

Interactive comment on “Review “Structural flood-protection measures referring to several European case studies”” by A. Kryžanowski et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 7 June 2013

The article by Kryzanowski et al. handles a very interesting topic and I am convinced that it has quite some potential. I would really like to see it published. Unfortunately, at its current state, the article is not well organized and partly quite confusing, and thus needs thorough editing. Please see the specific comments below for examples. The comparison of the different structural measures established in the study sites could be further developed. I think the contribution would considerably benefit from an improved coordination of the provided information (e.g. technical data is only given for the Vienna and Bratislava case studies in Tables 1 and 2). The English language usage throughout the manuscript can be improved, too. The authors should try to formulate short, clear and well-arranged sentences. Also, I think that the manuscript would benefit from editing by a native-English speaker. That said, I think that the article represents a nice

C300

review of structural measures that were taken to cope with flood events in a variety of different environments. If the authors can improve the structure and organization of the individual case studies (Description – Structural measures – Experience) and add some details to the provided information (e.g. regarding past floods, the sequence of measures taken in the study areas), this manuscript should be suitable for publication in NHESS.

(see supplementary pdf file for Specific comments and Technical corrections)

Please also note the supplement to this comment:

<http://www.nat-hazards-earth-syst-sci-discuss.net/1/C300/2013/nhessd-1-C300-2013-supplement.pdf>

Interactive comment on Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 1, 247, 2013.