

Interactive comment on “Smartphone applications for communicating avalanche risk information – a review of existing practices” by M. K. M. Charrière and T. A. Bogaard

M. K. M. Charrière and T. A. Bogaard

m.k.m.charriere@tudelft.nl

Received and published: 17 November 2015

Thank you very much for your interesting reviews and comments. Below we answer the specific questions: - 6922/15: This is very good point. We will add some words on map design in relation to what has been studied concerning floods and others natural hazards. This will be done either here or in the discussion section. Thank you for the references. - 6925/1: This will be modified. - 6926/11: A general statement about simplification will be added with respect to visualization. Content wise, the interviews revealed that the use of the Apps does not trigger a simplification in the message. To address the fact that various level of understanding/needs exists in the wide audience,

C2275

the developers mostly decided to use a tiered-approach in order to avoid simplifying the content. This will be emphasized in the text. The suggestion to include in the discussion more about the overall development of apps for natural hazard risk reduction is very appropriate and will be taken into account. Pricing policies will be included in Table 2 or mentioned in the text. All apps are free to download. White Risk is also free to use. The 24h hour trial is only for the tour planning feature that is usable on a desktop and can later be synchronized in the App.

Interactive comment on Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 3, 6917, 2015.

C2276