

1 NEW DEVELOPMENTS IN AMBIENT NOISE ANALYSIS TO CHARACTERISE THE
2 SEISMIC RESPONSE OF LANDSLIDE PRONE SLOPES

3
4 Vincenzo Del Gaudio ^a, Janusz Wasowski ^b and Sandro Muscillo ^{c*}

5
6 ^a Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra e Geoambientali, Università degli Studi di Bari “Aldo Moro”,
7 via E. Orabona, 4 – 70125 Bari, Italy – e-mail vincenzo.delgaudio@uniba.it – phone +39 080 544
8 2279 – fax +39 080 544 2625

9 ^b Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche – Istituto di Ricerca per la Protezione Idrogeologica, via
10 Amendola, 122 I, 70126 Bari, Italy – e-mail j.wasowski@ba.irpi.cnr.it

11 ^{c*} Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra e Geoambientali, Università degli Studi di Bari “Aldo Moro”,
12 via E. Orabona, 4 – 70125 Bari, Italy – e-mail sandro.muscillo@uniba.it

13
14 * Corresponding author.

15
16 **ABSTRACT**

17 We report on new developments in the application of ambient noise analysis applied to investigate
18 the dynamic response of landslide prone slopes to seismic shaking with special attention to the
19 directional resonance phenomena recognised in previous studies. These phenomena can be relevant
20 for seismic slope susceptibility, especially when maximum resonance orientation is close to
21 potential sliding directions. Therefore, the implementation of an effective technique for site
22 response directivity detection is of general interest. At this regard methods based on the calculation
23 of horizontal-to-vertical noise spectral ratio (HVNR) are promising. The applicability of such
24 methods is investigated in the area of Caramanico Terme (central Italy) where ongoing
25 accelerometer monitoring of slopes with different characteristics offers the possibility of validation
26 of HVNR analysis. The noise measurements, carried out in different times to test the result
27 repeatability, revealed that sites affected by response directivity persistently show major peaks with
28 a common orientation consistent with the resonance direction inferred from accelerometer data. In
29 some cases such a directivity turned out parallel to maximum slope direction, but this cannot be
30 considered a systematic feature of slope dynamic response. At sites where directivity is absent, the
31 HVNR peaks do not generally show a preferential orientation, with rare exceptions that could be
32 linked to the presence of temporarily active sources of polarised noise. The observed variations of
33 spectral ratio amplitude can be related to temporal changes in site conditions (e.g. groundwater
34 level/soil water content variations affecting P-wave velocity and Poisson’s ratio of surficial layer),

35 which can hinder the recognition of main resonance frequencies. Therefore, we recommend
36 conducting simultaneous measurements at nearby sites within the same study area and to repeat
37 measurements at different times in order to distinguish significant systematic polarisation caused by
38 site specific response directivity from polarisation controlled by properties of noise sources.
39 Furthermore, an analysis of persistence in noise recordings of signals with systematic directivity
40 showed that only a portion of recordings contains wave trains having a clear polarisation
41 representative of site directional resonance. Thus a careful selection of signals for HVNR analysis is
42 needed for a correct characterisation of site directional properties.

43

44 **KEY WORDS:** landslide-prone slopes, site response, directional resonance, ambient noise,
45 HVNR.

46

1. INTRODUCTION

Several studies have reported evidence that landslide triggering during earthquakes can be considerably influenced by ground motion amplification related to topography (e.g. Harp et al. 1981, Harp and Jibson 2002; Sepúlveda et al. 2005; Meunier et al. 2008) and/or subsoil physical characteristics (e.g. Bourdeau and Havenith 2008; Bozzano et al. 2008). However, the dynamic response of marginally stable slopes under seismic shaking is still difficult to understand and predict: indeed, it is characterised by a complex interaction of different factors (topography, slope material properties, 3D shape of geological features), concurring to produce effects of directional resonance. Such effects have been revealed by instrumental recordings of seismic ground motion on landslide prone areas (Del Gaudio and Wasowski 2007, 2011; Gallipoli and Mucciarelli 2007; Garambois et al. 2010; Moore et al. 2011).

The presence of a strong anisotropy in site response can have important implications for the susceptibility of slopes to seismic failures, enhancing it where directions of potential sliding and of maximum amplification are similar. However, a clear comprehension of factors controlling the occurrence of site response directivity is still lacking, thus, the characterisation of dynamic response of slopes needs to be supported by ground motion data acquisition. The direct assessment of site response properties requires the comparison between several seismic events recordings at study sites and at nearby reference sites not affected by resonance phenomena (Borcherdt 1970), according to the so called SSR technique (Standard Spectral Ratio). However, regional-scale long-term accelerometer monitoring of landslide-prone slopes appears impractical. Instead, the development of site response characterisation based on the analysis of short term recordings of ambient seismic noise with portable instruments represents a promising alternative approach. This approach is based on Nakamura's method (Nogoshi and Igarashi 1971; Nakamura 1989), also known by the acronym HVNR (Horizontal to Vertical Noise Ratio), consisting of analysing the spectral ratios between horizontal and vertical components of ambient noise recordings, searching significant peaks of H/V spectral ratios, which are interpreted as indicative of site resonance properties. The underlying postulate is that ambient noise consists mainly of surface waves (Rayleigh and Love) and/or body shear waves reflected and refracted inside shallow layers characterised by a strong impedance contrast. The presence of H/V peaks at site resonance frequencies is explained by assuming that horizontal and vertical components of noise wave field have a comparable amplitude at the substratum (within an approximation factor of 2) and that only horizontal components are significantly amplified by the effect of shallow layers. Some preliminary tests on landslide areas showed that an analysis of azimuthal variation of H/V spectral ratios can

80 reveal the occurrence and orientation of directional resonance possibly related to sliding directions
81 (Del Gaudio et al. 2008).

82 Despite its uncertain theoretical bases (cf. Bonnefoy-Claudet et al. 2006), the HVNR method
83 proved to be an effective technique in investigating resonance frequencies under simple site
84 conditions characterised by soft surface layers overlying a more rigid substratum (Lermo and
85 Chávez-García 1994). Indeed, regardless of the nature of the noise wave field, the H/V peaks are
86 observed at the resonance frequency of the S-waves even when the noise is dominated by Rayleigh
87 waves (Asten 2004). However there are difficulties in inferring amplification factors from HVNR,
88 because the amplitude of the H/V spectral ratios, even though correlated to amplification factor,
89 may not be representative of its actual value and can change according to the nature of the noise
90 wave field (Albarello and Lunedei, 2009).

91 The assessment of amplification is even more difficult in geologically and geomorphologically
92 complex site conditions like those of landslide areas, where directivity represents an important
93 aspect of site response. The standard HVNR measurements make use of a geometric mean of the
94 two horizontal spectra to calculate H/V ratios, then averaging the ratios obtained from a large
95 number of recording time windows. This is justified, in case of 1D layering conditions, by the
96 assumption of a isotropic site response. In this way the effects of differently polarised surface wave
97 trains and of body S-waves propagating with different incidence angles are averaged, correcting the
98 bias that would result from the analysis of waves coming from a single source of polarised noise.
99 The averaging over multiple time windows allows also estimating standard deviation of spectral
100 ratios, which helps to distinguish whether the H/V values are representative of persistent spectral
101 properties of site response, or are affected by a strong variability related to properties of transient
102 noise sources (Castellaro and Mulargia 2009).

103 When site response cannot be considered isotropic, as in the case of landslide prone slopes, the
104 geometric averaging between horizontal components is not justified and one can focus on analysing
105 directional variations of site responses. In this case, however, the calculation of azimuthal variation
106 of HVNR values can be biased by the presence of persistent source of polarised noise. This problem
107 can be exacerbated when site response analysis is extended to relatively low frequencies (i.e. longer
108 wavelengths), which are of interest in the context of seismic triggering of large landslides. Noise
109 spectrum frequencies below 1 Hz are dominated by an ubiquitous “microseismic” signal (Peterson
110 1993), distinct from the anthropogenic “microtremors” observed at higher frequencies. The
111 microseismic signal, was recognised as an effect of sea wave energy coupling with solid earth
112 vibrations, showing a major peak between 0.1 and 0.4 Hz (Haubrich et al., 1963), consisting of
113 Rayleigh waves excited by sea water pressure perturbations on inshore ocean bottom (Tanimoto

2007). An analysis of the location of microseism sources showed that they undergo seasonal variations related to meteorology-depending changes of ocean swells (Schimmel et al. 2011).

Microseismic signal can propagate over very long distances, thus one can expect that ambient noise analysis at microseismic frequencies will show signals with seasonally varying Rayleigh-type polarisation, originated by sources located thousands of kilometres away from the recording sites. Bromirski et al. (2005) pointed out a distinction between microseisms having peaks around 0.15 Hz, which can travel with low attenuation over very long distances, from those having peaks at frequencies between 0.2 and 0.3 Hz, which are much more attenuated and observed only in coastal areas relatively near the source. At frequencies larger than 0.3 Hz microseismic energy does not seem to propagate through the ocean floor beyond a few hundreds of km and signals observed at these frequencies are generally excited by wind-generated local waves.

Therefore, while analysing ambient noise of frequencies below 1 Hz to characterise local dynamic response of slopes to seismic shaking, problems can be encountered in distinguishing site-specific directivity properties of site response and polarisation due to the presence of persistent sources of polarised noise. Furthermore, the analysis cannot be reliably extended below 0.3 Hz, whereas frequencies between 0.3 and 1 Hz can be exploited taking into account the possibility of a bias related to microseismic signals coming from the nearest coastal areas. This problem can be faced by acquiring simultaneous recordings at different sites in the same study area, which helps to distinguish low frequency polarisation specific to certain sites from that having a “regional” diffusion related to an external origin. Furthermore, the repetition of measurements at different times (possibly in different seasons) can reveal if a polarisation shows a site-specific character or a seasonal variability.

In this paper we present new results of applications of the HVNR technique on slopes affected by or prone to failures, focusing on the uncertainties in data interpretation related to the space-time variation of noise wave field properties. After describing the study area characteristics and the data processing solutions adopted, we present and discuss the results from sites for which comparative seismic response data were provided by accelerometer recordings of recent earthquakes, as well as results from several other slope sites.

2. MEASUREMENTS

Ambient noise measurements were carried out using two kinds of instruments, a tromograph (i.e. an instrument specifically devised to record small amplitude ground vibrations) and a portable broad band seismograph. We employed tromographs Tromino[®] (model ENGY PLUS, <http://www.tromino.eu>) which are three-component, compact, “all-in-one” instruments including

148 both sensors and data acquisition system, working at frequencies down to 0.3 Hz.
149 When investigating low frequency ambient noise, we also tested the use of a portable broad-band
150 seismometer Trillium Compact combined with an acquisition unit Taurus (both produced by
151 Nanometrics) providing an homogeneous instrumental response in the interval 0.02 - 50 Hz).
152 Given the need to analyse noise up to periods of about 3 s, data were acquired with sessions lasting
153 at least a few tens of minutes, thus satisfying the SESAME project guideline recommendations of
154 obtaining data sufficient to extract not less than 200 cycles of the longest period to be analysed
155 (Bard and The SESAME Team 2004). However, following the results of first tests, the duration of
156 data acquisition sessions was considerably extended, taking into account that: i) in comparison to
157 the standard applications, directional analysis necessitates a larger number of time windows to
158 increase the probability of recording signal noise coming from different directions; ii) a certain
159 number of time windows has to be discarded from the analysis if the recordings are characterised by
160 transient signal coming from temporary and very close sources of ground vibration, whose
161 polarisation is more likely to reflect source directivity properties rather than site-specific effects.
162 Furthermore, building upon the previous experiences, the most recent measurements were
163 conducted via simultaneous recordings at different nearby sites with two instruments, often
164 recording continuously at a “reference” station for several hours and moving a “rover” recorder to
165 different sites in the same study area.

166

167 **2.1 Study area setting**

168 Our first HVNR measurements on landslide prone slopes were carried out in the area of
169 Caramanico Terme, in Abruzzi region (Central Italy). The study area is located in a valley whose
170 flanks are characterised by Pliocene clay-rich formations mantled by thick Quaternary colluvial
171 deposits (**Fig. 1**). The town of Caramanico Terme is overlooked by the Colle Alto hill, which
172 constitutes a caprock made of Quaternary carbonate megabreccias. The caprock is bounded by very
173 steep scarps which are frequently affected by rockfalls.

174 The instability of the Caramanico hillslopes is linked to the particular hydrogeological setting
175 characterized by the presence of thick caprock (main groundwater aquifer in the area), middle-
176 upper slope colluvial covers capable to host a shallow aquifer, and underlying low-conductivity
177 mudstone substratum. The available groundwater data, albeit limited, indicated highly variable
178 water level rises (from less than a meter to 11 m), registered in the Casagrande and open-pipe
179 piezometers sited in the colluviums (Wasowski, 1998). This variability can be in part related to the
180 seasonal precipitation patterns with water level maxima following the groundwater recharge during

late fall-winter-early spring period. The lowest piezometric levels are typically encountered in early fall, following dry and hot summer period.

Topographic and geological conditions make the Caramanico area susceptible to seismic triggering of different types of landslides, as repeatedly occurred during past earthquakes (Wasowski and Del Gaudio 2000). A local accelerometer network was installed there in 2002 to study slope dynamic response to seismic shaking under different lithological and topographic conditions (Del Gaudio and Wasowski 2007, 2011). Data acquired by this network offer the possibility of validating site response information that can be derived from ambient noise analysis. Thus, first tests of HVNR measurements were carried out at sites of accelerometer stations (**Fig. 1**). One of these stations, CAR2, is positioned on the head of a landslide that in 1989 involved colluvial deposits about 40 m thick overlying mudstones. Two other stations are located on the same slope, but outside the limits of the 1989 landslide: one (CAR1) is located on an outcrop of the Pliocene mudstone constituting the substratum of the 1989 landslide, whereas another station (CAR5) is located on the same kind of material affected by the 1989 failure, but about 200 m away, upslope of the landslide crown, on a stable, gently inclined area ($< 7^\circ$).

Two stations are sited on rock: one (CAR3) is located at the rim of a 50 m deep ESE-WNW oriented gorge, on 10 m of carbonate breccias that overly Miocene limestones, whereas the other (CAR4) is located on an outcrop of the same limestones as at CAR3, but on a relatively flat surface (inclination $< 7^\circ$) located at about 2.5 km distance from Caramanico Terme. CAR4 is used as reference to compare site response of the other stations.

Additional ambient noise recordings were carried out on three other landslide-prone slopes in Caramanico (Fig. 1). One of these sites (T7) is on the Ischio landslide, a 250 m long, complex mass movement located on the lower slopes of the river valley. During its last major re-activations in 1973 and 1996-97, retrogressive, multiple rotational movements in the uppermost part of the slide affected a few tens of meter thick carbonate breccia caprock overlying the Pliocene-age mudstone substratum (Wasowski 1997). The actual depth of the basal slip surface in the mudstones is unknown. The presence of shallow translational movements was observed in the middle-lower part of the slide, where the thickness of carbonate debris is less than 5 m.

Measurements were also conducted at three different points (T6, T6N, T6S) of the slope affected by a deep landslide, which involved few tens of meters thick carbonate debris overlying Pliocene mudstones. The failure was triggered in 1627 by a magnitude 6.7-7 earthquake which occurred about 120 km from Caramanico. The long distance from the earthquake source suggested that site amplification was a factor in landslide triggering (Wasowski et al. 2013).

214 Finally, ambient noise measurements were also carried out at two sites on top of the megabreccia
215 caprock, one (T4) on the rim of a steep scarp, and the other (T4E) few tens of meters away from the
216 scarp edge.

217

218 **3. DATA PROCESSING**

219 Data acquired during recording sessions were subdivided into time windows of 30 s (i.e. 10 times
220 the longest period of interest), applying a linear detrending to each window to remove long term
221 drift. Spectra were calculated for each component and smoothed using a triangular average on
222 frequency intervals of $\pm 10\%$ of the central frequency. Horizontal to vertical spectral ratios were
223 then calculated for horizontal components along directions at 10° azimuth intervals. Following the
224 recommendation of Castellaro and Mulargia (2009), spectrograms reporting spectral ratios as
225 function of time for E-W and N-S components were examined to discard time windows having
226 anomalously high spectral ratio values resulting from strong transient signals. Finally, the average
227 spectral ratios H/V of all the accepted time window intervals were calculated for each direction.

228 The difficulty in establishing whether observed peaks are significant persistent features attributable
229 to site response, or reflect transient effects due to noise source characteristics, is commonly
230 encountered when interpreting the HVNR values. Bard and The SESAME Team (2004) proposed
231 that a minimum threshold of 2 for peak amplitude and a small standard deviation around the mean
232 HVNR values obtained from all the analysed time windows can be used to assess the significance of
233 H/V peak. However, these criteria, defined for 1D layering conditions, appear too restrictive for a
234 directional analysis under complex site conditions that are typical of landslide areas. Indeed, when
235 analysing azimuthal variation of H/V ratios, one should keep in mind that a source of variability
236 could result also from the recording of differently polarised wave trains arriving at different times
237 from different noise sources around the measurement site. In such a case the effect of source
238 controlled polarisation would add to site specific directivity, increasing standard deviation around
239 the mean HVNR values along each direction.

240 Therefore, carefully defined criteria are needed to infer site specific directivity from HVNR data,
241 through an identification of a systematic preferential orientation of H/V relative maxima. Del
242 Gaudio et al. (2008) considered the distribution of HVNR values as function of azimuth and
243 frequency and proposed an approach based on the detection of multiple major peaks having
244 coherent orientation (within 30°) and satisfying the following significance criteria:

245 a) amplitude of H/V relative maximum larger than 2;

b) ratio between H/V maximum and minimum found at the same frequency (typically along an approximately orthogonal direction) larger than 1.5 (which implies a shaking energy along maximum direction larger by more than a factor of 2 in comparison to minimum)

In order to facilitate identification of directivity, we propose here an additional step consisting in the evaluation of the temporal recurrence of signals having coherent polarisation. The procedure consists in finding, preliminarily, all the relative maxima appearing in the distribution of HVNR values and satisfying the criteria a) and b) mentioned above. This is done both for mean HVNR values and for HVNR relative to each time window taken separately. Comparing each peak of mean HVNR values with the peak that in each time window shows a minimum difference in frequency and azimuth from the mean H/V peak, standard deviations can be estimated for peak frequency, azimuth and amplitude.

Then we examine the occurrence rate of significant directional H/V peaks among the time windows of a recording session. Since the frequency of peaks is typically affected by an uncertainty (expressed through standard deviation) of about 0.25 Hz, peaks that along each orientation (spaced by 10°) have frequencies falling within a 0.5 Hz interval are grouped together in separate bins. Then, for each frequency-azimuth bin the percentage of time windows showing significant H/V peaks belonging to that bin is calculated. The results can be represented through a 3D histogram, where the column height represents the occurrence rate and a colour scale is used to represent the mean H/V values of the peaks belonging to each bin.

We define the resulting percentage as “Directional H/V Peak Occurrence Rate” (DHVPOR). A concentration of high percentage values around a given frequency and azimuth implies that a large amount of the recording time windows shows persistently directional peaks with those frequency/azimuth characteristics.

The outcomes of a single recording session may not always be considered conclusive to demonstrate a site response directivity, because a concentration of H/V peaks along an azimuth could also result from the presence of sources of polarised noise. Nevertheless, the persistence of similar maxima of DHVPOR values in data acquired at different times (possibly obtained from recordings carried out in different seasons), if combined with the observation that such preferential direction is not present at nearby sites during contemporary recording, provides a robust evidence of directional resonance properties specific of the investigated site.

4. RESULTS

4.1 *Measurements at accelerometer sites on landslide-prone slopes*

Early recordings of small-moderate magnitude earthquakes by the Caramanico accelerometer

280 network demonstrated that sites CAR2 and CAR3 (**Fig. 1**) are characterised by a pronounced site
 281 response directivity with maximum of ground motion along azimuths of 80°-110° and 120°-130°,
 282 respectively (Del Gaudio & Wasowski 2007). The presence of directional phenomena has been
 283 further confirmed following the recordings of a large number of events belonging to the seismic
 284 sequence that in 2009 hit L'Aquila (about 60 km NW of Caramanico), with a mainshock of moment
 285 magnitude $M_w = 6.3$ (Del Gaudio & Wasowski 2011). **Figure 2** shows the SSR values obtained for
 286 CAR2 and CAR3, using CAR4 as reference station.

287 For CAR2 (**Fig. 2a**), the SSR values were derived from 23 seismic events mostly between 40 and
 288 60 km distant: the highest peak (amplification factor $AF = 10 \pm 3$) was observed along an azimuth
 289 of $100^\circ \pm 33^\circ$ at a frequency of 2.3 ± 0.1 Hz. This appears to be a major resonance frequency,
 290 possibly related to the effects of the 40 m thick colluvium. Seismic investigations conducted on this
 291 site using the ReMi technique provided for shear wave velocity V_s an average estimate of about 400
 292 m/s (Coccia et al. 2010). Following the simplified relation connecting surface layer V_s velocity and
 293 thickness H to resonance frequency F_0 (i.e. $F_0 = V_s/4H$), this velocity value provides an estimate of
 294 $F_0 = 2.5$ Hz.

295 Other similarly oriented significant peaks were observed at frequencies of 3.3 and 6.5-7 Hz ($AF \approx$
 296 8), 1.5 and 4.7 Hz ($AF \approx 7.5$), 8.5 - 9 Hz ($AF \approx 6.5$), 9-10 Hz ($AF \approx 6$) and 12.5 Hz ($AF \approx 4.5$). All
 297 the amplification factors observed at different frequencies along directions of maxima exceed those
 298 at the same frequency along orthogonal directions by a factor ranging from 1.5 to 3. Additional
 299 secondary peaks were found along an azimuth of 130° (e.g. at 5.0 - 5.5 Hz), but with a less
 300 pronounced directional character.

301 Comparatively, the structure of the SSR diagram appears simpler for CAR3 (**Fig. 2b**) located on
 302 fractured rock, which seems to cause strong amplification at relatively higher frequencies. In this
 303 case the average of 15 events revealed a band of strong directional maxima extending between 10
 304 and 16 Hz, oriented along an azimuth of 120°-130°, with two major peaks at frequencies of 12.6
 305 and 13.6 Hz, both characterised by an $AF = 14 \pm 4$. The amplification along an approximately
 306 orthogonal direction was about 50% lower.

307 These new SSR results appear consistent with those obtained from the first ambient noise recording
 308 campaign carried out in July 2007 using a Tromino tromograph prototype (Del Gaudio et al. 2008).
 309 The azimuthal variation of HVNR values in **Fig. 3** shows major directional peaks corresponding to
 310 the main SSR peaks found at CAR2 and CAR3 (**Fig. 3**). At CAR2 the maximum H/V was found at
 311 a frequency of 2.4 ± 0.1 Hz along an azimuth of $80^\circ \pm 22^\circ$, whereas at CAR3 the peak frequency
 312 was 12.7 ± 0.3 Hz along an azimuth of $130^\circ \pm 20^\circ$.

313 Interestingly, at CAR3 site the peak value of H/V ratios (12.6 ± 3.8) is in excellent agreement with
 314 the mean AF value derived from seismic events analysis, whereas at CAR2 the H/V maximum of
 315 4.2 ± 1.6 is much smaller than the corresponding mean AF. This difference appears related to the
 316 presence of amplification affecting vertical components: recordings of earthquakes showed that at
 317 frequencies where horizontal ground motion amplification is maximum, CAR2 site is also affected
 318 by a concomitant amplification of the vertical component by a factor of about 4 (see Fig. 2),
 319 whereas at CAR3 vertical amplification factor is absent or negligible (less than 2). A secondary
 320 maximum observed at CAR2 at a frequency of 13.2 ± 0.7 Hz shows an H/V amplitude (3.0 ± 0.6)
 321 comparable to the AF (4.6 ± 2.1) derived from SSR values at 12.5 Hz, a frequency where vertical
 322 component amplification is absent.

323 The relation between vertical amplification and H/V ratio values deserves a supplementary
 324 discussion. Albarello and Lunedei (2009), investigating the simple case of flat horizontal layering
 325 with a low impedance layer overlying a more stiff substratum, found that peak amplitude of noise
 326 H/V ratios in different situations may reflect S-waves amplification or Rayleigh wave ellipticity
 327 (i.e. the ratio between horizontal and vertical component of the elliptical Rayleigh particle motion):
 328 S-waves amplification appears to have major influence on H/V ratios around the site fundamental
 329 resonance frequency and for closer noise sources, whereas for higher frequencies and more distant
 330 sources the effect of Rayleigh wave ellipticity seems to prevail, causing an increase of H/V ratios
 331 beyond the amplification factor observed during earthquakes.

332 Whatever the proportion between body and surface waves be in the noise, one can expect that H/V
 333 ratios can be reduced by the presence of a shallow layer characterised by low P-wave velocity (V_p).
 334 Indeed, considering that on soft soil body wave contribution to noise vertical ground motion is
 335 dominated by P waves, a strong contrast of V_p values between shallow layer and substratum would
 336 imply a decrease of H/V ratios as effect of vertical motion amplification; on the other hand, low V_p
 337 values at surface generally implies a low Poisson's ratio as well, which can cause a considerable
 338 decrease of Rayleigh wave ellipticity (Tuan et al., 2011).

339 Significantly, V_p values in shallow unconsolidated porous layers (e.g. colluviums) are strongly
 340 influenced by water content, whose increase determine an increase both of V_p and of Poisson's
 341 ratio. This suggests a possible explanation of the variable results obtained from noise measurements
 342 in June 2010 at CAR2 and CAR3, using the broad-band sensor, and in May 2011 at CAR2, using
 343 simultaneously the tomograph and the broad-band sensor. Whereas, with respect to the first results
 344 from July 2007, the new measurements at CAR3, sited on cemented carbonate breccias,
 345 substantially confirmed the earlier findings with regard to frequency (13.1 ± 0.4 Hz) and orientation
 346 ($130^\circ \pm 18^\circ$) of the major peak, with only a limited decrease of the H/V peak value (9.1 ± 1.6), the

new HVNR measurements at CAR2 (sited on thick colluvial deposits) showed a considerable weakening of the peak around 2.5 Hz (with H/V dropped to 2.2-2.5). This can perhaps be related to seasonal variations of water table at CAR2, which in the month of July is expected to be close to the maximum following late fall-winter-early spring groundwater recharge.

This raises the problem of the stability of noise recordings results in terms of resonance properties identification in cases when analysis relies on azimuth/frequency distribution of mean H/V ratio values alone. Therefore, the use of DHVPOR approach is advocated here to support the analysis of directional resonance: the persistent recurrence of H/V relative maxima for a given azimuth/frequency combination, during recordings carried out at different times can lead to identify main resonance frequencies even in presence of a strong variability of H/V ratios .

Figure 4 shows the histograms of the DHVPOR values obtained for the four noise measurements carried out at CAR2 located on the 1989 landslide. The noise recordings reveal the presence of significant directional peaks concentrated within a azimuth interval between 80° and 110°; such peaks are almost absent along other directions at least for frequencies higher than 3 Hz. Below this frequency directional H/V peaks appear oriented also along different azimuths, even though, at least above 1 Hz, the relative maxima of their occurrence rate are constantly within the 80°-110° azimuth range. The more dispersed orientation of H/V peaks at lower frequencies can reflect the fact that, due to the weaker attenuation of such frequencies, contributions to local noise wavefield arrive from more distant sources characterised by different polarisations.

Comparatively, no systematic preferential direction was observed in H/V peaks recorded at sites CAR1 and CAR5 (**Fig. 5**), located on the same slope but outside the 1989 landslide. This is consistent with the results of earthquake recording analyses, which demonstrated that these sites are not affected by response directivity (Del Gaudio & Wasowski 2011).

A general consideration based on the examination of the DHVPOR histograms (**Figs. 4, 5**) is that the maximum occurrence rates of directional peaks are not particularly high (less than 50 % of time windows showed significant directional peaks in all the examined cases). This implies that, for most part of a noise recording session, signals do not show a pronounced polarisation. Nonetheless, when polarised signals appear at sites characterised by response directivity, they tend to have common orientation consistent with that of the site directional resonance. This suggests that the majority of the recorded signal consists of weak background noise that does not reflect the site resonance properties. Therefore, to investigate the possibility of deriving more details on resonance frequencies from noise analysis, it is of interest to examine the results obtained restricting the average of H/V ratios only to those corresponding to relative maxima.

380 In general, the H/V peak values belonging to each azimuth/frequency bin showed a moderate
381 dispersion around their average (standard deviation typically about 1/3 of the average), except for
382 frequencies below 1 Hz, often characterised by standard deviation close to or even larger than the
383 average. Thus at microseismic frequencies the signal seems affected by a strong variability related
384 to noise source properties, which hampers the recognition of site specific features of spectral ratios.
385 **Figure 6** shows, as function of frequency, the average values of H/V relative maxima found for
386 frequency intervals of 0.5 Hz along directions of maximum concentration of peak occurrence. For
387 CAR3 this diagram reveals consistent results for the two measurements of 2007 and 2010, with a
388 major peak corresponding to frequency and orientation of the directional resonance revealed by the
389 earthquake recordings. For CAR2, the general pattern of mean H/V peak ratios observed at different
390 times along an azimuth (N90°) characterised by high recurrence of significant H/V peaks appears
391 consistent, with a first major peak around 2 Hz frequency and a series of other peaks between 4 and
392 13 Hz, with the largest one around 12.5 Hz. This pattern is comparable to that of the SSR values
393 calculated along the same azimuth, even though up to about 10 Hz the H/V spectral ratios are
394 largely below the SSR. Thus, through this kind of analysis it is possible to obtain (at least) a rough
395 indication of main resonance frequencies, even in case of complex site spectral response.

396

397 **4.2 Measurements at other landslide-prone sites**

398 Measurements carried out at site T7 (**Fig. 1**) on the Ischio landslide did not show evidence of a
399 significant site response. HVNR values (**Fig. 7a**) have only one peak satisfying the significance
400 requirements described in section 3., i.e. a weak maximum of 2.3 ± 0.7 at a frequency of 1.4 ± 0.1
401 Hz due $N40^\circ \pm 23^\circ$. The DHVPOR histogram (**Fig. 7b**) shows that directional H/V maxima in
402 recording time windows are mostly found at low frequencies with a wide variability of directions
403 and relatively low values of spectral ratios. The absence of clear site effects could be related to the
404 limited thickness (<5 m) of the “slow” carbonate debris material and the lack of impedance
405 contrast.

406 Measurements in the 1627 landslide area were carried out with tromographs at three sites, distant
407 about one hundred meters from each other: one (T6) is located in the central part of the
408 accumulation zone of the landslide and the others (T6S and T6N) are close to its boundary. A first
409 recording session was conducted in May 2011 at the site T6. In January and May 2012
410 measurements were repeated at T6 and additional sites were investigated. In January 2012 two
411 instruments were used simultaneously, one kept fixed at T6S and the other exploited as “rover” for
412 measurements at T6 and T6N.

413 DHVPOR histograms show that T6 seems affected by a response directivity approximately N-S
414 oriented, with azimuth range of 170°-180° (**Fig. 8**). This directivity cannot be attributed to noise
415 source properties (e.g. dominant winds shaking trees), because the measurements carried out
416 simultaneously at T6S revealed a weak directivity oriented E-W. Measurement carried out at
417 different times show that the average of H/V peak values along the azimuth of 170° appear
418 consistent and indicate two maxima at frequencies of about 5 and 7.5 Hz (**Fig. 8c**).

419 Conversely, no significant site effect was detected at T6S (**Fig. 9**): indeed, despite the presence of a
420 common preferential orientation of rare polarised signals, the H/V ratios fall very close to the
421 threshold of 2 (**Fig. 9d**). The low H/V ratios could perhaps be related to the location of this site at
422 the margin of the main landslide body, where thickness of the disturbed material is greatly reduced.

423 Some weak evidence of directivity was found at site T6N (**Fig. 10**) with azimuth 120°-130°. The
424 analysis of the mean H/V peak values along this direction (**Fig. 10c**) provided consistent results in
425 two different data acquisitions, showing a maximum of spectral response at frequencies between 8
426 and 13 Hz, even though with modest (around 3) H/V ratios.

427 At present it is unclear what factors may determine the directivity at T6 and, to a minor extent, at
428 T6N. The exact location of the 1627 landslide detachment zone and the main sliding direction are
429 somewhat uncertain (Wasowski et al. 2013). Nevertheless, considering the WSW facing slope
430 geometry, it seems likely that slide movement direction is close to be orthogonal to the response
431 directivity at T6 as indicated by noise analysis. Thus, this case is different from the landslide at
432 CAR2, where directivity is present along the maximum slope direction.

433 Finally, noise measurements were conducted also in an area that is a major source of rockfalls at
434 Caramanico, i.e. at the caprock of the Colle Alto hill. Measurements were carried out in December
435 2011 and May 2012 on two sites, one (T4) on the rim of the caprock with west facing steep scarp,
436 and the other (T4E) a few tens of meters to the east of T4 (**Fig. 1**).

437 Measurements of December 2011 suggested a possible E-W directivity at T4 and, less pronounced,
438 also at T4E (**Figs. 11a-b**), but in the data acquired in May 2012 evidence of such directivity
439 appeared much weaker at T4 and practically absent at T4E (**Figs 11c-d**). However, mean values of
440 H/V peaks oriented along E-W direction seem to indicate that a significant resonance may be
441 present at T4 (**Fig. 12a**), possibly without a pronounced directional character, with maxima of
442 spectral response around 8 and 12 Hz. Moving away from the caprock rim (and the steep scarp), at
443 T4E this resonance appears weaker (**Fig. 12b**), which suggests a possible relation with local
444 topography.

445

446

447 5. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

448 The new results of ambient noise analysis concerning slopes affected by or prone to landslides
449 indicate that they can be characterised by a complex seismic response showing pronounced
450 directional variations. This complexity requires a more sophisticated analysis in order to draw
451 reliable information on site resonance properties. Furthermore, to improve our comprehension of
452 relations between seismic noise signal properties and response under seismic shaking, more ambient
453 noise data ought to be collected, especially at sites where results from seismic ground motion
454 monitoring are available. This is related to a general need to acquire more data from accelerometer
455 stations sited on hillslopes (including potentially unstable slopes). Indeed, the available recordings
456 of actual strong motions affecting slopes are very few and generally limited to the aftershock phases
457 (Wasowski et al. 2011). The tests conducted at the sites of the Caramanico accelerometer network
458 suggest that standard techniques of ambient noise analysis may produce unreliable results under
459 conditions characterised by possible variations of body wave amplification and/or Rayleigh wave
460 ellipticity related to changing site conditions (e.g. seasonal hydrogeological variations). These
461 variations can modify the horizontal-to-vertical spectral ratios of noise, thereby “hiding” important
462 resonance frequencies.

463 Given the variability shown by signal properties, adequate criteria need to be defined to select the
464 portions of the recorded signals that best reflect the site resonance properties. In particular, in a
465 scarcely noisy environment (e.g. far from urbanised zones), a “global” H/V average could be biased
466 by spectral ratios belonging to very weak signals having a low signal/noise ratio: such ratio in this
467 context is to be intended as the ratio between coherent and incoherent part of the noise, where the
468 coherence mainly derives from Rayleigh waves which can provide relevant information on site
469 response characteristics.

470 Importantly, when polarised noise signals are recorded at sites characterised by seismic response
471 directivity, they show a coherent direction of polarisation that is also consistent with maximum
472 resonance direction. Thus, while investigating directional resonance, it is better to analyze an
473 average restricted to the part of noise signal that shows a clear polarisation with a coherent
474 preferential orientation rather than focusing on a global average of total recorded H/V ratios. A
475 simple way to do it consists of averaging H/V relative maxima observed in different time windows
476 along directions of persistent recurrence of significant peaks.

477 The extension of noise analysis below 1 Hz still appears difficult for the strong variability of signal
478 polarisation observed at such frequencies. It is unclear whether this is due to a lack of directivity for
479 the investigated sites at these frequencies or to the superimposition of too many signals arriving
480 from more distant sources of differently polarised noise, as an effect of the weaker attenuation of

low frequencies. Perhaps in this case it will be necessary to adopt a more refined method of selection of useful signal portion, analysing comparatively recordings acquired simultaneously at more sites to filter strongly polarised signals coming from distant sources.

Another open question concerns the identification of factors controlling site response directivity. Our experience shows that, even though this phenomenon is recurrent in landslide areas, no systematic relation was observed in terms of site characteristics or between resonance and slope directions. Among the landslide cases examined here, one (CAR2) shows a directivity parallel to the sliding direction, whereas for the other (T6) the relation with the directions of prominent topographic features remains unclear. Similar tests were also recently conducted in Taiwan on slopes affected by large landslides triggered by the 1999 M 7.6 Chi-Chi earthquake (Del Gaudio et al. in press). In one case (Jufengershan landslide) HVNR values showed evidence of directivity parallel to the slide direction, which also coincides with dip direction of a monoclinical bedding. In the second case (Tsaoling landslide) the sliding direction does not coincide with HVNR maximum direction, even though HVNR values were very high (about 15) along the maximum slope direction as well.

The possible presence of a directional resonance parallel to potential sliding directions is a factor that should be taken into account in evaluating slope susceptibility to seismic failures. For instance, this can be done in a regional scale hazard assessment, following empirical approach proposed by Jibson (2007) to evaluate Newmark's permanent displacement. Accordingly, one can estimate that an increase of ground motion by 50% along potential sliding direction implies a median increase of Newmark's displacement by a factor of 5, thus noticeably modifying the outcome of hazard assessment.

On the whole, the analysis of ambient noise seems very useful because, through low cost investigations, it can lead to the detection of directional resonance phenomena and to the recognition of their orientations. Although a simple examination of azimuthal variation of mean H/V spectral ratios in a single station may not be sufficient to identify directional resonance properties of a site, a comparison between simultaneous recordings at nearby sites under different geological conditions can resolve the question whether directivity revealed by HVNR measurements is site specific or is due to the noise source. Furthermore, for a correct identification of main resonance frequencies, more advanced signal analysis is needed including a proper selection of portions of noise recordings that are most representative of site response properties. In this context it is useful to compare recordings obtained at different times and under different seasonal conditions to recognise persistent site specific properties of ground vibration.

514 Finally, considering efforts aimed at an approximate quantification of spectral amplification factors,
515 it seems that their success can in some cases depend on specific site conditions. In particular, where
516 the vertical component of ground motion is not amplified, the H/V peak values appear to
517 approximate well mean amplification factors. The presence of a strong variability of H/V peak
518 values among measurements carried out at different times could result from changing site
519 conditions. In such a case numerical modelling of slopes could perhaps help to provide constraints
520 on amplification estimates, but this implies the acquisition of detailed (and typically costly) data on
521 geometrical-physical characteristic of slope materials.

522

523 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

524 We thank Alessandro Pasuto (CNR – IRPI, Padova) for lending us one tromograph Tromino for the
525 noise recordings of May 2011 and an anonymous reviewer for helpful comments.

526

527 **REFERENCES**

528 Albarello D, Lunedei E (2009) Alternative interpretations of horizontal to vertical spectral ratios of
529 ambient vibrations: new insights from theoretical modeling. *Bull. Earthquake Eng.*, 8:519–534 doi:
530 10.1007/s10518-009-9110-0.

531 Asten MW (2004) Comment on "Microtremor observations of deep sediment resonance in
532 metropolitan Memphis, Tennessee", by Paul Bodin, Kevin Smith, Steve Horton and Howard Hwang.
533 *Engineering Geology*, 72, 343–349.

534 Bard PY (coordinator) and The SESAME Team (2004) Guidelines for the implementation of the
535 H/V spectral ratio technique on ambient vibrations: measurements, processing and interpretation.
536 SESAME European research project, WP12 – Deliverable D23.12, http://sesame-fp5.obs.ujf-grenoble.fr/Papers/HV_User_Guidelines.pdf.

538 Bonnefoy-Claudet S, Cotton F, Bard P-Y (2006) The nature of seismic noise wavefield and its
539 implications for site effects studies – A literature review. *Earth Science Reviews*, 79, 205–227.

540 Borchardt RD (1970) Effects of local geology on ground motion near San Francisco Bay. *Bull*
541 *Seism. Soc. Amer.*, 60 (1), 29–61.

542 Bourdeau C, Havenith H-B (2008) Site effects modeling applied to the slope affected by the
543 Suusamy earthquake (Kyrgyzstan, 1992). *Engineering Geology*, 97, 126–145.

544 Bozzano F, Lenti L, Martino M, Paciello A, Scarascia Mugnozza G (2008) Self-excitation process
 545 due to local seismic amplification responsible for the reactivation of the Salcito landslide (Italy) on
 546 31 October 2002. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **113**, B10312, doi:10.1029/2007JB005309.

547 Bromirski PD, Duennebier FK, Stephen RA (2005) Mid-ocean microseisms. *Geochem. Geophys.*
 548 *Geosy.*, 6 (4), Q04009, doi:10.1029/2004GC000768.

549 Castellaro S, Mulargia F (2009) VS₃₀ Estimates Using Constrained H/V Measurements. *Bulletin of*
 550 *Seismological Society of America*, **99** (2A), 761–773.

551 Coccia S, Del Gaudio V, Venisti N, Wasowski J, (2010) Application of Refraction Microtremor
 552 (ReMi) technique for determination of 1-D shear wave velocity in a landslide area. *J. Appl.*
 553 *Geophys.*, 71, 71-89, doi:10.1016/j.jappgeo.2010.05.001.

554 Del Gaudio V, Wasowski J. (2007) Directivity of slope dynamic response to seismic shaking.
 555 *Geophysical Research Letters*, **34**, L12301, Doi: 10.1029/GL029842.

556 Del Gaudio V, Wasowski J (2011) Advances and problems in understanding the seismic response
 557 of potentially unstable slopes. *Eng. Geol.*, 122, 73-83.

558 Del Gaudio V, Coccia S, Wasowski J, Gallipoli MR, Mucciarelli M (2008) Detection of directivity
 559 in seismic site response from microtremor spectral analysis. *Natural Hazards and Earth System*
 560 *Sciences*, 8, 751-762.

561 Del Gaudio V, Lee CT, Wasowski J (in press). Inferring seismic response of landslide-prone slopes
 562 from microtremor study. *Proceedings of the Second World Landslide Forum*, Rome, 3-7 October,
 563 2011.

564 Gallipoli MR, Mucciarelli M (2007) Effetti direzionali in registrazioni sismometriche in aree in
 565 frana e bordi di bacino. *XXVI GNGTS Conference*, Rome, 13-15 November 2007,
 566 http://www2.ogs.trieste.it/gngts/gngts/convegniprecedenti/2007/presentazioni/2_22/2_22_14_Gallipoli_frane.pdf.
 567

568 Garambois S, Quintero A, Massey C, Voisin C (2010) Azimuthal and thickness variabilities of
 569 seismic site effect response of the Utiku landslide (North Island, New-Zealand). *EGU General*
 570 *Assembly 2010*, Vienna, 2-7 May, 2010, *Geophysical Research Abstracts*, vol. 12, EGU2010-2430.

571 Harp EL, Wilson RC, Wieczorek GF (1981) Landslides from the February 4, 1976, Guatemala
 572 earthquake. USGS Professional Paper 1204-A, 40 pages.

573 Harp EL, Jibson RW (2002) Anomalous concentrations of seismically triggered rock falls in
574 Paicoma Canyon: are they caused by highly susceptible slopes or local amplification of seismic
575 shaking?. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, **92** (8), 3180– 3189.

576 Haubrich RA, Munk WH, Snodgrass FE (1963) Comparative spectra of microseism and swell.
577 *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, **53** (1), 27–37.

578 Jibson RW (2007) Regression models for estimating coseismic landslide displacement. *Engineering*
579 *Geology*, 91, 209-218.

580 Lermo J, Chávez-García FJ (1994) Are microtremors useful in site response evaluation?. *Bulletin of*
581 *the Seismological Society of America*, **84** (5), 1350-1364.

582 Meunier P, Hovius N, Haines JA (2008) Topographic site effects and the location of earthquake
583 induced landslides. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, **275**, 221-232.

584 Moore JR, Gischig V, Burjanek J, Loew S, Fäh D (2011) Site Effects in Unstable Rock Slopes:
585 Dynamic Behavior of the Randa Instability (Switzerland). *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of*
586 *America*, **101** (6), 3110–3116.

587 Nakamura Y (1989) A method for dynamic characteristics estimation of subsurface using
588 microtremors on the ground surface. *Quarterly Report Railway Tech. Res. Inst.*, 30, 25–30.

589 Nogoshi M, Igarashi T (1971) On the amplitude characteristics of microtremor (part 2) (in Japanese
590 with English abstract). *Jour. Seism. Soc. Japan*, 24, 26-40.

591 Peterson J (1993) Observation and modeling of background seismic noise. US Geol. Surv. Open-
592 File Rept. 93-322, Albuquerque.

593 Schimmel M, Stutzmann E, Arduin F, Gallart J (2011) Polarized Earth's ambient microseismic
594 noise. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosy.*, 12 (7), Q07014, doi:10.1029/2011GC003661.

595 Sepúlveda SA, Murphy W, Jibson RW, Petley D. N., (2005) Seismically induced rock slope failures
596 resulting from topographic amplification of strong ground motions: The case of Pacoima Canyon
597 California. *Engineering Geology*, **80**, 336-348.

598 Tanimoto (2007) Excitation of microseisms. *Geophys. Res. Let.*, vol. 34, L05308,
599 doi:10.1029/2006GL029046.

600 Tuan TT, Scherbaum F, Malischewsky PG (2011) On the relationship of peaks and troughs of the
601 ellipticity (H/V) of Rayleigh waves and the transmission response of single layer over half-space
602 models. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 184, 793–800.

603 Wasowski J (1997) Reactivation of a deep slope movement due to the interruption of spring water
604 withdrawal: case history of the Case Mancini landslide, Italy. *Proceedings of the 2nd Panamerican*
605 *Symposium on Landslides*, Novembre 1997, Rio de Janeiro, Brasile, 1: 145-154.

606 Wasowski J (1998) Understanding landslide-rainfall relationships in man-modified environments: a
607 case history from Caramanico Terme (Italy). *Environmental Geology*, 35: 197-209.

608 Wasowski J, Del Gaudio V (2000) Evaluating seismically-induced mass movement hazard in
609 Caramanico Terme (Italy). *Engineering Geology*, 58 (3/4), 291-311.

610 Wasowski J, Del Gaudio V, Casarano D, Lollino P, Muscillo S (2013) Local scale seismic landslide
611 susceptibility assessment based on historic earthquake records combined with accelerometer
612 monitoring and ambient noise data. In: Ugai K., Yagi H. Wakai A. (editors), “*Earthquake-Induced*
613 *Landslides*”, *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Earthquake-Induced Landslides*,
614 *Kiryu (Japan)*, 7-9 November, 2012, Springer, 11-20, ISBN: 978-3-642-32237-2, 11-20, doi:
615 10:1007/978-3-642-32238-9_2..

616 Wasowski J, Lee C, Keefer D (2011) Toward the next generation of research on earthquake
617 induced landslides: Current issues and future challenges, *Engineering Geology*, **122**(No 1-2), 1-8.
618 doi:10.1016/j.enggeo.2011.06.001.

619

620 **FIGURE CAPTIONS**

621 **Fig. 1** - Geographic location of the Caramanico test area (inset) and DEM showing lithology and
622 measurement sites (modified after Del Gaudio & Wasowski, 2011). White continuous and dashed
623 lines mark, respectively, lithological contacts and boundaries of investigated landslides; two
624 possible source areas for the 1627 landslide are indicated. CAR1-5 mark the location of the
625 accelerometer stations (reference station CAR4, located 2.5 km SE of Caramanico, is not shown);
626 T4, T4E, T6, T6N, T6S and T7 indicate the additional sites of HVNR measurements discussed in
627 the paper.

628 **Fig. 2** - Azimuthal variation of SSR values obtained for the sites of the accelerometer stations
629 CAR2 (a) and CAR3 (b), averaging spectral ratios calculated for 23 and 15 seismic events,
630 respectively, in comparison to the reference site CAR4. Vertical bars show the SSR values relative
631 to vertical component.

632 **Fig. 3** - Azimuthal variation of HVNR values at the sites CAR2 (a) and CAR3 (b) from noise
633 measurements carried out on July 2007 using a tromograph.

634 **Fig. 4** - Histograms of DHVPOR (Directional H/V Peak Occurrence Rate) values obtained for noise
635 measurements carried out at site CAR2 in 2007 with the tromograph (a), in 2010 with the broad-
636 band sensor (b) and in 2011 using simultaneously the tromograph (c) and the broad-band sensor (d).
637 The colours represent, according to the reported scale, the average of H/V peak values in recording
638 time windows along different azimuths and within 0.5 Hz frequency intervals.

639 **Fig. 5** - Histograms of DHVPOR values obtained for noise measurements carried out using
640 tromographs in 2007 (a and b) and in 2010 (c and d) at sites CAR1 and CAR5, respectively.

641 **Fig. 6** - Diagram of spectral ratios along a direction characterised by a high recurrence of significant
642 directional maximum at site CAR2 (a) and CAR3 (b). Thin solid line represents the SSR values
643 obtained along the azimuths specified in legend; other lines represent mean values of noise H/V
644 peaks having the same directions and frequencies binned by 0.5 Hz intervals, resulting from
645 different HVNR measurements (see legend); thick solid line represents the average of noise H/V
646 peak values derived from the HVNR measurements.

647 **Fig. 7** - Results of noise measurements at sites T7 (Ischio landslide): a) mean HVNR diagram; b)
648 DHVPOR histogram.

649 **Fig. 8** - Results of noise measurement at site T6 (1627 landslide): DHVPOR histograms relative to
650 measurements of May 2011 (a) and January 2012 (b) and mean values of H/V peaks oriented due

651 N170°; thick solid line represents the average of noise H/V peak values derived from different
652 measurements.

653 **Fig. 9** - Results of noise measurement at site T6S (1627 landslide): DHVPOR histograms relative to
654 measurements of January (a, b) and May 2012 (c) and mean values of H/V peaks oriented due
655 N90°; thick solid line represents the average of noise H/V peak values derived from different
656 measurements. Note that the first of the two January measurements was simultaneous with that at
657 site T6 (Fig. 8b), whereas the second one was simultaneous with that at sites T6N (Fig. 10a).

658 **Fig. 10** - Results of noise measurement at site T6N (1627 landslide): DHVPOR histograms relative
659 to measurements of January (a) and May (b) 2012 and mean values of H/V peaks oriented due
660 N130°; thick solid line represents the average of noise H/V peak values derived from different
661 measurements.

662 **Fig. 11** - Histograms of DHVPOR values relative to noise measurements carried out at sites T4 and
663 T4E (Colle Alto Hill) on December 2011 and May 2012.

664 **Fig. 12** - Mean values of H/V peaks oriented due N90° resulting from noise measurements at sites
665 T4 (a) and T4E (b), on the rim of the megabreccia scarp; thick solid line represents the average of
666 noise H/V peak values derived from different measurements.

667