



Interactive
Comment

Interactive comment on “Pre-earthquake magnetic pulses” by J. Scoville et al.

F. Masci (Editor)

fabrizio.masci@ingv.it

Received and published: 6 February 2015

Dear Prof. Derr,

Thank you very much for your attention on the Open Discussion of the manuscript by Scoville et al. and for your comments on the report of Referee #1. Science can make progress only if we take into account the recent findings that, anyway, can be confirmed or refuted. This is why I suggested to Scoville and his coauthors to include in their manuscript a section in which the results by Dahlgren et al. (2014) are discussed. If a new model for the generation of electromagnetic pulses in stressed rocks is proposed to the scientific community, I think that the authors cannot ignore the results of recent laboratory experiments.

I would also like to thank you for the suggestions to the Editor. I would like to reassure

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



you that the process of revision of the manuscript will continue without prejudices.

Sincerely,

Fabrizio Masci

References:

Dahlgren, P. R., M. J. S. Johnston, V. C. Vanderbilt, and R. N. Nakaba (2014), Comparison of the stress-stimulated current of dry and fluid saturated gabbro samples, Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 104, 2662-2672.

Interactive comment on Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 2, 7367, 2014.

NHESSD

2, C3310–C3311, 2015

Interactive
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

C3311

