Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 1, 2667–2693, 2013 www.nat-hazards-earth-syst-sci-discuss.net/1/2667/2013/ doi:10.5194/nhessd-1-2667-2013 © Author(s) 2013. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences (NHESS). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in NHESS if available.

# A preliminary evaluation of surface latent heat flux as an earthquake precursor

W. Zhang<sup>1</sup>, J. Zhao<sup>1</sup>, W. Wang<sup>1</sup>, H. Ren<sup>1</sup>, L. Chen<sup>2</sup>, and G. Yan<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>State Key Laboratory of Remote Sensing Science, School of Geography, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China <sup>2</sup>College of Forestry, Beijing Forestry University, Beijing 100083, China

Received: 16 January 2013 - Accepted: 16 May 2013 - Published: 13 June 2013

Correspondence to: J. Zhao (201131170021@mail.bnu.edu.cn)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.



# Abstract

The relationship between variations in surface latent heat flux (SLHF) and marine earthquakes has been a popular subject of recent seismological studies. So far, there are two key problems: how to identify the abnormal SLHF variations from complicated background signals, and how to ensure that the anomaly results from earthquake. In this paper, we proposed four adjustable parameters for identification, classified the relationship and analyze SLHF changes several months before six marine earthquakes by employing daily SLHF data. Besides, we also quantitatively evaluate the long-term relationship between earthquakes and SLHF anomalies for the six study areas over a 20 yr period preceding each earthquake. The results suggest: (1) before the South Sandwich Islands, Papua, Samoa and Haiti earthquakes, the SLHF variations above their individual background levels have relatively low amplitudes and are difficult to be considered as precursory anomalies; (2) after removing the clustering effect, most of

- the anomalies prior to these six earthquakes are not temporally related to any earthquake in each study area in time sequence; (3) for each case, apart from Haiti, more than half of studied earthquakes which were moderate even devastating earthquakes (larger than  $M_w = 5.3$ ) had no precursory variations in SLHF; and (4) the correlation between SLHF and seismic activity depends largely on data accuracy and parameter settings. Before any application of SLHF data on earthquake prediction, we suggest that anomaly-identifying standards should be established based on long-term regional analysis to eliminate subjectivity. Furthermore, other factors which may result in SLHF
- analysis to eliminate subjectivity. Furthermore, other factors which may result in SLHF variations also should be carefully considered.

## 1 Introduction

Among a large number of so-called earthquake precursors (such as geomagnetism, gas composition and electromagnetic radiation), thermal variations have been of particular interest in the last several decades. In the earlier 1980s, temperature data obtained



from ground meteorological stations were used to study the relationship between earthquakes and soil or air temperature changes at different depths and elevations (Hao et al., 1982; Wang and Zhu, 1984). In recent years, the development of satellite and sensor technologies has allowed observation at much higher spatial and temporal res-

- <sup>5</sup> olutions. By using NOAA-AVHRR satellite thermal images, Tronin used thermal remote sensing data to observe abnormal infrared radiation in a seismically active region in central Asia (Tronin, 1996). Analogous remotely sensed images were also used in Russia, China, India, Mexico and other countries (Choudhury et al., 2006; Genzano et al., 2007; Ouzounov and Freund, 2004; Ouzounov et al., 2007; Pulinets et al., 2006;
- Qiang et al., 1997; Tronin, 2000). Furthermore, thermal remote sensing products have also been employed in the study of the relationship between thermal variations and seismic activity, such as outgoing longwave radiation (OLR) and temperature of black body (TBB) (Ouzounov et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2010).
- As a key component of Earth's energy budget, SLHF (surface latent heat flux) which represents the heat flux resulting from changes in water phase, has been recently proposed as a possible precursor to marine/coastal earthquakes. Dey and Singh firstly found that some anomalous SLHF peaks a few days prior to five earthquakes that occurred near the ocean, causing them to propose SLHF as a precursor to seismic activity in coastal regions (Dey and Singh, 2003). Based on their discovery, although
- some data-mining technologies, including wavelet transformation and spatial/temporal continuity analysis, have been consequently introduced to explore the temporal and spatial variations of SLHF before and after earthquakes (Cervone et al., 2004, 2005; Singh et al., 2007), there are quite a few of scientists still focusing on point and short-term analysis. Most of the present study of relationship between seismic activity and
- SLHF precursors generally consists of focusing on one or more specific earthquakes; comparing their individual daily SLHF for several months before the earthquake to background values (calculated differently by different authors); declaring anomalies; displaying several images of the variation in SLHF prior to and following the earthquake; and analyzing the spatial patterns of SLHF variations in a certain area (Chen



et al., 2006; Dey and Singh, 2003; Li et al., 2008; Pulinets et al., 2006; Qin et al., 2008, 2010).

As a potential earthquake precursor, SLHF variation is urged to be evaluated statistically. Although many scientists have studied the theory of pre-seismic thermal varia-

- tions (Freund et al., 2007; Pulinets et al., 2006; Saraf et al., 2009), there is still no comprehensive and widely accepted geophysical explanation for thermal changes prior to seismic activity. To get rid of false prediction caused by random noise or by chance coincidence, any earthquake predicting method (whether short-term or long-term) needs to be evaluated statistically (Kagan, 1997; Geller, 1997). Kagan and Jackson proposed
- a set of rules for evaluating earthquake forecasting methods during the famous VAN debate (Jackson, 1996; Kagan and Jackson, 1996). According to their research, any possible earthquake-predicting method should satisfy two basic standards: (1) the suitability of the method is ascertained and values of adjustable parameters are established during the learning period; and (2) no parameter fitting is allowed in the control
  stage. So far, there is hardly any published paper focusing on the evaluation of the
- so-called earthquake precursor–SLHF variation.

In this study, the evaluation procedure was carried out in three steps: identifying short-term anomalies based on other studies; determining if they are earthquakeinduced anomalies using long-term data; change some parameters to analyze their

- effect on the correlation foundation. As a result, this paper is organized as follows: earthquakes and SLHF products are introduced in Sect. 2; the quantitative short- and long-term relationships are illustrated, classified and evaluated in Sect. 3; the discussion is extended to SLHF data and related parameters to address the importance of data applicability and threshold settings in Sect. 4; and concluding remarks are given as Sect. 5.
- <sup>25</sup> in Sect. 5.



#### 2 Data description

## 2.1 Earthquakes

During the past decade, dozens of disastrous earthquakes occurred in close proximity to an ocean or below the seafloor. In this paper, we take six earthquakes into consid-<sup>5</sup> eration: Sumatra, Papua, Samoa, Haiti, Tohoku and one east of the South Sandwich Islands (hereafter referred to as ESSI). The main selection criteria include a magnitude of  $M_w = 7.0$  or larger, similar focal depth in the crust and near or beneath an ocean. Figure 1 shows the epicentral locations of the selected earthquakes, and Table 1 gives their basic information (http://earthquake.usgs.gov/).

#### 10 2.2 Surface latent heat flux data

Earth's surface not only absorbs and releases heat by electromagnetic radiation but also exchanges energy with the atmosphere through sensible and latent heat exchange. The former is caused by air turbulence or convection, and the latter is mainly caused by water phase changes. The term "surface latent heat flux" (SLHF) is used to <sup>15</sup> describe the flux of heat from the surface of the land or ocean to the atmosphere that is associated with the solidification, melting and transpiration of water (Bourras, 2006; Schulz et al., 1997). Due to the homogeneity of ocean medium, SLHF can be easily used to monitor heat variations at the ocean-atmosphere interface.

SLHF data can be obtained in various ways. Traditionally, SLHF has been computed from bulk formulas that use ship- or ground-based measurements. However, due to the low temporal and spatial resolution of this point-type data, the availability and accuracy of station-derived fluxes are relatively limited (Singh et al., 2001). By assimilating land surface, ship, rawinsonde, pibal, aircraft, remote sensing data and other available data, the NCEP/NCAR (National Centers for Environmental Prediction/National Center for

<sup>25</sup> Atmospheric Research) Reanalysis System provides global integrated reanalysis data series at an accuracy of 10–30 W m<sup>-2</sup>, suitable for long-term surveys (1979 and newer



data – the third phase of the evolution of the global observing system, i.e. the "modern satellite era"). The data employed in this paper were downloaded from the FTP Server ftp://ftp.cdc.noaa.gov. Daily mean SLHF data are represented by a Gaussian grid of 94 lines from 88.542° S to 88.542° N, with regular 1.875° longitudinal spacing and projected onto a rectangular grid (Kalnay et al., 1996; Kistler et al., 2001). Corresponding NCEP grid values can be calculated from the longitude and latitude of individual earth-quake epicenters (refer the last column of Table 1).

# 3 Method

5

# 3.1 Classification of relationship

To evaluate the correlation between earthquakes and SLHF anomalies statistically, we assumed their behaviours to be two independent events and classified their relationships into four categories: 00, 01, 10 and 11 (see Table 2). To our concerns, only anomalies that occurred within a specified time window before a given earthquake were considered. The definition of "anomaly" as well as "time window" will be given in the next Sect. 3.2.

Figure 2 shows the four types of relationship in the area of the Tohoku earthquake over a period of more than 20 yr. DOT stands for "day of total years", which spans from 1 January 1991 (DOT = 1) to 1 January 2012 (DOT = 4383). Dark triangles mark values that surpass the anomaly threshold, which could be interpreted as anomalous signals.

<sup>20</sup> The arrows indicate specific earthquakes during the study period. As the "00" category indicates a period of no seismicity or anomalies, only categories "01", "10" and "11" are discussed in the following sections.

# 3.2 Establishment of parameters

To define the thermal anomaly precisely, we firstly selected four adjustable parameters before the formal evaluating procedure: (1) M – an earthquake with a magnitude



M ( $M_w$ ) or larger is included in the earthquake list and is considered for correlation examination; (2) anomaly threshold – values beyond this threshold are considered as anomalies; (3) time window – the length of days between the beginning of an anomaly and an earthquake; and (4) E – the extent/amplitude of an anomalous value. For SLHF data, the unit of E is Wm<sup>-2</sup>.

5

10

15

Secondly, for all of these earthquakes, the values of former two parameters were preliminarily fixed according to previous researches. A comprehensive review of the literature on the identification of thermal anomalies, coupled with knowledge of seismology and statistics, suggests that: (1) the parameter *M* can be set at a magnitude of 5.0, which is a moderately sized earthquake; and (2) the anomaly threshold can be defined as the mean value of SLHF data over tens of years, including the study period, plus 2.0 times the standard deviation (i.e.  $\mu + 2.0\sigma$ ).

Thirdly, considering the various geological and climatic backgrounds of these six earthquakes considered here, the values of time window,  $\Delta$ DOT and *E* were established based on the short-term SLHF variations corresponding to each earthquake. The variation in SLHF for 90 days prior to and 30 days following each main shock is displayed in Fig. 3. The upper gray line shows the reference maximum values (i.e.

- anomaly thresholds). The lower black line represents the daily values of NCEP-SLHF grid points encompassing the epicenter of each earthquake. The bold black arrow indi-
- <sup>20</sup> cates the date of each earthquake and the triangle highlights SLHF anomalies. For the Sumatra earthquake, there was only one anomaly 69 days before the main shock. This anomaly lasted for 6 days and has an average value of 22.79 W m<sup>-2</sup>. Compared to the anomaly before the Sumatra earthquake, the anomaly associated with the ESSI earthquake was less significant; it lasted only 2 days and had a mean value of 7.77 W m<sup>-2</sup>.
- <sup>25</sup> However, given the amplitude of the SLHF variations in the ESSI area, this anomaly is still notable. The two anomalies before the Papua earthquake are difficult to identify, and both have low  $\Delta$ DOT and abnormal ranges. Interestingly, an anomaly occurred 7 days after the main shock, which was near the peak value for the three months surrounding the main shock. However, we only focus on precursory SLHF anomalies and



do not discuss this anomaly further. Seventy days prior to the Samoa earthquake, there was one obvious anomaly that continued into the next day and averaged  $18.04 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ , which is relatively significant. Two peaks occurred before the Haiti earthquake, but they are both small. Three peaks exceed the background level before the Tohoku earthquake. The mean values of these anomalies are larger than  $30 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ , exceeding its

 $\mu$  + 2.0 $\sigma$  threshold by nearly 200%. For each main shock, the values of time window and  $\Delta$ DOT are the maximum, while *E* is the average of anomaly values. Individual values of four parameters for each studied earthquake can be found in Table 3.

## 3.3 Identification and long-term evaluating

5

Based on the parameters established earlier in this paper, the long-term analysis for related SLHF variations and seismicity was carried out in two stages of comparison: "01" vs. "11" and "10" vs. "11".

In the first stage, we computed the probabilities of "01" and "11" occurring, i.e. we calculated the number of times that precursory changes in SLHF satisfied the standards

- <sup>15</sup> of being an anomaly and the probability of earthquakes that occurred within the given time window. The variations in SLHF within one NCEP grid cell might be affected by several factors, including seasonal changes, monsoons, and seismic activity. To assess the impact of earthquakes near the epicentral NCEP grid area, all earthquakes larger than a given magnitude (*M*) and within an area of approximately 1 million km<sup>2</sup> around
- the epicenter of each of the six earthquakes (roughly 10° longitude by 10° latitude; the individual area varies with the latitude of each epicenter) were taken into consideration. The results of this analysis are given in Table 4. To remove the foreshock-main shock-aftershock effect and its influence on later changes in SLHF, we also combined earthquakes within 30 days of each other (referred to as solo earthquakes).
- Table 4 gives the probabilities of "01" and "11" scenarios of relationships between SLHF anomalies and earthquakes. There are many instances in which the SLHF value surpassed the anomaly threshold. Haiti had the fewest anomalies. Even so, it had 42 abnormal variations over the past 20 yr. Before the removal of the earthquake



clustering effect, the numbers of earthquakes larger than M for each of the six cases were remarkable large. Except for Haiti, the percentages of "11" scenarios were significant, indicating that many earthquakes occurred after SLHF anomalies. After the de-clustering process, both the number of earthquakes and the percentage of "11"

- scenarios decreased significantly, and the correlation is statistically insignificant (see Table 5). Comparing the average surpassing values of SLHF variations which were with no related earthquake to the anomalies prior to these earthquakes (i.e. "01"), it shows that the anomalous peaks before the ESSI, Samoa and Haiti earthquakes are numerically insignificant. The values of *E* for ESSI, Samoa and Haiti in short term were successively 7.77, 18.04 and 11.48, while in long term were 9.57, 26.17 and 17.04. In
- other words, these SLHF fluctuations at such degrees may be very normal for these areas.

15

In the second stage of comparison, the probabilities of "10" and corresponding "11" scenarios were assessed. Instead of considering the seismicity in a  $10^{\circ}$  by  $10^{\circ}$  area surrounding each of the six epicenters, we focused on the earthquakes within the

- NCEP grid cell containing each epicenter. For each of the six earthquakes, an individual set of seismic events in the preceding 20 yr was constructed. The percentages of SLHF anomalies that were within the specified time window before the earthquakes are given in Table 6. Due to the SLHF data giving only daily mean values, any earth-
- quakes that occurred on the same day were merged into one event to prevent duplicate computations. For the ESSI case, the number of earthquakes was small while the number of anomaly was relatively very large. It is interesting that there were not any anomaly that corresponds with those three earthquakes in time sequence. Except Haiti case which all of those anomalies occurred within its specific time window of
- that certain earthquake, the probabilities of "11" for Sumatra, Papua and Tohoku case were less than 50%. In the area surrounding the Samoa earthquake epicenter, 12 earthquakes occurred during the study period, while half of earthquakes occurred after SLHF anomalies. In other words, for all earthquakes other than the Samoa and ESSI case, the percentages of "10" scenarios are distinctly higher than its counterparts, i.e.





most of the earthquakes are not sensitive to variations in SLHF, even in the very near vicinity. What should be paid attention is that the average magnitudes of earthquakes which belonged to "10" for five cases were all more than Mw 5.3.

#### 4 Discussion

# 5 4.1 Data applicability

Although the use of a homogeneous dataset (i.e. NCEP-SLHF) would have alleviated the error due to different SLHF observations, the NCEP dataset contains assimilative data whose accuracy relies on several factors. The accuracy of a single variable at different periods varies depending on the original data collection method. Although the NCEP reanalysis data assimilation system is consistent, the observing system has evolved substantially over time. The evolution of the global observing system is divided into three major phases: the "early" period from the 1940s through the International Geophysical Year in 1957, when the first upper-air observations were made; the "modern rawinsonde network" from 1958 to 1978; and the "modern satellite era" from 1979 to the present (Kalnay et al., 1996).

Therefore, the accuracy of reanalyzed surface latent heat fluxes is naturally timedependent. Given the evolution of data accuracy, the SLHF anomalies preceding the ESSI, Papua and Haiti earthquakes were measured using less accurate NCEP-SLHF data, i.e.  $10-30 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$  accuracy. Therefore, these variations may not be true anomalies. Because the history of NCEP data is very short compared with the earthquake

- <sup>20</sup> lies. Because the history of NCEP data is very short compared with the earthquake catalog, the date of a given earthquake should be considered before employing the NCEP/NCAR data in the study of SLHF variations prior to earthquakes. The output variables in NCEP/NCAR data are classified into four classes, depending on the degree to which they are influenced by the observational data and/or the assimilation model. Unfortunately, surface fluxes are among the "C" variables, which means that
- <sup>25</sup> model. Unfortunately, surface fluxes are among the "C" variables, which means that they depend heavily on the model during data assimilation (subject to the assimilation



of other observations) and should be used with caution (Kistler et al., 2001). If the model and its physical parameterizations are realistic, the SLHF data can provide accurate estimates, even on a daily time scale. However, it will be regionally biased if the model is biased. Hence, the model feasibility should be checked before using SLHF data from NCEP/NCAR to study any SLHF variations in a specific area.

Several scientists have misused NCEP/NCAR data when studying the relationships between SLHF variations and seismicity. To correctly identify and detect direct or indirect earthquake-induced changes in SLHF using NCEP-SLHF data, we suggest that long-term analysis be carried out for the study area to establish the background levels and check if any variations in them correlate with the SLHF changes and earthquakes.

#### 4.2 Parameter settings

5

10

15

Like other thermal precursors, despite of several years of intense work focusing on the application of SLHF data to the prediction of coastal earthquakes, obvious precursor anomalies are generally found retrospectively after the events. To find these anomalies, the evaluation criteria might be determined retroactively or adjusted, and there are no established and accepted parameters.

The anomaly threshold is the most important parameter to establish. When Dey and Singh proposed a probable relationship between SLHF anomalies and earthquakes, they accounted for seasonal effects by subtracting the monthly mean from the daily value and dividing the daily SLHF value by the standard deviation of the SLHF data for that specific day within each year from a 10 yr dataset. The background noise was calculated as the mean SLHF plus 1.5 times the standard deviation of SLHF (Dey and Singh, 2003). Other analogous thresholds have been given by other scientists, such as  $\mu + \sigma$  (Li et al., 2008; Publinets et al., 2006) or  $\mu + 2.0\sigma$  (Qin et al., 2010). SLHF fluctuations occur continuously in any area. Daily SLHF values in a given area over several years results in a large dataset that conforms to a normal distribution. According to the well-known 68-95-99.7 rule (three sigma rule), only approximately 86.6 % values



anomalies might be regular fluctuations due to seasonal factors and not seismicity. As Henk Tijms said, "the theory of probabilities . . . teaches us to avoid the illusions which often mislead us" (Tijms, 2007). Keeping the concept of normal distributions in mind may assist in determining the validity of seismic precursors. The conditions used to determine whether a specific variation in SLHF is anomalous behaviour is one of the key issues in the study of correlations between SLHF and earthquakes. Methodical detection of SLHF anomalies should be achieved when investigating the relationship between SLHF variations and earthquakes.

The time window is also fundamental to the correlation evaluation. Individual time <sup>10</sup> window for six cases were fixed according to the short-term SLHF variations. In fact, there is no known way to determine this parameter other than empirically. It might vary with the earthquake location, time and other related factors. To determine how the time window affects the calculated correlation between SLHF anomalies and earthquakes, we set ten time windows (90, 80, 70, 60, 50, 40, 30, 20, 10 and 5 days) and com-<sup>15</sup> puted the proportions of "01" and "10" scenarios for each window. Figure 4a illustrates

- the negative correlation between time window and the proportion of "01" scenarios. For a time window of 5 days, the proportions of all the six cases was 1, i.e. almost no earthquakes occurred within 5 days of each anomaly. Figure 4b shows the SLHF anomaly that occurred five to ten days before the Haiti earthquake. For the ESSI earthquake,
- the percentage of "10" scenarios is 100 % for all of these time windows, which indicates that 107 anomalies occurred more than 90 days before the three qualified earthquakes. For the other four earthquakes, the proportions decreased with longer time windows. As shown in Fig. 4, the correlation between thermal anomalies and seismicity is highly dependent on the length of the time window. The longer time window is set, the more
- thermal anomalies can be considered precursors of a specific earthquake. Therefore, the percentage of "11" scenarios increases with longer time windows and causes precursory activity to appear more likely.



## 5 Summary and conclusion

In light of these evaluation results obtained from this study, several conclusions can be drawn: (1) although some SLHF variations may surpass the background varying level, they still cannot be recognized as thermal anomalies according to their tiny surpassing

- amplitudes and SLHF data accuracy; (2) the clustering effect of earthquake sequence should be paid enough attention during the evaluation of relationship between SLHF variations and earthquakes; (3) the correlation of SLHF anomaly and seismic activity is relatively low (due to chance) and largely depends on several factors including data and parameter.
- We strongly recommend that standard SLHF anomaly detecting criteria should be established. While several adjustments to parameters at the learning stage are acceptable, one must ensure that the corresponding criteria have been clearly set and strictly employed before any phenomenon is formally defined as a precursor. Even if the geophysical theory is not understood thoroughly, predetermined identifying and analyzing procedures still need to be taken into account and addressed.

Based on the above findings, much further work can be effectively carried out. We will perform more evaluations on several other related thermal parameters which are derived by remote sensing or assimilation technology. Other related factors including the seasonal variations in wind and ocean current, regional salinity concentration and <sup>20</sup> relative humidity will be taken into account. Moreover, keeping the advantage of remote sensing data in spatial resolution in mind, further long term spatial analysis for the mentioned earthquakes will be carried out. Similar to one single NCEP grid analysis, parameters such as time window and anomaly threshold will be selected to study the

spatial and temporal relationship between earthquakes and thermal variations. More data mining technologies will also contribute to the following work.



Acknowledgements. The NCEP Reanalysis data provided by the NOAA/OAR/ESRL PSD, Boulder, Colorado, USA, from their web site at http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/. This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China Grant Nos. 41171265 and 40801131, and the National High-Tech Research and Development Plan of China Grant No.

<sup>5</sup> 2010AA122202. This work is also funded by major project of State Key Laboratory of Remote Sensing Science of China and the open foundation of Guangxi Key Laboratory of Spatial Information and Geomantic Grant Nos. 1103108-04 and 1103108-09. The authors would like to thank two anonymous reviewers for their valuable suggestions that have greatly improved this paper.

#### 10 References

20

- Bourras, D.: Comparison of five satellite-derived latent heat flux products to moored buoy data, J. Climate, 19, 6291–6313, doi:10.1175/JCLI3977.1, 2006.
- Cervone, G., Kafatos, M., Napoletani, D., and Singh, R. P.: Wavelet maxima curves of surface latent heat flux associated with two recent Greek earthquakes, Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 4, 359–374, doi:10.5194/nhess-4-359-2004, 2004.
- 4, 359–374, doi:10.5194/nhess-4-359-2004, 2004.
  Cervone, G., Singh, R. P., Kafatos, M., and Yu, C.: Wavelet maxima curves of surface latent heat flux anomalies associated with Indian earthquakes, Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 5, 87–99, doi:10.5194/nhess-5-87-2005, 2005.

Chen, M., Deng, Z., Yang, Z., and Ma, X.: Surface latent heat flux anomalies prior to the indonesia Mw 9.0 earthquake of 2004, Chinese Sci. Bull., 51, 1010–1013, doi:10.1007/s11434-006-1010-y, 2006.

- Choudhury, S., Dasgupta, S., Saraf, A. K., and Panda, S.: Remote sensing observations of pre-earthquake thermal anomalies in Iran, Int. J. Remote Sens., 27, 4381–4396, doi:10.1080/01431160600851827, 2006.
- <sup>25</sup> Dey, S. and Singh, R. P.: Surface latent heat flux as an earthquake precursor, Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 3, 749–755, doi:10.5194/nhess-3-749-2003, 2003.

Freund, F. T., Takeuchi, A., Lau, B. W. S., Al-Manaseer, A., Fu, C. C., Bryant, N. A., and Ouzounov, D.: Stimulated infrared emission from rocks: assessing a stress indicator, eEarth, 2, 7–16, doi:10.5194/ee-2-7-2007, 2007.



- Geller, R. J.: Earthquake prediction: a critical review, Geophys. J. Int., 131, 425–450, doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.1997.tb06588.x, 1997.
- Genzano, N., Aliano, C., Filizzola, C., Pergola, N., and Tramutoli, V.: A robust satellite technique for monitoring seismically active areas: the case of Bhuj–Gujarat earthquake, Tectonophysics, 431, 197–210, doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2006.04.024, 2007.
- physics, 431, 197–210, doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2006.04.024, 2007.
  Hao, S., Gao, H., and Wang, C.: Isothermal surface of Curie temperature around the Beijing area and its relation to earthquakes, Acta Geophys. Sinica, 25, 264–269, 1982.
  - Jackson, D. D.: Hypothesis testing and earthquake prediction, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 93, 3772–3775, doi:10.1073/pnas.93.9.3772, 1996.
- <sup>10</sup> Kagan, Y. Y.: Are earthquakes predictable?, Geophys. J. Int., 131, 505–525, doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.1997.tb06595.x, 1997.
  - Kagan, Y. Y. and Jackson, D. D.: Statistical tests of VAN earthquake predictions comments and reflections, Geophys. Res. Lett., 23, 1433–1436, doi:10.1029/95GL03786, 1996.
- Kalnay, E., Kanamitsu, M., Kistler, R., Collins, W., Deaven, D., Gandin, L., Iredell, M., Saha, S., White, G., Woollen, J., Zhu, Y., Leetmaa, A., Reynolds, R., Chelliah, M., Ebisuzaki, W.,
  - Higgins, W., Janowiak, J., Mo, K. C., Ropelewski, C., Wang, J., Jenne, R., and Joseph, D.: The NCEP/NCAR 40-year reanalysis project, B. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 77, 437–471, doi:10.1175/1520-0477(1996)077<0437:TNYRP>2.0.CO;2, 1996.

Kistler, R., Collins, W., Saha, S., White, G., Woollen, J., Kalnay, E., Chelliah, M., Ebisuzaki, W.,

Kanamitsu, M., Kousky, V., van den Dool, H., Jenne, R., and Fiorino, M.: The NCEP-NCAR 50-year reanalysis: monthly means CD-ROM and documentation, B. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 82, 247–268, doi:10.1175/1520-0477(2001)082<0247:TNNYRM>2.3.CO;2, 2001.

Larson, R. and Farber, E.: Elementary Statistics: Picturing the World, Pearson Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 2009.

Li, J., Wu, L., Wen, Z., and Liu, S.: Studies on abnormal surface latent heat flux prior to major coastal and terrestrial earthquake in China, Review of Science and Technology, 26, 40–45, doi:10.3321/j.issn:1000-7857.2008.05.008, 2008.

Ouzounov, D. and Freund, F.: Mid-infrared emission prior to strong earthquakes analyzed by remote sensing data, Adv. Space Res., 3, 268–273, doi:10.1016/S0273-1177(03)00486-1, 2004.

30

Ouzounov, D., Liu, D., Kang, C., Cervone, G., Kafatos, M., and Taylor, P.: Outgoing long wave radiation variability from IR satellite data prior to major earthquakes, Tectonophysics, 431, 211–220, doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2006.05.042, 2007.



Pape

Pape

**Discussion** Pape

**Discussion** Pape



- Pulinets, S. A., Ouzounov, D., Ciraolo, L., Singh, R., Cervone, G., Leyva, A., Dunajecka, M., Karelin, A. V., Boyarchuk, K. A., and Kotsarenko, A.: Thermal, atmospheric and ionospheric anomalies around the time of the Colima M7.8 earthquake of 21 January 2003, Ann. Geophys., 24, 835–849, doi:10.5194/angeo-24-835-2006, 2006.
- Qiang, Z., Gong, L., Zheng, L., Guo, M., Wang, G., and Zhao, Y.: An experimental study on temperature increasing mechanism of satellite thermo-infrared, Acta Seismological Sinica, 10, 247–252, doi:10.1007/s11589-997-0093-0, 1997.
  - Qin, K., Wang, B., Guo, G., and Yang, J.: Analysis thermal anomaly preceding Xinjiang earthquake using NCEP data, Journal of Jilin University (Earth Science Edition), 6, 1075–1080, doi:10.1671-5888(2008)06-1075-06, 2008.
- Qin, K., Wu, L., and Ma, W.: Spatial-temporal features of abnormal surface latent heat flux before the Qinghai Haixi earthquake sequence in 2009, Review of Science and Technology, 28, 68–73, 2010 (in Chinese with English abstract).

10

Saraf, A. K., Rawat, V., Choudhury, S., Dasgupta, S., and Das, J.: Advances in understanding
 of the mechanism for generation of earthquake thermal precursors detected by satellites, Int.
 J. Appl. Earth Obs., 11, 373–379, doi:10.1016/j.jag.2009.07.003, 2009.

- Schulz, J., Meywerk, J., Ewald, S., and Schlüssel, P.: Evaluation of satellitederived latent heat fluxes, J. Climate, 10, 2782–2795, doi:10.1175/1520-0442(1997)010<2782:EOSDLH>2.0.CO;2, 1997.
- Singh, R. P., Simon, B., and Joshi, P. C.: Estimation of surface latent heat fluxes from IRS-P4/MSMR satellite data, J. Earth Syst. Sci., 110, 231–238, doi:10.1007/BF02702238, 2001.
  - Singh, R. P., Cervone, G., Singh, V. P., and Kafatos, M.: Generic precursors to coastal earthquakes: inferences from Denali fault earthquake, Tectonophysics, 431, 231–240, doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2006.05.040, 2007.
- <sup>25</sup> Tijms, H.: Understanding Probability: Chance Rules in Everyday Life, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.
  - Tronin, A.: Satellite thermal survey a new tool for the study of seismoactive regions, Int. J. Remote Sens., 17, 1439–1455, doi:10.1080/01431169608948716, 1996.

Tronin, A.: Thermal IR satellite sensor data application for earthquake research in China, Int. J. Remote Sens., 16, 3169–3177, doi:10.1080/01431160050145054, 2000.

 Remote Sens., 16, 3169–3177, doi:10.1080/01431160050145054, 2000.
 Wang, L. and Zhu, C.: Anomalous variations of ground temperature before the Tangshan and Haicheng earthquakes, Journal of Seismological Research, 7, 649–656, 1984.



Zhang, Y., Guo, X., Zhong, M., Shen, W., Li, W., and He, B.: Wenchuan earthquake: brightness temperature changes from satellite infrared information, Chinese Sci. Bull., 55, 1917–1924, doi:10.1007/s11434-010-3016-8, 2010.



Table 1. Basic information of studied earthquakes.	
--	--

Name	Time (UTC)	Location (Lon, Lat)	Magnitude ( <i>M</i> <sub>w</sub> )	Depth (km)	NCEP grid (Lon, Lat)
Sumatra (1)	26 Dec 2004 00:58	95.982° E, 3.295° N	9.0	30	51, 49
ESSI (2)	2 Jan 2006 06:10	21.606° W, 60.957° S	7.4	13	107, 15
Papua (3)	3 Jan 2009 19:43	132.885° E, 0.414° S	7.7	17	70, 47
Samoa (4)	29 Sep 2009 17:48	172.095°W, 15.489°S	8.1	18	187, 39
Haiti (5)	12 Jan 2010 21:53	72.571°W, 18.443°N	7.0	13	134, 57
Tohoku (6)	11 Mar 2011 05:46	142.369° E, 38.322° N	9.0	32	76, 67

<b>NHESSD</b> 1, 2667–2693, 2013						
Prelimina evaluatio earthquake	ary SLHF on as an e precursor					
W. Zhar	ng et al.					
Title	Page					
Abstract	Introduction					
Conclusions	References					
Tables	Figures					
14	►I					
•	Þ					
Back	Close					
Full Scre	en / Esc					
Printer-frien	Printer-friendly Version					
Interactive	Discussion					
	BY					

**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper

Discussion Paper

**Discussion** Paper

Table 2. F	our categories	of the	relationship	between	earthquake a	nd anomaly
	our outegories		relationship	between	cui inqualic ui	ia anomary.

NO.	Earthquake	Anomaly
00	_	_
01	-	$\checkmark$
10	$\checkmark$	—
11	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$

Discussion Pa	<b>NHE</b> 1, 2667–2	<b>SSD</b> 693, 2013							
iber   D	Preliminary SLHF evaluation as an earthquake precursor								
iscussion	W. Zhar	W. Zhang et al.							
Pape	Title	Page							
	Abstract	Introduction							
	Conclusions	References							
iscussi	Tables	Figures							
on P	14	►I							
aper	•	► E							
	Back	Close							
Discu	Full Scre	en / Esc							
noiss	Printer-frien	dly Version							
Pape	Interactive	Discussion							
-									

Table 3. Four selected parameters of six earthquakes	s.
--	----

Name	M	Anomaly	Time	<i>E</i>
	(M <sub>w</sub> )	threshold	window	(Wm <sup>-2</sup> )
Sumatra ESSI Papua Samoa Haiti Tohoku	5.0	μ+2.0σ	70 80 70 70 20 90	22.79 7.77 8.80 18.04 11.48 30.55

Dieculesion Da	<b>NHESSD</b> 1, 2667–2693, 2013							
	Prelimina evaluatio earthquake	ary SLHF on as an e precursor						
	W. Zhar	ng et al.						
	Title	Page						
	Abstract	Introduction						
5	Conclusions	References						
	Tables	Figures						
2	14	►I.						
	•	Fille						
-	Back	Close						
	Full Scre	en / Esc						
	Printer-frien	dly Version						
D	Interactive	Discussion						
Dr		<b>O</b> BY						

Table 4. Probabilities of "01	" and "11".
-------------------------------	-------------

Name	Study period	Study area	No. of AN	No. of EQ	"01" %	"11" %
Sumatra	1 Jan 1984–31 Dec 2003	2° S–8° N, 90–100° E	81	251	22.2%	77.8%
ESSI	1 Jan 1985–31 Dec 2004	56–66° S, 17–27° W	100	375	12.0 %	88.0%
Papua	1 Jan 1984–31 Dec 2003	5° S–5° N, 127–137° E	77	61	56.0%	44.0%
Samoa	1 Jan 1989–31 Dec 2008	10–20° S, 167–177° W	86	866	9.3%	90.7 %
Haiti	1 Jan 1989–31 Dec 2008	13–23° N, 67–77° W	42	31	92.9%	7.1%
Tohoku	1 Jan 1990–31 Dec 2009	33–43° N, 137–147° E	149	998	2.7 %	97.3%

EQ: earthquake; AN: anomaly.



Name	Sumatra	ESSI	Papua	Samoa	Haiti	Tohoku
No. of solo EQ	37	31	28	3	22	4
"01"%	67.9%	66.0%	74.0%	95.3%	95.2%	95.3%
"11"%	32.1 %	34.0%	26.0%	4.7%	4.8%	4.7%
Ave. surpass value (W m <sup>-2</sup> )	19.39	9.57	20.21	26.17	17.04	23.75

Table 5. Probabilities of "01" and "11" after declustering.

EQ: earthquake.



Discussion Pap	<b>NHESSD</b> 1, 2667–2693, 2013							
oer   Dis	Preliminary SLHF evaluation as an earthquake precursor W. Zhang et al.							
cussion Pa								
per	Abstract	Introduction						
	Conclusions	References						
iscuss	Tables	Figures						
ion P	14	►I						
aper	•	×						
	Back	Close						
Discu	Full Screen / Esc							
ssion	Printer-friendly Version							
Pape	Interactive Discussion							
1	œ	<b>O</b>						

Table 6. Probabilities of "10" and "11".

Name	Study period	Study area	No. of EQ	No. of AN	"10"%	"11"%	$M (M_w)$
Sumatra	1 Jan 1984–31 Dec 2003	2.875–4.761° N, 95.625–97.5° E	37	89	59.5%	40.5 %	5.42
ESSI	1 Jan 1985–31 Dec 2004	59.998–61.903° S, 20.625–55.2° W	3	107	100 %	0	5.57
Papua	1 Jan 1984–31 Dec 2003	0.952° S-0.952° N, 131.25-133.13° E	16	96	62.5%	37.5 %	5.36
Samoa	1 Jan 1989–31 Dec 2008	14.285–16.19° S, 170.625–172.5° W	12	93	50 %	50%	5.38
Haiti	1 Jan 1989–31 Dec 2008	18.094–19.999° N, 71.25–73.125° W	1	45	0	100 %	-
Tohoku	1 Jan 1990–31 Dec 2009	37.142–39.047° N, 140.625–142.5° E	69	48	56.5%	43.5 %	5.50

EQ: earthquake; AN: anomaly.









**Fig. 2.** The four types of relationships between SLHF anomalies and earthquakes. \*A indicates earthquake A (15 October 1990,  $M_w = 6.5$ , 92.249° E, 2.211° S), B indicates earthquake 394 B (8 November 1995,  $M_w = 6.8$ , 95.050° E, 1.833° N).











Fig. 4. The relationship between the time window and percentages of "01"/"10" scenarios.

