

1 **Selective deposition response to aeolian-fluvial**
2 **sediment supply in the desert braided channel of the**
3 **Upper Yellow River, China**

4
5 **Haibing Wang,^{1*} Xiaopeng Jia¹ and Yongshan Li**

6 [1] {Key Laboratory of Desert and Desertification, Cold and Arid Regions
7 Environmental and Engineering Institute, Chinese Academy of Sciences. }

8 [*]{now at: Lanzhou, Gansu Province 730000, PR China}

9 Corresponding to: Haibing Wang(E-mail: hbwang@lzb.ac.cn)

10

11 **Abstract**

12 Rivers flow across aeolian dunes and develop braided stream channels. Both aeolian
13 and fluvial sediment supplies regulate sediment transport and deposition in such a
14 cross-dune braided river. Here we show a significant selective deposition in response
15 to both aeolian and fluvial sediment supplies in the Ulan Buh desert braided channel.
16 This selective deposition developed by the interaction between the flows and the
17 Aeolian-fluvial sediment supplies, making the coarser sediments (>0.08 mm) from
18 aeolian sand supply and bank erosion to accumulate in the channel center and the
19 finer fluvial sediments (< 0.08 mm) to be deposited on the bar and floodplain surfaces
20 and forming a coarser-grained thalweg bed bounded by finer-grained floodplain
21 surfaces. This lateral selective deposition reduces the downstream sediment transport
22 and is a primary reason for the formation of “above-ground river” in the braided reach
23 of the Upper Yellow River in response to aeolian and fluvial sediment supplies.

24 **Keywords**

25 Braided channel; aeolian and fluvial sediment supply; lateral channel shift; lateral size

26 segregation; Yellow River.

27 **1 Introduction**

28 In nature, some rivers flow across active dune fields and become shifting and braiding
29 and develop many channel bars and large-area floodplains (Smith and Smith, 1984;
30 Ta et al., 2008). Smith and Smith (1984) indicated that abrupt addition of aeolian
31 sands to rivers can lead to a 40-fold increase in bed load, a 5-fold increase in width
32 and a 10-fold increase in width/depth ratio in a small desert William River, and is a
33 primary mechanism for the development of such a braided stream channel. Actually,
34 large cross-dune rivers are also fed by high rates of upstream suspended sediment
35 supplies (Ta et al., 2008, 2011). However, it is still less clear about how these two
36 aeolian and fluvial sediment supplies can be regulated to influence sediment transport
37 and deposition in such a cross-dune desert river.

38 In gravel-bed rivers, the channel bed is composed of two components: sand (< 2 mm)
39 and gravel (> 2 mm). Wilcock (1998) indicated that the sand supply (< 2 mm) has a
40 great impact on gravel transport and size change of bed sediment in gravel-bed rivers.
41 If the sand supply is decreased or increased from upstream, the channel bed of
42 gravel-bed rivers will become coarsening or fining, respectively (Dietrich et al., 1989;
43 Ferguson et al., 1989; Parker and Sutherland, 1990; Hoey and Ferguson, 1994;
44 Pizzuto, 1995; Ferguson et al., 1996; Wilcock, 1998; Gasparini et al., 1999; Lisle et
45 al., 2000; Wilcock and Kenworthy, 2002; Singer, 2008). Since cross-dune rivers with
46 a low gradient are sand-bed rivers, their bed sediment sizes are actually less than 2
47 mm and are in a state of fully mobilized transport, which makes differences in
48 threshold of motion between coarser and finer grains relatively unimportant and give
49 all-sized sediments equal mobility (Frings, 2008). Church (2006) indicated that
50 bedloads are relatively coarser and make up the beds and lower banks of the river
51 channel, but suspended loads are finer and may be an important constituent of the
52 upper banks. Ta et al. (2011) also showed that mid-channel bars are distinguished
53 with a finer surface layer (<0.08 mm) developing on a subsurface layer (>0.08 mm) in
54 the sand-bed braided and meandering rivers in the upper Yellow River. These results

55 suggest that although the sand-bed channel shows an equal threshold of motion
56 between coarser and finer grains, it can actually transport and deposit sediments
57 selectively rather than uniformly (Frings, 2008; Wright and Parker, 2005; Ta et al.,
58 2011). Because aeolian sands generally are coarser than river suspended sediments in
59 grain size (Ta et al., 2011), we propose that aeolian and fluvial sediment supplies may
60 be transported as bedloads and suspended loads, respectively, leading to selective
61 deposition in different zones to form size segregation in braided channels. However,
62 until now, there have been no field and flume data to support this hypothesis.
63 Nonetheless, understanding the size segregation mechanism is important for
64 predicting sediment transport and deposition and channel change in braided channels.

65 Here we present a field evidence of selective deposition in the Ulan Buh desert
66 braided channel of the Yellow River, China, which respond to finer (< 0.08 mm)
67 suspended sediment supply from the upstream and coarser (> 0.08 mm) aeolian sand
68 supply from the Ulan Buh desert (Ta et al., 2011). [The mean percentage of the coarser](#)
69 [\(\$> 0.08\$ mm\) and finer \(\$< 0.08\$ mm\) grains of the aeolian dunes from the Ulan Buh](#)
70 [desert are 95.34% and 4.66%, respectively.](#) Our main objective is to clarify the lateral
71 selective deposition mechanism in response to aeolian and fluvial sediment supplies in
72 a large, low-gradient, and sand-bed braided river, and to shed some light on what the
73 effect of this selective deposition is on the channel morphologies in braided rivers.

74 **2 Study area**

75 To clarify the size segregation mechanism in response to the aeolian and fluvial
76 sediment supply, we chose the 60-km long section of the Ulan Buh desert channel of
77 the Yellow River, China (Figure 01). This desert channel is a braided channel with an
78 average gradient of 0.00028 and has no tributaries confluence. According to long-term
79 observations (Data is from 1955 to 2007) by the Yellow River Conservation
80 Commission (YRCC), the finer sediment supply (<0.08 mm) from the upstream
81 (monitored in the Shizuishan gauge, see in Figure.01a) is about 1.23×10^8 t yr⁻¹ but
82 about 1.21×10^8 t yr⁻¹ of the suspended sediment is transported out of the desert
83 channel (monitored in the Bayangaole gauge, see in Figure 01a.) (Figure 02). Ta et al.

84 (2008) estimated that the coarser sediment (>0.08 mm) input from the local Ulan Buh
85 desert region is about 0.2×10^8 t yr⁻¹ on long-term average. This desert channel shows
86 aggrading and a significant decrease in **bankfull discharge** during recent 30 years (Wu
87 and Li, 2011) and is characterized by lateral shift, leading to a wide distribution of
88 river bars and floodplains (Ta et al., 2008). Therefore, this desert channel provides an
89 unusual opportunity to study size segregation in response to the aeolian and fluvial
90 sediment supply and the development of large-area floodplains in large braided rivers.

91 **3 Methods**

92 In the Ulan Buh desert reach, the Yellow River Engineering and Management Bureau
93 of the Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region (YREMB) have staked 23 cross-sections
94 and surveyed them at April and October per year for about 45 years (1966-at present).
95 Along these cross sections, sediment samples on the main channel beds and bars or
96 floodplain surfaces have also been collected and analyzed their size distributions.
97 These works provide long-term data on changing in the channel lateral shift and the
98 grain size of bed sediments. However, there have been no reports on the lateral size
99 segregation in response to the aeolian and fluvial sediment supplies and the related
100 lateral channel shifts. Here we choose 12 wider cross sections (C8, C10, C12,
101 C14-C22) in the desert channel (Figure 01b), which is fed by aeolian and fluvial
102 sediment supply and shows high rates of lateral channel shifts. We compiled 45-year
103 (1966-2011) monitoring data of these 12 cross profiles and their related grain size
104 distributions of bed sediments (C15, C17, C19, and C21 were not included because
105 their bed sediments were not collected) from the YREMB to study the lateral size
106 segregation of the channel bed sediments and the lateral channel shift in the Ulan Buh
107 desert braided reach. We chose 16 channel bars (N1-N16) in the desert channel and
108 took 3-m-deep core sediment samples from them (Figure 01b). These sediment cores
109 were cut and separated to obtain 4-cm column samples and dried and sieved to
110 analyze the vertical size distributions. We collected suspended sediment samples at
111 three vertical profiles in the main channel with a 30-cm height interval at the
112 1.5-m-thick near-bed layer and a 50-cm height interval at the upper layer along the

113 cross section in the Sanhuhekou gauge station (Figure 01a) to analyze the vertical size
114 distribution of suspended sediments. These data were used to analyze the selective
115 transport of all-sized transported sediments during the passage of a flood. We also
116 choose 11 high-flow floods ($>3500 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$) from 1955 to 2012 (monitored in
117 Shizuishan and Bayangaole gauges), which provide strong evidences to clarify that
118 the suspended sediment loads in the desert braided channel should be attributed to
119 upstream sediment supplies rather than bed coarser sediments from aeolian supplies or
120 bank erosions.

121 **4 Results**

122 The long-term monitoring data of 12 wider cross-sections in the Ulan Buh desert
123 reach of the Yellow River indicated that the main channel beds show coarser-grained
124 ($> 0.08 \text{ mm}$) but the bar or floodplain surfaces finer-grained ($< 0.08 \text{ mm}$) (Figure 03),
125 suggesting a lateral size selective deposition in response to coarser aeolian (> 0.08
126 mm) and finer fluvial ($< 0.08 \text{ mm}$) sediment supplies. This lateral sediment deposition
127 is also found to be accompanied with a vertical size selective deposition, leading to a
128 finer surface layers ($< 0.08 \text{ mm}$) developing over a coarser subsurface layers (> 0.08
129 mm) in the 16 channel bars in the desert braided channel (Figure 04). These finer
130 surface layers show thinner (50-120 cm) in thickness and lacking sometimes. For
131 example, there is no finer surface layer in the 5 channel bars (N3, N8, N9, N13, and
132 N14) in the braided channel. Our observations also indicated that this selective
133 deposition is primarily accommodated through a selective transport, during which the
134 $< 0.08\text{mm}$ size fraction is found to be transported as suspended loads, but the > 0.08
135 mm size fraction is transported primarily as the bedloads (Figure 05) at flow discharge
136 conditions ($1000\text{-}3000 \text{ m}^3\text{s}^{-1}$) (Figure 06). These results support our hypothesis and
137 indicate that the aeolian and fluvial sediment supplies cannot be mixed effectively in
138 the braided channel and therefore tend to be primarily transported separately as
139 bedloads and suspended loads, respectively, leading to selective deposition in
140 different zones and forming a coarse-grained main channel bed bounded by
141 fine-grained bar or floodplain surfaces.

142 This lateral size segregation develops through the lateral channel shift in the braided
143 channel. When the channel migrates towards the ACB, the aeolian dunes are eroded
144 and side bars develop in the opposite banks or mid-channel bars develop between the
145 channels; but if the channel moves leaving from the ACB, the sand bars form between
146 the main channel and the ACB, which in turn block the wind-blown sands entering the
147 stream channel (Figure 07). This lateral channel shift makes the coarse aeolian sands
148 to accumulate around the channel center and drives the suspended sediments to be
149 deposited on the surfaces of sand bars. During this lateral shift, the main thalweg bed
150 had risen about 1.33 m on average with the range of 0.169 to 2.295 m during the
151 forty-five years (Figure 08).

152 Although the channel shows high-rates of lateral shifts in response to high-rate flow
153 discharges, the suspended sediment concentrations in the desert reach primarily vary
154 in response to sediment supplies from the upstream but showed surprisingly low
155 (6.48-6.88) in response to the flow discharge peaks near 5000 m³s⁻¹ (Figure 09 and
156 10). Linear fitting using a least squares method indicates that when the flow discharge
157 ranges from 3500 to 5210 m³s⁻¹, the suspended sediment load in the desert braided
158 channel can actually be regulated by the upstream supplies rather than the flow
159 discharges (Figure 11). This result indicates that the > 0.08 mm bed sediments may be
160 hard to be suspended from the bed as suspended sediment loads, in such a low
161 gradient (0.00025) and sand-bed river during the passage of a flood. The result also
162 indicates that the portion of the finer (<0.08mm) sediments from the sand bar or
163 floodplain can be transported downstream as suspended sediment loads, which make
164 the suspended sediment load at the Bayangaole gauging station greater than that at Shizuishan
165 gauging station.

166 **5 Discussions and conclusions**

167 Our results suggest that the significant lateral size segregation can be produced in
168 response to aeolian and fluvial sediment supplies in our studied braided channel.
169 Aeolian sand supply and bank erosion provides enough available bedloads which
170 contribute to the primary bed sediments and control the development of the braided

171 channel. Although fluvial sediment supplies from the upstream are larger in quantity
172 than the aeolian sand supplies, they actually are wash loads, which shows a
173 well-known phenomenon of “the more it come, the more it goes” (Wu et al., 2008),
174 and therefore cannot be deposited in the main channel bed but can be deposited in
175 slack water on bar tops and overbank during floods (Church, 2006). Since the braided
176 channel is unstable and shifts in lateral, the bar or floodplain tends to be eroded and its
177 surface finer sediments can be transported downstream as suspended loads, but the
178 coarser subsurface sediments shows local erosion-and-deposition processes and
179 thereby should be of the major importance in determining the braided channel
180 morphology (Church, 2006) .

181 Some studies have shown that the helical secondary flow (HSF) develops by skewing
182 of cross-stream vorticity into a long-stream direction, carrying fast surface water
183 towards the outer bank and slower bed water towards the inner bank in a braided or
184 meandering channel (Dietrich and Smith, 1983; Thorne et al., 1985). The HSF erodes
185 the outer bank and fills the inner bank, leading to an asymmetrical cross-section and a
186 lateral channel shift. Because the cross profile of the stream channel is roughly a
187 parabola and because the downslope gravity component of sand grains is a body force
188 and the fluid drag component a surface force, increased grain size of sediments causes
189 increasing in the downslope gravity component of grains greater than that in the fluid
190 drag and accelerates coarse sediments accumulating in the channel centre (Wilson,
191 1973).

192 Although the HSF was not examined in our studies, it plays an essential role in the
193 development of the lateral size segregation in response to aeolian and fluvial sediment
194 supplies. As wind-blown sands move downwind and meet the stream channel, the
195 wind cannot carry sands continuously in the water, and therefore tend to deposit
196 aeolian sands on channel sides to form aeolian dunes in the stream banks. At this
197 condition, if the HSF drives the channel to migrate towards the aeolian-sand-covered
198 bank, the aeolian dunes are eroded and the aeolian sands can creep along the outer bed
199 slope towards the channel center under the influence of the fluid drag and the gravity

200 component; but on the inner bed slope in the opposite bank, the fluid drag and the
201 gravity component show different direction and their balance will determine and
202 separate the coarser and finer sediment deposition zones, making the coarser
203 sediments to accumulate the channel bottom and the finer suspended sediments to
204 deposit on the bar platform surfaces. However, if the HSF drives the main channel
205 leaving from the aeolian-sand-covered bank, the suspended sediments in turn tend to
206 be transported towards the aeolian-sand-covered bank and to be deposited to form
207 new bars or to expand old floodplains. This expanded floodplain thereby separates the
208 main channel and the aeolian-sand-covered bank and blocks wind-blown sands
209 entering the main channel. This channel shifts continuously back and forth, making
210 coarser aeolian sands to accumulate in the channel center and causing finer sediments
211 to deposit on the bar or floodplain surfaces on the channel sides, and is a primary
212 mechanism for the long-term size segregation and channel change in the braided
213 channel.

214 Our results suggest that the braided channel shows stronger in the lateral size
215 segregation than in the longitudinal size segregation, which is supported by evidences
216 that the cross-profile adjusting much more rapidly than the long profile to changing
217 conditions, as suggested by Wilson (1973). Because aeolian sand supply originates
218 from river banks, which differs from fluvial sediment supply through stream channels,
219 the traditional downstream fining of bed sediments shows no significant in the aeolian
220 sand-fed braided channel. Frings (2008) argued that the size segregation primarily
221 resulted from the presence of suspended load transport in combination with the effects
222 of dune and bend sorting in large sand-bedded rivers, making selective transport and
223 deposition of different size sediments and producing downstream fining of bed
224 sediments. Although he mainly focused the longitudinal size segregation in large
225 sand-bedded rivers, he also realizes the importance of sediment addition and
226 extraction, and overbank sedimentation on the bed size changes in large sand-bedded
227 rivers.

228 We propose that, in rivers which flow through aeolian dunes, increased bedload

229 supply as a result of increased aeolian processes or accelerated bank erosions may
230 cause the channel fill by coarser sediments and consequently a shallow and shifting
231 braided channel. If accelerated erosion leads to increased finer sediment supply from
232 upstream, the HSF will respond to transport the suspended sediment towards the
233 stream sides and to accelerate the deposition of the finer sediments on the point bar or
234 floodplain surfaces. Since the HSF causes the lateral selective deposition and
235 consequently reduces the downstream sediment transport, the braided channel will
236 show aggrading in response to both aeolian and fluvial sediment supplies. This
237 mechanism may explain the formation of the “above-ground river” in the braided
238 reach of the Upper Yellow River (Ta et al., 2011). Because the HSF may regulate the
239 lateral size segregation in braided and meandering rivers, HSF-size segregation
240 interaction should be a topic deserving further consideration and study.

241 **Acknowledgements**

242 This work was supported by the National Basic Research Program of China
243 (No.2011CB403302) and the One-Hundred Talents Project of CAS “Desert Surface
244 Processes and Mechanisms” and the Natural Science Foundation of China
245 (No.41171011).

246 **References**

- 247 Anderson SP, Anderson RS.: Debris-flow benches: dune-contact deposits record
248 paleo-sand dune positions in north Panamint Valley, Inyo County, California.
249 *Geology*. 18, 524–527, 1990.
- 250 Church M.: Bed material transport and the morphology of alluvial river channels.
251 *Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci.*, 34, 325–354, 2006.
- 252 Dietrick WE, Smith JD.: Influence of the point bar on flow through curved channels.
253 *Water Resources Research* .,19, 1173–1192, 1983.
- 254 Ferguson R, Hoey T, Wathen S, Werritty A.: Field evidence for rapid downstream
255 fining of river gravels through selective transport. *Geology* . , 24: 179–182, 1996.

256 Ferguson R, Prestegard KL, Ashworth PJ.: Influence of sand on hydraulics and
257 gravel transport in a braided gravel bed river. *Water Resources Research* . 25,
258 635–643, 1989.

259 Frings RM.: Downstream fining in large sand-bed rivers. *Earth-Science Reviews* , 87,
260 39–60, 2008.

261 Gasparini NM, Tucker GE, Bras RL.: Downstream fining through selective particle
262 sorting in an equilibrium drainage network. *Geology* ., 27, 1079–1082, 1999.

263 Hoey TB, Ferguson R.: Numerical simulation of downstream fining by selective
264 transport in gravel bed rivers: Model development and illustration. *Water Resources*
265 *Research.*, 30, 2251–2260, 1994.

266 Lisle TE, Nelson JM, Pitlick J, Madej MA, Barkett Bl.: Variability of bed mobility in
267 nature, gravel-bed channels and adjustments to sediment load at local and reach scales.
268 *Water Resources Research.*, 29, 3623–3629, 2000.

269 Parker G, Sutherland AJ.: Fluvial armor. *J. hydraul. Res.*, 28, 529–544, 1990.

270 Pizzuto JE.: Downstream fining in a network of gravel-bedded rivers. *Water*
271 *Resources Research.*, 31, 753–759, 1995.

272 Singer MB.: Downstream patterns of bed material grain size in a large, lowland
273 alluvial river subject to low sediment supply. *Water Resources Research.*, 44,
274 W12202, 2008.

275 Smith ND, Smith DG.: William River: An outstanding example of channel widening
276 and braiding caused by bed-load addition. *Geology.*, 12, 78–82, 1984.

277 Ta W, Xiao H, Dong Z.: Long-term morphodynamic changes of a desert reach of the
278 Yellow River following upstream large reservoirs' operation. *Geomorphology.*, 97,
279 249–259, 2008.

280 Ta W, Wang H, Jia X.: Downstream fining in contrasting reaches of the sand-bedded
281 Yellow River. *Hydrol. Processes.*, 25,3693–3700, 2011.

282 Thorne CR, Zevenbergen LW, Pitlick JC, Rais S, Bradley JB, Julien PY. Direct
283 measurements of secondary currents in a meandering sand-bed river. *Nature.*, 315,
284 746–747,1985.

285 Wilcock PR.: Two-fraction model of initial sediment motion in gravel-bed rivers.
286 *Science .*, 280, 410–412, 1998.

287 Wilcock PR, Kenworthy ST.: A two-fraction model for the transport of sand/gravl
288 mixtures. *Water Resources Research.*, 38,W1194, 2002.

289 Wilson I.: Equilibrium cross-section of meandering and braided rivers. *Nature.*, 241,
290 393–394, 1973.

291 Wright S, Parker G.: Modeling downstream fining in sand-bed rivers. I: formation.
292 *Journal of Hydraulic Research.*, 43, 613–620, 2005.

293 Wu BS, Li LY. 2011, Delayed response model for bankfull discharge predictions in
294 the Yellow River. *International Journal of Sediment Research* 26:445–459.

295 Wu BS, Maren DS, Li LY. Predictability of sediment transport in the Yellow River
296 using selected transport formulas. *International Journal of Sediment Research.*, 23,
297 283–298, 2008.

298 **Figure captions**

299 Figure 01. Schematic illustration of the Ulan Buh desert braided channel of the Yellow
300 River, China. **a.** the Yellow River flows through the Ulan Buh Desert and the Kubq
301 Desert, the braided channel is from Wuhai to Sanhuhekou, and the meandering
302 channel is from Sanhuhekou to Toudaoguai; **b.** the studied desert channel; **c.** the
303 Yellow River and Yangtse River in China.

304 Figure 02. Changes in annual flow and sediment discharges monitored in the
305 Shizuishan gauge and the Bayangaole gauge (data comes from the YRCC) from 1955
306 to 2007 in the Yellow River.

307 Figure 03. [The median sizes of the thalweg bed sediments and the bar or floodplain](#)
308 [surface sediments \(BFS\) in the Ulan Buh desert braided channel from 1975 to 2005](#)

309 Figure 04. Vertical distributions of portions of the two-fraction sediments (> 0.08 mm
310 and < 0.08 mm) and the related median sizes in 16 sediment cores (N1- N16, see in
311 Fig.1b) in the channel bars in the Ulan Buh desert braided channel. Olive lines are
312 curves of the portions of the >0.08 mm sediments, dark cyan lines are curves of the
313 portions of the <0.08 mm sediments, blue line-circles are curves of the median sizes
314 of sediments.

315 Figure 05. Vertical profiles of proportions of the two-fraction sediments (> 0.08 mm
316 and < 0.08 mm) in the cross-section of the Sanhuhekou gauge during the passage of a
317 flood with a flow discharge from 1000 to 3200 $\text{m}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$ in 2012. a, 540-m distance
318 from the starting point of the cross section in the left bank, b, 620-m distance from the
319 starting point of the cross section in the left bank, c. 700-m distance from the starting
320 point of the cross section in the left bank.

321 Figure 06. Flow discharges monitored in three gauges (Shizuishan, Sanhuhekou, and
322 Toudaoguai) in the braided and meandering channels during the passage of a flood in
323 2012.

324 Figure 07. Changes of the cross-section of C8 from 1966 to 2011. ACB is the
325 aeolian-sand-covered bank; STA is the channel shift towards the ACB, SLA is the
326 channel shift leaving from the ACB. a,c,e,g,and h indicate that when the channel shifts
327 toward the ACB, the sand dunes are eroded and the aeolian sands are transported
328 towards the channel centre and the point bars are then formed in the opposite bank;
329 b,d,f, and i show that as the channel moves leaving from the ACB, the point bars are
330 formed between the main channel and the ACB, which in turn block wind-blown sand
331 entering into the channel.

332 Figure 08. Lateral channel shifts (**b**) and the rising thalweg beds (**a**) monitored from
333 1965 to 2012.

334 Figure 09. Daily suspended sediment loads and flow discharges of three flow peaks
335 ($>4000 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$) flowing through the Ulan Buh desert braided channel. (**a**) 5050
336 $\text{m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ flow discharge peak at 31 July 1964; (**b**) 4990 $\text{m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ flow discharge peak at 16

337 September 1967; (c) 5210 m³s⁻¹ flow discharge peak at 21 September 1981. Light gray
338 and gray lines are curves of flow discharges monitored in Shizuishan and Bayangaole
339 gauges, respectively; Olive and blue lines are curves of suspended sediment loads
340 monitored in Shizuishan and Bayangaole gauges, respectively.

341 Figure 10. Daily suspended sediment loads and flow discharges of eight flow peaks
342 (3500-4000 m³ s⁻¹) flowing through the Ulan Buh desert braided channel. (a) 3990
343 m³s⁻¹ flow discharge peak at 20 July 1955; (b) 3550 m³s⁻¹ flow discharge peak at 4
344 October 1963; (c) 3780 m³s⁻¹ flow discharge peak at 23 September 1968; (d) 3790
345 m³s⁻¹ flow discharge peak at 13 September 1976; (e) 3660 m³s⁻¹ flow discharge peak at
346 19 September 1978; (f) 3630 m³s⁻¹ flow discharge peak at 24 August 1983; (g) 3790
347 m³s⁻¹ flow discharge peak at 5 August 1984. Light gray and gray lines are curves of
348 flow discharges monitored in Shizuishan and Bayangaole gauges, respectively; Olive
349 and blue lines are curves of suspended sediment loads monitored in Shizuishan and
350 Bayangaole gauges, respectively.

351 Figure 11. Relation of suspended sediment loads monitored in the Shizuishan gauge
352 (C₀) and the Bayangaole gauge (C). Shi is Shizuishan; Bay is Bayangaole.