

This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences (NHESS). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in NHESS if available.

Stochastic relation between anomalous propagation in the line-of-sight VHF radio band and occurrences of earthquakes

K. Motojima and N. Haga

Gunma University, Kiryu Gunma, Japan

Received: 22 March 2013 – Accepted: 9 November 2013 – Published: 29 November 2013

Correspondence to: K. Motojima (motojima@el.gunma-u.ac.jp)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

Anomalous VHF radio propagation before earthquakes

K. Motojima and N. Haga

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Abstract

This paper was intended to find out any relation between anomalous line-of-sight propagation on the VHF band and occurrences of earthquakes near the VHF propagation paths. The television and FM radio broadcasting waves on the VHF band were monitored continuously over the long term. For that purpose, a multidirectional VHF band monitoring system was established and utilized. Anomalous line-of-sight propagation on the VHF band was distinguished from the monitored wave by using a statistical analysis. After the stochastic consideration, it was found out that earthquakes associated with anomalous propagation were characterized by magnitude of earthquakes $M \geq 4.5$, and distances from epicenters $L \leq 75$ km. The anomalous propagation was monitored on the VHF band a few days earlier the associated earthquakes occurred. Moreover, the anomaly appeared on multidirectional propagation paths simultaneously. The anomaly on the line-of-sight propagation indicates possibility of narrow focusing the area of epicenter of earthquake.

1 Introduction

Short-term earthquake prediction is one of the most important research tasks for disaster prevention in a country with frequent earthquakes like Japan. There have been reported many geophysical electromagnetic phenomena associated with seismicity, and most reports can be classified into two groups; direct or indirect observations. As one of the direct observations, low-frequency magnetic waves from earthquake hypocenter were measured for earthquake predictions (Smith et al., 1990). The observations indicate that the background noise had been increased a few weeks prior to the corresponding earthquake (Hayakawa et al., 1996a), and these ULF noises might be resulted from microfracturing progression in the lithosphere. As for indirect observations, anomalous propagation in VLF or upper band was suggested as a promising candidate for earthquake prediction, because any disturbance at the bottom of ionosphere causes

NHESSD

1, 6829–6848, 2013

Anomalous VHF radio propagation before earthquakes

K. Motojima and N. Naga

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Anomalous VHF radio propagation before earthquakes

K. Motojima and N. Naga

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



anomalous propagation of the radio waves. Remarkable data have been reported on VLF Omega propagation signal from Tsushima Japan to Inubo Japan in January 1995 (Hayakawa et al., 1996b). The phenomenon was the signal amplitude (and/or phase) variations around sunrise and sunset times and appeared a few days prior to the Kobe Japan earthquake which occurred on 17 January 1995. The anomalous propagation data can be explained in terms of fall of ionospheric bottom (Molchanov and Hayakawa, 1998).

In the meanwhile, some researchers observed the FM radio waves on the VHF band in Japan. They reported that anomalous propagation from over-horizon FM transmitter signals were observed. The anomaly seemed to be associated with earthquakes (Kushida and Kushida, 2002). Other researchers inferred that the anomalous propagation was influenced by the perturbation in the troposphere. They considered that the perturbed region was within a radius of 100 km from the epicenters of earthquakes (Yonaiguchi et al., 2007).

The purpose of this paper is to find out any relation between anomalous line-of-sight propagation on the VHF band and occurrences of earthquakes. Waves from FM radio and TV broadcasting stations within the line-of-sight region had been observed continuously over the long term. The main target of transmitting stations was placed at Tokyo in Japan. An observation point has been set at Kiryu, which is located about 90 km north from Tokyo. Therefore, the observation point is placed near the outer edge of the line-of-sight range, not over-the-horizon. To find out the relation between the anomalous propagation and seismicity, we adopted statistical analysis to the observational results and calculated the probability gain which is the ratio of the observational probability to the non-related probability. These are further distinguished from the previous reports (Fujiwara et al., 2004; Fukumoto et al., 2002; Yonaiguchi et al., 2007).

2 Multidirectional VHF band monitoring system

The purpose of this paper is to find out any relation between anomalous line-of-sight propagation on the VHF band and occurrences of earthquakes near the propagation paths. We intended to explore the relation, so that a multidirectional VHF band monitoring system was established. The original feature of the monitoring system is a line-of-sight observation method in which the VHF radio band propagation from multidirectional line-of-sight broadcast stations is monitored. The monitoring system is placed in Kiryu Japan (36°25'26" N, 139°20'58" E), which is located about 90 km north from Tokyo. Map positions of Kiryu, Tokyo and the FM radio and TV broadcasting stations, are described in Fig. 1. The path-lengths from each target broadcasting station to monitoring point (Kiryu), station names and broadcasting frequencies are listed as Table 1.

In the troposphere the refractive index is known to affect the line-of-sight propagation on the VHF radio wave directly. The range of line-of-sight propagation can be estimated by using following equation:

$$d = \sqrt{2kR} \left(\sqrt{h_{TX}} + \sqrt{h_{RX}} \right) \quad (1)$$

where d is the range scale of line-of-sight from the transmitting point, kR is the effective Earth-radius. The normal atmosphere has the coefficient of effective Earth-radius, $k = 4/3$. The h_{TX} and h_{RX} are the height of transmitting and receiving antennas above sea level, respectively.

Kiryu is located near the outer edges of the line-of-sight ranges from transmitting stations. Therefore, the refractive index has affected the strength of received waves directly. It means that the receiving point in Kiryu has high sensitivity for anomalous propagation in the troposphere.

For long-term observation an automatic and around-the-clock monitoring system consists of multiple antennas, an automatic antenna selector, a spectrum analyzer for radio receiver, a PC for monitoring data storage and a web server for data release. In this monitoring system four 5-elements Yagi antennas are used for the multidirectional

NHESSD

1, 6829–6848, 2013

Anomalous VHF radio propagation before earthquakes

K. Motojima and N. Naga

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



stations. Each antenna is horizontally orientated to each direction, north, south, east and west, they are installed on the roof of a five-story building. The spectrum analyzer has a role of receiver, which is required wideband and high sensitive monitor. The automatic antenna selector switches the spectrum analyzer to the antenna oriented to target transmitting station. Data storage PC acquires the receiving signal strength every thirty seconds. Each raw data of the received broadcasting wave is graphed and uploaded onto the web server at thirty minute intervals, then the graphed data can be always visited on the internet. Schematic block diagram of the monitoring system is described in Fig. 2.

3 Anomalous line-of-sight propagation on the VHF band

In other studies the VHF radio waves from over-the-horizon stations were monitored, reception itself means occurrences of anomalous propagation. On the other hand we monitored the line-of-sight propagation wave on the VHF band. Transmitted wave can reach normally into the line-of-sight coverage, so discrimination rule for detection of anomalous propagation was required. For the purpose a certain statistical process was adopted. The data process method is following.

1. Received wave has short-time fluctuation and random noise. In order to avoid the influence of them, moving averaged values (twenty-minute window) were calculated as observed data.
2. Even the line-of-sight propagation was affected by a diurnal variation on the VHF band. Received waves on the ordinary propagation become weaker in daytime than in night time. The reason is that sunlight promotes the atmospheric convection, which decreases the difference in atmospheric refractive index between the surface and the upper air. In order to reduce the diurnal variation, a statistical analysis was performed separately for each specific time slot in a day. A day was divided into 72 time slots, each one has twenty-minute period, for example,

Anomalous VHF radio propagation before earthquakes

K. Motojima and N. Naga

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



00:00–00:20 LT, 00:20–00:40 LT, 00:40–01:00 LT and so on. Mean values (m) and standard deviations (σ) of observed data were separately calculated for each time slot through the observing period.

3. In order to distinguish anomalous observed data from normal ones, we considered the data exceeded beyond $m \pm 3\sigma$ as the anomalous data. If strength of the propagated wave follows a normal distribution, the probability of exceeding beyond 3σ from the mean value m is about 0.27%. It was confirmed through the observing period that the reception data in dBm for each wave had the normal distribution approximately. When the anomalous data over the $m \pm 3\sigma$ lasted 30 min or more, we recognized that the anomalous propagation occurred.

Using above process for received wave, we could discriminate the anomalous propagation from normal ones in the line-of-sight propagation on the VHF band.

A temporal evolution which was included an anomalous propagation is shown as Fig. 3, the upper and lower panel are the received analog TV signal strength on the VHF band, $f = 193.25$ MHz, 205.25 MHz, respectively. In the upper panel, the anomaly appeared at midnight on 16 August 2007, maximum dip below the $m - 6\sigma$ level occurred at 23:15 LT. Such a low signal strength remained rare event. In the lower panel, same anomaly occurred simultaneously on the different frequency. About forty hours later the associated two earthquakes occurred at 13:36 LT and 16:55 LT on 18 August magnitude 4.5 and 5.2, both were centered within 75 km from the propagation path, time of occurrences are indicated in dashed lines.

4 Relationship between anomalous propagation and occurrences of earthquakes

The waves of analog TV video signals on the VHF band had been measured for 1155 days starting from 1 February 2007 to 31 March 2010. Now, they had gone off-air

Anomalous VHF radio propagation before earthquakes

K. Motojima and N. Naga

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



because of migrating over to digital broadcast on UHF band. The multidirectional observation on the FM broadcast waves has started from 8 October 2010, it is ongoing observation.

We defined the successive occurrence of anomalous propagation and earthquake generation within a short period of time as “occurrence of anomalous propagation associated with earthquake.” However, even if there were no relation between the anomalous propagation and earthquake, both just happened to occur in a short term. Therefore, we estimated the unrelated probability $P_{\text{unrel}}(t_{\text{per}})$ of the successive occurrences between the occurrence of anomalous propagation and earthquake under no relation. The probability can be calculated by using the following equation:

$$P_{\text{unrel}}(t_{\text{per}}) = 1 - \left(\frac{T_{\text{all}} - t_{\text{per}}}{T_{\text{all}}} \right)^{N_{\text{eq}}} \quad (2)$$

where t_{per} is the defined length of time period associated anomalous propagation with earthquake, T_{all} is the amount of observing time ($T_{\text{all}} = 1155$ days for analog TV wave observation), and N_{eq} is the number of earthquake occurrences during the whole observation period. Accordingly, the unrelated probability $P_{\text{unrel}}(t_{\text{per}})$ depends on the defined length of time t_{per} .

On the other hand, the observational probability $P_{\text{obs}}(t_{\text{per}})$ of occurrence of anomalous propagation associated with earthquake can be obtained from the observation result. It is based on real events and is calculated by the following equation:

$$P_{\text{obs}}(t_{\text{per}}) = \frac{N_{\text{obs}}(t_{\text{per}})}{N_{\text{anom}}} \quad (3)$$

where $N_{\text{obs}}(t_{\text{per}})$ is the number of occurrences of anomalous propagation associated with earthquakes in the length of time period t_{per} , N_{anom} is the number of occurrences of anomalous propagation during the whole observation period T_{all} ($N_{\text{anom}} = 33$ on the analog TV video wave). If the observational probability $P_{\text{obs}}(t_{\text{per}})$ shows comparable to

Anomalous VHF radio propagation before earthquakes

K. Motojima and N. Naga

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



the unrelated probability $P_{\text{unrel}}(t_{\text{per}})$, it means that there may be no relation between the anomalous propagation and earthquake.

The number of occurrences of anomalous propagation associated with earthquakes $N_{\text{obs}}(t_{\text{per}})$ depends on the length of time period t_{per} , because the longer time period t_{per} makes the more anomalous propagation identified as “occurrence of anomalous propagation associated with earthquake.” In addition, we propose a new concept of the probability gain $PG(t_{\text{per}})$ for estimating the relationship between the anomalous propagation and earthquake. The probability gain $PG(t_{\text{per}})$ is the ratio of the observational probability $P_{\text{obs}}(t_{\text{per}})$ to the unrelated probability $P_{\text{unrel}}(t_{\text{per}})$, it can be obtained as follows.

$$PG(t_{\text{per}}) = \frac{P_{\text{obs}}(t_{\text{per}})}{P_{\text{unrel}}(t_{\text{per}})} \quad (4)$$

If the $PG(t_{\text{per}})$ closes to one, it means that there may be no relation between the anomalous propagation and earthquake.

We estimated the probability gains $PG(t_{\text{per}})$ for the varied time period t_{per} carefully. As the result of it, we reached a conclusion that the probability gain $PG(t_{\text{per}})$ became maximum value at the length of time period $t_{\text{per}} = 2$ days. In the following subsections the probability gains $PG(t_{\text{per}} = 2 \text{ days})$ are shown with respect to magnitude of earthquakes and distances between epicenters and propagation path.

4.1 Probability gain PG with respect to the magnitude of earthquakes

Table 2 shows the relation between magnitude of earthquakes and the probability gain $PG(t_{\text{per}} = 2 \text{ days})$. The result indicates that the larger magnitude of earthquake had the more associated with the anomalous propagation. Especially, when the magnitude of earthquakes were larger than 4.5, they had strong relation with anomalous propagation.

Anomalous VHF radio propagation before earthquakes

K. Motojima and N. Naga

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



4.2 Probability gain PG with respect to distances of epicenters to the propagation path

We considered the positional relation between the epicenter location and the propagation path. Therefore, the perpendicular distance from epicenters to the propagation path was defined as a distance L . Equidistant curve from the propagation path of Tokyo-tower to Kiryu is shown as an example of $L = 75$ km in Fig. 4.

Table 3 shows the relation between the distance L and the probability gain PG ($t_{\text{per}} = 2$ days). The result indicates that the closer epicenters to the propagation path had the more associated with the anomalous propagation. Earthquakes about 150 km away from the propagation path had little relation to the anomalous propagation.

4.3 Synchronism detection of anomalous propagation in the multidirectional FM broadcasting waves

Figures 5 and 6 are the observational result in the multidirectional FM waves, which were incoming from four different transmitting stations, Tokyo-tower, Miyama, Hirano-hara and Tsubameyama stations, as shown in Fig. 1. Black solid lines indicate the received signal strengths. Three gray lines mean an upper limit of ordinary propagation ($m + 3\sigma$), mean value (m) and a lower limit of it ($m - 3\sigma$) in order from top. They were statistically derived from the long term observational data.

Figure 5 shows the temporal evolutions on 28 to 30 April 2012. The received signal strengths from Tokyo-tower and Miyama station had two obvious anomalies occurred close to same time, from 10 p.m. on 28 April to 11 a.m. on 29, and from 7 p.m. on 29 April to 6 a.m. on 30. Both anomalies lasted half a day. The other two waves from Hirano-hara and Tsubameyama stations had short time anomaly at 23:30 LT on 28 April. During the second simultaneous anomalies, an earthquake occurred at 19:28 LT on 29 April 2012, 5.8 magnitude, epicenter location ($35^{\circ}42' \text{ N}$, $140^{\circ}36' \text{ E}$). The anomalous propagation on above data seemed to be a precursor of the earthquake.

NHESSD

1, 6829–6848, 2013

Anomalous VHF radio propagation before earthquakes

K. Motojima and N. Naga

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Anomalous VHF radio propagation before earthquakes

K. Motojima and N. Naga

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Figure 6 shows another anomalous propagation which occurred on 27 to 30 August 2011. The received signal strengths from Tokyo-tower and Miyama station had obvious anomalies. And the waves from Hiranohara and Tsubameyama stations had weakly anomalies. It indicated a similar tendency of the observation in Fig. 5. An earthquake happened subsequent to this anomaly in several days later. It occurred at 18:32 LT on 31 August 2011, 4.6 magnitude earthquake, centered in northern Tokyo Bay, (35°33' N, 140°05' E). The anomalous propagation may be the precursor of the earthquake.

5 Summary

In this paper, the relation between anomalous line-of-sight propagation on the VHF band and occurrences of earthquakes was investigated by using the statistical analysis. For that purpose, the multidirectional VHF band monitoring system was established, and the line-of-sight VHF waves had been monitored for a long term. In order to estimate the relation between the two, the new conception of the probability gain was introduced. As the results of observation for over three years and the stochastic consideration, we found out the relation between anomalous line-of-sight propagation and earthquakes. Especially, earthquakes associated with anomalous propagation were characterized by magnitude of earthquakes $M \geq 4.5$, and distances from epicenters $L \leq 75$ km. The event probability of the line-of-sight propagation increased just a few days prior to earthquakes categorized by the above. Moreover, synchronism detection of anomalous propagation in the multidirectional FM broadcasting waves was shown. The anomalies associated with earthquakes sometimes occurred almost at the same time on the plural radio waves via different pathways. These phenomena have the possibility of narrow focusing the area of epicenter of earthquake.

The important relation between the two could be found, but it is not yet ready to be accepted as a fact. Additionally we have to elucidate the mechanism between the anomalous propagation and occurrences of earthquakes. These are considered to be

our future works, and so the observation and the analysis should be continued extensively in future as well.

References

- 5 Fujiwara, H., Kamogara, M., Ikeda, M., Liu, J. Y., Sakata, H., Chen, Y. I., Ofurton, H., Muramatsu, S., Chuo, Y. J., and Ohtsuki, Y. H.: Atmospheric anomalies observed during earthquake occurrences, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 31, L17110, doi:10.1029/2004GL019865, 2004.
- Fukumoto, Y., Hayakawa, M., and Yasuda, H.: Reception of over-horizon FM signals associated with earthquakes, in: *Seismo Electromagnetics (Lithosphere – Atmosphere – Ionosphere Coupling)*, edited by: Hayakawa, M. and Molchanov, O. A., TERRAPUB, Tokyo, 263–266, 10 2002.
- Hayakawa, M., Kawate, R., Molchanov, O. A., and Yumoto, K.: Results of ultra-low-frequency magnetic field measurements during the Guam earthquake of 8 August 1993, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 23, 241–244, 1996a.
- Hayakawa, M., Molchanov, O. A., Ondoh, T., and Kawai, E.: The precursory signature effect of the Kobe earthquake on VLF subionospheric signals, *J. Commun. Res. Lab.*, 43, 169–180, 15 1996b.
- Kushida, Y. and Kushida, R.: Possibility of earthquake forecast by radio observations in the VHF band, *J. Atmos. Electr.*, 22, 239–255, 2002.
- Molchanov, O. A. and Hayakawa, M.: Subionospheric VLF signal perturbations possibly related to earthquakes, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, A8, 17489–17504, 1998.
- Smith, A. C. F., Bernardi, A., McGill, P. R., Ladd, M. E., Helliwell, R. A., and Villard Jr., O. G.: Low-frequency magnetic field measurements near the epicenter of the Ms 7.1 Loma Prieta earthquake, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 17, 1465–1468, 1990.
- Yonaiguchi, N., Ida, Y., and Hayakawa, M.: On the statistical correlation of over-horizon VHF signals with meteorological radio ducting and seismicity, *J. Atmos. Solar-terr. Phys.*, 69, 661–25 674, 2007.

Anomalous VHF radio propagation before earthquakes

K. Motojima and N. Naga

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Anomalous VHF radio propagation before earthquakes

K. Motojima and N. Naga

Table 1. Monitored transmitter stations, broadcasting frequencies and path-length from each transmitter stations to observation point (Kiryu).

Transmitter station	Frequency	Path-length
Tokyo-tower (Analog TV signals)	91.25–217.25 MHz (7 waves)	92 km
Tokyo-tower (FM radio)	76.0–80.0 MHz (3 waves)	92 km
Miyama (FM radio)	78.0–80.7 MHz (2 waves)	102 km
Hiranohara (FM radio)	85.1 MHz	68 km
Tsubameyama	83.2 MHz	72 km

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Anomalous VHF radio propagation before earthquakes

K. Motojima and N. Naga

Table 2. Probability gain PG with respect to the magnitude of earthquakes.

Magnitude M	N_{eq}	P_{unrel}	N_{obs}	P_{obs}	PG
$M \geq 3.0$	109	1.72×10^{-1}	8	2.42×10^{-1}	1.41
$M \geq 3.5$	50	8.30×10^{-2}	6	1.82×10^{-1}	2.19
$M \geq 4.0$	35	5.89×10^{-2}	6	1.82×10^{-1}	3.09
$M \geq 4.5$	10	1.72×10^{-2}	4	1.21×10^{-1}	7.03
$M \geq 5.0$	2	3.46×10^{-3}	1	3.03×10^{-2}	8.76

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Anomalous VHF radio propagation before earthquakes

K. Motojima and N. Naga

Table 3. Probability gain PG with respect to distances of epicenters to the propagation path.

Distance L	N_{eq}	P_{unrel}	N_{obs}	P_{obs}	PG
$L \leq 200\text{ km}$	54	8.93×10^{-2}	7	2.12×10^{-1}	2.37
$L \leq 150\text{ km}$	43	7.18×10^{-2}	5	1.52×10^{-1}	2.12
$L \leq 100\text{ km}$	22	3.74×10^{-2}	5	1.52×10^{-1}	4.06
$L \leq 75\text{ km}$	10	1.72×10^{-2}	4	1.21×10^{-1}	7.03

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion






Fig. 1. The Kanto plain in Japan, the locations of transmitter stations (FM radio and TV broadcasting: solid circles), Observational point (Kiryu: solid diamond) and the propagation paths (dashed lines).

Anomalous VHF radio propagation before earthquakes

K. Motojima and N. Naga

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Anomalous VHF radio propagation before earthquakes

K. Motojima and N. Naga





Fig. 2. Schematic diagram of the multidirectional VHF band monitoring system.

Title Page	
Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures
◀	▶
◀	▶
Back	Close
Full Screen / Esc	
Printer-friendly Version	
Interactive Discussion	




Anomalous VHF radio propagation before earthquakes

K. Motojima and N. Naga



(a) Fuji TV ($f=193.25\text{MHz}$)



(b) TV Asahi ($f=205.25\text{MHz}$)

Fig. 3. Temporal evolution of the anomalous propagation on the VHF TV broadcasting band, two earthquakes associated with the anomaly occurred at 13:36LT and 16:55LT on 18 August 2007, magnitude 4.5 and 5.2, both epicenters were (35°21' N, 140°21' E) and (35°02' N, 140°02' E), respectively.

Title Page

Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures

⏪
⏩

◀
▶

Back
Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion






Fig. 4. Equidistant curve (dashed curve, $L = 75\text{ km}$) from the propagation path of Tokyo-tower to Kiryu, (black arrow). Solid circle and diamond indicate the locations of transmitter stations (Tokyo-tower) and the observational point (Kiryu), respectively.

Anomalous VHF radio propagation before earthquakes

K. Motojima and N. Naga

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Anomalous VHF radio propagation before earthquakes

K. Motojima and N. Naga




Fig. 5. Temporal evolution on 28 to 30 April 2012. The dashed line indicates the earthquake associated with the anomaly, occurred at 19:28 LT on 29 April 2012, 5.8 magnitude, its epicenter was $35^{\circ}42' \text{ N}$, $140^{\circ}36' \text{ E}$.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Anomalous VHF radio propagation before earthquakes

K. Motojima and N. Naga




Fig. 6. Temporal evolution on 27 to 30 August 2011. The earthquake associated with the anomaly occurred at 18:32 LT on 31 August 2011, 4.6 magnitude, epicenter was ($35^{\circ}33' \text{ N}$, $140^{\circ}05' \text{ E}$) in northern Tokyo Bay.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

