Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 1, C1526–C1527, 2013 www.nat-hazards-earth-syst-sci-discuss.net/1/C1526/2013/ © Author(s) 2013. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.





Interactive Comment

## *Interactive comment on* "Recent trends in daily temperature extremes over southern Montenegro (1951–2010)" by D. Burić et al.

## Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 9 December 2013

This study deals with assessing recent trends in daily temperature extremes over southern Montenegro for the period 1951-2010. It is a short and clear study using well-defined a statistical test and methodology. There are, though, some improvements that need to be made.

<Major comments>: 1. There are several instances when it is mentioned that there was a 'well-known climate shift in the late 1970s'. I would like to see some justification on that, even if this is taken from relevant studies. 2. A similar to my first comment applies to the explanation/justification of the trends found in this study. It would be interesting/useful to discuss what might have caused the trends identified. 3. Although this is a study of the recent trends in daily temperature extremes, it would be interesting to show a figure with the timeseries of the actual temperature (i.e. mean daily temperature).





ature) over the period of 60 years. However, this is up to the authors to implement or not.

<Minor comments>: page 5182, I.3: explain ETCCD I.11: "The two separately...." page 5183, I. 1: Rodriguez-Puebla et al., 2009 I. 6: Toreti and Desiato (2008) I. 9: the definition 'tropical nights' is used here and in one more instance, but it is not explained anywhere how this is defined. page 5184, I.22: "...a version of MASHv3.02 ..." page 5185, I.13: "..Vincent and Mekis, 2006)." I.26: "...estimation...." page 5186, I.12: "...lo-cal climate effects..." I.23: Could you provide some explanation for the variance between the two studies? page 5188, I.12: Could you elaborate slightly more on Tn90p? You mention global results, so give some reference on those. Table 1: Better use 2.0, 10.0 Table 3: Mention units (degrees Celsius?) Figure 2: the legend would better be "Tm in 2003" or "Tm in year 2003"

Interactive comment on Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 1, 5181, 2013.

## **NHESSD**

1, C1526–C1527, 2013

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

**Printer-friendly Version** 

Interactive Discussion

**Discussion Paper** 

