

[Interactive  
Comment](#)

## ***Interactive comment on “Evaluation of coastal vulnerability to flooding: comparison of two different methodologies adopted by the Emilia-Romagna Region (Italy)” by L. Perini et al.***

**L. Perini et al.**

clara.armaroli@unife.it

Received and published: 30 November 2015

The reviewer commented

"On page 4330, the sentence: The surveys were carried out with an RTK-DGPS .... vertical and horizontal accuracies need to be exchanged"

The original sentence reads

"The surveys were carried out with an RTK-DGPS (vertical accuracy of  $\pm 0.05$  m; horizontal accuracy of  $\pm 0.5$  m)"

We have checked the specs of the receiver (Trimble R6) and we believe that we have

C2394

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

[Discussion Paper](#)



much better accuracy than that, probably of 5 cm horizontally and 2-3 cm vertically, However, as only the vertical accuracy is relevant here for comparison with the Lidar derived dataset, we have modified the sentence as such on page 4330, line 13:

"The surveys were carried out with an RTK-DGPS (vertical accuracy of  $\pm 0.03$  m)"

---

Interactive comment on Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 3, 4315, 2015.

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

[Discussion Paper](#)