

Interactive comment on “Investigating factors for disaster preparedness among residents of Kuala Lumpur” by E. Mohammad-pajooch and K. Ab. Aziz

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 1 October 2014

1. The written text should be improved with much more logic and organization. For example, P3686 Line8: the subject term, we, could be replaced by “This study”.
2. Parts of section 5.1 was needed to consider and include more explanation. What is the preparedness index, which questions were asked, and how to get the questionnaires, etc.
3. Describe clearly about Socio-Demographic context in sample. Logically, this could be presented in a table. They would seem to be considerable crossover in these terms, and there may be no surprise at the good results later. For example, education and income are likely to be correlated. However, as none description of these are

C2185

given, it is difficult to be identified.

4. All figures and tables should be referenced in the text. Parts of section 5.3, does the following table mean table 3? P3695, line 7 Table 1 does not match the description in the text and table.
5. In section 6, the authors discuss their results and uncertainties, but it needs some additional discussion or comparison with other studies. 6. Figure 1 is hard to be recognized. Suggestion: adding legend, scale, and study area.
7. Part of the references did not be cited in the text.
8. As a final point, I would question the validity of using statistical results for this kind of study. It needs additional interviews with individual cases, in order to determine the overall statistics and individual statistics are consistent.

Interactive comment on Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 2, 3683, 2014.

C2186