



**Atmospheric
circulation patterns,
cloud-to-ground
lightning, and
convective rainfall**

S. J. Underwood et al.

**Atmospheric circulation patterns,
cloud-to-ground lightning, and locally
intense convective rainfall associated
with debris flow initiation in the Dolomite
Alps of northeastern Italy**

**S. J. Underwood¹, M. D. Schultz², M. Berti³, C. Gregoretti⁴, A. Simoni³,
T. L. Mote⁵, and A. M. Saylor¹**

¹Georgia Southern University, Statesboro, Georgia, USA

²CDM-Smith, Chicago, Illinois, USA

³University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy

⁴University of Padova, Padova, Italy

⁵University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia, Italy

Received: 16 August 2015 – Accepted: 19 August 2015 – Published: 25 September 2015

Correspondence to: S. J. Underwood (sjunerwood@georgiasouthern.edu)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[⏪](#)

[⏩](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



Abstract

The Dolomite Alps of northeastern Italy experience debris flows with great frequency during the summer months. An ample supply of unconsolidated material on steep slopes and a summer season climate regime characterized by recurrent thunderstorms combine to produce an abundance of these destructive hydrogeologic events. In the past debris flow events have been studied primarily in the context of their geologic and geomorphic characteristics. The atmospheric contribution to these mass wasting events has been limited to recording rainfall and developing intensity thresholds for debris mobilization. This study aims to expand the examination of atmospheric processes that preceded both locally intense convective rainfall (LICR) and debris flows in the Dolomite region. 500 hPa pressure level plots of geopotential heights were constructed for a period of three days prior to debris flow events to gain insight into the synoptic scale processes which provide an environment conducive to LICR in the Dolomites. Cloud-to-ground (CG) lightning flash data recorded at the meso-scale were incorporated to assess the convective environment proximal to debris flow source regions. Twelve events were analyzed and from this analysis three common synoptic scale circulation patterns were identified. Evaluation of CG flashes at smaller spatial and temporal scales illustrated that convective processes vary in their production of CG flashes (total number) and the spatial distribution of flashes can also be quite different between events over longer periods. During the 60 min interval immediately preceding debris flow a majority of cases exhibited spatial and temporal collocation of LICR and CG flashes. Also a number of CG flash parameters were found to be significantly correlated to rainfall intensity prior to debris flow initiation.

1 Introduction

Debris flows occur with great frequency in the Alpine region of northeastern Italy (Tecca and Genevois, 2009; Arattano et al., 1997). In fact mass wasting episodes including de-

Atmospheric circulation patterns, cloud-to-ground lightning, and convective rainfall

S. J. Underwood et al.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[⏪](#)

[⏩](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



Atmospheric circulation patterns, cloud-to-ground lightning, and convective rainfall

S. J. Underwood et al.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[⏪](#)

[⏩](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

bris flows have occurred regularly in the Dolomite Alps since the last glacial maximum (Panizza, 1973; Soldati et al., 2004) and observational records of debris flows in northeastern Italy date to the mid-1800's (Bacchini and Zannoni, 2003). The occurrence of debris flow events in this region of complex terrain is heavily influenced by ubiquitous deposits of weathered source material on steep slopes and a climatological regime that includes frequent summer season thunderstorms that produce locally intense convective rainfall (LICR) (Rossa et al., 2010).

People, property, and infrastructure in the valleys and along hill slopes in the region are exposed to an extreme risk for debris flow as the region experiences one of the most frequent return intervals in all of Europe. The threat posed by debris flow is however not static and the risk associated with this hydrogeologic hazard may become more acute in the future as changing climate patterns in the Mediterranean region alter the thunderstorm climatology. Floris et al. (2010) suggests that over the past two decades processes that enhance convection in the Mediterranean region have intensified and this may portend a future with an increased frequency of LICR in areas of complex terrain. If this is in fact the case it is likely that both the frequency and intensity of debris flow events in this area will be altered. Because of the threat for increased frequency of LICR in Dolomite Alps there is a need to better understand the hydrometeorology of the debris flow hazard and a need to develop better warning and forecasting techniques for the region (Bodini and Cossu, 2010; Bacchini and Zannoni, 2003).

2 Background

This study analyzes multiple debris flow events with the intent of highlighting relationships between atmospheric processes and hydrogeologic outcomes in a region of complex terrain. The study tests the hypothesis that common synoptic scale flow regimes provide an environment conducive to convective rainfall and debris mobilization in the Dolomite Alps of northeastern Italy. A second hypothesis proposes that CG flash variables at the meso- β scale are collocated in time and space with LICR during the pe-

riod immediately preceding debris flow initiation. To test these hypotheses debris flow events are analyzed at multiple spatial and temporal scales. Through a combination of well tested methods the large scale atmospheric flow patterns for each debris flow event day are identified and smaller scale convective process are identified using CG flash observations.

Many researchers have confirmed the connection between LICR and debris flow initiation in the Dolomite Alps and at other locations around the globe (DeGraff et al., 2011; Bacchini and Zannoni, 2003; Cannon, 1998; Floris et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2013). The debris flow literature is also replete with studies that incorporate estimates of rainfall intensity proximal in space and time to debris flow initiation (Floris et al., 2010; Shieh et al., 2009; Cannon et al., 2008; Moody and Martin, 2001; Chen et al., 2005; Galgaro et al., 2005). These studies rely on surface-based rainfall observations near debris source regions and have proven effective in identifying rainfall intensity thresholds for mobilizing debris in regions prone to mass wasting.

The inclusion of upper-level atmospheric processes to evaluate the preconditions for debris flow generation has not been extensive, although Turkington et al. (2014) incorporated stability indices such as convective available potential energy (CAPE) and 700 hPa moisture availability in a study of what the authored called “flashy events” in the Alpine region of southern France. These flashy events included both flash floods and debris flows. Underwood and Shultz (2004) utilized equivalent potential temperature (θ_e) at various pressure levels to identify atmospheric preconditions to LICR and post-wildfire debris flows in the southwestern USA. The study of convective rainfall in regions of complex terrain has been the subject of numerous studies including Trapp et al. (2009); Schmidli et al. (2007); Chen et al. (2012); and Parajka et al. (2010), however these studies confine their findings to the processes associated with convective rainfall and do not associate these processes with debris flow initiation. The present study builds on the debris flow literature as well as previous research related to convective rainfall in complex terrain and expands the spatial and temporal context for analyzing the debris flow hazard.

Atmospheric circulation patterns, cloud-to-ground lightning, and convective rainfall

S. J. Underwood et al.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[⏪](#)

[⏩](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper

3 Study Area

The debris flow events central to this research occurred across five basins described in Gregoretti and Dalla Fontana (2008). These basins are in the northeastern sector of the Italian Alps. Here the highest peaks reach 3300 m a.s.l. and the alpine landscape is dominated by large dolomite massifs. Bedrock outcrops occupy large areas, especially at elevations higher than 1800 m where sub-vertical cliffs are common and vegetation is scarce or absent (Marchi et al., 2002).

The dolomite massif, with its topography made of cliffs and gorges represents a source of abundant sediment production. As a result, scree slopes surround the rocky massif. Due to the action of multiple sediment transfer processes the talus deposits are thicker at the outlet of incisions and gorges. Talus is made of poorly sorted debris that includes a vast range of grain sizes, from metric boulders to silt-size particles (Berti et al., 1999; Gregoretti and Dalla Fontana, 2008) (Fig. 1).

Debris flows initiate in the upper part of scree slopes and transport sediments to the valley bottom where settlements and infrastructure are typically located. Triggering is initiated by LICR that quickly concentrates precipitation in the steep headwater rocky basins due to absence of soil and vegetation. High runoff discharge is abruptly delivered at the basin outlet where ephemeral channels are incised into scree-covered slopes. Debris flows originate along such channels whenever surface runoff is sufficient to mobilize loose channel-bed material (Berti and Simoni, 2005; Coe et al., 2008; Hurlimann et al., 2014; Kean et al., 2013; Imaizumi et al., 2006). This mechanism of debris mobilization has been well documented by field monitoring (Berti et al., 2000; Tecca and Genevois, 2009) and also demonstrated in a laboratory setting (Gregoretti, 2000; Tognacca et al., 2000). Depending on sediment, water availability and channel-morphology, entrainment can greatly enhance the volume of solid-liquid mixture that routes downstream and deposits on the fan (McCoy et al., 2012; Theule et al., 2012). The analysis of rainfall events which resulted in debris flow has produced regional rain-

Atmospheric circulation patterns, cloud-to-ground lightning, and convective rainfall

S. J. Underwood et al.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[⏪](#)

[⏩](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

fall thresholds for debris entrainment. Critical rainfall episodes typically have duration of less than 30 min and a mean intensity that exceeds $0.3\text{--}0.5\text{ mm min}^{-1}$.

This study will employ analysis domains at the synoptic scale as well as the meso- α and meso- β scales. The nesting of analysis domains is illustrated in Fig. 2. The area for synoptic scale atmospheric analysis is bounded by the following coordinates: 25 to 65° N latitude and 30° W to 40° E longitude. The meso- α analysis unit is bounded at 44 to 48° N and 7 to 15° E. The meso- β analysis unit is circular with a radius of 50 km and total area of 7850 km². This particular meso- β configuration has been used in previous studies of LICR and CG lightning flashes (Underwood and Schultz, 2004).

Twelve debris flow events are analyzed in this study. The events actually occurred over five adjacent basins in the Dolomite Alps near Cortina d'Ampezzo, Italy. The authors simplify the representation of the basins by using a single "reference point" to indicate the position of the basins. The precise basins and locations can be obtained from Gregoretti and Dalla Fontana (2008). The coordinates for the basin reference point are 46.53° N, 12.13° E and this point is shown in the context of the meso- α and meso- β spatial domains in Fig. 3.

4 Data and methods

Data incorporated in this research include gridded upper-air data from freely available meteorological archives, field collected rainfall data, and proprietary CG lightning flash data. However this study would not be possible without the identification of a discrete group of debris flow events. The events that form the foundation of the study conform to the following criteria: (1) debris flow initiation was witnessed by a reliable source, (2) the exact time of debris flow initiation was reported and archived; and (3) rainfall data from at least one precipitation gauge located proximal to the debris source region was available to the researchers. Ten debris flow events conforming to these standards were identified from a list published in Gregoretti and Dalla Fontana (2008). One may review the list of events in Table VII of the referenced publication. The reader should be

Atmospheric circulation patterns, cloud-to-ground lightning, and convective rainfall

S. J. Underwood et al.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[⏪](#)

[⏩](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



Atmospheric circulation patterns, cloud-to-ground lightning, and convective rainfall

S. J. Underwood et al.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[⏪](#)

[⏩](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

mindful when viewing the table that only those events coded “ T_{WITNESS} ” were included in this study. Also the event times, which were provided at solar h convention, were converted to UTC so that this information would conform to the time conventions of other data sets utilized. Two additional debris flow events which met the criteria, but were not listed in Gregoretto and Dall Fontana (2008), were identified by the authors and added to the population (Degetto et al., 2015). The strict observation criteria ensured that debris flow initiation could be analyzed in a temporally coincident fashion with data sets including local rainfall, CG lightning flashes, and upper atmospheric fields.

For each of the 12 debris flow events the authors collected a suite of data sets for analysis. Gridded data describing the upper atmosphere were obtained from the Earth System Research Laboratory NCEP/NCAR Reanalysis Project archive (Kalnay et al., 1996). These data were used to calculate and plot upper atmospheric fields at the synoptic scale and produce time-series plots of selected fields. Note that in matching upper-air observations with the observed time of debris flow initiation a temporal adjustment was necessary. The upper-air gridded data are available at six-hour observation intervals (00:00, 06:00, 12:00 and 18:00 UTC). A temporal offset was used to match the upper-air observation to debris flow observation in each case. This was accomplished by selecting the upper-air observation nearest in time (UTC) to observed debris flows. The upper-air observation selected to represent each event is referred to as the “zero-hour” observation for purposes of developing a time-series analysis. For example a debris flow occurring at 17:10 UTC would be matched with the upper-air observation at 18:00 UTC and the upper-air time-series analysis would use 18:00 UTC as the zero-hour. Using this convention 500 hPa geopotential height plots were created for each event beginning with the zero-hour. To complete the time series, upper-air data were processed for observations 24 h in advance of the zero-hour and 48 h in advance of the zero-hour. In discussing the upper-atmospheric time-series the abbreviations T-0, T-24, and T-48 will be used to represent the three observations. Online plotting tools for the reanalysis data are made available to the public by the NOAA/ESRL Phys-

ical Sciences Division and were used in this study to produce single event plots and composite plots.

CG lightning flash data were obtained from Centro Elettrotecnico Sperimentale Italiano – Sistema Italiano Rilevamento Fulmini (CESI-SIRF) (Iorio, 1998). The CESI-SIRF archive provides highly accurate CG flash location (latitude/longitude) as well as polarity (positive/negative) and amplitude in units kA for each CG flash. Table 1 lists the events by date, time of debris flow observation, zero-hour, and referenced source.

Rainfall observations were recorded by a meso-network of rainfall gauges installed across adjacent basins at a field site in the Dolomite Alps near the town of Cortina d'Ampezzo in northeastern Italy. The particular gauges utilized in this study are listed and described in Gregoretto and Dalla Fontana (2008). The rainfall data were recorded at five minute intervals for each of the 12 event days. Parameters such as total accumulated rainfall, peak five minute rainfall rate, and rainfall intensity were calculated from the observed data.

4.1 Methods

To classify synoptic scale atmospheric patterns supporting LICR and debris flow initiation in northeastern Italy, 500 hPa geopotential height observations for the 12 events were plotted and analyzed. The 500 hPa pressure level serves as a very good indicator of general atmospheric flow patterns in the mid-troposphere. The 500 hPa level is particularly useful in identifying Rossby wave patterns and areas of high and low pressure (as indicated by higher and lower geopotential heights). The size, position, amplitude, and tilt of waves as well as the position and movement of closed features and blocking configurations allows one to differentiate patterns and thus classify flow regimes. Pattern identification in the 500 hPa height field using both manual and automated methods has been implemented with regularity to classify flow regimes at the synoptic scale. Further, flow regimes at this pressure level have been shown to coincide with surface meteorological and hydro-meteorological phenomena including intense rainfall, flooding, heavy snowfall, and dust storms (Hoard and Lee, 1986; Holle

Atmospheric circulation patterns, cloud-to-ground lightning, and convective rainfall

S. J. Underwood et al.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[⏪](#)

[⏩](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



and Bennett, 1997; Mote et al., 1997; Hunter et al., 2001; Lapp et al., 2002; Underwood et al., 2009; Kaplan et al., 2013).

The synoptic scale classification of events was operationalized by plotting a 48 h time-series of 500 hPa geopotential heights for each event and identifying via a manual rules-based method the distinctive flow regimes. After initial event reviews it was clear that there were in fact multiple flow regimes represented in the population and that there was a very high degree of consistency in flow patterns within groups and a high degree of dissimilarity between groups. The pattern consistency was so striking that the authors decided to use a compositing technique to illustrate the 48 h-time series for each classification. The compositing technique computes mean grid values for upper-air variables (in this case 500 hPa heights) and then plots contour lines based on the mean grid values. The atmospheric grids in this case are $2.5^\circ \times 2.5^\circ$ in size. The composite time-series provides a detailed temporal and spatial analysis of the synoptic scale features that define each group of events.

Following synoptic classification summary statistics were calculated for CG flash and rainfall variables for the population of debris flow events. The statistical analysis downscaled to the study to the meso- α and meso- β scales. CG flash statistics were also calculated at three temporal scales, the 24 h that made up the debris flow event-day, the six hour interval preceding debris flow initiation, which was estimated by the authors to be an ample temporal unit to capture storm-total statistics, and the 60 min interval preceding debris flow initiation. The 60 min analysis further downscaled the analysis and identified processes related a particular storm cell or cells that produced LICR and in the steep basins of the study area. Much of this analysis was based on identifying peaks in CG flash activity. For this purpose a “peak” was defined as a five minute flash frequency greater than one standard deviation above the mean. The mean in this case was calculated for the longest continuous flash sequence recorded at meso- β scale.

CG lightning and rainfall data were examined using ESRI ArcGIS applications which allowed for both visualization of patterns and statistical analysis of the data. Maps, graphics and summary statistics were calculated for the CG flash data and rainfall ob-

Atmospheric circulation patterns, cloud-to-ground lightning, and convective rainfall

S. J. Underwood et al.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[⏪](#)

[⏩](#)

[⏴](#)

[⏵](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

Atmospheric circulation patterns, cloud-to-ground lightning, and convective rainfall

S. J. Underwood et al.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[⏪](#)

[⏩](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



trated with a solid line in Fig. 4) extended well into Central Europe and encompassed the entirety of the study area in the northeastern portion of Italy. A second synoptic scale feature at T-48 was a low pressure (height) center at 60° N over the North Atlantic Ocean accompanied by a trough of lower geopotential heights extending southward (illustrated with a dashed line). 500 hPa flow at the study site was dominated by westerly flow which followed height contours around the sub-tropical ridge.

Progressing to T-24 the closed low over the Atlantic remained stationary as the trough began to amplify southward. The sub-tropical high was still in place and geopotential heights proximal to the study site were maximized at 5825 gpm. Also at T-24 the downstream flow from the amplifying trough west of the sub-tropical ridge began to compress 500 hPa height contours along the northwestern flank of the sub-tropical ridge.

At T-0 the trough over the eastern Atlantic began to fill, however a short wave trough formed (dashed line) over the central portion of the continent at approximately 5 to 15° E (the area is shaded for emphasis in Fig. 4). The short wave translated east and eroded the northwest flank of the sub-tropical ridge, lowering geopotential heights associated with this stationary feature. This also introduced positive vorticity advection (not shown in figures) of 10 to 30 ms^{-1} in conjunction with the short wave circulation. The 500 hPa flow over the study area at T-0 became more southwesterly as the sub-tropical ridge was impacted by the short wave. The compressed contour lines at 500 hPa as well as the positive vorticity associated with the short wave created an upper-air configuration that supported vertical motion (700 hPa Omega of -0.06 Pa s^{-1} was observed across meso- α study area) and intense convective rainfall in the region of the debris flow basins for the eight STH events.

5.2 500 hPa geopotential height composites – group two

The 500 hPa composite plots for the second group was calculated from only two events, 20 September 1999 and 30 September 2000. As these two events occurred in September their commonality may be the result of processes related to seasonal transition. The

Atmospheric circulation patterns, cloud-to-ground lightning, and convective rainfall

S. J. Underwood et al.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[⏪](#)

[⏩](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



dominate synoptic scale feature in the composite for this group was a deep 500 hPa trough across most of southern Europe associated with a surface frontal boundary. This type of synoptic setting would be less likely in the early or mid-summer months in the Mediterranean region with the dominant sub-tropical high well established. September however can be a transitional month in the region as the Mediterranean climate shifts from dry phase (summer) to wet phase (winter). This group of two events was the only group dominated by a broad synoptic scale trough thus the group will be referred to as the amplifying low group or AL for ease of discussion.

In the AL composite the sub-tropical high pressure ridge was displaced both southward and eastward of its summer season position. Lower geopotential heights were also observed from 45 to 55° N across Eastern Europe. Downstream a weak area of higher geopotential heights stacked above a closed low forming a high-over-low blocking configuration at approximately 20° E. However the most prominent synoptic scale feature was a deep closed low (5400 gpm) over the British Isles with a negatively titled trough extended into northwestern Africa at T-48 (Fig. 5).

At T-24 the low pressure trough across Western Europe deepened into the Mediterranean region and the positive tilt of the trough became more apparent. The high-over-low blocking configuration to the east (downstream) of the amplifying trough remained stationary and encumbered the eastward translation of the low pressure feature thus intensifying the trough to ridge flow (active region flow) at 500 hPa north of 45° N. Upper-air flow over the study area shifted to southerly at T-24 and the study area was located in the intensifying active region of the synoptic scale flow. This area of strong divergent flow has the potential to enhance vertical motion and this in turn could encourage convection over a large area.

By T-0 the trough deepened, extending across southern Europe. The downstream blocking configuration impeded eastward translation and encouraged a more pronounced negative tilt of the trough and thus the southeasterly amplification of the feature. 500 hPa flow across northern Italy at T-0 was southerly and upper-level divergence associated with the active region of the flow pattern created an environment

that supported strong vertical motion (700 hPa Omega calculated at -0.30 Pas^{-1}) and convection across the study area.

5.3 500 hPa geopotential height composites – group three

The final group also consisted of only two events 28 July 1999 and 4 July 2011. These two events exhibited a high-over-low blocking configuration in the region of the debris flow basins and will be referred to the HL group.

At T-48 the most prominent features apparent in the HL composite were two adjacent synoptic scale troughs, one off the coast of Portugal and the other east of the study area (Fig. 6). These two areas of lower geopotential heights were separated by a narrow ridge at the prime meridian which was the northernmost expression of the sub-tropical ridge emanating from northern Africa. The western-most trough began to “cut-off” from the geostrophic flow at T-48 and 500 hPa flow into the study region at was from the north. The amplifying trough to the east of the study area encumbered eastward translation of the upstream features at 500 hPa.

At T-24 the cut-off low was closed at 500 hPa and progressed eastward. This eastward translation accompanied the weakening and filling of the area of lower geopotential heights over Eastern Europe. With this weakening of the downstream obstacle the cut-off low translated eastward and effectively undercut the northern portion of the ridge at 10° E . This stacked configuration at 500 hPa defines a high-over-low blocking regime.

The T-0 composite revealed that the high-over-low blocking configuration had shifted into the central Europe and the low, of the high-over-low block, was positioned proximal to the debris flow study area. The advancing low compressed the remnants of the subtropical high which had subsided to approximately 40° N . Immediately to the north of the low the high began to strengthen and the blocking configuration realized its mature phase. The circulation about the stationary low in the blocking regime resulted in counter-clockwise flow at 500 hPa across central and northern Italy. The presence

**Atmospheric
circulation patterns,
cloud-to-ground
lightning, and
convective rainfall**

S. J. Underwood et al.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[⏪](#)

[⏩](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



of the upper-level low advected positive vorticity of 15 ms^{-1} into the study area contributing to vigorous vertical motion (700 hPa Omega of -0.15 Pa s^{-1}), convection, and intense rainfall across northeastern Italy.

6 Multi-scale CG flash and rainfall analysis

In this section a summary of CG flash and rainfall statistics are presented at both the meso- α and meso- β spatial scales over multiple temporal scales. Additionally time-series analyses for each event are presented for CG flashes and rainfall for the 60 min “critical interval” prior to debris flow initiation – critical in that intense rainfall occurring during this period is the likely trigger for debris flow. Critical interval CG flashes are analyzed only at the meso- β scale. Rainfall data for critical interval analysis was obtained from the gauge nearest the debris flow source region. The rainfall gauge is part of a meso-network of gauges installed across the basins and identified in Gregoretta and Dalla Fontana (2008). Analyzing meso- β CG flashes and rainfall at the 60 min temporal scale is intended further downscale the analysis to capture characteristics of local convective processes that generate LICR at the debris flow source region. This analysis is also intended the hypothesis of co-location of LICR with CG flashes in space in time as found by previous studies undertaken in complex terrain (Batton, 1965; Holle and Bennett, 1997; Soriano et al., 2001; Underwood and Schultz, 2004; Underwood, 2006).

In discussing the results of the time series analysis there are multiple references to the meso- α flash pattern and the six-hour meso- β flash sequence. The graphics for these analyses are not presented as figures but may be viewed in Supplement A and Supplement B both of which are linked to this paper.

Table 2 is a summary of observations and statistics related to both CG flashes and rainfall for each of the 12 events over the 60 min critical interval at the meso- β scale. The variables related CG flashes and rainfall are analyzed at five minute intervals. This temporal unit (five minutes) has proven valuable in analyzing lightning and hydro-

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[⏪](#)

[⏩](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



meteorological phenomena in the past (Holle and Bennett, 1997; Lopez and Holle, 1986). The spatial unit over which the CG flash data are collected is 7850 km² (meso-β scale). This scale has also been utilized in prior research as an efficient spatial unit for relating CG flashes to basin-scale LICR and debris flow initiation (Underwood and Schultz, 2004). Two of the variables listed in Table 2, lifted index and 500 hPa heights are grid values extracted from the 2.5° by 2.5° NCEP reanalysis upper-air grid encompassing the study area.

6.1 Debris flow event of 7 August 1996

The debris flow event from 7 August 1996 was synoptically classified as STH and produced a total of 9284 CG flashes at the meso-α scale over the event day (24 h). The six hour period prior to debris flow saw 3239 CG flashes at the meso-α scale and 79 CG flashes at the meso-β scale. For the 60 min interval preceding debris flow initiation there were 1724 CG flashes at the meso-α scale but only 66 CG flashes at the meso-β scale. The flashes at the smaller spatial scale comprise only four percent of the meso-α total. Focusing the analysis at the meso-β scale during the critical interval the 66 GG flashes that were recorded proximal to the debris flow basin accounted for 84 % of meso-β flashes during the six hours prior to debris flow initiation. This suggests that convection at the meso-β scale was weak across the smaller analysis domain for an extended period leading up to the critical interval and CG flashes and LICR did not impact the area proximal to debris source region until immediately prior to debris flow initiation. One may note from the six-hour time series (Supplement B) that the critical interval is in fact the beginning of an extended flash sequence lasting 180 min. The mean flash frequency for this sequence was 7.4 CGF 5 min⁻¹ and the standard deviation 5.6 CGF 5 min⁻¹.

A time series of CG flashes and rainfall during the critical interval for the event occurring on 7 August 1996 is presented in Fig. 7. The debris flow in this case occurred during the five minute interval ending at 19:00 UTC. The peak five minute flash rate (14 CGF 5 min⁻¹) occurred at 18:40 UTC and was the only flash peak recorded during

Atmospheric circulation patterns, cloud-to-ground lightning, and convective rainfall

S. J. Underwood et al.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[⏪](#)

[⏩](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



Atmospheric circulation patterns, cloud-to-ground lightning, and convective rainfall

S. J. Underwood et al.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[⏪](#)

[⏩](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

the critical interval. Multiple CG flash peak were observed post debris flow. Peak five minute rainfall of 11.8 mm preceded the peak CG flash rate by ten minutes, occurring at 18:30 UTC. Rainfall accumulated quickly during this event with a total of 25.4 mm of rainfall occurring between 18:25 UTC to 18:45 UTC. Critical interval rainfall intensity for this event was estimated at 1.02 mm min^{-1} . Peak CG flash intensity of -78.6 kA was recorded at 18:50 UTC, ten minutes prior the observed debris flow. The lifted index in the region of the debris flow basin was -1.0°C .

It is important to note the temporal and spatial colocation of CG flashes and rainfall within 30 min of debris flow initiation at the meso- β scale. The co-located CG flashes and LICR occurred in the form of a “burst” just as a long CG flash sequence commenced. The vast majority of the CG flashes in this sequence occurred post debris flow at the meso- β scale however LICR was confined to the critical interval at the scale of the debris source region.

6.2 Debris flow event of 12 June 1997

The debris flow event of 12 June 1997 was prolific in terms of total CG flashes. Over the 24 h event day 15 765 CG flashes were recorded across the meso- α domain. However, only 860 of these meso- α scale CG flashes occurred during the six hour period preceding the debris flow. A total of 439 CG flashes, or 51 % of these flashes were observed at the meso- β scale during the six hour period preceding debris flow. During to the critical interval 201 CG flashes occurred across the meso- β analysis unit.

The critical interval sequence for this event suggests that the peak five minute rainfall rate and the peak five minute CG flash rate were coincident in time at the meso- β scale, occurring at 12:55 UTC, which was 25 min prior to debris flow initiation (Fig. 8). Rainfall accumulated to 23.0 mm during the critical interval and rainfall intensity was estimated at 0.66 mm min^{-1} prior to the debris flow. During the five minute interval ending at 13:20 UTC the debris flow was reported in concert with CG flash peak discharge of -156.7 kA . The lifted index for this case suggests a very unstable lower troposphere with an observed value of -3.0°C . Although this event saw a large number of CG

**Atmospheric
circulation patterns,
cloud-to-ground
lightning, and
convective rainfall**

S. J. Underwood et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

flashes across the meso- β domain in the six hours preceding debris flow the CG flash peak was synchronized with the event day's maximum rainfall intensity which occurred during the critical interval. From Supplement B, one can note that there were two previous CG flash peaks prior to the critical interval but neither of these peaks were associated significant rainfall at the debris source region. The mean five minute CG flash frequency for this 105 min sequence was $14.3 \text{ CGF } 5 \text{ min}^{-1}$ and the standard deviation was $11.3 \text{ CGF } 5 \text{ min}^{-1}$. The critical interval for this event experienced consistent CG flashes with seven (of twelve) five-minute intervals producing 15 or more CG flashes and two of these intervals produced peaks – flash rates above one standard deviation from the mean.

6.3 Debris flow event of 17 August 1998

For the debris flow event of 17 August 1998 the 24 h flash count at the meso- α scale was 11 037 and 4887 (44 %) of these CG flashes occurred within the six hours preceding the observed debris flow. At the meso- β scale CG flashes totaled 347 for the six hour period preceding the debris flow. The low CG flash frequency at the meso- β scale was further illustrated in the 60 min critical interval where only 24 CG flashes were recorded.

Even though the CG flash sequence in the critical interval produced very few CG flashes and a peak five minute flash rate of only six GC flashes the total accumulated rainfall during the critical interval was 27.6 mm. The five-minute rainfall rate peaked at 8.1 mm just 15 min prior to debris flow initiation. Rainfall was recorded continuously for 45 min and rainfall intensity was calculated at 0.50 mm min^{-1} (Fig. 9). Peak CG flash discharge was observed at 18:50 UTC with a single flash lowering -42.8 kA charge to the ground. The lifted index for this event was -3.5°C suggesting a highly unstable environment across northern Italy with the potential for intense convection across the study domain.

This event was unique in that the critical interval represented the final CG flashes of an extensive flash sequence lasting 310 min (Supplement B). The mean five minute

flash frequency for the sequence was $6.1 \text{ CGF } 5 \text{ min}^{-1}$ with a standard deviation $4.8 \text{ CGF } 5 \text{ min}^{-1}$. There were a number of CG flash peaks prior to the critical interval however the CG flash frequency for the entire critical interval was below the sequence mean. The lower CG flash frequency during the critical interval coupled with the rapid accumulation of rainfall during this period suggests that instability may have been released over a prolonged period as 1.27 mm rainfall did accumulate at the debris flow basin prior to the critical interval. This rainfall was not intense as it fell over a period of 310 min. LICR occurred in a burst at the meso- β scale late in this prolonged CG flash sequence and there were no CG flashes post debris flow.

6.4 Debris flow event of 20 July 1999

The 24 h CG flash total at the meso- α scale for the event occurring on 20 July 1999 was 2312, which was the lowest total of the 12 events. However 1286 CG flashes occurred within six hours prior to debris flow initiation. At the meso- β scale 505 CG flashes occurred within six hours of debris flow initiation however no flashes occurred within 60 min critical interval.

Figure 10 is a depiction of the critical interval time series for the debris flow event of 20 July 1999. As previously stated there were no CG flashes during this period however 19.6 mm of rainfall accumulated during the 60 min leading up to the debris flow initiation. The rainfall was very light for most of the critical interval with a ten minute burst from 16:15 to 16:20 UTC. The peak five minute rainfall of 7.9 mm was recorded at 16:20 UTC 15 min prior to debris flow. Rainfall intensity for the event was calculated at 0.78 mm min^{-1} . The lifted index across the northern portion of Italy was -3.0 and this is another case where there was sufficient convective instability across the region to produce both CG flashes and LICR. As with the previous events there was a prolific CG flash sequence at the meso- β scale prior to the critical interval, including numerous peaks greater than one standard deviation above the mean CG flash frequency of $11.2 \text{ CGF } 5 \text{ min}^{-1}$. In this case the pre-critical interval flash sequence lasted for 340 min

Atmospheric circulation patterns, cloud-to-ground lightning, and convective rainfall

S. J. Underwood et al.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[⏪](#)

[⏩](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



and 1.78 mm of antecedent rainfall was recorded during this period (Supplement B). This long flash sequence ceased only five minutes prior to the critical interval suggesting that there was ample convection at the meso- β scale immediately preceding the critical interval, however LICR was slightly delayed, being delivered to the study area in a burst 40 min after the last CG flash of this long sequence.

6.5 Debris flow event of 30 June 2001

Event day 30 June 2001 produced a 24 h CG flash total of 12 483 at the meso- α scale. The six hour CG flash total prior to debris flow at this scale was 5413 which constituted 43% of all meso- α scale flashes. At the meso- β scale the six hours preceding debris flow initiation saw 1523 CG flashes and 1090 of these CG flashes (72%) occurred during the critical interval.

The critical interval for this event experienced the three most prolific five-minute peaks in CG flash activity for the entire event day (Supplement B). Each of the peaks was above $74 \text{ CGF } 5 \text{ min}^{-1}$ which represented the CG flash frequency that was one standard deviation above the mean of $38.6 \text{ CGF } 5 \text{ min}^{-1}$. This case conforms nicely to the assumption of peak CG flash activity coinciding in space and time with peak rainfall intensity. The peaks in CG flash frequency were confined to a period beginning 15 min prior to the critical interval and ending 15 min post critical interval. This peak sequence was part of a continuous flash sequence that began in late afternoon and lasted for 400 min.

Figure 11 focuses the analysis on the critical interval and illustrates the CG flash peak of $131 \text{ CGF } 5 \text{ min}^{-1}$ at 18:05 UTC. There were also two additional peaks in CG flash activity during the 60 min period, one at 18:30 UTC where 125 CG flashes were recorded and another at 18:55 UTC with 107 CG flashes. Total rainfall for the event was recorded over a short span during the critical interval again demonstrating what might be called a rainfall burst. The burst for this event occurred in concert with increasing CG flash activity. Peak rainfall of 10.4 mm was recorded 15 min prior to the observed debris flow. The debris flow was generated during the five minute interval

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[⏪](#)

[⏩](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



**Atmospheric
circulation patterns,
cloud-to-ground
lightning, and
convective rainfall**S. J. Underwood et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

ending at 19:00 UTC which also coincided with a period of increased CG flash activity. Total accumulated rainfall for the 60 min critical interval was 19.0 mm and the rainfall intensity was calculated at 1.27 mm min^{-1} making this one of the most intense rainfall events in the 12 member population. Peak CG flash discharge was recorded coincident with the debris flow initiation at -74.0 kA . The lifted index at T-0 for the event was -2.5°C indicating ample instability across the region to support widespread and intense convection. The CG flash composite (Supplement A) for this event illustrates that convection (as represented by CG flashes) was prolific at the meso- α scale and concentrated in large clusters proximal to debris flow basins.

6.6 Debris flow event of 19 July 2004

The 24 h meso- α scale CG flash total for the debris flow event of 19 July 2004 was 7464. The composite flash plot (Supplement A) shows that nearly all CG flashes were confined to the northeastern corner of the meso- α analysis domain. Eighty-one percent (6071) of the meso- α flashes occurred during the six hours preceding the observed debris flow. Of those 6071 CG flashes 1473 occurred at the smaller meso- β scale during the six hour period. During the critical interval 325 CG flashes were observed at the meso- β scale.

Focusing on the critical interval Fig. 12 illustrates the rapid accumulation of rainfall between 16:55 and 17:05 UTC. Peak five minute rainfall of 6.6 mm was recorded during this period. Total rainfall accumulating during the critical interval was 12.2 mm. Rainfall intensity for the event was calculated at 0.49 mm min^{-1} . No rainfall was recorded for the first 20 min of the critical interval even though a peak CG flash frequency of 42 CGF 5 min^{-1} was recorded at 16:35 UTC. CG flashes continued for the duration of the critical interval with a second flash peak of 43 CGF 5 min^{-1} occurring at 17:20 UTC. Also at 17:20 UTC a single CG charge of -128.4 kA was measured at the surface which was the most intense discharge recorded for the 12 events included in this study.

This event saw multiple peaks in CG flashes at the meso- β scale prior to the critical interval as well as CG flash peaks post debris flow. In fact there high frequency CG flash

**Atmospheric
circulation patterns,
cloud-to-ground
lightning, and
convective rainfall**

S. J. Underwood et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

activity was apparent for most of 400 min flash sequence at the meso- β scale, however there was no record of antecedent rainfall at the debris flow basin (Supplement B). The mean flash frequency for this interval was $24.3 \text{ CGF } 5 \text{ min}^{-1}$ and the standard deviation was calculated at $15.3 \text{ CGF } 5 \text{ min}^{-1}$. The critical interval was centered within a 400 min flash sequence. The long CG flash sequence suggests that convection was present at the meso- β scale from late afternoon to late evening. However rainfall generated from this convection was either not forthcoming or was occurring in the meso- β domain at some distance away from the debris source region for most of this long flash sequence.

6.7 Debris flow event of 18 August 2011

The debris flow event of 18 August 2011 produced a 24 h event-day total of 6851 CG flashes over the meso- α analysis domain. Of these flashes at the meso- α scale only 647 (9%) occurred during the six hours immediately preceding the reported debris flow. Further only 157 CG flashes occurred at the meso- β scale during the six hour period, 43 of these during the critical interval. The flash composite located in Supplement A shows a banding of CG flashes from southwest to northeast in the upper left quadrant of the meso- α analysis domain and a very limited cluster of flashes isolated near the meso- β study area.

During the critical interval at the meso- β scale total rainfall accumulated to 16.8 mm falling primarily in a 10 min burst leading to debris flow initiation which occurred at 17:10 UTC. Peak rainfall was recorded at 17:00 UTC at 8.2 mm. During this event the percentage of CG flashes lowering a positive charge to the ground was 14% which was one of the highest rates for the entire population. Additionally a single CG flash discharge at 16:45 UTC was measured at -70.0 kA in the meso- β study area. Rainfall intensity for the event was very high at 1.12 mm/min . The lifted index for this event was -3.5°C suggesting extreme atmospheric instability across northern Italy. Figure 13 illustrates the temporal distribution of the 43 CG flashes that occurred during the critical interval. There were two peaks in CG flashes during the critical interval, one at 16:15 UTC, another at 17:05 UTC. The peak at in CG flash frequency at 17:05 UTC

be defined as “peak” flash periods using the criteria of flash frequency greater than one standard deviation above the mean of $35.8 \text{ CGF } 5 \text{ min}^{-1}$ calculated from a 300 min flash sequence at the meso- β scale.

Peak rainfall was realized at 15:55 UTC with 12.4 mm recorded during this five minute interval. Rainfall accumulation for the case was 44.8 mm at the gauging station adjacent to the debris flow source region and this was the highest rainfall total of any case in the population. Rainfall accumulated over a period of 35 min and the rainfall intensity was calculated at 1.28 mm min^{-1} . The most intense single CG flash discharge (-75.3 kA) occurred at 15:55 UTC in concert with highest rainfall total for a five minute interval. The lifted index for this event was -3.5°C matching the lowest value for this parameter across the population of events.

Figure 14 illustrates both the continuous high frequency CG flash activity and the rapid accumulation of rainfall at the debris flow site. This particular critical interval time-series illustrates a good example of close temporal and spatial collocation of CG flashes and LICR in the complex terrain of the study area. The seven CG flash peaks embedded in the critical interval represented the most intense flash frequencies recorded, and the peaks were temporally positioned in the center of the 300 min CG flash sequence at the meso- β scale (Supplement B).

6.9 Debris flow event of 20 September 1999

The 24 h flash total for the event occurring on 20 September 1999 was 3637 CG flashes at the meso- α scale. The flashes for this event were spatially disconnected, consisting of three primary clusters and a diffuse pattern of flashes over the meso- β scale analysis domain. This pattern suggests that there may have been multiple forcing mechanisms for convective activity during the event day (Supplement A). The six hours preceding the debris flow saw 789 CG flashes at the meso- α scale. This case produced very few CG flashes at the meso- β scale (178) during the six hours preceding the debris flow. Of the 178 CG flashes in the meso- β domain 152 (85%) of these flashes occurred during the critical interval.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Atmospheric
circulation patterns,
cloud-to-ground
lightning, and
convective rainfall**

S. J. Underwood et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

During the critical interval a total of 12.0 mm of rainfall accumulated at the gauge proximal to the debris flow source region. This rainfall accumulated over a 45 min period from 19:05 to 19:45 UTC ceasing 15 min prior to the debris flow which occurred at 20:00 UTC. Rainfall intensity for the event was calculated at only 0.27 mm min^{-1} , the lowest intensity among the 12 events in the population. Peak five minute rainfall for this case was only 2.0 mm but rainfall accumulated steadily to the 12.0 mm total during the critical interval. The most intense CG flash peak ($24 \text{ CGF } 5 \text{ min}^{-1}$) occurred during the critical interval at 19:25 UTC. Three other CG flash peaks were recorded, the first at 19:20 UTC ($18 \text{ CGF } 5 \text{ min}^{-1}$), a third at 19:40 also at 18 CG flashes and a final peak of 17 CG flashes 19:55 UTC which was five minutes prior to debris flow initiation (Fig. 15). Also of note was the very high percentage of flashes lowering positive charges to the ground. The percentage was 22.4 during the critical interval.

As was noted, four CG flash peaks were recorded during the critical interval. The critical interval occurred late in the evening of the event-day and was temporally centered in a flash sequence lasting 95 min. The mean flash frequency for this sequence was $9.2 \text{ CGF } 5 \text{ min}^{-1}$ and the standard deviation was $6.5 \text{ CGF } 5 \text{ min}^{-1}$. Even though rainfall intensity was not extreme in this case the rainfall was collocated with the peaks in CG flash activity and proximal in space and time to debris flow initiation.

6.10 Debris flow event of 30 September 2000

The event that occurred on 30 September 2000 was quite unusual as a total of 4613 CG flashes were recorded at the meso- α scale for the 24 h event-day. At the same scale 303 CG flashes occurred during the six hours preceding debris flow initiation. The CG flash pattern as illustrated in Supplement A revealed that nearly all CG flashes occurred in the region of the Adriatic Sea well south of the meso- β scale study area. The flashes were in a single cluster elongated from north to south and suggests intense convection, however this convection (as represented by CG flashes) was not collocated with rainfall at the debris flow basins.

Atmospheric circulation patterns, cloud-to-ground lightning, and convective rainfall

S. J. Underwood et al.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[⏪](#)

[⏩](#)

[⏴](#)

[⏵](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



At the meso- β scale only one CG flash occurred during the six hours preceding debris flow initiation and this single flash occurred during the critical interval during the same five minute interval of the reported debris flow. This single flash was also unique in that it lowered a positive charge to the ground (+85.7 kA). There was no extended CG flash sequence associated with this case. In fact the longest sustained flash sequence was only 15 min and the sequence occurred after debris flow initiation.

Another unique characteristic of this case was the duration of rainfall during the critical interval. This was the only case in the population in which rainfall was recorded for entire 60 min of the critical interval. Rainfall intensity was 0.33 mm min^{-1} for this case and peak five minute rainfall of 6.7 mm occurred at 16:35 UTC, 15 min in advance of the debris flow. In Fig. 16 one can visualize the flat line representing CG flash observations and the long duration rainfall that characterized the case. Rainfall was light until 16:35 UTC when accumulated rainfall jumped to 13 mm. The lack of collocation of CG flashes and rainfall, the continuous nature of the rainfall and the spatial pattern of CG flashes may be the result of the synoptic scale circulation pattern observed during this event. This was a case dominated by an amplifying trough (AL event type) and a surface frontal boundary, rainfall along a frontal boundary can be light and occur over an extended period. In cases of frontal lift it is not uncommon for CG flashes and rainfall to be quite distant from one another in space and time. The lifted index was positive in this case (0.5°C) suggesting that the lift that produced the clustered flash pattern south of the meso- β study area may very well have been either the frontal boundary itself or pre-frontal lift along a density boundary.

6.11 Debris flow event of 28 July 1999

The debris flow event of 28 July 1999 produced the highest 24 h CG flash total of the 12 event population. A total of 19 586 CG flashes occurred across the meso- α domain for the event-day. The CG flashes were distributed across the entirety of the meso- α scale analysis domain with more dense clusters of flashes in the southern portion of

the meso- β scale analysis unit. There was however a single dense CG flash cluster inside the smaller study domain (Supplement A).

Of the 19 586 CG flashes 8640 (44 %) occurred within six hours of debris flow initiation at the meso- α scale. At the meso- β scale during the six hours preceding the debris flow only 425 CG flashes were recorded and during the critical interval at the meso- β scale 35 CG flashes were observed. As the composite flash plot does not mark each flash with a time stamp it appears that the vast majority of the flashes in the cluster at meso- β scale occurred outside of the six hour window of analysis.

The debris flow case of 28 July 1999 produced total rainfall of 33.2 mm and peak five minute rainfall of 10.2 mm at 14:30 UTC. Peak CG flash discharge during the critical interval was -46.6 kA and there were no positive flashes during the critical interval at the meso- β scale. Rainfall intensity was calculated at 0.83 mm min $^{-1}$ and rainfall occurred for 40 continuous minutes prior to debris flow initiation. The lifted index was estimated at -3.5 °C which suggests a highly unstable lower troposphere and the potential for wide spread convection, which is evidenced by the CG flash pattern in Supplement A.

Though very few CG flashes were recorded during the critical interval, the analysis of the interval illustrates an example of LICR occurring synchronously with a peak in CG flash frequency. Rainfall began to accumulate quickly from 14:15 to 14:35 UTC with a peak at 14:30 UTC. This rainfall peak (10.2 mm) lagged the single critical interval CG flash peak (18 CGF 5 min $^{-1}$) by only five minutes and preceded the debris flow by 10 min. At the time of debris flow initiation both CG flash frequency and rainfall rate were decreasing from their respective peaks (Fig. 17).

At the meso- β scale a 565 min continuous CG flash sequence was recorded with mean CG flash frequency of 6.9 CGF 5 min $^{-1}$ and a standard deviation calculated at 7.8 CGF 5 min $^{-1}$. There were a number of flash peaks observed during this sequence. It is somewhat surprising to note that the only period during the 565 min sequence when CG flash frequency dropped to zero was during the critical interval prior to the onset of rainfall at the debris flow basin (Supplement B). Still with the rebound in CG

**Atmospheric
circulation patterns,
cloud-to-ground
lightning, and
convective rainfall**

S. J. Underwood et al.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[⏪](#)

[⏩](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



flashes and the increased rainfall near the end of the critical interval this case does still illustrate collocation of LICR and CG flashes in space and time.

6.12 Debris flow event of 4 July 2011

The CG flash total for this event was 7609 for the 24 h period over which flashes were observed at the meso- α scale. Of this total 4760 CG flashes occurred at the meso- α scale during the six hours preceding the debris flow on 4 July 2011. During the event-day CG flashes were primarily clustered in the southwest corner and of the meso- α analysis domain. An arching cluster however does intersect the meso- β domain during the event-day (Supplement A).

At the meso- β scale 202 CG flashes occurred during the six hour period leading up to the debris flow and 103 CG flashes occurred during the critical interval. The critical interval experienced three CG flash peaks. The three peaks in CG flash frequency during the critical interval represented the highest flash frequencies prior to debris flow and were embedded in a 340 min flash sequence at the meso- β scale. The most prolific peaks were two consecutive five minute intervals (20:05 and 20:10 UTC) with CG flash frequencies of 19 CG flashes per five minutes. A third peak was observed synchronously with debris flow initiation. There were numerous peaks in CG flash frequency post debris flow (Supplement B). Mean CG flash frequency for the 340 min sequence was $8.5 \text{ CGF } 5 \text{ min}^{-1}$ with a standard deviation of $6.6 \text{ CGF } 5 \text{ min}^{-1}$.

The most intense CG flash discharge was -112.3 kA observed at 20:05 UTC. Total rainfall accumulation during the critical interval was 21.6 mm and peak five minute rainfall was 5.4 mm recorded at 20:40 UTC which was five minutes prior to debris flow initiation. Rainfall intensity for the case was 0.62 mm min^{-1} . Figure 18 illustrates the peak CG flash sequence preceding LICR by 10 min and the rapid accumulation of rainfall at the debris flow basin prior to the triggering of the debris flow at 20:50 UTC.

Atmospheric circulation patterns, cloud-to-ground lightning, and convective rainfall

S. J. Underwood et al.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[⏪](#)

[⏩](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



6.13 Correlation analysis

In order to identify statistical relationships between rainfall intensity at the debris flow source region and variables representing CG flash characteristics a Pearson product-moment correlation analysis was performed at the 0.05 level of significance (Hinkle et al., 2009). Variables were paired with rainfall intensity (mm min^{-1}) since intensity of precipitation is both a triggering mechanism for debris flow and the variable most commonly utilized to warn of impending debris flows. Rainfall intensity observations and CG flash variables were observed at the meso- β scale during the 60 min critical interval. Variables characterizing local scale atmospheric stability (lifted index and 500 hPa geopotential heights) were collected from the T-0 observation for the $2.5^\circ \times 2.5^\circ$ grid encompassing the study area. Paired variables that resulted in significant Pearson “ r ” statistics were assumed to be related in a linear fashion. All CG flash and atmospheric variables listed in Table 2 were analyzed and the statistically significant relationships (at p value 0.05) are listed below.

- Rainfall intensity (mm min^{-1}) v. Total 60 min CG flashes, $r = \mathbf{0.61}$
- Rainfall intensity (mm min^{-1}) v. Peak 5 min CG flash rate, $r = \mathbf{0.62}$
- Rainfall intensity (mm min^{-1}) v. Lifted Index ($^\circ\text{C}$), $r = \mathbf{-0.67}$
- Rainfall intensity (mm min^{-1}) v. 500 hPa height (gpm), $r = \mathbf{0.72}$

Two very clear signals emerged from the correlation analysis. First, rainfall intensity at the debris flow site was related to the larger scale atmospheric environment as was suggested by the significant relationships between this variable and lifted index observations as well as 500 hPa geopotential heights. The negative r value associated with lifted index reflects the fact that a more unstable atmospheric environment produces negative LI values. The strong linear relationship between 500 hPa heights and rainfall intensity suggests that the geopotential heights across much of the $2.5^\circ \times 2.5^\circ$ grid remained quite high at T-0 even as the migratory low pressure features described in

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[⏪](#)

[⏩](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



sections above advected into the study area. This also suggests that 500 hPa heights related to the sub-tropical ridge and the associated environmental alterations imposed by the stationary ridge such as increased surface temperatures, elevated relative humidity, and localized instability are critical preconditions to extensive convection and LICR in the region.

The correlation results also illustrate that as one downscales the analysis using meso- β CG flash variables there is also a strong and significant linear relationship to rainfall intensity. In this case two variables, total CG flashes and peak five minute CG flash rate were significantly correlated with rainfall intensity. This confirms what was seen in a number of the time-series plots where increased CG flash frequencies occurred synchronously with intense rainfall at the meso- β scale. The significant relationships revealed by the correlation analysis suggest that there are in fact multi-scale processes interacting to produce LICR and thus debris flows in this particular study region.

6.14 Lead-time analysis

The lead-time analysis, as used in previous studies (Underwood and Schultz, 2004), calculates the time by which the peak value of an observed variable precedes debris flow initiation. In this case the mean lead time was calculated for selected variables for the population of 12 events and organized by the three synoptic classifications (STH, AL, and HL). This analysis was limited to the 60 min critical interval at the meso- β scale.

Results of the lead-time analysis are presented for peak rainfall intensity since this variable is used with great frequency as a debris triggering threshold and warning parameter for debris flow initiation (Moody and Martin, 2001). In addition to rainfall intensity two CG flash variables are presented, peak CG flash rate (CGF 5 min^{-1}) and peak CG flash intensity ($\text{kA } 5 \text{ min}^{-1}$). These particular CG flash variables were the only variables to produce mean lead-times that exceeded the lead-time calculated for peak rainfall intensity.

Atmospheric circulation patterns, cloud-to-ground lightning, and convective rainfall

S. J. Underwood et al.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[⏪](#)

[⏩](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



The mean lead-time calculated for rainfall intensity across the population of 12 events was -21 min. The mean lead-time for peak CG flash rate was -35 min therefore outperforming rainfall intensity by 14 min (outperforming in terms of providing a longer lead-time from observed peak to debris flow initiation). The mean lead-time calculated for peak CG flash intensity across the population of events was -32 min again outperforming mean lead-time for peak rainfall rate as a precursor for debris flow initiation for the 12 events. The lead-time calculated for peak CG flashes using only the STH events was -43 min and peak CG flash intensity calculated for only the two events in HL group was -48 min. A summary of the lead-time analysis is presented below.

- Mean lead-time Peak Rainfall Rate to Debris Flow Initiation (all events): -21 min.
- Mean lead-time Peak Rainfall Rate to Debris Flow Initiation (STH events): -23 min.
- Mean lead-time Peak Rainfall Rate to Debris Flow Initiation (AL events): -25 min.
- Mean lead-time Peak Rainfall Rate to Debris Flow Initiation (HL events): -7.5 min.
- Mean lead-time Peak CG Flash Rate to Debris Flow Initiation (all events): -35 min.
- Mean lead-time Peak CG Flash Rate to Debris Flow Initiation (STH events): -43 min.
- Mean lead-time Peak CG Flash Rate to Debris Flow Initiation (AL events): -18 min.
- Mean lead-time Peak CG Flash Rate to Debris Flow Initiation (HL events): -23 min.
- Mean lead-time Peak CG Flash Intensity to Debris Flow Initiation (all events): -32 min.

Atmospheric circulation patterns, cloud-to-ground lightning, and convective rainfall

S. J. Underwood et al.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[⏪](#)

[⏩](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



a convective environment for LICR and thus debris flow initiation. A second hypothesis declared that CG flashes and LICR are collocated in space and time during the period immediately preceding debris flow initiation.

The two hypotheses posed were both answered in the affirmative. There were three distinct synoptic scale patterns identified in advance of LICR and debris flow at the study site in northeastern Italy. The three patterns were defined by their flow regimes at the 500 hPa pressure level and each pattern suggested the presence tropospheric processes that encourage vertical motion in the atmosphere proximal to the study site. Type one consisted of an established sub-tropical high pressure ridge in place over most of southern Europe which was subsequently eroded by a transitory short wave. Type two occurred later in the season (September) and consisted of an amplifying synoptic scale trough associated with a surface frontal boundary. Type three was defined by a high-over-low blocking configuration aligned at approximately 10° E, with the cut-off low portion of the block becoming stationary proximal to the study area. The authors assert that there may be other synoptic scale patterns that promote LICR and debris flows in this region and are in no way suggesting that the three patterns identified represent the complete population of patterns.

The meso-scale analysis incorporated in the study produced summary statistics for CG flash sequences and rainfall variables. The highest event-day CG flash count across the meso- α domain was 19 586 flashes and this occurred with the event of 28 July 1999 which was classified as and HL event. The second most prolific event-day was 12 June 1997 with 15 765 CG flashes. This particular event was classified synoptically as STH. These extreme flash totals occurred only during events synoptically classified as STH and HL. The two events classified as AL produced substantially fewer CG flashes over the event-day and the bulk of these flashes were clustered well away from the meso- β scale study area. This implies that late season amplifying low pressure features associated with surface frontal boundaries produce a CG flash sequence that are quite different from the summer season synoptic scale patterns. The AL classified events were shown to lack collocation of CG flashes and LICR at the meso- β

**Atmospheric
circulation patterns,
cloud-to-ground
lightning, and
convective rainfall**

S. J. Underwood et al.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[⏪](#)

[⏩](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



study domain which is not an uncommon outcome of storm systems with a long linear boundary as the primary lifting feature.

The second hypothesis was confirmed using time-series analysis and correlation analysis. The study found spatial and temporal collocation of CG-flashes and LICR during the critical interval at the meso- β scale in nine of the 12 events. Additionally there were statistically significant linear relationships between total CG flashes and rainfall intensity as well as peak five minute CG flash frequency and rainfall intensity during the critical interval preceding debris flow initiation.

It is clear from the outcome of the synoptic scale composite analysis that transitory low pressure features interacting with a sub-tropical ridge were prolific in setting the stage for widespread convection across the meso- α scale. This was evidenced by both the number of CG flashes over the event-days and the spatial distribution of CG flashes across the study region (Supplement A). The time-series and correlation analysis suggested that in addition to the broad scale instability imposed by the transitory low pressure features at 500 hPa that convection was enhanced in the meso- β analysis domain encompassing the debris flow basins. Enhanced release of convective energy as seen in the 12 CG flash plots and time-series was evidenced in the fact that nine of the 12 events analyzed realized at least one peak in CG flash frequency during the critical interval at the meso- β scale and three of the events saw the event-day maximum flash peak during the 60 min immediately preceding debris flow initiation. Additionally all 12 events recorded event-day maximum rainfall intensity during the critical interval. The enhancement of convection seen in the nine events may have been the result of regional orographics. Other possible processes that could enhance convection in the area proximal to the debris source region are surface heating on south-facing slopes and the advection of excessive moisture into the region from adjacent water bodies, however identifying the source/process of the enhancement was beyond the scope of this study.

The findings also suggest that one can downscale the analysis from the synoptic scale to smaller spatial and temporal scales using CG flash variables and this down-

Atmospheric circulation patterns, cloud-to-ground lightning, and convective rainfall

S. J. Underwood et al.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[⏪](#)

[⏩](#)

[⏴](#)

[⏵](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



Atmospheric circulation patterns, cloud-to-ground lightning, and convective rainfall

S. J. Underwood et al.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[⏪](#)

[⏩](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

scaling provides evidence of the synchronous relationship between CG flashes and LICR. In three of the 12 cases the peak critical interval CG flash rate was realized during the same five minute interval as peak rainfall intensity and in four other events peak CG flash rate occurred within 10 min of peak rainfall intensity. In all but one event peak CG flash rate occurred within 45 min of peak rainfall intensity. This collocation was confirmed by the correlation analysis and lead-time analysis at the meso- β scale.

The study focused much attention on the critical interval, defined as the 60 min preceding debris flow initiation, and the meso- β scale, defined as the 7850 km² area surrounding the debris flow basins. The critical interval analysis provided evidence that all events whether STH, AL, or HL produced LICR of ample intensity to trigger debris flows in this region of complex terrain. Rainfall intensity varied from 0.27 to 1.28 mm min⁻¹ across the population of events. The critical intervals for 11 of the 12 events were embedded in CG flash sequences that ranged in duration from 95 to 565 min. Also these 11 events produced extensive CG flashes both before and after the critical interval, however the accumulation of rainfall in each event was sequestered to the 60 min critical interval. Only five events recorded antecedent rainfall and four events saw post debris flow rainfall. In each of these cases the antecedent and post debris flow rainfall was of lower intensity than that observed during the critical interval.

Findings from the study suggest that CG flashes at the meso- β scale do act as good indicators for the onset of LICR and thus the triggering of debris flows. For example the events of 20 September 1999, 30 June 2001, and 5 July 2006 experienced peak event-day CG flash activity (at the meso-B scale) during the critical interval and these flash peaks were coincident with peak rainfall intensity. Additionally two CG flash variables, peak CG flash rate and peak CG flash intensity provided lead-times greater than that afforded by peak rainfall intensity during the critical interval. These variables therefore have potential for analysis as alert parameters in the context of debris flow warnings and forecasts.

This research provides new insight into the multi-scale atmospheric processes preceding LICR and debris flow initiation in this unique part of the world where debris flows

are extremely frequent. It is hoped that the findings from this research will promote the study of larger populations of debris flow events and prompt researchers to incorporate atmospheric variables in future studies of the debris flow hazard.

**The Supplement related to this article is available online at
doi:10.5194/nhessd-3-5717-2015-supplement.**

Author contributions. S. J. Underwood conceptualized projects, managed project, designed data collection and methods, produced majority of text and is corresponding author. M. D. Schultz was primary GIS analyst, responsible for quality control and analysis of geospatial data sets and production and analysis of data plots. M. Berti was primary author of section pertaining to geologic and geomorphic methods applied to debris flow analysis. Field collected rainfall data and produced “study area” section of manuscript. C. Gregoretti built field collection network and collected rainfall data as well as secured debris flow initiation data, collaborated with analysis and provided consultation regarding citations. A. Simoni field data collection and assistance with analytics of study area. T. L. Mote primary developer of synoptic scale analysis protocol and production of composite graphics for analysis. A. M. Saylor assisted with GIS analysis including the development of case specific statistics.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Centro Elettrotecnico Sperimentale Italiano – Sistema Italiano Rilevamento Fulmini (CESI-SIRF) for providing CG flash data for use in this publication.

References

- Arattano, M.: On the use of seismic detectors as monitoring and warning systems for debris flows, *Nat. Hazards*, 20, 197–213, 1997.
- Bacchini, M. and Zannoni, A.: Relations between rainfall and triggering of debris-flow: case study of Cancia (Dolomites, Northeastern Italy), *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 3, 71–79, doi:10.5194/nhess-3-71-2003, 2003.

Atmospheric circulation patterns, cloud-to-ground lightning, and convective rainfall

S. J. Underwood et al.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[⏪](#)

[⏩](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



Atmospheric circulation patterns, cloud-to-ground lightning, and convective rainfall

S. J. Underwood et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

- Batton, L.: Some factors governing precipitation and lightning from convective clouds, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 22, 79–84, 1965.
- Berti, M. and Simoni, A.: Experimental evidences and numerical modeling of debris flow initiated by runoff, *Landslides*, 2, 171–182, 2005.
- 5 Berti, M., Genevois, R., Simoni, A., and Tecca, R.: Field observations of a debris flow event in the Dolomites, *Geomorphology*, 29, 265–274, 1999.
- Berti, M., Genevois, R., Simoni, A., and Tecca, P.: Debris flow monitoring in the Acquabona watershed on the Dolomites (Italian Alps), *Phys. Chem. Earth*, 9, 707–715, 2000.
- 10 Bodini, A. and Cossu, Q. A.: Vulnerability assessment of Central-East Sardinia (Italy) to extreme rainfall events, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 10, 61–72, doi:10.5194/nhess-10-61-2010, 2010.
- Cannon, S., Powers, P., and Savage, W.: Fire-related hyper-concentrated and debris flows on Storm King Mountain, Glenwood Springs, Colorado, USA, *Environ. Geol.*, 35, 210–218, 1998.
- 15 Cannon, S., Gartner, J., Wilson, R., Bowers, J., and Laber, J.: Storm rainfall conditions for floods and debris flow from recently burned areas in southwestern Colorado and southern California, *Geomorphology*, 96, 250–269, 2008.
- Cannon, S., Boldt, E., Laber, J., Kean, J., and Staley, D.: Rainfall intensity-duration thresholds for postfire debris-flow emergency-response planning, *Nat. Hazards*, 59, 209–236, 2011.
- 20 Chen, C., Chen, T., Yu, F., Yu, W., and Tseng, C.: Rainfall duration and debris-flow Initiation studies for real-time monitoring, *Environ. Geol.*, 47, 715–724, 2005.
- Chen, H., Zhang, L., Chang, D., and Zhang, S.: Mechanisms and runout characteristics of the rainfall-triggered debris flow in Xiaojiagou in Sichuan Province, China, *Nat. Hazards*, 62, 1037–1057, 2012.
- 25 Chen, J. C., Jan, C. D., and Huang, W. S.: Characteristics of rainfall triggering of debris flows in the Chenyulan watershed, Taiwan, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 13, 1015–1023, doi:10.5194/nhess-13-1015-2013, 2013.
- Coe, J., Kinner, D., and Godt, J.: Initiation conditions for debris flows generated by runoff at Chalk Cliffs, central Colorado, *Geomorphology*, 96, 270–297, 2008.
- 30 DeGraff, J., Sidle, R., Ahmad, R., and Scatena, F.: Recognizing the importance of tropical forests in limiting rainfall-induced debris flows, *Environ. Earth Sci.*, 67, 1225–1235, 2012.
- Floris, M., D’Alpaos, A., Squarzone, C., Genevois, R., and Marani, M.: Recent changes in rainfall characteristics and their influence on thresholds for debris flow triggering in the Dolomitic

Atmospheric circulation patterns, cloud-to-ground lightning, and convective rainfall

S. J. Underwood et al.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[⏪](#)

[⏩](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

area of Cortina d'Ampezzo, north-eastern Italian Alps, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 10, 571–580, doi:10.5194/nhess-10-571-2010, 2010.

Galgaro, A., Tecca, P. R., Genevois, R., and Deganutti, A. M.: Acoustic module of the Acquabona (Italy) debris flow monitoring system, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 5, 211–215, doi:10.5194/nhess-5-211-2005, 2005.

Gregoretti, C.: The initiation of debris flow at high slopes: experimental results, *J. Hydraul. Res.*, 38, 83–88, 2000.

Gregoretti, C. and Dalla Fontana, G.: The triggering of debris flow due to channel-bed failure in some alpine headwater basins of the Dolomites: analysis of critical runoff, *Hydrol. Process.*, 22, 2248–2263, 2008.

Hinkle, D., Wierma, W., and Jurs, S.: *Applied Statistics for the Behavioral Sciences*, 5th Edition, Wadsworth Publishing, Belmont California, 2009.

Hoard, D. and Lee, J.: Synoptic classification of a ten-year record of 500 mb weather maps for western United States, *Meteorol. Atmos. Phys.*, 35, 96–102, 1986.

Holle, R. and Bennett, S.: Lightning ground flashes associated with summer 1990 flashFloods and streamflow in Tucson, Arizona: an exploratory study, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 125, 1526–1536, 1997.

Hunter, S., Underwood, S., Holle, R., and Mote, T.: Winter lightning and heavy frozen precipitation in the southeast United States, *Weather Forecast.*, 16, 478–490, 2001.

Hurlimann, M., Abanco, C., Moya, J., and Vilajosana, I.: Results and experiences gathered at the Rebaixader debris-flow monitoring site, central Pyrenees, Spain, *Landslides*, 11, 939–953, 2014.

Imaizumi, F., Sidle, R., Tsuchiya, S., and Ohsaka, O.: Hydrogeomorphic processes in a steep debris flow initiation zone, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 33, L10404, 2006.

Iorio, R.: The Italian lightning detection system of CESI and its applications, *Energia Elettrica*, 75, 107–116, 1998.

Kalnay, E., Kanamitsu, M., Kistler, R., Collins, W., Deaven, D., Gandin, L., Iredell, M., Saha, S., White, G., Woollen, J., Zhu, Y., Chelliah, M., Ebisuzaki, W., Higgins, W., Janowiak, J., Mo, K., Ropelewski, C., Wang, J., Leetmaa, A., Reynolds, R., Jenne, R., and Joseph, D.: The NCEP/NCAR 40 year reanalysis project, *B. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 77, 437–471. 1996.

Kaplan, M., Vellore, R., Lewis, J., Underwood, S., Pauley, P., Martin, J., and Krishman, R.: Re-examination of the interstate-5 dust storm, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 118, 327–642, 2013.

Atmospheric circulation patterns, cloud-to-ground lightning, and convective rainfall

S. J. Underwood et al.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[⏪](#)

[⏩](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



- Kean, J., McCoy, S., Tucker, G., Staley, D., and Coe, J.: Runoff-generated debris flows: observations and modeling of surge initiation, magnitude and frequency, *Earth Surface, J. Geophys. Res.*, 118, 1–18, 2013.
- Lapp, S., Byrne, J., Kienzle, S., and Townshend, I.: Linking global circulation model synoptics and precipitation for western North America, *Int. J. Climatol.*, 22, 1807–1817, 2002.
- Lopez, R. and Holle, R.: Diurnal and spatial variability of lightning activity in northeastern Colorado and central Florida during the summer, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 114, 1288–1312, 1986.
- Marchi, L., Arattano, M., and Deganutti, A.: Ten years of debris-flow monitoring in the Moscardo Torrent (Italian Alps), *Geomorphology*, 46, 1–17, 2002.
- McCoy, S., Kean, J., Coe, J., Tucker, G., Staley, D., and Wasklewicz, T.: Sediment entrainment by debris flows: in situ measurements from the headwaters of a steep catchment, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 117, 1–25, 2012.
- Moody, J. and Martin, D.: Post-fire, rainfall intensity – peak discharge relations for three mountainous watersheds in the western USA, *Hydrol. Process.*, 15, 2981–2993, 2001.
- Mote, T., Gamble, D., Underwood, S., and Bentley, M.: Synoptic scale features common to heavy snowstorms in the southeastern US, *Weather Forecast.*, 12, 5–23, 1997.
- Panizza, M.: Glacio-pressure implications in the production of landslides in the Dolomitic area, *Geologica Applicato and Idrogeologia*, 8, 289–297, 1973.
- Parajka, J., Kohnova, S., Balint, G., Barbuc, M., Borga, M., Claps, P., Cheval, S., Dumitrescu, A., Gaume, E., Hlavcova, K., Merz, R., Pfaundler, M., Stancalie, G., Szolgay, J., and Blöschl, G.: Seasonal characteristics of flood regimes across the Alpine – Carpathian range, *J. Hydrol.*, 394, 78–89, 2010.
- Rossa, A., Del Guerra, F., Borga, M., Zanon, F., Settin, T., and Leuenberger, D.: Radar-driven high resolution hydrometeorological forecasts of the 26 September 2007 Venice flash flood, *J. Hydrol.*, 394, 230–244, 2010.
- Schmidli, J., Goodess, C., Frei, C., Haylock, M., Hündecha, Y., Ribalaygua, J., and Schmith, T.: Statistical and dynamical downscaling of precipitation: an evaluation and comparison and comparison of scenarios for the European Alps, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, 1–20, 2007.
- Shieh, C., Chen, Y., Tsai, Y., and Wu, J.: Variability in rainfall threshold for debris flow after the Chi-Chi earthquake in central Taiwan, China, *Int. J. Sediment Res.*, 24, 177–188, 2009.
- Soldati, M., Corsini, A., and Pasuto, A.: Landslides and climate change in the Italian Dolomites since the late glacial, *Catena*, 55, 141–161, 2004.

Atmospheric circulation patterns, cloud-to-ground lightning, and convective rainfall

S. J. Underwood et al.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[⏪](#)

[⏩](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



- Soriano, L., de Pablo, F., and Diez, E.: Relationship between convective precipitation and cloud-to-ground lightning in the Iberian Peninsula, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 129, 2998–3003, 2001.
- Tecca, P. and Genevois, R.: Field observations of the 30 June 2001 debris flow at Acquabona (Dolomites, Italy), *Landslides*, 6, 39–45, 2009.
- 5 Theule, J. I., Liébault, F., Loye, A., Laigle, D., and Jaboyedoff, M.: Sediment budget monitoring of debris-flow and bedload transport in the Manival Torrent, SE France, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 12, 731–749, doi:10.5194/nhess-12-731-2012, 2012.
- Tognacca, C., Bezzola, G., and Minor, H.: Threshold criterion for debris-flow initiation due to channel bed failure, proceedings of the second international conference on debris flow hazards mitigation, Taipei, August, edited by: Wieaczorek and Naeser, 89–97, 2000.
- 10 Trapp, R., Diffenbaugh, N., and Gluhovsky, A.: Transient response of severe thunderstorm forcing to elevated greenhouse gas concentrations, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 36, L01703, doi:10.1029/2008GL036203, 2009.
- Turkington, T., Ettema, J., van Westen, C. J., and Breinl, K.: Empirical atmospheric thresholds for debris flows and flash floods in the southern French Alps, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 14, 1517–1530, doi:10.5194/nhess-14-1517-2014, 2014.
- 15 Underwood, S.: Cloud-to-ground lightning flash parameters associated with heavy rainfall in the Denver, Colorado urban drainage and flood control district, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 134, 2566–2580, 2006.
- 20 Underwood, S. and Schultz, M.: Cloud-to-ground lightning flashes and debris flow generating rainfall in the post-wildfire environment: an exploratory study of the Mitchell Creek debris flow site in Colorado, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 4567, doi:10.1029/2003JD003636, 2003.
- Underwood, S. and Schultz, M.: Patterns of cloud-to-ground lightning associated with post wildfire flash floods and debris flows in complex terrain of the western US, *J. Hydrometeorol.*, 5, 989–1003, 2004.
- 25 Underwood, S., Kaplan, M., and King, K.: The role of upstream mid-tropospheric circulations enabling leeside precipitation in the Sierra Nevada, part one: a climatology of spillover precipitation and flooding in a lee-basin, *J. Hydrometeorol.*, 10, 1309–1326, 2009.

Atmospheric circulation patterns, cloud-to-ground lightning, and convective rainfall

S. J. Underwood et al.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[⏪](#)

[⏩](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

Table 1. The population of 12 debris flow events.

Debris Flow Event Date	Witness Time/Zero-hour	Source of Event Information
7 Aug 1996	19:00 UTC/18:00 UTC	Gregoretti and Della Fontana (2008)
12 Jun 1997	13:19 UTC/12:00 UTC	Gregoretti and Della Fontana (2008)
17 Aug 1998	19:21 UTC/18:00 UTC	Gregoretti and Della Fontana (2008)
20 Jul 1999	16:30 UTC/18:00 UTC	Gregoretti and Della Fontana (2008)
28 Jul 1999	14:40 UTC/12:00 UTC	Gregoretti and Della Fontana (2008)
20 Sep 1999	20:00 UTC/18:00 UTC	Gregoretti and Della Fontana (2008)
30 Sep 2000	16:45 UTC/18:00 UTC	Gregoretti and Della Fontana (2008)
30 Jun 2001	19:00 UTC/18:00 UTC	Gregoretti and Della Fontana (2008)
19 Jul 2004	17:30 UTC/18:00 UTC	Gregoretti and Della Fontana (2008)
5 Jul 2006	16:30 UTC/18:00 UTC	Gregoretti and Della Fontana (2008)
4 Jul 2011	20:45 UTC/18:00 UTC	Degetto et al. (2015)
18 Aug 2011	17:10 UTC/18:00 UTC	Degetto et al. (2015)

Atmospheric circulation patterns, cloud-to-ground lightning, and convective rainfall

S. J. Underwood et al.

Table 2. Summary of critical interval variables at meso- β scale.

Date→	7 Aug 1996	12 Jun 1997	17 Aug 1998	20 Jul 1999	30 Jul 2001	19 Jul 2004	18 Aug 2011	5 Jul 2006	20 Sep 1999	30 Sep 2000	28 Jul 1999	4 Jul 2001
Total Flashes (CGF 60 min ⁻¹)	66	201	18	0	1090	325	43	1037	152	1	35	119
Peak Flash (CGF 5 min ⁻¹)	14	36	5	0	131	42	10	140	24	1	18	19
Peak Discharge (kA 5 min ⁻¹)	-78.5	-156.7	-42.8	0	-74.0	-128.4	-70.0	-75.3	-40.5	+85.7	-46.6	-112.3
Peak Percent Positive (CGF 60 min ⁻¹)	1.5	1.5	16.7	0	2.4	0.5	14.0	1.4	22.5	100	0	6.6
Total Rainfall (mm 60 min ⁻¹)	25.4	23.0	27.6	19.6	19.0	12.2	16.8	44.8	12.0	16.4	33.2	21.6
Rainfall Duration (minutes)	25	35	55	25	15	25	15	35	45	50	40	35
Rainfall Intensity (mm min ⁻¹)	1.02	0.66	0.61	0.78	1.27	0.49	1.12	1.28	0.27	0.33	0.83	0.62
Peak Rainfall (mm 5 min ⁻¹)	11.8	5.2	8.1	7.9	10.4	6.6	8.2	12.4	2.0	6.7	10.2	5.4
Lifted Index at T-0 (°C)	-1.0	-3.0	-3.5	-3.0	-2.5	0.0	-3.5	-3.5	1.0	0.5	-3.5	0.0
500 hpa Heights (gpm)	5810	5755	5835	5820	5835	5840	5865	5845	5700	5670	5775	5770

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[⏪](#)
[⏩](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)




Figure 1. Dolomite massif with incised debris flow channel at study area in northeastern Italy.

Atmospheric circulation patterns, cloud-to-ground lightning, and convective rainfall

S. J. Underwood et al.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[|◀](#)

[▶|](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

Atmospheric circulation patterns, cloud-to-ground lightning, and convective rainfall

S. J. Underwood et al.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[⏪](#)

[⏩](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)




Figure 2. Nested view of study domains including the synoptic scale, meso- α scale and meso- β scale. The debris flow basins for each of the 12 events are located at the center of the circular meso- β scale analysis unit.




Figure 3. Debris flow basin reference point in spatial context of meso- β and meso- α analysis domains.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[⏪](#)

[⏩](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

Atmospheric circulation patterns, cloud-to-ground lightning, and convective rainfall

S. J. Underwood et al.





Figure 4. Composite time-series at 500 hPa for group one consisting of eight STH events.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**Atmospheric
circulation patterns,
cloud-to-ground
lightning, and
convective rainfall**

S. J. Underwood et al.

**Figure 5.** Composite time-series at 500 hPa for group two consisting of two AL events.[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Atmospheric circulation patterns, cloud-to-ground lightning, and convective rainfall

S. J. Underwood et al.




Figure 6. Composite time-series at 500 hPa for group three consisting of two HL events.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Atmospheric circulation patterns, cloud-to-ground lightning, and convective rainfall

S. J. Underwood et al.




Figure 7. Critical interval time series for debris flow event of 7 August 1996.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)



[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



Atmospheric circulation patterns, cloud-to-ground lightning, and convective rainfall

S. J. Underwood et al.




Figure 8. Critical interval time series for debris flow event of 12 June 1997.

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[⏪](#)
[⏩](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)

Atmospheric circulation patterns, cloud-to-ground lightning, and convective rainfall

S. J. Underwood et al.




Figure 9. Critical interval time series for debris flow event of 17 August 1998.

[Title Page](#)

Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures

⏪
⏩

◀
▶

[Back](#)
[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



Atmospheric circulation patterns, cloud-to-ground lightning, and convective rainfall

S. J. Underwood et al.




Figure 10. Critical interval time series for debris flow event of 20 July 1999.

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[⏪](#)
[⏩](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)





Figure 11. Critical interval time series for debris flow event of 30 June 2001.

[Title Page](#)

Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures

[⏪](#)
[▶](#)

[◀](#)
[▶](#)

Back	Close
----------------------	-----------------------

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



Atmospheric circulation patterns, cloud-to-ground lightning, and convective rainfall

S. J. Underwood et al.




Figure 12. Critical interval time series for debris flow event of 19 July 2004.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[⏪](#)

[⏩](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

Atmospheric circulation patterns, cloud-to-ground lightning, and convective rainfall

S. J. Underwood et al.




Figure 13. Critical interval time series for debris flow event of 18 August 2011.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[⏪](#)

[⏩](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

Atmospheric circulation patterns, cloud-to-ground lightning, and convective rainfall

S. J. Underwood et al.




Figure 14. Critical interval time series for debris flow event of 5 July 2006.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[⏪](#)

[⏩](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

Atmospheric circulation patterns, cloud-to-ground lightning, and convective rainfall

S. J. Underwood et al.




Figure 15. Critical interval time series for debris flow event of 20 September 1999.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)



[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



Atmospheric circulation patterns, cloud-to-ground lightning, and convective rainfall

S. J. Underwood et al.




Figure 16. Critical interval time series for debris flow event of 30 September 2000.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)



[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



Atmospheric circulation patterns, cloud-to-ground lightning, and convective rainfall

S. J. Underwood et al.




Figure 17. Critical interval time series for debris flow event of 28 July 1999.

[Title Page](#)

Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures

⏪
⏩

◀
▶

[Back](#)
[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



Atmospheric circulation patterns, cloud-to-ground lightning, and convective rainfall

S. J. Underwood et al.




Figure 18. Critical interval time series for debris flow event of 4 July 2011.

[Title Page](#)

Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures

⏪	⏩
◀	▶

Back	Close
----------------------	-----------------------

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

