Brief Communication: On the rapid and efficient monitoring results dissemination in landslide emergency scenarios: the Mont de La Saxe case study

Daniele Giordan[1*], Andrea Manconi[1], Paolo Allasia[1], Davide Bertolo[2]

[1] Geohazard Monitoring Group, CNR IRPI, Strada delle Cacce 73, 10135 Torino, Italy

[2] Struttura Attività Geologiche Regione Autonoma Valle d'Aosta, Rue Région d'Amérique 33, 11020 Aosta, Italy

*corresponding author: Daniele Giordan, Address: Strada delle Cacce 73, 10135 Torino, Italy. Phone: +39-011-3977-830, Fax: +39-011-3977-821, Email: daniele.giordan@irpi.cnr.it

Abstract

Straightforward communication of monitoring results is of major importance in emergency scenarios relevant to large slope instabilities. Here we describe the communication strategy developed for the Mont de La Saxe rockslide threatening La Palud and Entrèves hamlets in the Courmayeur municipality (Aosta Valley, Italy). Starting from the definition of actions and needs of the Landslide Management Team, including scientists, technicians, civil protection operators, decision makers, and politicians, we show that sharing and disseminating *ad hoc* information simplifies the understanding of the landslide evolution, as well as the correct communication of the level of criticality.

Keywords: Emergency Management, Early Warning Systems, Near Real Time Monitoring, Divulgation, Large Slope Instabilities.

1. Introduction

Large Slope Instabilities (LSI) include a wide range of landslide phenomena, from slow slope deformations to rapid and catastrophic rockslides. LSI geometry, as well as failure mechanisms, are deeply influenced by the background geological and hydrogeological conditions. One of the most critical issues related to LSI is their potential to evolve into high velocity gravitational events, involving a partial or total portion of the unstable mass (e.g. rock falls and/or rock avalanches). In this context, the identification of surface displacements and/or deep-seated deformation often represents the key information for a proper understanding and interpretation of the phenomenon (Wieczorek and Snyder, 2009).

In the last two decades, monitoring systems ranging from in-situ to remote sensing techniques have allowed retrieval of information on LSI deformation at unprecedented spatial and temporal resolution. Displacement monitoring is probably one of the most common approaches used to increase the background knowledge of an unstable slope (Balis et al., 2011). When a high hazard potential is recognized, intensive monitoring is preferred, allowing for the recognition of displacement trends,

and eventually for the identification of precursors of a partial or complete landslide failure. Thus, intensive monitoring helps to protect inhabited centers and infrastructures surrounding the unstable mass (Xu et al., 2011). Monitoring networks of this kind consist of precise and automated instruments that can to achieve accurate measurements of surface displacements at very high sampling rates, e.g. in near real time (minutes to hours) or even in real-time (Intrieri et al., 2012). These are the base elements for the application of Early Warning Systems (EWS) (Manconi and Giordan, 2014 and 2015; Michoud et al., 2013). In this scenario, EWS relevant to displacement monitoring usually consist of 3-levels of attention (Intrieri et al. 2013): (i) an ordinary level, when displacements are below predefined thresholds (ii) a pre-alarm level, used when the landslide shows displacements above the seasonal oscillation, and (iii) an alarm level, which identifies a critical activation of the unstable area. The application of complex monitoring networks to large landslides is documented in several reference papers (Brückl et al., 2013; Giordan et al., 2013; Lu et al., 2014; Malet et al., 2002; Tarchi et al., 2003). However, strategies for the use and dissemination of such a monitoring results, especially in emergency scenarios, are yet lacking of standards and best practices.

In this work, we describe a real case study, the Mont de la Saxe rockslide, a large and complex instability located in Val d'Aosta, northern Italy. We focus the attention on the characteristics of the monitoring procedures, as well as on the communication strategy developed to comply with the actions and needs of the different stakeholders involved.

2. Mont da La Saxe rockslide: a complex LSI emergency scenario

The Mont de La Saxe rockslide (hereinafter La Saxe) is a large instable slope located in the Aosta Valley region, northern Italy (Figure 1). La Saxe rockslide is located in meta-sedimentary sequences cropping out along the left hand flank of the valley. These rocks belong to Ultra-Helvetic basal decollèment units located south of the Mt. Blanc crystalline massif and are made of very low-grade, intensely deformed Middle Jurassic meta-sedimentary sequences, including limestones and marls,

argillaceous schists, black schists, micaschists, and calcschist with quartz–arenite layers (Perello et al., 1999; Leloup et al., 2005). In the area affected by the rockslide, the dominant black argillaceous schists prevalently dip to the SE, into the slope, with dip angles ranging between 20° and 60° due to the effect of tectonic deformation events (Crosta et al., 2014, 2015). The La Saxe instability has an estimated total volume of more than 8×10^6 m^3. The landslide extends between 1,400 and 1,870 m a.s.l., over an area of about 150,000 m^2, with maximum horizontal length of about 550 m, maximum width of about 420 m, and average slope gradient of 37°. The upper scarp is about 200 m wide and it is characterized by a steep rock wall some tens of meters high, locally oriented along sub-vertical schistosity planes (Crosta et al., 2014).

An automatized near real time monitoring network (see details in Figure 1) was installed and developed in 2009, when the high level of criticality of the La Saxe rockslide for La Palud and Entrèves hamlets was officially recognized. The monitoring network permitted detection and monitoring of the evolution of several landslide sectors characterized by different kinematic behaviors. Starting from 2014, the Mont de La Saxe landslide was classified as national emergency, and the local authorities are supported by the Italian National Civil Protection Department. Thus, a specific Landslide Management Team (LMT) has been created to cope with the emergency activities.

Starting from the management system of the monitoring network, we defined a specific communication strategy aimed at supporting the decision makers during the critical phases of the landslide evolution, and to share the information with all the stakeholders. The main goals of our communication strategy are: (i) update all LMT members in near-real-time with essential information; (ii) deliver specific periodic (or on-demand) reports for each LMT role, summarizing the evolution of the LSI in a defined time period; (iii) fully exploit the potential of the data available, and provide the correct information on the landslide evolution to all people involved in the emergency

scenario. In the following, we describe the details of the strategy, as well as how we applied it to the management of the La Saxe emergency.

3. La Saxe Landslide Management Team

The management of a complex network to monitor LSI evolution is a difficult task, chiefly during emergency conditions. Usually, a specific working group of people (i.e., LMT) is set up with the aim of understanding the landslide evolution, to plan civil protection activities, as well as to organize initial remedial activities. LMT members have to accomplish different actions, depending on their specific role and the phases associated with the evolution of the landslide. In the La Saxe case study, the team is composed of multiple stakeholders, including scientists, civil protection authorities, and technicians. By considering the different background knowledge, needs, and duties of the LMT members, we identified three different categories:

(i) ROLE-1, composed of authorities such as the mayor, aldermen and other politicians that often do not have a specific technical background on landslide hazards. This people are usually the terminal of a decision chain, and have the responsibility to eventually activate measures reducing direct risks to people and/or infrastructures. According to the limited technical knowledge of people composing the ROLE-1, their principal need is to clearly understand the criticality level of the current situation by accessing to clear and meaningful information before making decisions concerning public safety (Garcia and Fearnley, 2012).

(ii) ROLE-2, composed of engineers, geologists, and others technicians that have a specific background knowledge and experience on landslides. Their tasks are mainly related to the exhaustive technical analysis of the landslide to support ROLE-1 in their decisions. This may include the analysis and comparison of different data sources such as surface deformation, deep-seated displacements, rain/snow precipitation data, groundwater variations, etc. In addition, ROLE-2 is usually responsible for the design of mitigation and/or protection measures. For this reason, they need to fully access the

monitoring results, in order to clearly understand the recent landslide evolution, as well as to plan their activities accordingly.

(iii) ROLE-3, mainly composed of engineers and geologists, which have expertise on landslides monitoring and on EWS. Their tasks are aimed to develop and run efficiently the monitoring network, analyze and validate the data and the results, therefore to support the other members of the LMT.

A common issue in standard approaches of data sharing is related to representation of the monitoring results. In particular, plots versus time might be difficult to read and understand for people not data familiar to deal with this kind of graphical. According to our experience, the presence of different monitoring points without a map that can represent the displacement of different landslide's sectors can limit the comprehension of the plot and correct understanding of the real situation. In complex emergency conditions, incorrect reading of monitoring results can lead to misunderstandings and unnecessary concerns (Mileti and Sorensen, 1990). Figure 2 shows how the potential of dissemination decays depending on the complexity of the representations used. For this reason, the graphic representation of monitoring results should be compatible with the background of the LMT recipients. Low levels of complexity of the representations helps population and ROLE-1 to better understand the current status of the landslide phenomenon according to their specific interest. On the contrary, ROLE-2 and ROLE-3 require more complex and detailed representations, to better evaluate the recent landslide evolution and its possible effects on the hypothesized scenarios.

Table 1 presents a schematic diagram summarizing the duties, actions and needs of LMT members acting in the La Saxe emergency scenario. In general, the results obtained via the monitoring network of La Saxe landslide are analyzed and shared by generating specific products considering two main temporal ranges: (i) near-real-time (described in chapter 3.1) and (ii) periodical and/or on-demand basis (chapter 3.2).

The LMT concept developed for Mont de La Saxe can be considered a general schematic representation. In each specific context, there might be some changes, and thus duties, needs and actions of the different LMT members might have some overlaps. However, after the definition of LMT components, it is possible to focus on one of the most important points for the management of an emergency related to a natural hazard, i.e. the straightforward access to the available information, the data sharing, and the efficient communication of the actual status of the phenomenon.

3.1 Near-real-time presentation of monitoring results

The dissemination of the monitoring results to La Saxe LMT members is based on the use of the ADVICE algorithm (Allasia et al., 2013). This procedure has been developed to perform a set of actions in near real time (less than 30 minutes), including: (i) data acquisition and transfer protocols; (ii) data collection, filtering, and validation; (iii) data analysis and restitution through a set of dedicated software; (iv) recognition of displacement/velocity threshold, early warning messages via SMS and/or emails; (v) automatic publication of the results on a dedicated webpage. One of the main advances of the ADVICE method is that all the operations can be performed without installing dedicated software for the processing, exploitation, and management of the monitoring results. The access to the webpage relevant to the monitoring results of La Saxe has been structured with multiple levels, corresponding to the three different LMT roles. Thus, the information is presented according to the specific background knowledge of each user. For example, ROLE-1 members have access only to the Synthesis page. This front-end view has been developed as a cockpit to supply the most relevant information, and to provide a rapid and straightforward overview on the actual landslide status. The Synthesis page contains: (i) the main meteorological parameters; (ii) the RTS displacement maps and plots with the indication of predefined threshold levels; (iii) the last images acquired by the webcams. In this page, people not familiar with time series plots may benefit of interpolated maps of the three-dimensional displacements produced in near real time, which facilitate the understanding of the recent development of the phenomenon (Manconi et al., 2013). On the other hand, ROLE-2 members have

access to additional information derived from the monitoring network. The monitoring data available (meteorological parameters, RTS, GPS, GB-SAR, and piezometers) are organized in a set of different representations, which mainly depend on the time window considered (e.g., monthly or weekly time series plots /displacement maps). Examples of different products that could be create starting from the same dataset are presented in figures 3, 4 and in table 2. By considering the RTS dataset, the system is able to publish a 3D view of the slide with a contour maps of the displacement dedicated to the ROLE-1 (figure 3), a plot of the RTS targets' displacement for the ROLE-2 (figure 4) and a table with the numerical value of target's velocity and displacement dedicated to ROLE-3 in table 2.

Among the available representations, figure 5 shows another example of the maps produced by in near real time the system that come from the integration of data retrieved via different monitoring instruments. Displacement rates measured by RTS and GB-SAR are interpolated in a unique representation generated via the ©3DA approach (Manconi et al., 2013). ROLE-2 members have access to interactive website features, such as a dynamic time series plot. This tool is extremely important, mainly because it allows direct exploration the available dataset, and comparison of different data sources. ROLE-3 develops and controls all the data processing from the measurement to the publication. For this reason, they need tools to check the system status and to identify eventual malfunctioning of the monitoring network and/or of the ADVICE system. In the case of the La Saxe case-study, specific messages are issued (via SMS and e-mail) when the data on the webpage is not up-to-date, indicating at which step the procedure encountered a problem. This allows promptly action to restore the system.

ROLE-2 and ROLE-3 members also benefit from early warning messages produced by the ADVICE system when predefined thresholds on surface displacements are overcome. Messages are sent via SMS and/or e-mail immediately after the processing and publication online of the results relevant to the last measurement cycle. All the information provided via ADVICE, as well as additional data

retrieved from other sources, joined with the in-depth knowledge of the landslide process, allows ROLE-2 and ROLE-3 members to evaluate and validate a potential situation of direct risk for the population and/or the infrastructures, and eventually transmit this information to ROLE-1 members.

3.2. Periodic/on-demand updates

To fully understand and interpret the landslide evolution, the availability of near real time monitoring results is not sufficient. For this reason, the data management strategy consists also of periodic and/or on-demand reports (bulletins), which also take into account the definitions of actions and needs of the different LMT components. A first bulletin typology is mainly dedicated to ROLE-2 LMT members. This bulletin is issued quarterly, and considers all the data retrieved via the different monitoring instruments operating in the La Saxe area. The main goals of the quarterly bulletin are: (i) to supply a complete description of the results obtained by the monitoring network in the considered time period; (ii) to identify and validate eventual trend changes with respect to the previous three months and to the same period in previous years; (iii) to audit the results, in order to suggest eventual updates and integrations to the current monitoring network. During specific emergency conditions, this kind of bulletin can be issued also over shorter time periods and eventually on-demand.

In addition, a specific informative bulletin for population has been developed and tested. This bulletin is issued weekly during the most critical phase of the landslide evolution, and consists of a simplified visualization summarizing the displacement results obtained via the RTS in the previous 7-day period. Since the content, as well as the representation selected for this bulletin is intended for a straightforward presentation to a large amount of people, this bulletin can also be considered to inform the population about the landslide status (a copy of the bulletin is available in the supplementary material).

4 Discussion and conclusions

The presentation of scientific data is a complex task, (Garcia and Fearnley, 2012) evidenced that often there is a real need to improve the relationship between the scientific community (and single researchers) and other stakeholders, in order to "produce usable science". This is a critical issue, in particular during emergency conditions, when one of the main aspects commonly recognized is the knowledge gap between scientist and other involved stakeholder. This notion refers to making scientific research findings useful for societal or individual well-being and especially useful for decision makers. To accomplish this goal, scientists should make their research results accessible to the public, while using simple language that allows the general community to understand the information.

Our recent experiences in the management of emergency scenarios relevant to large slope instabilities, as well as other natural and anthropic hazards (Lollino et al., 2014; Manconi et al., 2012; Manconi et al., 2013b, Giordan et al. 2013), evidenced the need of integrated monitoring networks. However, the large amount of data retrieved may cause several problems if a proper approach for the sharing and correct communication of the results is missing. In this work, we describe the communication strategy applied to the La Saxe rockslide emergency scenario. Starting from the background and needs of the final receivers, we proposed and discussed a straightforward methodology aimed at sharing the landslide monitoring network results in an efficient manner. At the moment of writing, the entire La Saxe monitoring infrastructure and workflow from the acquisition to the presentation of the results is operatiional. By collecting all the data relevant to the La Saxe monitoring network in a unique web-based platform, comparative analysis of heterogeneous and complex information relevant to landslide displacements has been facilitated, in terms of time and effort. Following the results of this case-study, our aim is to define a standard approach to share the monitoring results, in order to disseminate the information about the recent evolution of the landslide, as well as the level of criticality, within all the people involved (scientists, technicians, civil protection

operators, decision makers, politicians, press, population). To this end, near-real time results of the same dataset have been represented according to the characteristic of the final users, to adapt the information to the final receivers. Moreover, the summaries in the quarterly bulletins describe and comment on the recent landslide evolution, identify critical areas and gaps in the monitoring network, and thus adapt instruments and eventually sampling policies along with the evolution of the landslide phenomenon. The application of dedicated products for the presentation of the monitoring results contribute to a proper understanding and evaluation of the landslide evolution. Decision makers can access, in near real time, straightforward representations of the monitoring data, and thus can evaluate promptly the current situation. Moreover, simplified summaries revised by LMT experts (weekly bulletins) provide an efficient tool to discuss and interact with the population threatened by the landslide hazard. This allows for prompt countermeasures, which represent one of the key steps for the successful management of the most critical emergency phases. The presented experience and the results obtained on the Mont de La Saxe landslide showed how a dedicated communication strategy could help to correctly manage complex emergencies. In the recent years, this approach has been attempted in several monitoring contexts: (i) the Montaguto earthflow, southern Italy (Giordan et al., 2013); (ii) San Giovanni Profiamma, Foligno (PG), central Italy, where in April 2013 a landslide interrupted the important road that connects Rome to the Adriatic Sea through central Apennines (SS3 Flaminia); (iii) monitoring activities related to the recovering of the Costa Concordia vessel wreck, near the coast of the Il Giglio Island (Manconi et al., 2013b). However, the Mont de La Saxe case study is an important and representative application for its peculiarities in terms of complexity of the phenomenon, highly exposed value and heterogeneity of the actors involved in the emergency. For this reason, this experience evidences that similar communication strategies have to be considered for the efficient management of monitoring activities relevant to landslide scenarios, as well as of other natural and/or anthropic hazards.

5 Acknowledgments

Suggestion as well as recommendation of two anonymous referees are gratefully acknowledged. We also would like to thank Prof. Dwayne Tannant for the accurate revision of the paper and for the important suggestions.

6 References

Allasia, P., Manconi, A., Giordan, D., Baldo, M. and Lollino, G.: ADVICE: A New Approach for Near-Real-Time Monitoring of Surface Displacements in Landslide Hazard Scenarios, Sensors, 13(7), 8285–8302, doi:10.3390/s130708285, 2013.

Balis, B., Kasztelnik, M., Bubak, M., Bartynski, T., Gubała, T., Nowakowski, P. and Broekhuijsen, J.: The UrbanFlood Common Information Space for Early Warning Systems, Procedia Comput. Sci., 4, 96–105, doi:10.1016/j.procs.2011.04.011, 2011.

Blikra, L.H., KrIsTensen, L., Lovisolo, M.: Subsurface monitoring of large rockslides in Norway: a key requirement for early warning. Italian Journal of Engineering Geology and Environment - Book Series 6: 307-314, 2013

Brückl, E., Brunner, F. K., Lang, E., Mertl, S., Müller, M. and Stary, U.: The Gradenbach Observatory—monitoring deep-seated gravitational slope deformation by geodetic, hydrological, and seismological methods, Landslides, 10(6), 815–829, doi:10.1007/s10346-013-0417-1, 2013.

Crosta, G. B., Lollino, G., Paolo, F., Giordan, D., Andrea, T., Carlo, R. and Davide, B.: Rockslide Monitoring Through Multi-temporal LiDAR DEM and TLS Data Analysis, in Engineering Geology for Society and Territory - Volume 2, edited by G. Lollino, D. Giordan, G. B. Crosta, J. Corominas, R. Azzam, J. Wasowski, and N. Sciarra, pp. 613–617, Springer International Publishing, Cham. [online] Available from: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-319-09057-3_102 (Accessed 21 January 2015), 2015.

Crosta, G. B., Prisco, C. di, Frattini, P., Frigerio, G., Castellanza, R. and Agliardi, F.: Chasing a complete understanding of the triggering mechanisms of a large rapidly evolving rockslide, Landslides, 11, 747–764, doi:10.1007/s10346-013-0433-1, 2014

Di Biagio, E., Kjekstad, O.: Early Warning, Instrumentation and Monitoring Landslides. 2nd Regional Training Course, RECLAIM II, Phuket-Thailand, 29th January - 3rd February 2007, 2007.

Garcia, C. and Fearnley, C. J.: Evaluating critical links in early warning systems for natural hazards, Environ. Hazards, 11(2), 123–137, doi:10.1080/17477891.2011.609877, 2012.

Giordan, D., Allasia, P., Manconi, A., Baldo, M., Santangelo, M., Cardinali, M., Corazza, A., Albanese, V., Lollino, G. and Guzzetti, F.: Morphological and kinematic evolution of a large earthflow: The Montaguto landslide, southern Italy, Geomorphology, 187, 61–79, doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2012.12.035, 2013.

Intrieri, E., Gigli, G., Casagli, N. and Nadim, F.: Brief communication “Landslide Early Warning System: toolbox and general concepts,” Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 13(1), 85–90, doi:10.5194/nhess-13-85-2013, 2013.

Intrieri, E., Gigli, G., Mugnai, F., Fanti, R. and Casagli, N.: Design and implementation of a landslide early warning system, Eng. Geol., 147-148, 124–136, doi:10.1016/j.enggeo.2012.07.017, 2012.

Leloup, P.H., Arnaud, N., Sobel, E.R., Lacassin, R.: Alpine thermal and structural evolution of the highest external crystalline massif: the Mont Blanc. Tectonics 24, TC4002, 1-26. doi:10.1029/2004TC001676, 2005.

Lollino, P., Giordan, D. and Allasia, P.: The Montaguto earthflow: A back-analysis of the process of landslide propagation, Eng. Geol., 170, 66–79, doi:10.1016/j.enggeo.2013.12.011, 2014.

Lovisolo, M., Ghirotto, S., Scardia, G., Battaglio, M.: The use of Differential Monitoring Stability (D.M.S.) for remote monitoring of excavation and landslide movements. In: MyrVoLL (ed.) - Proceedings of the 6th International Symposium on Field Measurements in Geomechanics, Balkema, Oslo, 519-524, 2003

Lu, P., Daehne, A., Travelletti, J., Casagli, N., Corsini, A. and Malet, J.-P.: Innovative Techniques for the Detection and Characterization of the Kinematics of Slow-Moving Landslides, in Mountain Risks: From Prediction to Management and Governance, edited by T. V. Asch, J. Corominas, S. Greiving, J.-P. Malet, and S. Sterlacchini, pp. 31–56, Springer, London, UK. 2014.

Malet, J.-P., Maquaire, O. and Calais, E.: The use of Global Positioning System techniques for the continuous monitoring of landslides: application to the Super-Sauze earthflow (Alpes-de-Haute-Provence, France), Geomorphology, 43(1), 33–54, 2002.

Manconi, A., Allasia, P., Giordan, D., Baldo, M., Lollino, G., Corazza, A. and Albanese, V.: Landslide 3D Surface Deformation Model Obtained Via RTS Measurements, in Landslide Science and Practice, edited by C. Margottini, P. Canuti, and K. Sassa, pp. 431–436, Springer Berlin Heidelberg. [online] Available from: http://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-642-31445-2_56 (Accessed 20 November 2013), 2013.

Manconi, A., Allasia, P., Giordan, D., Baldo, M., Lollino, G.: Monitoring the stability of infrastructures in an emergency: The “Costa Concordia” vessel wreck. Wu F. & Qi S. (eds). Global View of Engineering Geology and the Environment –Taylor & Francis Group, London, 587-591. ISBN 978-1-138-00078-0, 2013b.

Manconi, A. and Giordan, D.: Landslide failure forecast in near-real-time, Geomat. Nat. Hazards Risk, 1–10. [online] Available from: http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/19475705.2014.942388#abstract (Accessed 20 April 2015) doi:10.1080/19475705.2014.942388, 2014.

Manconi, A. and Giordan, D.: Landslide early warning based on failure forecast models: the example of the Mt. de La Saxe rockslide, northern Italy. Nat. Hazrds Earth Syst. Sci., 15, 1639-1644. 2015.

Manconi, A., Giordan, D., Allasia, P., Baldo, M. and Lollino, G.: Surface displacements following the Mw 6.3 L’Aquila earthquake: One year of continuous monitoring via Robotized Total Station, Ital. J. Geosci., 131(3), 403–409, 2012.

Michoud, C., Bazin, S., Blikra, L. H., Derron, M.-H. and Jaboyedoff, M.: Experiences from site-specific landslide early warning systems, Nat Hazards Earth Syst Sci, 13(10), 2659–2673, doi:10.5194/nhess-13-2659-2013, 2013.

Mileti, D.S., Sorenson, J.H.: Communication of emergency public warnings: A social science perspective and state of-the-art assessment. Oak Ridge National Laboratory Rep. ORNL-6609, Washington D.C., 145 pp., 1990.

Perello, P., Piana, F., Martinotti, G.: Neo-Alpine structural features at the boundary between the Penninic and Helvetic domains (Prè S. Didier-Entrèves, Aosta valley, Italy). Eclogae Geol Helv 92:347‑359, 1999

Tarchi, D., Casagli, N., Fanti, R., Leva, D. D., Luzi, G., Pasuto, A., Pieraccini, M. and Silvano, S.: Landslide monitoring by using ground-based SAR interferometry: an example of application to the Tessina landslide in Italy, Eng. Geol., 68(1–2), 15–30, doi:10.1016/S0013-7952(02)00196-5, 2003.

Wieczorek, G. F. and Snyder, J. B.: Monitoring slope movements. Young R. & Norby L. (eds). Geological Monitoring. Geological Society of America Boulder, Colorado, 245–271, 2009.

Xu, Q., Yuan, Y., Zeng, Y. and Hack, R.: Some new pre-warning criteria for creep slope failure, Sci. China Technol. Sci., 54(1), 210–220, doi:10.1007/s11431-011-4640-5, 2011.

7 Tables and Figures captions

Figure 1: La Saxe intensive monitoring network composed of: 1) webcams; 2) GPS devices; 3) borehole piezometers; 4) Robotic Total Station network (surveying 36 optical targets), 5) DMS^{TM} columns (Lovisolo et al., 2003; Blikra et al., 2013) and 6) a GBinSAR that surveys the unstable area.

Figure 2. Communication strategy of monitoring results. The graph depicts how the potential of divulgation decays when the complexity of the graphical elaborates increases and also indicates the available products for the different stakeholders.

Figure 3: example of rendering of the RTS dataset dedicated to ROLE-1 related to a 24 h period between 15 -16 march 2015. The RTS dataset is published on a 3D representation that evidences the most active landslide sector. This map is updated in near real time by ADVICE.

Figure 4: plot of the registered prims' displacement. The dataset is the same of figure 3 but this representation is dedicated to ROLE-2. On the website several plots related to different periods are published and updated in near real time by ADVICE.

Figure 5: Map representing the displacement registered along the line of sight direction using the RTS and GB-SAR data. The LOS component measured by the different instruments is plotted in the same displacement map to have a more complete record of the ground displacements. This solution gives the possibility to have high resolution and compensate RTS measurements with 16 points derived

from GB-SAR and located in the most inaccessible sectors where the installation of RTS target are not possible.

	LMT members	Duties	Needs		Actions	
			NRT	Periodic	NRT	Periodic
ROLE-1	Local politicians and decision makers	Responsible for civil protection procedures (e.g. evacuation of risky areas)	Synthetic view of the current landslide status	Summary of the landslide evolution	Promptly inform population on an immediate risk	Educational programs to increase the risk perception among the population
ROLE-2	Landslide experts	Provide a geological model of the landslide phenomenon and hypothesize scenarios of partial and/or total failure	Synoptic view of the entire monitoring results	In-depth analysis and auditing of the monitoring results	Validate monitoring results according to the interpretative model	Update the geological model and scenarios according to the monitoring results
ROLE-2 / ROLE-3	Geological Service of the Aosta Valley Region	Responsible of the EWS and coordinator/supervisor of the LMT Design and implementation of mitigation strategies	Synoptic view of the entire monitoring network and results Early warning relevant to changes of the landslide status	In-depth analysis and auditing of the monitoring results	Analysis and comparison of different monitoring data sources	Maintenance/update of the monitoring network
ROLE-3	Geohazard Monitoring Group	Processing, validation, and dissemination of monitoring results	Alerts on proper functioning of the monitoring network	Total control of the monitoring data flow, from measurements to dissemination	Maintenance of the Early Warning System	Generation of reports/bulletins for the other LMT members, with detailed analysis of monitoring results

Table 1: Duties, needs and actions for each member of the landslide management team.

Target	X(m)	Y(m)	Z(m)	LOS(m)	XY(m)	XYZ(m)	MaxVLOS (mm/h)	MaxVXYZ (mm/h)	When MaxVLOS	When MaxVXYZ	Status
A6	-0.005	-0.010	-0.003	-0.002	0.011	0.011	1.854	5.001	15/03/2015 19:00	15/03/2015 17:00	
A8	-0.016	-0.015	0.012	-0.001	0.022	0.025	0.626	10.456	15/03/2015 20:00	15/03/2015 20:00	
B4	-0.113	0.036	-0.079	0.120	0.118	0.142	5.818	10.949	16/03/2015 14:00	15/03/2015 18:00	ALARM
S1	-0.004	-0.007	-0.007	-0.000	0.008	0.011	1.155	4.389	15/03/2015 19:00	15/03/2015 18:00	
F2	-0.057	0.048	-0.077	0.088	0.075	0.107	3.887	6.652	15/03/2015 23:00	15/03/2015 18:00	ALARM
H3	-0.003	-0.010	-0.003	-0.002	0.010	0.011	1.185	3.535	15/03/2015 16:00	15/03/2015 18:00	
J3	0.015	0.017	-0.003	-0.001	0.023	0.023	1.089	10.645	15/03/2015 20:00	15/03/2015 20:00	
B7	-0.070	0.044	-0.075	0.094	0.082	0.111	4.732	6.877	15/03/2015 16:00	15/03/2015 22:00	ALARM
L1	-0.000	-0.001	0.001	-0.001	0.001	0.001	0.654	4.581	15/03/2015 19:00	16/03/2015 11:00	
G3	-0.036	0.027	-0.026	0.046	0.045	0.052	2.230	4.057	16/03/2015 12:00	16/03/2015 12:00	ALARM

Table 2: abstract of the numerical data published in near real time on the website related to a 24 h period between 15 -16 march 2015. This table is delivered in particular for ROLE-3. In the table are presented: displacements over the three coordinates (X, Y, Z), line of sight displacement, planimetric and 3D displacement resultants, amplitude and time when maximum velocities occurred in the reference period (both in line of sight and 3D) and status with respect to the defined thresholds.