

Interactive comment on “Road assessment after flood events using non-authoritative data” by E. Schnebele et al.

Eng. Traverso (Referee)

stefania.traverso@cimafoundation.org

Received and published: 17 October 2013

The manuscript represents a good contribution to the study of consequences due to natural hazards, and it deals with new concepts of great interest for the geospatial global community.

The scientific approach seems valid, but formulas and results have to be described in details.

Scientific assumptions related to: 3.2 Non-authoritative damage assessment; 3.3 Integration with authoritative data; 3.4 Generation of road damage map; are not clearly outlined with specifications of the methodology that was applied. Please describe in depth each step of flowchart Fig.3.

C1447

The length of the paper is a bit too short for a complete description of the process, please describe better chapter 4 and underline classes that were assumed for values of road damage map.

Please consider the word "damage" as uncountable name with the exception of legal contexts or claims for money.

In conclusion, I recommend to accept this paper after minor improvements focused to an in-depth comprehension of the work.

Interactive comment on Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 1, 4155, 2013.

C1448