Evaluation of Changes in Different Climates of Iran, Using De Martonne Index

ABSTRACT

In this paper, according to the data of 40 stations in Iran during 1967-2005, Changes in Different Climates of Iran evaluated. We use De Martonne index and Mann- Kendall trend test to evaluation of the climate condition and trend of climate changes. The objective of this study is to Evaluation of Changes in Different Climates in Iran. The results of this research showed that, The surface percent of Iran in the hyper arid, semi arid, humid and hyper humid type 1 climate categories have had a ascending trend, but only the ascending trend of the hyper arid category has been significant and the surface percent of the humid, Hyper humid type 1 and semi arid categories have had a insignificant trend. The surface percent of Iran in the arid, Mediterranean, semi humid and hyper humid type 2 climate categories have had a descending trend, but the descending trend of the hyper humid type 2, Mediterranean and semi humid categories have been significant and the surface percent of the arid categories have had an insignificant trend. So the total results showed that, Iran is going to be more arid.

KEYWORDS: Climate Changes, Precipitation, De Martonne, Mann- Kendall, Iran

INTRODUCTION

The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), in its Fourth Assessment Report defines ‘‘vulnerability’’ as ‘‘the degree to which geophysical, biological and socio-economic systems are susceptible to, and unable to cope with adverse impacts of climate change (IPCC, 2007). In the section 2 of the IPCC paper provides a review of the ample scientific literature on vulnerability, adaptations, and impact assessments based on climate change scenarios. It is crucial to consider adaptations to climate change. Even if GHG emissions were abruptly reduced now, the inertia in the climate system would mean a long period until stabilization (IPCC and WGI, 2007).

Vulnerability can also be described as a function of sensitivity to climatic changes, adaptive capacity and exposure to climate hazards (De Sherbinin, Schiller, & Pulsipher, 2007; Smit et al., 2002; Mearns., 1997). De Sherbinin et al. (2007) continue to argue that vulnerability to the risks of climate change consists of macro forces that come together in different combinations to create unique ‘‘bundles of stresses’’ upon environmental and human systems. Developing countries are vulnerable to extreme weather events in present day climatic variability and this cause's substantial economic damage. On an annual basis over the past decade, developing countries have absorbed US$ 35 billion a year in damages from natural disasters (De Sherbinin et al. 2007). On a per capita gross domestic product (GDP) basis, this is 20 times the cost in the developed world (Freeman, 2001a).   Many global and regional assessments of vulnerability to climate change rely primarily on the global climate change scenarios. They focus on the physical aspects of vulnerability, such as land degradation and changes in agricultural or silvicultural productivity (Mizina et al., 1999; Pilifosova et al., 1997; Smit and Skinner, 2002), and on impacts of the availability ofwater resources to meet future needs (Alcamo and Henrich, 2002; Arnell, 2004; Shiklomanov and Rodda, 2001).Huq and Ayers (2007) have compiled a critical list of the 100 nations most vulnerable to climate change. Under climate changes, the potential for such projected changes to increase the risk of soil erosion and related environmental consequences is clear, but the actual damage is not known and needs to be assessed (SWCS, 2003). Zareiee and et al 2014 by research on Spatial Pattern of Drought in Iran by SPI index showed that the surface percent of the extremely wet areas, very wet areas and moderately wet areas have had a negative trend. However, only the negative trend of moderately wet areas has been significant, but the surface percent of the dry areas have had a positive trend. The surface percent of moderately dry areas and very dry areas have had a positive trend. However, only the positive trend of moderately dry areas has been significant. The objective of this study is to identify the pattern of climate changes in Iran during a forty years period 1967-2005 using De Martonne index.

Materials and Methods

Study Area

Iran was selected as a study area (Fig. 1) for a test assessment of climate change. **Iran** is situated in South-West Asia, at the crossroads of the Middle East. Iran borders on the Caspian Sea in the north and the Persian Gulf and the Gulf of Oman in the south. Iran shares borders with seven countries: Armenia, Azerbaijan, Afghanistan, Iraq, Pakistan, Turkey and Turkmenistan. It covers an area of 1648195 km^2, which lies between the latitudes of 25° 14´ and 39° 42´ N and the longitudes of 44° 10´ and 63° 11´ E. The population of the country has increased from 34 million in 1978 before of the revolution to 68 million in 2006, showing double increase during less than thirty years. The elevation varies between the see level to around 5,604m in Damavand mountain. Most of Iran's territory is covered by mountains. It has the Alborz Range in the north and the Zagros mountain system in the south-west. Iran is divided to eight major basins on the basis of hydrology and topography. In Iran, there are no large rivers and there is only one navigable river, the Karun. The Karun starts in the Zagros and runs mainly through the territory of Khuzestan in the south-west of the country. The total length of the river is 950 km. Other rivers flowing through Iran include the Sefidrud, the Karkheh, the Zayanderud, the Dez, the Atrak, the Aras and the Mond that flow to the Persian Gulf, Caspian Sea and internal plains. The climate differs but in most part of the country is arid and semi arid with a mean annual rainfall range of 50-2000 mm. Precipitation in some central parts of Iran is about 50 mm, while it can reach up 2000 mm per year on the northern slopes of the Alborz Range and the South Caspian lowlands. Average precipitation of this country is 245 mm per year. The average temperature stands at +2°C in January and +29°C in July. The main period of precipitation is during winter (60% of total rainfall).

Data and Methodology

The meteorological data used in this study, consisting of annual precipitation and temperature measurements from 40 synoptic stations in the country (distribution of stations is rather sparsely in the central and south- eastern areas and densely in the northwestern part, because another parts of this regain is rather flat)(Fig. 1), were collected from the Iran Meteorological Organization (IMO).From homogeneous precipitation records, we created a regional precipitation series by means of the weighted average of monthly records. The weight was the surface represented by each observatory by means of Thiessen polygons method, following Jones and Hulme (1996).Distribution of stations in the area is rather sparsely in the Central and southeastern areas and densely in the northwestern part, because in the northwestern parts, the topographic conditional is very severe but in the Central and southeastern areas, the topographic conditional isn't severe.

Mann-Kendall trend test

A nonparametric trend test has first been proposed by Mann (1945) then further studied by Kendall (1975) and improved by Hirsch et al (1982, 1984) who allowed taking into account seasonality. The null hypothesis H0 for these tests is that there is no trend in the series. The three alternative hypotheses that there is a negative, non-null, or positive trend can be chosen. The Mann-Kendall tests are based on the calculation of Kendall's tau measure of association between two samples, which is itself based on the ranks with the samples. In the particular case of the trend test, the first series is an increasing time indicator generated automatically for which ranks are obvious, which simplifies the calculations.

In the present work, in the first stage we use SPSS 19 software to normality test of date, result of this test show that climate data are normal. In the other hand we test the homogeneity of climate data, using Runs test method. In the next stage adequate number of station was determined with suitable scatter Eq. 1 (Mahdavi, 2002). An exhaustive list of the selected stations is given in Table 1.

$$N = \left(\frac{CV\%}{E\%} \right)^2 \qquad (1)$$

Where as: N: Adequate number of stations, Cv%: Percent of coefficient of variation, E%: Acceptable margin of error. In this paper: N=40

To determine the common duration of the suitable statistic period for all the stations, Eq. 2 was used (Mahdavi, 2002).

$$N = (4.3t \times \log R)^2 + 6$$

(2)

Where as: N: Duration of the suitable statistic period, t: t student by n-6 degree of freedom, R: Ratio of average precipitation by return period of 100 years to average precipitation by return period of 2 years. In this paper: N=37.5 years

In the next stage, for each of the stations in every year, annual precipitation, annual temperature and De Martonne Index have been calculated (Table 2). Measurement De Martonne index using Table 3. While we have determined the climate category of each station using the De Martonne index (Table 3). For each year, spatial maps of climate of the all of the country are prepared (Fig 2). For prepared maps in the figure 2 we use ArcGIS 9.3 software.

Now, for each year, we have determined the percentage of the country surface that is located in each dry or wet category (Table 4).Using the table 4, the changes trend of the country surface percent in each category has been determined. (Fig. 3)

Results and Discussion

In this paper, according to the data of 40 stations in Iran during 1967-2005, the pattern of climate changes are evaluated. The methods used include the Mann- Kendall trend test and De Martonne method. Fig. 3 and table 5 showed that:

1. The surface percent of Iran in the hyper arid, semi arid, humid and hyper humid type 1 climate categories have had a positive trend, but only the positive trend of the hyper arid category has been significant and the surface percent of the humid, Hyper humid type 1 and semi arid categories have had a not significant trend.
2. The surface percent of Iran in the arid, Mediterranean, semi humid and hyper humid type 2 climate categories have had a negative trend, but the negative trend of the hyper humid type 2, Mediterranean and semi humid categories have been significant and the surface percent of the arid categories have had a not significant trend.

3. So, according to the De Martonne index, the surface percent of the humid areas of Iran is going to be decreased and the surface percent of the arid areas of Iran is going to be increased.

Conclusion

This paper has analyzed the De Martonne Index to assessment the Trend of Changes the areal coverage in different climate categories of Iran. The results of this paper showed that The surface percent of Iran in the hyper arid, semi arid, humid and hyper humid type 1 climate categories have had a ascending trend, but only the ascending trend of the hyper arid category has been significant and the surface percent of the humid, Hyper humid type 1 and semi arid categories have had a insignificant trend. The surface percent of Iran in the arid, Mediterranean, semi humid and hyper humid type 2 climate categories have had a descending trend, but the descending trend of the hyper humid type 2, Mediterranean and semi humid categories have been significant and the surface percent of the arid categories have had an insignificant trend.So, according to the De Martonne index, the surface percent of the humid areas of Iran is going to be decreased and the surface percent of the arid areas of Iran is going to be increased.

Result of research by Asrari and et al in analyzing spatial and temporal pattern of drought by PNPI showed that the surface percent trends of areas under moderate, severe and extreme dry classes have been increased during the period which for severe and extreme classes is significant. Only areas under light drought class had a significant descending trend. Result of research by zareiee and et al, 2014 in analyzing Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) showed that the surface percent of the wet areas has been decreased during the evaluation period. The surface percent of the extremely wet areas, very wet areas and moderately wet areas have had a descending trend. However, only the descending trend of moderately wet areas has been significant. The surface percent of the dry areas have had an ascending trend. The surface percent of moderately dry areas and very dry areas have had an ascending trend. However, only the ascending trend of moderately dry areas has been significant. The surface percent of near normal areas has had an ascending trend no significantly, and the trend of surface percent of the extremely dry areas has been descending insignificantly during a period evaluation.

Acknowledgements

Especial thanks to the water organization of Iran, for providing the data, maps and reports for this project.

Reference

Alcamo, J. And Henrich, T.: Critical regions a model-based estimation of world water resources sensitive to global changes, Aquatic Sciences, 64, 352–362, 2002.

Arnell, N.W.: Climate change and global water resources: SRES emissions and socio-economic scenarios, Global Environmental Change, 14, 31–52, 2004.

Asrari Elham, Masoudi Masoud. And Hakimi Somaye Sadat.: GIS overlay analysis for hazard assessment of drought in Iran using PNPI, Journal of Ecology and Environment, 35:323-329, 2012.

De Sherbinin,A, Schiller, A. and Pulsipher, A.: The Vulnerability Of Global Cities to Climate Hazards, Environment and Urbanization, 19, 11-23, 2007.

Freeman, P.K.: Infrastructure, Natural Disasters and Poverty, International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA). Luxemburg, Austria, 9 pp. 2001.

Huq, S. And Ayers, J.: Critical list: The 100 nations most vulnerable to climate change, London: IIED Sustainable Development Opinion, 2007.

Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K,B., Tignor, M. And Miller, H.L.: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 996 pp, 2007.

IPCC, Climate change: The physical science basis: Summary for policymakers. Contribution of the Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.2007.

Mahdavi, M.: Applied Hydrology. Tehran University Press, Iran, 2007.

Mearns, L.O., Rosenzweig, C. And Goldberg, R.: Mean and variance change in climate scenarios: methods, agricultural applications, and measures of uncertainty, Climatic Change, 35, 367– 396, 1997.

Mizina, S.V., Smith, J.B., Gossen, E., Spiecker, K.F. And Witkowski, S.L.: An evaluation of adaptation options for climate change impacts on agriculture in Kazakhstan, Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change 4, 25–41, 1999.

Pilifosova, O.V., Eserkepova, I.B. And Dolgih, S.A.: Regional Climate Change Scenarios under Global Warming in Kazakhstan, Climatic Change, 36, 23–40, 1997.

Shiklomanov, I.A. And Rodda, J.C.: WorldWater Resources at the Beginning of the Twenty-first Century, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 449 pp, 2001.

Smit, B. And Skinner, M, W.: Adaptation options to climate change: a typology, Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change 7, 85–114, 2002.

SWCS, Conservation implications of climate change: soil erosion and runoff from cropland. Soil and Water Conservation Society, Ankeny, Iowa.(www.swcs.org/docs/climate%20change-final.pdf), 2003.

Zareiee,A.R; Masoudi,M; Mahmodi,A.R. Analyzing spatial pattern of drought changes in Iran, using standardized percipetation index (SPI). Eco. Env. & Cons. 20 (2) . 427-432. 2014.



Fig. 1 Iran map and scattering of stations



Fig.2 Spatial Pattern of Drought maps of Iran for each year



Fig. 3 Changes the areal coverage in different climate categories of Iran by percent

(Table 1) Name of the selected stations over the study area

code	Station	Latitude	Longitude	Elevation	Climate condition
1	Abadan	30° 22' N	48° 15' E	6	hyper arid warm
2	Ahvaz	31° 20' N	48° 40' E	22	arid warm
3	Arak	34° 6' N	49° 46' E	1708	semi arid cold
4	Babolsar	36° 43' N	52° 39' E	-21	humid warm
5	Bandar Abbas	27° 13' N	56° 22' E	10	arid warm
6	Bandar Anzali	37° 28' N	49° 28' E	-26	hyper humid type 2 warm
7	Bandar Lenge	26° 32' N	54° 50' E	23	hyper arid warm
8	Birjand	32° 52' N	59° 12' E	1491	arid cold
9	Bushehr	28° 59' N	50° 50' E	20	arid warm
10	Chabahar	25° 17' N	60° 37' E	8	hyper arid warm
11	Dezful	32° 24' N	48° 23' E	143	semi arid warm
12	Esfahan	32° 37' N	51° 40' E	1550	hyper arid cold
13	Fassa	28° 58' N	53° 41' E	1288	semi arid moderate
14	Ghazvin	36° 15' N	50° 3' E	1279	semi arid cold
15	Gorgan	36° 51' N	54° 16' E	13	Mediterranean warm
16	Hamedan	35° 12' N	48° 43' E	1697	semi arid cold
17	Iran Shahr	27° 12' N	60° 42' E	591	hyper arid warm
18	Kashan	33° 59' N	51° 27' E	982	hyper arid moderate
19	Kerman	30° 15' N	56° 58' E	1753	arid cold
20	Kermanshah	34° 21' N	47° 9' E	1318	semi arid cold
21	Khoram Abad	33° 26' N	48° 17' E	1147	semi arid moderate
22	Khoy	38° 33' N	44° 58' E	1103	semi arid cold
23	Mashhad	36° 16' N	59° 38' E	999	semi arid cold
24	Oroomieh	37° 32' N	45° 5' E	1315	semi arid cold
25	Ramsar	36° 54' N	50° 40' E	-20	hyper humid type 1 warm
26	Rasht	37° 15' N	49° 36' E	-6	hyper humid type 1 moderate
27	Sabzevar	36° 12' N	57° 43' E	977	arid moderate
28	Saghez	36° 15' N	46° 16' E	1522	semi humid cold
29	Sanandaj	35° 20' N	47° 0' E	1373	semi arid cold
30	Semnan	35° 35' N	53° 33' E	1130	arid moderate
31	Shahre Kord	32° 17' N	50° 51' E	2048	semi arid cold
32	Shiraz	29° 32' N	52° 36' E	1484	semi arid moderate
33	Tabass	33° 36' N	56° 55' E	711	hyper arid moderate
34	Tabriz	38° 5' N	46° 17' E	1361	semi arid cold
35	Tehran	35° 41' N	51° 19' E	1190	arid moderate
36	Torbat Hydarieh	35° 16' N	59° 13' E	1450	semi arid cold
37	Yazd	31° 54' N	54° 17' E	1237	hyper arid moderate
38	Zabol	31° 2' N	61° 29' E	489	hyper arid moderate
39	Zahedan	29° 28' N	60° 53' E	1370	hyper arid moderate
40	Zanjan	36° 41' N	48° 29' E	1663	semi arid cold

(Table 2) Annually De Martonne* index

Station name	Abadan	Ahvaz	…..	Zabol	Zahedan	Zanjan
Year	De Martonne index for each station in every year					
1967	5.48	7.87	…..	1.74	2.78	15.34
1968	2.85	8.31	…..	1.89	4.60	18.61
…..	…..	…..	…..	…..	…..	…..
2004	5.71	7.49	…..	2.58	2.15	15.06
2005	5.31	5.18	…..	2.97	3.54	11.77

* De Martonne index = ($\frac{\overline{P}}{T+10}$), $\overline{P}$: annual precipitation average and *T*: average of annual temperature during the period

(Table 3) De Martonne index Classification (Iran meteorological organization, 2010)

De Martonne index value	climate category	symbol
4.99 ≥	Hyper arid	A1.1
5 to 9.99	Arid	A1.2
10 to 19.99	Semi arid	A2
20 to 23.99	Mediterranean	A3
24 to 27.99	Semi humid	A4
28 to 34.99	Humid	A5
35 to 54.99	Hyper humid type 1	A5.1
≥ 55	Hyper humid type 2	A5.2

(Table 4) Areal coverage (%) of Iran in each climate categories for each year

Year	De Martonne Climate Categories							
	A1.1	A1.2	A2	A3	A4	A5	A5.1	A5.2
	Areal coverage (%) of Iran in each climate categories for each year							
1967	27.24	20.67	29.65	1.80	7.56	0.00	0.82	12.27
1968	13.08	27.13	9.14	17.30	8.95	7.31	1.39	15.70
1969	19.70	14.98	19.67	3.30	2.64	30.94	5.21	3.55
1970	32.11	29.63	25.20	4.30	5.21	0.00	3.55	0.00
1971	31.04	21.31	23.67	10.93	4.30	5.21	3.55	0.00
1972	9.25	23.13	28.04	13.46	2.82	1.89	0.99	20.42
…..	**…..**	**…..**	**…..**	**…..**	**…..**	**…..**	**…..**	**…..**
…..	**…..**	**…..**	**…..**	**…..**	**…..**	**…..**	**…..**	**…..**
…..	**…..**	**…..**	**…..**	**…..**	**…..**	**…..**	**…..**	**…..**
2000	44.75	15.66	33.86	0.99	0.00	2.94	0.77	1.03
2001	52.68	14.41	29.17	0.00	1.05	0.00	1.89	0.80
2002	53.47	11.53	25.14	0.00	0.99	0.00	8.87	0.00
2003	49.30	24.16	23.11	1.55	0.00	0.00	0.23	1.64
2004	36.09	34.24	22.37	5.42	0.00	0.00	0.23	1.64
2005	52.44	20.35	17.35	0.99	0.00	1.47	6.91	0.49

(Table 5) Trend of Changes the areal coverage in different climate categories of Iran by percent, using Mann-Kendall trend test (p-value: significance level of that trend)

climate category	symbol	Annual trend	*p- value*
Hyper arid	A1.1	1.027	0.0001*
Arid	A1.2	-0.195	0.193
Semi arid	A2	0.055	0.697
Mediterranean	A3	-0.252	0.001*
Semi humid	A4	-0.085	0.001*
Humid	A5	0.145	0.00*
Hyper humid type 1	A5.1	0.014	0.606
Hyper humid type 2	A5.2	-0.181	0.035*

*Significant at $p<0.05$