accelerated due 300 to the presence of underground streams water (Arias Jiménez, 2008), as illustrated in 301 Figures 10a-c.

As a result of piping a cavern was former, the soil lost sustainability and collapse of the filling occurred leading to the formation of the sinkhole in form of a crater. As stated above at first the sinkhole had a diameter of 30 to 40m, while a little later due





- 305 to the constant landslides caused by the action of rain and the presence of
- 306 groundwater it reached finally a diameter of 120 to 40 m.
- 307 Nonetheless, we do not rule out the hypothesis that the modification of the river with
- 308 the channel and squeezed river has been a factor in the collapse, because the river
- 309 sought its natural course.
- 310

311 **6.3 Influence by the groundwater.**

A last hypothesis to be considered is the potential influence of groundwater, directly related to the construction process. For the construction of the channel and subsequent filling thereof, the designs did not consider a drainage system for groundwater that surfaces in the south slope, to avoid saturation of the filling material and control the pore pressure, which may reach very high values. There are many examples where pore pressure is associated with the fracture of walls in hydraulic works such as dams, tunnels, reservoirs, viaducts, etc. (Arias Jiménez, 2008).

319

320 7. Direct and indirect financial damage

The Sinkhole in the traffic exchanger known as "El Trebol" was the consequence of previous downpour rain. According to Salazar et al. (2009) January, February and March of 2008 was a record making, just the day before of the sinkhole creation, rain fell with an intensity of 21,2 lts/m². All these heavy rain triggered an enormous pressure on old drainage system, which induced Machangara's River drainage vault to collapse.

The day after sinkhole, the Ecuadorean Government create a line of credit of 60 million USD to help the city and start the reconstruction (La Hora, 2008). At the same day, the Quito's Major and the City's Council created an emergency fund of 200





330 thousand dollars. This emergency fund was increased to about 1 million. The day 331 after drainage vault collapsed, rebuilding process started with a team of five hydraulic 332 excavators, five power shovels, ten roll-off trucks, four system equipment of mobile 333 industrial lighting. In the rebuilding process 210 workers teams were at the site 24/7 334 (El Universo, 2010). The reconstruction of the cloverleaf interchange took 8 months 335 to full traffic recovery, yet the entire reconstruction of the site took 22 months (El 336 Universo, 2010). El Trebol total reconstruction cost reached over 13 million US 337 dollars (Table 3).

However, this overall cost do not reflect the real cost because it does not take in account the externalities implicit with the sinkhole. An externality is defined as uncompensated effects that affect user utility o wellbeing the social cost (Cowen, 1992), meaning how users were affected by the sinkhole and cloverleaf interchange reconstruction. For instance, local authorities closed schools during the first week after the event, cost of students losing classes are not included neither teachers income lost who were in per hour contracts.

We analyzed those costs of users who were affected by and were not compensate for. Because of lack of official information, we concentrated our efforts to estimate the cost of losing time during the reconstruction of reconstruction of the cloverleaf interchange and drainage vault, as well as the additional cost in gasoline of users of this crucial cloverleaf interchange.

In case of additional cost in gasoline, there were eighty thousand of vehicles circulate and use El Trebol every day, in addition of 400 inter-parish public transportation buses (La Hora, 2008). In order to estimate the value lost by user, we concentrated in private transportation under the assumption that a car-owner who uses his car to go to his job and back home fill his car gas tank once a week. This assumption seems





reasonable for most of car owners. Yet, we did not include public transportation because we don't know how many times a transportation unit fills bus gas tank in a week. Based on public estimations, we used a value of 0.07 US dollars as additional cost that an owner has to pay to fill his gas tank. It is reasonable also to assume that a car owner spend 20 dollars/week filling his car tank. These 0.07 dollars seems a low bound, but still reasonable. Then, we multiply the value of one gallon of gasoline adding these 0.07 dollars.

362 Based on AIHE statistics (AIHE, 2015), we know that 21% of car owners used a 363 "super premium" gasoline which has a price of 2,00 dollars/gallon and 78% uses 364 "extra" gasoline with a price of 1,48 dollars/gallon. Based on the number of vehicles 365 which circulated at that time "El Trebol" every day, 80.000 cars/day, we can say that approximately 17 thousand cars used "super premium" gasoline and over 62 thousand 366 used "extra" gasoline type. We estimated that additional cost in gasoline for private 367 368 car owners was 85 million of dollars for those 8 months of traffic problems at "El 369 Trebol".

We did not include capital depreciation, even now, it is well known that keep a car running while waiting depreciate its value faster than normal conditions. We didn't include both public transportation and car owners who own a diesel engine car.

Concerning the cost of time lost (an opportunity cost), we estimate its value from per hour salary multiply for the additional time that users had to spend during the reconstruction process. We considered that a time between 25 up to 35 minutes are reasonable to believe users lost during their travel to workplaces or going back their homes. User lost between 2,23 up to 3,12 dollar/hour. This value is multiply for the total time lost during site reconstruction. Since user came from different directions, we estimate the lost value separately. According to media reports, at that time 80.000





private own vehicles circulated throughout "El Trebol" per day, 48.000 came "Los
Chillos" Valley (CPVTOTAL), 22.000 came from southern part of Quito
(CPSTOTAL), 10.000 were coming toward the valley or southern part of the city
(CPAvVITOTAL) and 400 units of public transportation (CPúTOTAL). Regarding
public transportation, we assume that each unit was carrying 40 passengers each trip,
which it is a low bound because rush hour, these units can be a full capacity (around
72 passengers).

Finally we estimate users' opportunity cost multiplying per hour lost times the time of
"El Trebol" reconstruction, which was total of 8 months. The users' opportunity cost
for each category is presented table 5.

390 Users lost a considerable amount of time when reconstruction took place, adding all 391 users (the aggregate value) it turned out that the real cost of the sinkhole increases 392 significantly. As the table shows, under the assumption that users lost only 25 min, 393 the opportunity cost reaches over 68 million dollars during the 8 months of 394 reconstruction, and under the assumption that user lost up to 35 minutes, the 395 opportunity cost reaches over 95 million. As a result the real cost (under 25 min 396 assumption) reached 133.316.960,61 dollars, a below 35 min assumption reached 397 160.665.120,61 as real cost.

The ratio between reconstruction cost and the other cost presents that reconstruction cost is only a low percent of real cost, as table 6 shows, the ratio between reconstruction cost and additional gasoline cost is only 0,26 meaning that reconstruction cost is only about 26% of real cost, only 19,8% of opportunity cost for 25 min, and 14,2% for 35 min.

403

404 8. Conclusions





405 As main conclusion of this event, after the analysis of cost damages we consider as a 406 priority to carry out corresponding actions to prevent future collapses. Taking in 407 consideration the alignment of the actual and past sinkholes, the zones in which the 408 alignments appear, need to be reinforced in order to avoid future disasters in these 409 areas. Besides the potential risk of lives losses, as demonstrated in our study, the real 410 costs of damages are much higher in the indirect damage of such sinkhole events 411 rather in the reconstruction of the disaster site itself. Unfortunately, the enforcement 412 of the potential subsidence areas did not take place yet, as demonstrated by a new 413 sinkhole in 2014 in a zone where the vulnerability has been previously emphasized. To prevent future collapses, from a risk-management approach we mainly sugges (\mathcal{D}) 414 415 the need for a detailed study about hydrological and hydrogeological characteristics of urban and industrial areas; (2) control plan to act on areas identified as potentially 416 417 vulnerable with monitoring and mitigation measures; and (3) the need to develop in 418 the future sustainable and sustainable urban and industrial projects considering a 419 hydrogeological approach.

420

421 Acknowledgements

We thank the Universidad de las Fuerzas Armadas ESPE for logistic and financial
support. We also aeknowledge the inspiration of the Facebook group called "All seary
sinkholes in one place" to publish this article, Fernando Mato acknowledges support
from the Prometeo Project of the National Secretariat of Higher Education, Science,
Technology and Innovation (SENESCYT), Ecuador.

427

428 References

429 AIHE, 2015: Estadísticas, Asociación de la Industria Hidrocarburífera del Ecuador,





430	Quito.
431	(http://www.aihe.org.ec/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=122:
432	estadisticas&catid=67&Itemid=142)
433	Aisong, D. and Jianhua, J., 1994: Land subsidence, sinkhole collapse and earth fissure
434	occurrence and control in China. Hydrological Sciences journal, 39(3): 245-256.
435	Arias Jiménez, N.E., 2008. Hundimiento El Trebol" Respuesta a la emergencia".
436	Unpublished Thesis, Instituto de Altos Estudios Nacionales, Quito, Ecuador:
437	52pp
438	Beck BF. 1991. On calculating the risk of sinkhole collapse. In Appalachian Karst.
439	Proceedings of the Appalachian Karst Symposium,
440	Beck, B.F., 2004: Soil piping and sinkhole failures. In Enyclopedia of caves. White
441	WB (ed). Elsevier: New York; 523–528.
442	Brinkmann, R., Parise, M. and Dye, D., 2008: Sinkhole distribution in a rapidly
443	developing urban environment: Hillsborough County, Tampa Bay area, Florida.
444	Engineering Geology, 99(3): 169-184.
445	Brinkmann, R., Parise, M. and Dye, D 2008: Sinkhole distribution in a rapidly
446	developing urban environment: Hi rough County, Tampa Bay area, Florida.
447	Engineering Geology, 99(3), 169-184.
448	Bruno, E., Calcaterra, D. and Parise, M., 2008: Development and morphometry of
449	sinkholes in coastal plains of Apulia, southern Italy. Preliminary sinkhole
450	susceptibility assessment. Engineering Geology, 99(3): 198-209.
451	Clapperton, C. M., and Vera, R., 1986: The Quaternary glacial sequence in Ecuador: a
452	reinterpretation of the work of Walter Sauer. Journal of Quaternary Science,
453	1(1), 45-56.
454	Coltorti, M. and Ollier, C.D., 2000: Geomorphic and tectonic evolution of the
455	Ecuadorian Andes. Geomorphology, 32: 1–19
456	Cowen, Tyler, ed., 1992: Public Goods and Market Failures. New Brunswick, N.J.:
457	Transaction Publishers.
458	El Comercio, 2010: Después de 2 años, el túnel de El Trébol, listo.
459	http://wwwwebecomercio.com/actualidad/quito/despues-anos-tunel-trebol-
460	listo.html
461	Dougherty, P. H. and Perlow Jr, M., 1988: The Macungie Sinkhole, Lehigh valley
462	Pennsylvania: Cause and repair. Environmental Geology and Water Sciences,
463	12 (2): 89-98.





464	El Universo, 01/04/2008: Se buscan vías alternas por hundimiento en sector El Trébol					
465	de Ouito. http://www.eluniverso.com/2008/04/01/0001/12/555F7445DA114F5					
466	9A5A7C7D8C0564C44.html					
467	EMAAP-Q, 2006: Estudio Hidrogeológico del acuífero del Valle de los Chillos".					
468	Quito, Ecuador: 112pp					
469	Gutiérrez-Santolalla, F., Gutiérrez-Elorza, M., Marín, C., Desir, G., & Maldonado, C.,					
470	2005: Spatial distribution, morphometry and activity of La Puebla de Alfindén					
471	sinkhole field in the Ebro river valley (NE Spain): applied aspects for hazard					
472	zonation. Environmental Geology, 48(3): 360-369.					
473	Gutierrez, F., Cooper, A. H. and Johnson, K. S., 2008: Identification, prediction, and					
474	mitigation of sinkhole hazards in evaporite karst areas. Environmental Geology,					
475	53(5): 1007-1022.					
476	Gutiérrez, F., Galve J.P., Guerrero, J., Lucha, P., Cendrero, A., Remondo, J.,					
477	Bonachea, J., Gutiérrez ,M. and Sánchez J.A., 2007: The origin, typology,					
478	spatial distribution and detrimental effects of the sinkholes developed in the					
479	alluvial evaporite karst of the Ebro River valley downstream of Zaragoza city					
480	(NE Spain). Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 32 (6): 912-28.					
481	Gutiérrez, F., Galve, J. P., Lucha, P., Bonachea, J., Jordá, L., and Jordá, R., 2009:					
482	Investigation of a large collapse sinkhole affecting a multi-storey building by					
483	means of geophysics and the trenching technique (Zaragoza city, NE Spain).					
484	Environmental Geology, 58(5): 1107-1122.					
485	Hall, M. and Mothes, P., 1997: El origen y edad de la Cangahua superior, valle de					
486	Tumbaco, Ecuador. In: Zebrowski C, Quantin P, Trujillo G (eds) Suelos					
487	volcánicos endurecidos. Mem III Symp Intern ORSTOM, Quito: 19-28					
488	Hermosilla, R. G. (2012). The Guatemala City sinkhole collapses. Carbonates and					
489	evaporites, 27(2), 103-107.					
490	Kaufmann, G. and Ron , D., 2009: Geophysical investigation of a sinkhole in the					
491	northern Harz foreland (North Germany). Environmental geology, 58(2), 401-					
492	405.					
493	Krawczyk, C.M., Polom, U., Trabs, S. and Dahm, T., 2012: Sinkholes in the city of					
494	Hamburg-New urban shear-wave reflection seismic system enables high-					
495	resolution imaging of subrosion structures. Journal of Applied Geophysics 78:					
496	133–143.					
497	La Hora, 06/04/2008: El Trebol se cayó en Quito.					

Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences Discussions



498	(http://www.lahora.com.ec/index.php/noticias/show/703827/-
499	1/El_Tr%C3%A9bol_se_cay%C3%B3_en_Quito.html#.VT4-MtJ_NHw)
500	Lei, M. T., Li, Y., Jiang, X. Z., Gan, F. P., & Meug, Y., 2004: Preliminary study on
501	the technology and method of sinkhole collapse monitoring and prediction: As
502	an example of sinkhole collapse monitoring station in Zhemu Village, Guilin
503	City. The Chinese Journal of Geological Hazard and Control, 15(7): 142-147.
504	Margiotta, S., Negri, S., Parise, M. and Valloni, R., 2012: Mapping the susceptibility
505	to sinkholes in coastal areas, based on stratigraphy, geomorphology and
506	geophysics. Natural hazards, 62(2), 657-676.
507	Monzier, M., Samaniego, P., Robin, C., Beate, B., Cotton, J., Hall, M.L., Mothes, P.,
508	Andrade, D., Bourdon, E., Eissen, J.P., Le Pennec, J.L., Ruiz, A.G., Toulkeridis,
509	T., 2002: Evolution of the Pichincha volcanic complex (Ecuador). Proceedings
510	of fifth international symposium on Andean geodynamics, Toulouse: 429-432
511	Municipio del Distrito Metropolitano de Quito (MDMQ): Balance de los Estudios
512	Urbanos (1985–2005) de la Coperación IRDMunicpio de Quito, in Movilidad,
513	elementos esenciales y riesgos en el Distrito Metropolitano de Que edited by:
514	Demoraes, F., Co-edición MDMQ-IFEA-IRD, Quito: 218pp, 2005
515	National Institute of Meteorology and Hydrology (INAHMI): Meteorological
516	yearbook, Nr. 50, Quito, Ecuador: 139pp, 2010
517	National Institute of Meteorology and Hydrology (INAHMI): Meteorological
518	yearbook, Nr. 48, Quito, Ecuador: 123pp, 2008
519	O'Rourke, T. D. and Crespo, E., 1988: Geotechnical properties of cemented volcanic
520	soil. Journal of Geotechnical Engineering, 114(10): 1126-1147.
521	Orndorff, R. C. and Lagueux, K. M., 2000: Using geographic information systems
522	(GIS) to determine geologic controls on the distribution of sinkholes in the
523	Ozarks of south-central Missouri. In Abstracts with Programs-Geological
524	Society of America (Vol. 32, No. 3, p. 38).
525	Paine, J. G., Collins, E. W., Wilson, C. R., Buckley, S. and John, A., 2009:
526	Preliminary investigations of subsidence, collapse, and potential for continued
527	growth of the Daisetta Sinkhole, Liberty County, Texas. 17 pp.
528	Peltre, P., 1989: Quebradas y riesgos naturales en Quito, in: Riesgos Naturales en
529	Quito, edited by: Peltre, P., Corporación Editora Nacional, Quito, Ecuador: 45-
530	89.

Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences Discussions



531	Reese, A. J., Cantrell, A. and Scarborough, J., 1997: Sinkhole and drainage planning
532	in Johnson City, Tennessee. The Engineering Geology and Hydrogeology of
533	Karst Terranes, 265-271.
534	Robin, C., Samaniego, P., Le Pennec, J.L., Mothes, P. and van der Plitch J., 2008:
535	Radiocarbon dating of Late Holocene phases of dome growth and Plinian
536	activity at Guagua Pichincha volcano (Ecuador). J Volcanol Geotherm Res 176:
537	7–15
538	Salazar, D., Demoraes, F., Bermúdez, N. and Zavgorodniaya, S., 2010: De Trébol a
539	girasol: consecuencias de un hundimiento ocurrido el 31 de marzo de 2008 en
540	un eje esencial de la red vial de la ciudad de Quito. Bulletin de l'Institut français
541	d'études andines, 38, 3: 561-572.
542	Salvati, R. and Sasowsky, I.R., 2002: Development of collapse sinkholes in areas of
543	groundwater discharge. Journal of Hydrology, 264: 1-11.
544	Shaqour, F., 1994: Hydrogeologic role in sinkhole development in the desert of
545	Kuwait. Environmental Geology, 23(3): 201-208.
546	Tibaldi, A. and Ferrari, L., 1992: Latest Pleistocene-Holocene tectonics of the
547	Ecuadorian Andes. Tectonophysics 205: 109-125.
548	Waltham, T., Bell, F. and Culshaw, M., 2005: Sinkholes and Subsidence. Springer:
549	Chichester.
550	Williams, P., 2003: Dolines. In Encyclopedia of Caves and Karst Science, Gunn J
551	(ed). Fitzroy Dearborn: New York; 304-310.
552	Winter, Th. and Lavenù, A., 1989: Morphological and microtectonic evidence for a
553	major active right-lateral strike-slip fault across central Ecuador South America.
554	Ann. Tecton. 3, 2: 123–139.
555	
556	
550	
557	





557 **Table captions**

558

559 Table 1: Characteristics of wells and slopes

Description	Depth (m)	Diameter (inches)	Depth of phreatic level (m)	Depth of static level (m)	Depth of pumping (m)	Volume l/s.
Well 1	32	8	12	20	25	1.2
Well 2	37	8	15	22	24	2.0
Well 3	38	8	15	23	27	4.0
Slopes	20		-	-	-	3.0
					TOTAL:	10.2

560

561 Table 2: Historical register of sinkholes and collapses

Former or or or or the	Streets (on top of former streams)			
Former event	where sinkholes occurred			
29/05/1907	Morales (La Ronda), Benalcázar y García Moreno			
09/05/1909	Benalcázar, Espejo			
02/03/1910	Benalcázar, Sucre			
10/04/1911	Avenida 24 de Mayo			
07/01/1919	Pereira al norte de Santo Domingo			
06/03/1920	Flores (Manosalvas), Sucre			
24/02/1921	Del Correo (Venezuela), Espejo			
06/10/1922	Guayaquil, Manosalvas			
06/10/1922	Mideros			
14/12/1922	Morales (La Ronda), García Moreno			
10/01/1928	Venezuela			
21/03/1928	Guayaquil, Sucre, Bolívar			
25/04/1950	Rocafuerte, Guayaquil			
24/01/1983	Paredes, Morales (La Ronda)			
29/01/1983	García Moreno, Venezuela, Guayaquil			

Associated former stream

Jerusalem Manosalvas/La Marín El Tejar Jerusalem Manosalvas/La Marín Manosalvas/La Marín Manosalvas/La Marín Manosalvas/La Marín Manosalvas/La Marín Jerusalem Manosalvas/La Marín Manosalvas/La Marín Manosalvas/La Marín Jerusalem , Manosalvas La Cava

562

563 Table 3: List of the costs of the reconstruction in US\$. Data from MDMQ (2015)

NEW TUNNEL	7.575.872,21
RENTAL OF EQUIPMENT	352.622,93
LAND MOVEMENT	151.526,15
LABOR	801.546,35
CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS	435.495,03
SERVICES	219.061,16
OTHER COSTS	31.236,78
EMOP	2.000.000,00
VIDA PARA QUITO	2.000.000,00
TOTAL	13.567.360,61

564 565

Table 4: Vehicle type and different gasoline prices in US\$

VEHICLE	GASOLINE PRICE DOLLAR/		
ТҮРЕ	GALLON	TOTAL COST ¹	
17456	2,00	11.210.941,44	
62544	1,48	40.168.258,56	
TOTAL GASO	LINE	51.379.200,00	

1 adding 0,07 dollars





566 567	Table 5: Cost category expressed in delay of 25 and 35 minutes						
	COST CATEGORY	25 min	35 min				
	CPVTOTAL	34.185.200,00	47.859.280,0	0			
	CPSTOTAL	15.668.216,67	21935503,3	3			
	CPAvVITOTAL	7.121.916,67	9970683,33	3			
	CPúTOTAL	11.395.066,67	15953093,3	3			
-	TOTAL COST	68.370.400,00	95.718.560,0	0			
568 569	Table 6: Cost category						
	COST CATEGORY		AMOUNT \$	RATIO			
	RECONSTRUCTION CO	ST	13.567.360,61				
	ADDITIONAL GASOLIN	IE COST	51.379.200,00	0,264			
	OPPORTUNITY COST 2	25 min	68.370.400,00	0,198			
	OPPORTUNITY COST 3	5 min	95.718.560,00	0,142			
570							

571

572 Figure captions







573

574 Figure 1: Overview of the setting of a) Ecuador, b) Province of Pichincha, c) city of Quito, d) El Trebol and 575 surrounding infrastructure







576

577 Figure 2: Initial stage of sinkhole at "El Trebol".



578

Figure 3: Panoramic view of the final stage of the sinkhole at "El Trebol". On the background the Panecillo volcanic dome.







581

582 Figure 4: View into the sinkhole with the appearance of the Machángara river at its base.







583

584 585 586 587 588 Figure 5 a, b, c: Total monthly rainfall, average multiannual rainfall number of days with rain per month (higher than 0.1 mm) of the three meteorological stations closest to El Trebol (, Iñaquito and La Tola). A) Izobamba: Lat.: -0.366089, Long.: -78.555061; Alt.: 3085.00 m.a.s.l.; B) Iñaquito: Lat.: -0.175000; Long.: -78.485278; Alt.: 2789.12; C) La Tola Lat.: -0.175000; Long.: -78.485278; Alt.: 2789.12 m.a.s.l. From INAHMI (2010).







589

590 Figure 6: Southern and southwestern side of the inner part of the sinkhole, where water flows.



591

592 Figure 7: Conceptual model of the aquifer with the site of the wells and the flowing direction.







593

Figure 8: Historical subsidences at Machángara river, including the El Trébol sector (marked with the red circle).



596



 $598 \qquad (\text{big red circle}) \text{ and the recent in 2014 (small red circle)}.$

599







Figure 10: a) Schematic view of the channelized river, the characteristics of the terrain and of the compacted
fill; b) Alteration of the compacted fill, process of piping and migration of materials, initial stage of the
collapse; c) Formation of sinkhole, material drags surroundings due to river activity.