



*Supplement of*

## **Ready, Set & Go! An anticipatory action system against droughts**

**Gabriela Guimarães Nobre et al.**

*Correspondence to:* Gabriela Guimarães Nobre ([gabriela.nobre@wfp.org](mailto:gabriela.nobre@wfp.org))

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the article licence.

## Section S1: Overview of ENSO states within rainy season of Mozambique

Table S1 Overview of the dominant ENSO signal within the rainy season of Mozambique based on the Oceanic Niño Index (ONI)

ENSO state	Rainy seasons in Mozambique
Neutral	1993/94, 1996/97, 2001/2, 2003/4, 2012/13, 2013/14, 2019/20
La Niña	1995/96, 1998/99, 1999/00, 2000/01, 2005/06, 2007/08, 2008/09, 2010/11, 2011/12, 2016/17, 2017/18, 2020/21, 2021/22, 2022/23
El Niño	1994/95, 1997/98, 2002/03, 2004/05, 2006/07, 2009/10, 2014/15, 2015/16, 2018/19, 2023/24


## Section S2: Overview of severe drought events

Count of SPI 2 and SPI 3 indicators at district level with severe threshold exceeded: values aggregated per province and window



Figure S1 Frequency in which the extracted SPI 2 and SPI 3 indicators were per zone and window exceeded or equaled the severe threshold since 1981. First, the counting is done per district and subsequently aggregated at the province level within window 1 (left) and window 2 (right). For an overview of the SPI 2 and SPI 3 belonging to windows 1 or 2, see Table 2. Bars are colored according to the ENSO dominant phase during the rainy cycle in Mozambique (red = El Niño, blue = La Niña and grey=Neutral). Top 5 years are highlighted per window and zone.

Overview of severe droughts within ON, ND, DJ and JF months



Severe threshold exceeded? No Yes

Figure S2 Time series of drought events according to SPI 2 (ON, ND, DJ and JF) per district and zone.

Overview of severe droughts within JF, FM, MA and MJ months





Figure S3 Time series of drought events according to SPI 2 (FM, MA, AM, and MJ) per district and zone.

Overview of severe droughts within OND, NDJ, DJF and JFM months



Severe threshold exceeded? No Yes

Figure S4 Time series of drought events according to SPI 2 (OND, NDJ, DJF, and JFM) per district and zone.

Overview of severe droughts within FMA, MAM and AMJ months




Figure S5 Time series of drought events according to SPI 2 (OND, NDJ, DJF and JFM) per district and zone

### Section S3: Bias correction spatial performance in relation to raw forecasts




Figure S6 Differences between the AUROC for severe events using bias correction methodology minus the AUROC score from raw forecasts. Regions in blue show the added value of bias correction, whereas in red regions with decreased skill due to BC. The plot shows the skill of the forecast issued in May.




Figure S7 Differences between the AUROC for severe events using bias correction methodology minus the AUROC score from raw forecasts. Regions in blue show the added value of bias correction, whereas in red regions with decreased skill due to BC. The plot shows the skill of the forecast issued in June.






Figure S8 Differences between the AUROC for severe events using bias correction methodology minus the AUROC score from raw forecasts. Regions in blue show the added value of bias correction, whereas in red regions with decreased skill due to BC. The plot shows the skill of the forecast issued in July.




Figure S9 Differences between the AUROC for severe events using bias correction methodology minus the AUROC score from raw forecasts. Regions in blue show the added value of bias correction, whereas in red regions with decreased skill due to BC. The plot shows the skill of the forecast issued in August.




Figure S10 Differences between the AUROC for severe events using bias correction methodology minus the AUROC score from raw forecasts. Regions in blue show the added value of bias correction, whereas in red regions with decreased skill due to BC. The plot shows the skill of the forecast issued in September.




Figure S11 Differences between the AUROC for severe events using bias correction methodology minus the AUROC score from raw forecasts. Regions in blue show the added value of bias correction, whereas in red regions with decreased skill due to BC. The plot shows the skill of the forecast issued in October.




Figure S12 Differences between the AUROC for severe events using bias correction methodology minus the AUROC score from raw forecasts. Regions in blue show the added value of bias correction, whereas in red regions with decreased skill due to BC. The plot shows the skill of the forecast issued in November.




Figure S13 Differences between the AUROC for severe events using bias correction methodology minus the AUROC score from raw forecasts. Regions in blue show the added value of bias correction, whereas in red regions with decreased skill due to BC. The plot shows the skill of the forecast issued in December.




Figure S14 Differences between the AUROC for severe events using bias correction methodology minus the AUROC score from raw forecasts. Regions in blue show the added value of bias correction, whereas in red regions with decreased skill due to BC. The plot shows the skill of the forecast issued in January.




Figure S15 Differences between the AUROC for severe events using bias correction methodology minus the AUROC score from raw forecasts. Regions in blue show the added value of bias correction, whereas in red regions with decreased skill due to BC. The plot shows the skill of the forecast issued in February.



## Section S4: Overview of the maximum ROC score




Figure S16 Month of the forecast that has the highest skill to predict severe droughts as per SPI 3 and SPI 2 index measured through the AUROC score.

Approach that leads to maximum ROC score




Figure S17 Overview of the forecast that yielded the highest skill to predict severe droughts as per SPI 3 and SPI 2 index measured through the AUROC score.

## Section S5: Detailed overview of the information chosen by Read, Set, Go! System




Figure S18 Chosen SPI2/SPI 3 indicator for the Ready, Set & Go! Trigger system for severe droughts for two trigger menu (emergency and general) and two windows of intervention (window 1 and window 2). No trigger for the Ready, Set & Go! for severe droughts were found for the districts in grey.




Figure S19 Expected success rate of the Ready, Set & Go! Trigger system for severe droughts for two trigger menu (emergency and general) and two windows of intervention (window 1 and window 2). No trigger for the Ready, Set & Go! for severe droughts were found for the districts in grey.

Lead time (in months) for the Go! phase per window




Figure S20 Lead time (in months) for the Go! phase to implement anticipatory action against severe droughts for two trigger menu (emergency and general) and two windows of intervention (window 1 and window 2). No trigger for the Ready, Set & Go! for severe droughts were found for the districts in grey.