



Interactive
Comment

Interactive comment on “Electrical resistivity tomography for studying liquefaction induced by the May 2012 Emilia-Romagna earthquake ($M_w = 6.1$, North Italy)” by A. Giocoli et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 28 November 2013

Authors collected the data for resistivity tomography using three different arrays: why they did not perform a cumulative inversion of all gathered data? This would have help resolution. Line 2 and following of page 5550: I do not understand why FCU is characterized by >15 Ohm.m values, while it is composed of “medium to fine gray sands”. In alluvial ambient, this formation, when saturated, is generally characterized by much greater values (usually around 50 Ohm.m and more). Ranges selected by authors are confusing. On the other hand, from figs. 3 and 4 much greater values of resistivity pertaining to FCU are inferred (>30 Ohm.m). Moreover, by watching the same figures and calibrating direct information added, I argue that MU unit (essentially

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



clays, locally with peat added) is characterized by resistivities lower than 15 Ohm.m. While authors are cautious throughout the text, in Conclusions they claim that “ERT has proved to be an effective reconnaissance technique for characterizing the subsoil affected by coseismic liquefaction, providing valuable data for understanding the liquefaction phenomenon and assessing the associated hazard”: I do not understand where and how ERT provided “valuable data for understanding the liquefaction phenomenon and assessing the associated hazard”. The contribution of ERT to resolve MFGS is nil, both because of the intermediate resistivity values of this formation between FCU and MU lithologies and of its relatively small thickness as compared to its depth. This is an at least partly unsatisfying, although relatively well understood, result.

Interactive comment on Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 1, 5545, 2013.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

