Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 3, C1210–C1211, 2015 www.nat-hazards-earth-syst-sci-discuss.net/3/C1210/2015/
© Author(s) 2015. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



## Interactive comment on "Brief Communication: On the rapid and efficient monitoring results dissemination in landslide emergency scenarios: the Mont de La Saxe case study" by D. Giordan et al.

## **Anonymous Referee #2**

Received and published: 3 July 2015

Like referee 1, I very much appreciate this paper and think it is well written and can serve as a good case study to highlight proper information management in landslide emergencies.

My only point of critique is that you could add a graphic which shows how the different data, results and/or figures look like depending on the end-users (ROLE-1, ROLE-2 and ROLE-3), since this is from my understanding the most important point you make in your paper; depending on the ROLE, people require different information.

C1210

Maybe you could provide an examples of how the information looks like for ROLE-1-3? It would also be helpful to add the recipient (i.e. ROLE 1-3) to the figure captions for Fig 3 and 4. According to the caption on Fig 3, I assume this is aimed for ROLE-1 users. But what about Fig 4? Is this already too complex for ROLE-1? How does the same data look like for ROLE-2 or ROLE-3 users? I reckon this could improve the quality of the paper and better highlight the information needs of the people involved in landslide emergency situations.

One issue that is not addressed in detail is the communication to the public. However, I think this is fair to do because this is left completely to ROLE-1, even if it is explicitly mentioned (or I missed it).

Interactive comment on Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 3, 2757, 2015.