

[Interactive
Comment](#)

Interactive comment on “Quantifying the effect of sea level rise and flood defence – a point process perspective on coastal flood damage” by M. Boettle et al.

P. Tarolli (Editor)

paolo.tarolli@unipd.it

Received and published: 2 January 2016

First of all I would like to thank the two referees for providing a very useful discussion that definitely should help the authors to highlight the main critical issues of their work.

In general, i would have expected the authors to provide a detailed public reply to each comments raised by the reviewers. One of the addressed values of NHESS (and of Copernicus Journals), is the Open Discussion. Everyone can interact and see in detail if the authors have understood the critical issues raised by the referees, and vice-versa if the reviewers provided a suitable review. Having said that, at my eyes the present public discussion is poor. The authors just provided a quick reply. What I'm suggesting

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

[Discussion Paper](#)



now is to improve the public discussion, especially in the replies to Goneri Le Cozannet.
I will make my final decision only after this.

Interactive comment on Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 3, 6229, 2015.

NHESSD

3, C2877–C2878, 2016

Interactive
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

C2878

