Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 1, C1526–C1527, 2013 www.nat-hazards-earth-syst-sci-discuss.net/1/C1526/2013/

© Author(s) 2013. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



Interactive comment on "Recent trends in daily temperature extremes over southern Montenegro (1951–2010)" by D. Burić et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 9 December 2013

This study deals with assessing recent trends in daily temperature extremes over southern Montenegro for the period 1951-2010. It is a short and clear study using well-defined a statistical test and methodology. There are, though, some improvements that need to be made.

<Major comments>: 1. There are several instances when it is mentioned that there was a 'well-known climate shift in the late 1970s'. I would like to see some justification on that, even if this is taken from relevant studies. 2. A similar to my first comment applies to the explanation/justification of the trends found in this study. It would be interesting/useful to discuss what might have caused the trends identified. 3. Although this is a study of the recent trends in daily temperature extremes, it would be interesting to show a figure with the timeseries of the actual temperature (i.e. mean daily temper-C1526

ature) over the period of 60 years. However, this is up to the authors to implement or not.

<Minor comments>: page 5182, I.3: explain ETCCD I.11: "The two separately...." page 5183, I. 1: Rodriguez-Puebla et al., 2009 I. 6: Toreti and Desiato (2008) I. 9: the definition 'tropical nights' is used here and in one more instance, but it is not explained anywhere how this is defined. page 5184, I.22: "...a version of MASHv3.02 ..." page 5185, I.13: "..Vincent and Mekis, 2006)." I.26: "...estimation...." page 5186, I.12: "...local climate effects..." I.23: Could you provide some explanation for the variance between the two studies? page 5188, I.12: Could you elaborate slightly more on Tn90p? You mention global results, so give some reference on those. Table 1: Better use 2.0, 10.0 Table 3: Mention units (degrees Celsius?) Figure 2: the legend would better be "Tm in 2003" or "Tm in year 2003"

Interactive comment on Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 1, 5181, 2013.