



**Facing and managing
natural disasters in
the Sporades Islands,
Greece**

P. Karanikola et al.

Facing and managing natural disasters in the Sporades Islands, Greece

P. Karanikola¹, T. Panagopoulos², S. Tampakis¹, M. I. Karantoni¹, and G. Tsantopoulos¹

¹Department of Forestry and Management of the Environment and Natural Resources, Democritus University of Thrace, 193, Pantazidou str., 68200 Orestiada, Greece

²Research Centre for Spatial and Organizational Dynamics (CIEO), University of the Algarve, Gambelas Campus, 8000 Faro, Portugal

Received: 1 October 2013 – Accepted: 26 October 2013 – Published: 19 November 2013

Correspondence to: T. Panagopoulos (tpanago@ualg.pt)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Abstract

The region of the Sporades Islands located in central Greece is at the mercy of many natural phenomena, such as earthquakes, due to the marine volcano “Psathoura”, and the rift of Anatolia, forest fires, floods, landslides, storms, hail, snowfall and frost. The present work aims at studying the perceptions and attitudes of the residents regarding how they face and manage natural disasters. A positive public response during a hazard crisis depends not only upon the availability and good management of a civil defence plan but also on the knowledge and perception of the possible hazards by the local population. It is important for the stakeholders to know what the citizens expect from each of the separate stakeholders so that the necessary structures can be developed in the phase of preparation and organization. The residents were asked about their opinion about what they think should be done by the stakeholders after a catastrophic natural disaster, particularly the immediate response of stakeholders and their involvement and responsibilities at different, subsequent intervals of time following the disaster. The residents were also asked about the most common disasters that happen in their region and about the preparation activities of the stakeholders.

1 Introduction

A natural disaster is a physical event of extraordinary dimension that people cannot predict or control (Djelante, 2012). It is the most rapid, instantaneous and long-range conflict of the natural environment with the socio-economic system and the human society (Mercer, 2009; Cutter et al., 2013) Thus, the natural process becomes a “natural hazard” as soon as human beings, infrastructure or other forms of tangible or intangible capital are threatened or destroyed. The losses concern both the animate and inanimate potential of human society, both intangibly and materially (Varnes, 1988; Raschky, 2008).

Facing and managing natural disasters in the Sporades Islands, Greece

P. Karanikola et al.

Title Page	
Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures
◀	▶
◀	▶
Back	Close
Full Screen / Esc	
Printer-friendly Version	
Interactive Discussion	



A disaster, either natural (earthquake, fire, etc.) or caused by humans (war conflict, nuclear accident), shapes the human and natural environment and disrupts and affects the operation of the region at an economic and social level depending, of course, on the degree and extent of the disaster (Becker et al., 2013; Yellman and Murray, 2013).

5 According to Miletti (1999), the disasters are a “forecasted” result that comes from the interaction of three main systems: (a) the physical environment, (b) the demographic and socio-economic environment and (c) the structured environment (residences, buildings and infrastructures). It has been noted that the event and the results of a disaster are due to critical correlations between the three systems, from which they
10 acquire more complex textures (Haimes, 2012).

The link between development and disasters is well known; unfortunately, despite the modern evolution of technology, and the progress of science in general, natural disasters affect the daily lives of people, disturb the smooth operation of society and constitute a permanent threat (Otero and Marti, 1995; Stenchion, 1997; Pelling, 2003a;
15 McEntire, 2004; UNDP, 2004; Wisner et al., 2004).

The extreme events can even be devastating for developing countries which have less capacity to adapt (Mendelsohn and Dinar, 1999; Ravindranath and Sathaye, 2002; Winkler, 2005; IPCC, 2007), but the effects generally affect both the developed and the developing or underdeveloped regions.

20 Generally, the major natural disasters are the consequence of a natural hazard, which passes from the stage of probability to an active phase; consequently, they have serious implications for the economic, developmental and environmental sectors. Equally considerable are the problems that they create at the social, political and cultural level (Cutter et al., 2003), as well as in the administrative sector. Depending on
25 the size and type of disaster, the period following the destruction can be of long or short term. There is a global concern that natural disasters are becoming more frequent, deadly and costly; they are also more complex, and the impacts to society and the environment are increasingly more intertwined (Khan, 2012). For this reason, the treatment and management of natural disasters are one of the biggest problems of

NHESSD

1, 6659–6683, 2013

Facing and managing natural disasters in the Sporades Islands, Greece

P. Karanikola et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Facing and managing natural disasters in the Sporades Islands, Greece

P. Karanikola et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



survival that currently engages humans because they constitute a milestone in the human consciousness and dramatically affect the flow of their lives (Drabek, 1986; Starmer, 1996; Sterlacchini et al., 2007; EM-DAT, 2010, 2013; Germanwatch, 2010; IFRC, 2010; Maplecroft, 2010; UNISDR, 2011; UNU-EHS, 2011). It is very important for the authorities and the stakeholders to know what the population expects from them after a large-scale natural disaster. This information can be very useful to an organization and help structure the necessary preparations.

One of the major approaches of disaster risk reduction is through pragmatic disaster risk management planning (Salter, 1997; Christoplos et al., 2001). Disaster management and community planning via public participation have become top priority for the authorities, stakeholders and organizations in many countries all over the world such as in the USA (Pearce, 2003; Haines, 2012), El Salvador (Bowman and White, 2012), Australia and New Zealand (Gero et al., 2011; Djalante, 2012; Becker et al., 2012, 2013) China (Ye et al., 2012; Shi et al., 2012) and Iran (Amini Hosseini et al., 2009). In Europe there are some papers about this subject (Van Assche et al., 2011; Escuder-Bueno et al., 2012; Alexander, 2013), and, in Greece, no relevant studies have been conducted so far.

The present work aims at studying the perceptions and attitudes of the residents in the Sporades Islands, Greece, about the management of natural disasters and the expectations of the authorities and the relevant stakeholders in the first crucial hours, days or weeks following a catastrophic event.

2 Research methodology

The research was conducted with the application of a face-to-face structured questionnaire. The research area of this paper was the islands of the Northern Sporades. The statistical population of the islands Alonissos, Skiathos and Skopelos was 2160, 5788 and 4098 residents respectively. Layered, random sampling was used as the sampling method. Geographical stratification layers were also used. The estimate of proportion

P of the population was a weighted analogy of samples. The size of each sample was taken so that the number of units of the population belonging to each layer was as follows:

$$\bar{P} = \frac{1}{N} (N_1 \bar{P}_1 + N_2 \bar{P}_2 + \dots + N_L \bar{P}_L) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^L (N_k \bar{P}_k)$$

- 5 where L = the number of layers,
 N_k = total number of sample units in the layer k ($k = 1, \dots, L$),
 N = total number of sample units in the population ($n = N_1 \cdot N_L$),
 \bar{P}_k = estimated proportion in layer k .
The estimated standard error of the proportion is:

10
$$s_{\bar{P}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{k=1}^L \left(N_k^2 \frac{\bar{P}_k (1 - \bar{P}_k)}{n_k - 1} \right)}$$

n_k = the sample size in layer k .

The estimates can be made separately for each layer, the same as with simple random sampling, since each layer was taken as a simple random sample (Daoutopoulos, 1994). The results of each layer are presented separately (for each island).

- 15 In order to determinate the sample size, pre-sampling was used. The data was collected through random, personal interviews, and 66 questionnaires (12 from the municipality of Alonissos, 30 from the municipality of Skiathos and 24 from the municipality of Skopelos) were collected in total.

Facing and managing natural disasters in the Sporades Islands, Greece

P. Karanikola et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



The determination of the total sample size for the variables, analogue distribution, is given by the formula:

$$n = \frac{Nt^2 \sum_{k=1}^L N_k \bar{P}_k (1 - \bar{P}_k)}{N^2 e^2 + t^2 \sum_{h=1}^L N_k \bar{P}_k (1 - \bar{P}_k)} =$$

$$= \frac{12919 \times 1.96^2 \times (2425 \times 0.5 \times 0.5 + 5788 \times 0.5 \times 0.5 + 4706 \times 0.5 \times 0.5)}{12919^2 \times 0.05^2 + 1.96^2 \times (2425 \times 0.5 \times 0.5 + 5788 \times 0.5 \times 0.5 + 4706 \times 0.5 \times 0.5)} =$$

$$= 373.0665 \cong 373$$

N = total number of sample units of all layers,

N_k = total number of sample units in the layer k ,

P_k = estimated proportion in layer k ,

t = the value of the distribution Student for probability $(1 - \alpha) = 95\%$ and $n - 1^\circ$ of freedom,

e = the maximum admissible difference between the sampling medium and unknown average population. We accept that in the case of proportions it is 0.05, that is, 5%.

The total size of the sample is distributed in different layers according to the size of each layer.

$$n_k = \frac{N_k n}{N} =$$

$$n_1 = \frac{2425 \times 373}{12919} = 70.0276 \cong 70$$

$$n_2 = \frac{5788 \times 373}{12919} = 167.1421 \cong 167$$

$$n_3 = \frac{4706 \times 373}{12919} = 135.8968 \cong 136$$

Facing and managing natural disasters in the Sporades Islands, Greece

P. Karanikola et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Facing and managing natural disasters in the Sporades Islands, Greece

P. Karanikola et al.

The questionnaire is not limited to a single variable estimation of the population, as it contains more variables. So an estimate of the sample size is required for each of the variables. If the estimated sample sizes are similar, and their size is within the numerical possibilities of the sampling, then the sample size is selected as the maximum. In this way the variable that changes the most is estimated with the desired precision while remaining more accurate than originally specified (Matis, 2001). The variable that gives the largest sample size is the one that refers to the gender of respondents.

Seventy questionnaires were collected in the municipality of Alonissos, 167 in the municipality of Skiathos and 136 in the municipality of Skopelos. Data collection took place in 2009. Personal interviews were conducted to supplement the questionnaire. They were randomly selected from the citizens of the municipality.

3 Results

The demographic attributes of the residents who participated in the research are given in Table 1. The majorities of the participants were men (52 %), graduates of upper school education (34.5 %), married (58.4 %), without children (39.9 %) and were private employees (22.3 %). Seventy percent of the participants declares less than 20 thousand euro income. The largest age group of the participants (33.2 %, $sp = 0.0243$) was 31–40 yr old.

3.1 Actions to be taken, chronologically, after a natural disaster

Natural disasters affect human society and cause diverse consequences, such as: loss of human life, economic damage, damage to the residential system, psychological consequences, destruction of monuments and exhibits in museums, and even political consequences (Papadopoulos, 2000). Following an unexpected natural disaster the first problem that is faced is the identification of the extent of the disaster based on the disorder of the population, the transportation, the operation of organisms and the

tasks can take place: the listing of the injured, missing and dead (36.7 %), the recognition and identification of victims (30.6 %), the temporal assessment of the damages (26.8 %) and the occupation of children with various activities (22.0 %).

In the second phase of rehabilitation that begins in the next 3–7 days (the medium term of recovery), the residents believe that it is necessary to start temporary repairs of the damage (28.2 %) while, for the next week, an assessment of damages in private buildings should be undertaken (28.2 %), along with the infrastructures (25.2 %) and the provision of economic support to those affected (24.9 %).

Finally, during the period of reconstruction in the upcoming months, the residents believe that it is necessary to design a regional organization plan (31.6 %) and to provide economical support for business redeployment (24.4 %).

3.2 Stakeholders' active involvement in disaster management

Risk management is not the exclusive duty of one organization but the result of the coordinated actions of several operators, and everyone has a specific role. Generally, the main responsibility of civil protection of the country falls to the Ministry of Interior along with the General Secretariat of Civil Protection, the Fire Service, the Police and the Forest Service. The District, the Prefecture and the Municipality are responsible for the implementation of regional planning based on the available resources. Important roles are also played by non-governmental organizations and volunteers.

Table 3 presents the results of the questionnaire about the institution that should take the responsibilities after the outbreak of a major disaster. The inhabitants of the Sporades Islands consider that the Senatorial District should be responsible to provide economic support to help businesses start working again (75.9 %) as well as to provide economic support to those affected by the disaster (75.1 %). Further, they should also develop a general plan of regional regeneration (75.1 %), and provide official information (58.5 %), temporary damage repair (57.4 %) and damage assessment (54.2 %). The respondents believe that the Municipality should be responsible for the supply of food (86.6 %) and water (83.9 %), for drawing up a list of names of the injured, missing

Facing and managing natural disasters in the Sporades Islands, Greece

P. Karanikola et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



and dead (59.8 %) and for leading and informing the affected citizens (61.4 %). The citizens believe that the Fire Service should be responsible for the search and rescue of victims (77.2 %), the Army forces should give temporary accommodation (42.2 %) and the Police should maintain both public safety and public order (89.3 %), transport citizens to a safer place (55.5 %) and help in the recognition and identification of the victims (41 %). Finally, they believe that the Sanitary Service should be responsible for the medical care of the injured (86.3 %), for the psychological support of the injured (67.8 %) and for keeping the bodies of the dead people with respect (51.7 %). Volunteers should be responsible to occupy the children with activities (60.3 %).

3.3 Actions to confront natural disasters in the Sporades Islands

The region of Sporades is at the mercy of many natural phenomena; in most cases the results of these phenomena are quite unfavorable for the residents of the islands. More analytically, the region is considered as an area affected by earthquakes from other nearby sources like the marine volcano “Psathoura”, as well as the rift of Anatolia that passes N–NW of Alonissos resulting in intense seismic activity and frequent earthquakes. A typical example is the earthquake in 1986 where the earth shook for 40 days. Moreover, the last 10 yr have seen the frequent occurrence of another type of phenomena, forest fires, which have destroyed important forest and agricultural lands. Flooding is of low intensity and has only created minor problems, while landslides, heavy storms, hail, snowfall and frost are frequent and intense.

For the most common natural disasters that happen in their region (floods, snow, ice, earthquakes and forest fires), the residents were asked if they were aware of activities that may affect the danger or occurrence of these disasters. Regarding floods, they answered that the illegal occupation of streams and polder has a negative affect (30.3 %). Proper road drainage (32.4 %), stream restoration and slope stabilization (29.8 %) decreased flood risk (Table 4).

Regarding snow and frost (Table 5), the citizens responded that they sometimes faced problems from such hazards (32.7 %), and they sometimes drove their cars on

Facing and managing natural disasters in the Sporades Islands, Greece

P. Karanikola et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



the roads when it was not absolutely necessary (29.8%). Moreover, citizens (41 %) rarely experienced closed roads due to cars blocking the road, and 30.8 % of the citizens indicated that control, limitation and exclusion rarely occur due to the obligatory use of nonslip chains.

Table 6 presents the results that the respondents provided about earthquakes. Infringement of construction licensing or illegal buildings (31.4 %) is the most frequently reported problem, while leaked uncontrolled information about forthcoming earthquakes was rare (23.3%). Furthermore, citizens answered that they were rarely or never informed of the activities that should be taken in the case of an earthquake (35.7 %). In the opinion of the respondents, there is no checking of earthquake standards for new buildings (31.6 %).

Finally, regarding forest fires, the residents believe that citizens very often participate in the suppression of forest fires (41.8 %), that the Fire Service usually conducts frequent patrols in the forests (33.8 %) and that there are sufficient fire guardrooms during the fire season (26 %). Also, the respondents have the opinion that there is illegal occupation on forest lands on a very frequent basis (27.9 %), while the citizens rarely participate in reforestations (25.2 %). Moreover, they answered that the causes of forest fires are due to not cleaning dry vegetation off of their property (32.6 %), lit cigarettes thrown from cars (35.4 %), the removal of vegetation from the edges of roads and paths (30.8 %) and the burning of agricultural remains during the dry season (29.8 %) (Table 7).

4 Discussion and conclusions

Natural hazards pose threats to vulnerable infrastructure, visitors and the public (Whitworth and May, 2006). Hazard assessment and risk governance has become increasingly politicized and controversial (Armas and Avram, 2009). For this reason risk reduction is important and can be achieved when public participation is integrated into disaster management planning and community planning (Pearce, 2003). Therefore, it

Facing and managing natural disasters in the Sporades Islands, Greece

P. Karanikola et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏮

⏭

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



is important to know what the social knowledge and demand is. The residents of Sporades consider that the most important activities in short-term, emergency stage of relief, according to residents' opinions, were the operations of search and rescue of the victims, the medical care of the injured, the water supply and the transportation of people to more safe places.

Also, to improve the effectiveness of residents' compliance with warning and evacuation messages, it is important that emergency management officials understand how the public interprets their situation in relation to hazards, and their potential response during a crisis, and apply this information to the ongoing development of risk mitigation strategies (Bird et al., 2009).

The concept of agreement on objectives is potentially able to lower the given disaster risk by bringing together the actors involved throughout the disaster cycle and improving the inter-organizational coordination (Greiving et al., 2012). For that, the risk management should not be the exclusive responsibility of one only organization; rather, it should be the result of coordinated actions from many organizations, where everyone has a specific role in the grid of complex activities that is required for the confrontation of the situation of emergency. Namely, the engagement in the decision-making process, while managing risk, is not only a responsibility of scientists and local authorities but also the duty of the people that live in the exposed region.

According to Friedmann (1992), people in their own communities have to take their destiny into their own hands, the community should determine its own future, individual and collective needs must be balanced and there must be a move towards self-reliance. As Aguirre (1994) indicates, choosing the best way to engage and involve the public is critical, instilling in them a sense of individual responsibility via disaster preparedness. Furthermore, case studies which encourage full participation from the community from the outset appear to be the most sustainable, and addressing underlying causes of vulnerability with active participation of community members and groups can result in sustainable initiatives.

Facing and managing natural disasters in the Sporades Islands, Greece

P. Karanikola et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



We must learn from our faults. Nevertheless, the sector of management of crisis and disaster, as a newly “recognized” sector, constitutes a very great example that we should learn from our mistakes, while it simultaneously offers to us the possibility for major changes and different decisions (Fleischhauer et al., 2012).

The residents believe that, from the point of view of the services, they do not accomplish what should be done to face natural disasters in the best possible manner. Specifically, in the cases of earthquake and forest fire hazards, it was reported that all the activities that are described in the questionnaire should be done very often because the region is seismic and the three islands are covered by dense vegetation. Of course, the latter applies as much to the responsible services as to the same residents.

As for the floods, respondents seemed to think that the illegal occupation of streams and polder occurred very often while the construction and preservation of flood protection works sometimes occurs. Additionally, respondents felt that public roads with drainage systems were only constructed properly sometimes, and a similar response was provided for the consistency with which recently burned forest soils were fixed. Regarding the snow and the frost, respondents felt that they sometimes faced problems of this nature as well as moving cars on the roads when it was not absolutely necessary; however, they felt that controls, limitations, and exclusions were rarely in effect and roads were rarely closed due to cars blocking them as a result of these conditions.

For earthquakes, residents felt that licensing infringements and the construction of illegal buildings occurred very often, while the lack of information of forthcoming earthquakes (Varotsos et al., 2011) occurred rarely. The respondents also leaned towards the response of “never” regarding how frequently buildings were checked to determine if they meet earthquake standards, as well as on the provision of information to citizens on the actions that should be taken in case of an earthquake.

Finally, concerning forests and forest fires, the residents declared that the guardhouses are very often manned during the fire season and the citizens participate in the suppression of forest fires, while at the same time also observing illegal occupation on forest lands. Also, Municipality sometimes removes the vegetation from the edges of

Facing and managing natural disasters in the Sporades Islands, Greece

P. Karanikola et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Facing and managing natural disasters in the Sporades Islands, Greece

P. Karanikola et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

the roads and the paths and, with the volunteers and Fire Service, conducts frequent patrols in the forests. On the part of the citizens, it was observed that citizens sometimes clean the dried vegetation from their property; they also light fires for burning agricultural remains, especially when this is prohibited, and they throw lit cigarettes from their cars. They rarely participate in reforestations, while noting that, sometimes, there is forest protection and forest clean up.

Still, a considerable amount of effort has gone into understanding disaster risks (Alexander, 1997; McGranahan et al., 2001; Pelling, 2003b). The perceptions and attitudes of the residents in the Sporades Islands about the management of natural disasters, and their expectations from the authorities and relevant stakeholders, help us to learn from past mistakes and to prepare a pragmatic disaster risk management plan for a catastrophic event that will reduce risks.

Acknowledgements. The authors want to thank for the support from Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia and the Research Centre for Spatial and Organizational Dynamics (CIEO), for having provided the conditions to publish this work.

References

- Aguirre, B.: Planning, Warning, Evacuation and Search and Rescue: a Review of the Social Science Research Literature, Recovery Center Texas, A&M University, Texas, 1994.
- Alexander, D.: The study of natural disasters, 1977–1997: some reflections on a changing field of knowledge, *Disasters*, 21, 284–304, 1997.
- Alexander, D.: An evaluation of medium-term recovery processes after the 6 April 2009 earthquake in Aquila, Central Italy, *Environ. Hazards* 12, 60–73, 2013.
- Amini Hosseini, K., Kazem Jafari, M., Hosseini, M., Mansouri, B., and Hosseinioon, S.: Development of urban planning guidelines for improving emergency response capacities in seismic areas of Iran, *Disasters*, 33, 645–664, 2009.
- Armas, J. and Avram, E.: Perception of flood risk in Danube Delta, Romania, *Nat. Hazards*, 50, 269–287, 2009

Facing and managing natural disasters in the Sporades Islands, Greece

P. Karanikola et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

- Becker, J., Paton, D., Johnston, D., and Ronan, K.: A model of household preparedness for earthquakes: how individuals make meaning of earthquake information and how this influences preparedness, *Nat. Hazards*, 64, 107–137, 2012.
- Becker, J., Paton, D., Jonston, D., and Ronan, K.: Salient beliefs about earthquake hazards and household preparedness, *Risk Anal.*, 33, 1710–27, 2013.
- Bird, D. K., Gisladottir, G., and Dominey-Howes, D.: Resident perception of volcanic hazards and evacuation procedures, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 9, 251–266, doi:10.5194/nhess-9-251-2009, 2009.
- Bouille, P., Vrolijk, L., and Palm, E.: Vulnerability reduction for sustainable urban development, *J. Counting Crisis Manag.*, 5, 179–188, 1997.
- Bowman, L. and White, P.: “Community” risk perceptions of a disaster risk reduction intervention at Santa Ana (Ilamatepec) Volcano, El Salvador, *Environ. Hazards*, 11, 138–154, 2012.
- Christoplos, I., Mitchell, J., and Liljelund, A.: Re-framing risk: the changing context of disaster mitigation and preparedness, *Disaster*, 25, 185–198, 2001.
- Cutter, L. S., Boruff, J. B., and Shirley, W. L.: Social vulnerability and environmental hazards, *Soc. Sci. Quart.*, 84, 242–261, 2003.
- Daoutopoulos, G.: *Methodology in Social and Rural Science*, Thessaloniki, 1990 (in Greek).
- Djalante, R.: Review Article: “Adaptive governance and resilience: the role of multi-stakeholder platforms in disaster risk reduction”, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 12, 2923–2942, doi:10.5194/nhess-12-2923-2012, 2012.
- Drabek, T. E.: *Human System Responses to Disaster: An Inventory of Sociological Findings*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.
- EM-DAT: Annual Disaster Statistical Review: The Numbers and Trends, Centre for Research on the Epidemiology of Disasters (CRED), Universite Catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium, 2010.
- EM-DAT: The OFDA/CRED International Disaster Database, University Catholique de Louvain, Brussels, 2013.
- Escuder-Bueno, I., Castillo-Rodríguez, J. T., Zechner, S., Jöbstl, C., Perales-Momparler, S., and Petaccia, G.: A quantitative flood risk analysis methodology for urban areas with integration of social research data, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 12, 2843–2863, doi:10.5194/nhess-12-2843-2012, 2012.
- Fleischhauer, M., Greiving, S., Flex, F., Scheibel, M., Stickler, T., Sereinig, N., Koboltschnig, G., Malvati, P., Vitale, V., Grifoni, P., and Firus, K.: Improving the active involvement of stake-

holders and the public in flood risk management – tools of an involvement strategy and case study results from Austria, Germany and Italy, Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 12, 2785–2798, doi:10.5194/nhess-12-2785-2012, 2012.

Friedmann, J.: Empowerment: The Politics of Alternative Development, Blackwell, Cambridge, MA, 1992.

Germanwatch: Global Climate Risk Index 2010, Germanwatch, Berlin, available at: <http://www.germanwatch.org/klima/cri2010.pdf> (last access: 2 July 2010), 2010.

Gero, A., Méheux, K., and Dominey-Howes, D.: Integrating community based disaster risk reduction and climate change adaptation: examples from the Pacific, Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 11, 101–113, doi:10.5194/nhess-11-101-2011, 2011.

Greiving, S., Pratzler-Wanczura, S., Sapountzaki, K., Ferri, F., Grifoni, P., Firus, K., and Xanthopoulos, G.: Linking the actors and policies throughout the disaster management cycle by “Agreement on Objectives” – a new output-oriented management approach, Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 12, 1085–1107, doi:10.5194/nhess-12-1085-2012, 2012.

Haimes, Y. Y.: Strategic Preparedness for Recovery from Catastrophic Risks to Communities and Infrastructure Systems of Systems, Risk Anal., 32, 834–1845, doi:10.1111/j.1539-6924.2012.01930.x, 2012.

IFRC: World Disaster Report 2010, International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Society, available at: <http://www.ifrc.org/> (last access: 8 July 2010), 2010.

IPCC: Climate Change 2007: Synthesis Report, Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, available at: http://www.ipcc.ch/pdf/assessment-report/ar4/syr/ar4_syr.pdf (last access: 8 November 2013), 2007.

Khan, S.: Disasters: contributions of hazardscape and gaps in response practices, Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 12, 3775–3787, doi:10.5194/nhess-12-3775-2012, 2012.

Lekkas, E.: Natural and Technological Disasters, available at: http://labtect.geol.uoa.gr/pages/lekkase/PDF%20Files/fysikes_katastrofes.pdf (last access: 7 July 2011), 2000.

Maplecroft: Natural Disaster Risk Index 2010, Maplecroft, London, UK, 2010.

McEntire, A. D.: Development, disaster and vulnerability: a discussion of divergent theories and the need for their integration, Disaster Prev. Manag., 13, 193–198, 2004.

McGranahan, G., Jacobi, P., Songsore, J., Surjadi, C., and Kjellen, M.: The Citizens at Risk: From Urban Sanitation to Sustainable Cities, Earthscan Publications Ltd., UK, 2001.

Mendelsohn, R. and Dinar, A.: Climate change, agriculture, and developing countries: does adaptation matters, World Bank Res. Obser., 14, 277–293, 1999.

NHESSD

1, 6659–6683, 2013

Facing and managing natural disasters in the Sporades Islands, Greece

P. Karanikola et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Facing and managing natural disasters in the Sporades Islands, Greece

P. Karanikola et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Mercer, J., Kelman, I., Suchet-Pearson, S., and Lloyd, K.: Integrating indigenous and scientific knowledge bases for disaster risk reduction in Papua New Guinea, *Geogr. Ann. B*, 91, 157–183, 2009.

Otero, R. C. and Marti, R. Z.: The impacts of natural disasters on developing economies: implications for the international development and disaster community, in: *Disaster Prevention for Sustainable Development: Economic and Policy Issues*, edited by: Munasinghe, M. and Clarke, C., The World Bank and International Decade for Natural Disaster Reduction, Washington, 1995.

Papadopoulos, G. A.: *The Civil Protection in Greece – Confronting Physical and Technical Disasters*, Ion Editions, Athens, Greece, 2000.

Pearce, L.: Disaster management and community planning, and public participation: how to achieve sustainable hazard mitigation, *Nat. Hazards*, 28, 211–228, 2003.

Pelling, M.: *The Vulnerabilities of Cities: Natural Disasters and Social Resilience*, Earthscan Publications Ltd., UK, 2003a.

Pelling, M.: *Natural Disasters and Development in a Globalizing World*, Routledge, New York, NY, 2003b.

Raschky, P. A.: Institutions and the losses from natural disasters, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 8, 627–634, doi:10.5194/nhess-8-627-2008, 2008.

Ravindranath, N. H. and Sathaye, J. A.: *Climate Change and Developing Countries*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, London, 2002.

Salter, J.: Risk management in a disaster management context, *J. Counting Crisis Management*, 5, 60–65, 1997.

Shi, P.: On the role of government in integrated disaster risk governance-based on practices in China, *Int. J. Disaster Risk Sci.*, 3, 139–146, 2012.

Starmer, C. V.: Explaining risky choices without assuming preferences, *Soc. Choice Welfare*, 13, 201–213, 1996.

Stenchion, P.: Development and disaster management, *Aust. J. Emerg. Manag.*, 12, 40–44, 1997.

Sterlacchini, S., Frigerio, S., Giacomelli, P., and Brambilla, M.: Landslide risk analysis: a multi-disciplinary methodological approach, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 7, 657–675, doi:10.5194/nhess-7-657-2007, 2007.

UNDP: *Reducing Disaster Risk a Challenge for Development: a Global Report*, United Nations Development Program, New York, 2004.

Facing and managing natural disasters in the Sporades Islands, Greece

P. Karanikola et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



UNISDR: Global Assessment Report on Disaster Risk Reduction: Revealing Risk, Redefining Development, United Nations, Geneva, United Nations Publications, UK, available at: http://www.preventionweb.net/english/hyogo/gar/2011/en/bgdocs/GAR-2011/GAR2011_Report_FrontCover.pdf (last access: 8 September 2011), 2011.

5 UNU-EHS: World Risk Report 2011, United Nations University, Institute for Environment and Human Security, Bonn, available at: <http://www.ehs.unu.edu/file/get/9018> (last access: 6 June 2011), 2011.

Van Assche, K., Duineveld, M., Beunen, R., and Teampau, P.: Delineating locals: transformations of knowledge/power and the governance of the Danube Delta, *J. Environ. Pol. Plan.*, 13, 1–21, 2011.

10 Varnes, D. J.: Landslide Hazard Zonation: a Review of Principles and Practice, Paris, 1988.

Varotsos, P., Sarlis, N., and Skordas, E.: Natural Time Analysis: the New View of Time; Precursory Seismic Electric Signals, Earthquakes and Other Complex Time Series, Springer Praxis, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2011.

15 Whitworth, P. and May, F.: Disaster reduction planning for recreation areas via cascading models, *J. Park. Recr. Admin.*, 24, available at: <http://js.sagamorepub.com/jpra/article/view/1392>, 2006.

Winkler, H.: Climate change and developing countries, *S. Afr. J. Sci.*, 101, 355–364, 2005.

20 Wisner, B., Blaikie, P., Cannon, T., and Davis, I.: At Risk: Natural Hazards, Peoples Vulnerabilities and Disasters, Routledge, New York, 2004.

Ye, M. W., Wang, J., Huang, J., Xu, S., and Chen, Z.: Methodology and its application for community-scale evacuation planning against earthquake disaster, *Nat. Hazards*, 61, 881–892, 2012.

25 Yellman, W. T. and Murray, M. M.: Vulnerability and resilience, *Risk Anal.*, 33, 753, doi:10.1111/risa.12026, 2013.

NHESSD

1, 6659–6683, 2013

Facing and managing natural disasters in the Sporades Islands, Greece

P. Karanikola et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



▶

[Back](#)

Close

Full Screen / Esc

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

Interactive Discussion



Table 1. Socio-demographic profile of the residents in the Sporades Islands.

		<i>p</i> (%)	sp
Gender	Male	52.0	0.0260
	Female	48.0	0.0260
	18–30	23.3	0.0216
	31–40	33.2	0.0243
Age	41–50	23.1	0.0219
	51–60	10.5	0.0159
	> 60	11.0	0.0160
	Unmarried	31.6	0.0241
Marital status	Married	58.4	0.0256
	Divorced	4.3	0.0104
	Widowed	5.6	0.0120
	Without children	39.9	0.0254
	One child	15.6	0.0188
Childhood	Two children	26.8	0.0230
	Three children	12.6	0.0172
	More than three children	5.1	0.0114
	Without Primary school	7.0	0.0135
	Primary School	9.6	0.0149
	Secondary School	15.0	0.0184
Educational level	Technical School	4.3	0.0105
	Upper Secondary School	34.9	0.0246
	Technological education	10.7	0.0161
	University	13.4	0.0175
	Post-graduation	5.1	0.0114
	Private employee	22.3	0.0214
	Public servants	21.7	0.0212
	Self-employed	20.1	0.0207
Profession	Students	4.3	0.0104
	Unemployed	7.2	0.0133
	Housewives	8.0	0.0140
	Farmers or stock breeders	4.0	0.0101
	Pensioners	12.3	0.0169
	< 5000 euro	20.4	0.0206
	5000–10 000 euro	15.5	0.0188
	10.001–15 000 euro	15.0	0.0185
Annual income	15001–20 000 euro	19.0	0.0202
	20001–25 000 euro	8.0	0.0140
	25001–30 000 euro	4.0	0.0102
	30001–40 000 euro	4.8	0.0111
	> 40 000 euro	4.3	0.0105
	No answer	8.8	0.0148

Table 2. Residents' opinions and attitudes about what is most necessary to be done, chronologically, after an extreme natural disaster.

		0–3 h	3–6 h	6–12 h	12–24 h	next day	3–7 days	next week	2–4 weeks	next months	next years
food supply	<i>p</i>	41.0 %	22.5 %	18.2 %	9.7 %	8.0 %	0.3 %	0.3 %			
	<i>s_p</i>	0.0254	0.0216	0.0199	0.0153	0.0134	0.0027	0.0027			
supply of clean water	<i>p</i>	53.6 %	26.3 %	8.8 %	3.5 %	7.5 %	0.3 %				
	<i>s_p</i>	0.0258	0.0228	0.0148	0.0095	0.0129	0.0027				
medical care of injured	<i>p</i>	77.2 %	16.4 %	4.8 %	1.3 %	0.3 %					
	<i>s_p</i>	0.0216	0.0190	0.0111	0.0060	0.0027					
official information	<i>p</i>	36.5 %	19.8 %	9.9 %	14.7 %	17.7 %	0.8 %	0.5 %			
	<i>s_p</i>	0.0249	0.0204	0.0154	0.0184	0.0190	0.0046	0.0038			
temporary accommodation for the affected	<i>p</i>	14.5 %	21.4 %	19.6 %	27.6 %	15.3 %	1.6 %				
	<i>s_p</i>	0.0183	0.0212	0.0203	0.0231	0.0184	0.0065				
psychological support of the injured	<i>p</i>	20.9 %	20.6 %	11.0 %	13.4 %	25.5 %	5.9 %	1.9 %	0.3 %	0.5 %	
	<i>s_p</i>	0.0207	0.0210	0.0161	0.0177	0.0226	0.0121	0.0070	0.0027	0.0038	
search and rescue of victims	<i>p</i>	78.3 %	10.5 %	5.6 %	1.9 %	3.2 %	0.3 %	0.3 %			
	<i>s_p</i>	0.0214	0.0157	0.0120	0.0070	0.0092	0.0027	0.0027			
transportation of citizens to a safer place	<i>p</i>	53.4 %	24.7 %	11.5 %	4.8 %	5.1 %		0.5 %			
	<i>s_p</i>	0.0254	0.0220	0.0165	0.0111	0.0114		0.0038			
recognition and identification of victims	<i>p</i>	15.8 %	15.3 %	19.6 %	11.8 %	30.6 %	5.6 %	1.3 %			
	<i>s_p</i>	0.0190	0.0185	0.0205	0.0167	0.0236	0.0119	0.0059			
keeping the bodies of dead people with respect	<i>p</i>	33.0 %	12.1 %	12.6 %	12.9 %	22.0 %	4.8 %	2.1 %	0.5 %		
	<i>s_p</i>	0.0235	0.0169	0.0170	0.0173	0.0214	0.0111	0.0075	0.0038		
making lists of the injured, missing and dead people	<i>p</i>	19.0 %	12.3 %	11.8 %	10.7 %	36.7 %	6.4 %	2.4 %	0.5 %		
	<i>s_p</i>	0.0202	0.0170	0.0166	0.0159	0.0249	0.0127	0.0079	0.0038		
occupation of children with various activities	<i>p</i>	12.1 %	6.7 %	9.1 %	9.9 %	22.0 %	20.6 %	11.5 %	2.4 %	4.0 %	1.6 %
	<i>s_p</i>	0.0165	0.0129	0.0148	0.0153	0.0215	0.0209	0.0164	0.0080	0.0101	0.0065
guarding residents' possessions from stealing	<i>p</i>	31.6 %	13.4 %	11.3 %	13.4 %	22.0 %	4.6 %	2.1 %	1.3 %	0.3 %	
	<i>s_p</i>	0.0237	0.0175	0.0161	0.0175	0.0215	0.0107	0.0075	0.0059	0.0027	
existence of people to inform and lead the affected people	<i>p</i>	46.9 %	20.4 %	8.6 %	7.5 %	11.3 %	3.5 %	1.3 %	0.5 %		
	<i>s_p</i>	0.0251	0.0208	0.0145	0.0136	0.0163	0.0094	0.0059	0.0038		
temporal assessment of the damages	<i>p</i>	6.4 %	6.2 %	6.4 %	8.6 %	26.8 %	21.5 %	18.0 %	5.1 %	1.1 %	
	<i>s_p</i>	0.0125	0.0125	0.0127	0.0145	0.0227	0.0210	0.0199	0.0114	0.0053	
temporary repair of the damage	<i>p</i>	4.6 %	3.2 %	6.2 %	4.0 %	15.8 %	28.2 %	21.2 %	10.7 %	5.4 %	0.8 %
	<i>s_p</i>	0.0108	0.0090	0.0125	0.0102	0.0190	0.0234	0.0212	0.0160	0.0116	0.0046
assessment of damages to infrastructures	<i>p</i>	4.0 %	6.4 %	4.0 %	3.2 %	19.8 %	18.2 %	25.2 %	13.9 %	4.3 %	0.8 %
	<i>s_p</i>	0.0102	0.0122	0.0101	0.0091	0.0207	0.0200	0.0225	0.0180	0.0104	0.0046
assessment of damages in private buildings	<i>p</i>	2.9 %	6.2 %	1.6 %	3.5 %	16.6 %	18.8 %	28.2 %	11.8 %	8.0 %	2.4 %
	<i>s_p</i>	0.0088	0.0121	0.0065	0.0095	0.0193	0.0203	0.0232	0.0168	0.0139	0.0079
providing economic support to those affected	<i>p</i>	3.8 %	1.9 %	1.1 %	3.5 %	11.0 %	14.2 %	24.9 %	19.6 %	15.8 %	4.3 %
	<i>s_p</i>	0.0098	0.0070	0.0053	0.0095	0.0163	0.0181	0.0224	0.0205	0.0188	0.0104
economical support for businesses to start working again	<i>p</i>	1.6 %	0.0 %	4.0 %	3.2 %	5.9 %	8.8 %	24.1 %	21.4 %	24.4 %	6.4 %
	<i>s_p</i>	0.0065	0.0000	0.0102	0.0092	0.0122	0.0147	0.0222	0.0213	0.0222	0.0126
general organizational plan of the region	<i>p</i>	13.4 %	0.5 %	1.3 %	1.6 %	6.2 %	5.9 %	15.3 %	12.6 %	31.6 %	11.5 %
	<i>s_p</i>	0.0173	0.0038	0.0060	0.0065	0.0124	0.0122	0.0184	0.0172	0.0239	0.0165

NHESSD

1, 6659–6683, 2013

Facing and managing natural disasters in the Sporades Islands, Greece

P. Karanikola et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Table 3. Residents' opinions about the responsibilities that stakeholders have after an extreme natural disaster.

		Senatorial district	Prefecture	Municipality	Fire service	Forest service	Police	Army forces	Sanitary service	Citizens-Volunteers	Something else
food supply	p	24.9%	30.3%	86.6%	1.3%	0.3%	1.1%	17.2%	3.8%	32.2%	2.4%
	s_p	0.0224	0.0237	0.0177	0.0059	0.0027	0.0053	0.0193	0.0098	0.0242	0.0079
supply of clean water	p	19.0%	26.5%	83.9%	9.4%	1.6%	0.8%	15.5%	7.2%	24.4%	2.7%
	s_p	0.0202	0.0228	0.0190	0.0143	0.0065	0.0046	0.0187	0.0135	0.0223	0.0083
medical care of injured	p	5.9%	6.7%	14.2%	4.6%	0.5%	1.3%	20.9%	86.3%	19.3%	1.9%
	s_p	0.0122	0.0129	0.0181	0.0108	0.0038	0.0060	0.0210	0.0178	0.0205	0.0070
official information	p	58.5%	33.5%	42.9%	13.1%	7.2%	22.5%	1.9%	2.1%	0.5%	2.4%
	s_p	0.0241	0.0245	0.0257	0.0173	0.0134	0.0209	0.0070	0.0075	0.0038	0.0079
temporary accommodation for the affected	p	23.6%	31.4%	71.9%	3.8%	3.5%	3.5%	42.4%	5.9%	24.4%	2.9%
	s_p	0.0220	0.0235	0.0231	0.0098	0.0095	0.0095	0.0252	0.0122	0.0222	0.0087
psychological support of the injured	p	9.9%	12.9%	24.4%	3.8%	1.6%	4.6%	4.8%	67.8%	34.8%	9.9%
	s_p	0.0154	0.0171	0.0223	0.0099	0.0065	0.0108	0.0111	0.0238	0.0246	0.0152
search and rescue of victims	p	5.4%	9.9%	29.0%	77.2%	27.9%	53.1%	63.5%	16.9%	34.3%	2.1%
	s_p	0.0116	0.0153	0.0230	0.0216	0.0233	0.0259	0.0248	0.0194	0.0245	0.0075
transportation of citizens to a safer place	p	9.4%	11.5%	51.7%	44.8%	24.1%	55.5%	54.4%	12.1%	29.2%	2.7%
	s_p	0.0149	0.0162	0.0245	0.0251	0.0219	0.0257	0.0259	0.0167	0.0232	0.0083
recognition and identification of victims	p	2.1%	2.1%	20.9%	9.9%	4.6%	41.0%	10.2%	33.8%	23.3%	11.8%
	s_p	0.0075	0.0075	0.0210	0.0154	0.0107	0.0240	0.0156	0.0245	0.0205	0.0166
keeping the bodies of dead people with respect	p	33.2%	18.5%	33.0%	20.6%	16.4%	33.2%	22.3%	51.7%	16.6%	15.5%
	s_p	0.0237	0.0201	0.0242	0.0210	0.0192	0.0243	0.0216	0.0257	0.0193	0.0187
making lists of the injured, missing and dead people	p	8.0%	10.7%	59.8%	10.7%	4.8%	38.1%	7.0%	22.8%	16.9%	4.6%
	s_p	0.0140	0.0158	0.0252	0.0158	0.0111	0.0235	0.0131	0.0216	0.0180	0.0108
occupation of children with various activities	p	11.0%	16.4%	42.9%	2.9%	0.5%	4.3%	1.9%	10.2%	60.3%	13.4%
	s_p	0.0161	0.0190	0.0257	0.0087	0.0038	0.0105	0.0070	0.0157	0.0254	0.0172
guarding residents' possessions from stealing	p	3.2%	2.4%	17.2%	3.8%	4.6%	89.3%	35.1%	0.8%	6.7%	1.1%
	s_p	0.0091	0.0080	0.0191	0.0099	0.0108	0.0161	0.0246	0.0046	0.0130	0.0054
existence of people to inform and lead the affected people	p	13.9%	19.8%	61.4%	31.6%	23.6%	41.6%	29.2%	9.9%	48.3%	6.2%
	s_p	0.0178	0.0203	0.0243	0.0239	0.0218	0.0256	0.0233	0.0154	0.0257	0.0123
temporal assessment of the damages	p	54.2%	49.9%	49.6%	7.8%	4.3%	5.1%	1.1%	1.1%	0.8%	6.4%
	s_p	0.0252	0.0258	0.0258	0.0138	0.0105	0.0114	0.0053	0.0053	0.0046	0.0125
temporary repair of the damage	p	57.4%	55.8%	47.7%	2.4%	2.9%	2.4%	5.1%	1.1%	4.8%	4.8%
	s_p	0.0251	0.0258	0.0260	0.0079	0.0087	0.0079	0.0112	0.0053	0.0111	0.0110
assessment of damages to infrastructures	p	57.9%	55.5%	45.0%	4.0%	2.7%	4.8%	2.1%	0.0%	1.3%	5.1%
	s_p	0.0251	0.0257	0.0258	0.0102	0.0083	0.0110	0.0075	0.0000	0.0059	0.0113
assessment of damages in private buildings	p	56.0%	53.1%	44.2%	4.0%	1.1%	5.4%	1.6%	0.5%	1.6%	6.4%
	s_p	0.0254	0.0258	0.0258	0.0102	0.0053	0.0116	0.0065	0.0038	0.0065	0.0125
providing an economic support to those affected	p	75.1%	46.9%	33.0%	1.1%	1.1%	0.3%	0.8%	0.8%	5.4%	19.0%
	s_p	0.0224	0.0253	0.0240	0.0053	0.0053	0.0027	0.0046	0.0046	0.0117	0.0202
economical support for businesses to start working again	p	75.9%	42.1%	25.7%	0.5%	0.5%	1.3%	0.0%	0.5%	1.9%	21.2%
	s_p	0.0222	0.0250	0.0224	0.0038	0.0038	0.0059	0.0000	0.0038	0.0070	0.0210
general organizational plan of the region	p	75.1%	44.8%	45.3%	9.7%	8.6%	8.8%	7.5%	4.8%	5.1%	8.0%
	s_p	0.0223	0.0248	0.0249	0.0152	0.0144	0.0146	0.0136	0.0111	0.0114	0.0140

NHESSD

1, 6659–6683, 2013

Facing and managing natural disasters in the Sporades Islands, Greece

P. Karanikola et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**Facing and managing
natural disasters in
the Sporades Islands,
Greece**

P. Karanikola et al.

Table 4. Residents' opinions about the activities that happen in the Sporades Islands that affect the consequences of floods.

		Very often	Usually	Sometimes	Rarely	Never
Construction of flood protection works and preserving them	p	5.1 %	18.2 %	29.5 %	22.8 %	24.4 %
	s_p	0.0113	0.0196	0.0237	0.0216	0.0220
Fixing forest soils	p	3.2 %	18.0 %	29.8 %	22.0 %	27.1 %
	s_p	0.0091	0.0194	0.0237	0.0215	0.0228
Illegal occupation of streams and polder	p	30.3 %	24.7 %	29.2 %	9.1 %	6.7 %
	s_p	0.0236	0.0223	0.0234	0.0148	0.0128
Construction of public roads with drainage systems	p	7.0 %	18.8 %	32.4 %	18.2 %	23.6 %
	s_p	0.0131	0.0199	0.0243	0.0200	0.0218

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Facing and managing natural disasters in the Sporades Islands, Greece

P. Karanikola et al.

Table 5. Residents' opinions about the activities that take place in the Sporades Islands regarding snow-frost consequences.

		Very often	Usually	Sometimes	Rarely	Never
Facing problems from ice and snow	p	13.7 %	20.1 %	32.7 %	22.0 %	11.5 %
	s_p	0.0173	0.0206	0.0236	0.0214	0.0165
Control, limitation and exclusion (obligatory use of nonslip chains)	p	6.2 %	16.4 %	28.4 %	30.8 %	18.2 %
	s_p	0.0123	0.0191	0.0234	0.0239	0.0198
Car movement on the roads when it is not absolutely necessary	p	12.9 %	25.7 %	29.8 %	22.5 %	9.1 %
	s_p	0.0174	0.0227	0.0238	0.0214	0.0146
Closed roads due to cars blocking the road	p	5.9 %	8.6 %	30.3 %	41.0 %	14.2 %
	s_p	0.0120	0.0142	0.0229	0.0247	0.0180

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[I◀](#)
[▶I](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)


Facing and managing natural disasters in the Sporades Islands, Greece

P. Karanikola et al.

Table 6. Residents' opinions about the activities that take place in the Sporades Islands regarding earthquakes consequences.

		Very often	Usually	Sometimes	Rarely	Never
New buildings checked for earthquake standards	p	3.8 %	11.0 %	24.4 %	29.2 %	31.6 %
	s_p	0.0098	0.0162	0.0221	0.0234	0.0241
Information to the citizens about activities in case of an earthquake	p	4.0 %	7.5 %	21.2 %	31.6 %	35.7 %
	s_p	0.0102	0.0135	0.0212	0.0241	0.0245
Infringement of construction licensing or illegal buildings	p	31.4 %	26.0 %	21.7 %	13.1 %	7.8 %
	s_p	0.0235	0.0227	0.0212	0.0175	0.0136
Leaking information about forthcoming earthquakes	p	22.0 %	16.6 %	22.3 %	23.3 %	15.8 %
	s_p	0.0210	0.0192	0.0214	0.0214	0.0184

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Table 7. Residents' opinions about the activities that take place in the Sporades Islands regarding the prevention of forest fires.

		Very often	Usually	Sometimes	Rarely	Never
Fire service often conducts frequent patrols in the forests	p	33.2 %	33.8 %	19.8 %	5.6 %	7.5 %
	s_p	0.0241	0.0244	0.0206	0.0118	0.0134
The municipality and the volunteers patrol in the forests	p	22.3 %	19.8 %	21.7 %	19.0 %	17.2 %
	s_p	0.0212	0.0203	0.0211	0.0202	0.0194
There are fire guardrooms during the summer season	p	26.0 %	23.6 %	19.6 %	17.7 %	13.1 %
	s_p	0.0221	0.0220	0.0203	0.0198	0.0172
Citizens light fires to burn agricultural remains	p	25.2 %	20.6 %	29.8 %	18.8 %	5.6 %
	s_p	0.0225	0.0209	0.0234	0.0198	0.0119
Citizens throw lit cigarettes from their cars	p	17.4 %	21.4 %	35.4 %	23.9 %	1.9 %
	s_p	0.0195	0.0212	0.0243	0.0221	0.0070
Citizens participate in the suppression of fires	p	41.8 %	29.5 %	18.5 %	9.4 %	0.8 %
	s_p	0.0253	0.0234	0.0199	0.0148	0.0046
Clean up of forests	p	9.9 %	17.4 %	27.9 %	23.3 %	21.4 %
	s_p	0.0154	0.0196	0.0231	0.0218	0.0211
The municipality removes vegetation from the edges of roads and paths	p	13.9 %	23.1 %	30.8 %	21.4 %	10.7 %
	s_p	0.0178	0.0212	0.0238	0.0211	0.0158
Citizens remove dried vegetation from their property	p	11.5 %	28.4 %	36.2 %	17.4 %	6.4 %
	s_p	0.0166	0.0233	0.0244	0.0196	0.0127
There is forest protection	p	20.1 %	28.4 %	31.9 %	13.4 %	6.2 %
	s_p	0.0203	0.0232	0.0236	0.0175	0.0125
There is illegal occupation on forest lands	p	27.9 %	23.3 %	21.7 %	14.5 %	12.6 %
	s_p	0.0227	0.0220	0.0212	0.0178	0.0169
Citizens participate in reforestations	p	9.1 %	16.6 %	23.9 %	25.2 %	25.2 %
	s_p	0.0148	0.0190	0.0218	0.0226	0.0224

Facing and managing natural disasters in the Sporades Islands, Greece

P. Karanikola et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion