Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 3, C434–C435, 2015 www.nat-hazards-earth-syst-sci-discuss.net/3/C434/2015/

© Author(s) 2015. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



NHESSD

3, C434-C435, 2015

Interactive Comment

Interactive comment on "Quantitative comparison between two different methodologies to define rainfall thresholds for landslide forecasting" by D. Lagomarsino et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 13 April 2015

The author's intention to compare landslide prediction system under operation in Italy is fairly good and worth publishing. However, the analysis of the comparison results is not good enough. In the conclusions, authors pointed out that the difference may arise from the landslide typology, however, the authors did not show any proof about this. They should show something which convince readers with certain logic.

In some parts, I felt difficulty in understanding English expression. Re-checking by native speaker is recommended.

As for presentation, a figure explaining the concepts of each parameter such as like-

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



lihood ratio and efficiency, is desirable. Generally, a bit longer caption is useful for readers.

Interactive comment on Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 3, 891, 2015.

NHESSD

3, C434-C435, 2015

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

