Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 3, C425–C426, 2015 www.nat-hazards-earth-syst-sci-discuss.net/3/C425/2015/
© Author(s) 2015. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



Interactive comment on "A multi-scale approach to cost/benefit analyses of landslide prevention vs. post-event actions" by G. Salbego et al.

F. Guzzetti (Editor)

f.guzzetti@irpi.cnr.it

Received and published: 12 April 2015

Dear Dr. Salbego,

I have now examined the comments posted by three independent referees, and your responses to their comments. I have also read your contribution.

The opinions of the three reviewers are somewhat different, but the referees concur in saying that the quality of the submitted work is not high, and that there are several some severe! - problems with the analysis performed and the conclusions drawn. The structure of the paper was also questioned.

I share most of the comments of the reviewers. In my opinion, the analysis performed

C425

is questionable, or not well described or executed, and the conclusions are not fully supported.

Despite, I have decided that your manuscript can be considered for publication after major revisions are made. If you are willing to make the requested revisions, I will be pleased to reconsider the submission, with the help of the same reviewers who examined the present version of the work.

If you decide to submit a revised version of your work, please consider very carefully all the comments made by the three referees, and particularly those concerning the proposed analysis, including the design of your experiment, and the relevance and sustainability of the conclusions.

It is also important that you re-structure your manuscript, and that you improve the readability of the text and the images.

Sincerely,

Fausto Guzzetti NHESS Executive Editor

Interactive comment on Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 3, 1329, 2015.