Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 3, C210–C211, 2015 www.nat-hazards-earth-syst-sci-discuss.net/3/C210/2015/

© Author(s) 2015. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



## **NHESSD**

3, C210-C211, 2015

Interactive Comment

## Interactive comment on "A multi-scale approach to cost/benefit analyses of landslide prevention vs. post-event actions" by G. Salbego et al.

## **Anonymous Referee #3**

Received and published: 15 March 2015

The focus of the short note is set on the cost/benefit analysis related to landslide prevention and/or post-event actions, atopic rarely treated in the scientific literature, although of paramount importance.

However, the above topic is apparently dealt with only from section 4 onward, while the small-scale analysis seems quite unrelated to the rest of the paper. In fact, it is not clear how a susceptibility analysis could lead to a detailed evaluation of the costs related to landslide remediation or how it can help in reaching such a goal.

Moreover, as already evidenced by referee #1, further details on the susceptibility assessment procedure would surely help the reader in better understanding the results obtained and their overall impact.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



Therefore, authors are invited to better relate the small-scale analysis to the large-scale one and to the cost/benefit analysis, giving also more emphasis on the methodology adopted. Alternatively, the paper could be conveniently re-arranged without the small-scale analysis, which, in this referee's opinion, will not conflict with the main scope of the paper. In the latter case, the title should be adapted accordingly (e.g. Cost/benefit analyses of ...).

Interactive comment on Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 3, 1329, 2015.

## **NHESSD**

3, C210-C211, 2015

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

