



Interactive comment on “Pre-earthquake magnetic pulses” by J. Scoville et al.

J. Scoville et al.

atpsynthase@mail.com

Received and published: 6 February 2015

Dear Dr. Masci,

Science can make progress only if its principles are applied properly, and, perhaps more importantly, if it remains grounded to empirical observations.

A phenomenological physical model (the case at hand, as we have not derived our model from fundamental theory) concerns a mathematical relationship in a set of data. Although the form of this relationship may suggest something about the underlying physics, the model itself doesn't directly concern the particular physical mechanisms that led to the data.

As such, when considering such a model, one should generally favor de-emphasizing the underlying physical mechanisms and the literature surrounding them. The equa-

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



tions stand on their own. The question of an underlying mechanism is, after all, irrelevant to the question of whether or not the model equations agree with empirically observed data.

Best regards,

John Scoville

Interactive comment on Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 2, 7367, 2014.

NHESSD

2, C3330–C3331, 2015

Interactive
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

C3331

