



Review article: Snow and ice avalanches in high mountain Asia – scientific, local and indigenous knowledge

Anushilan Acharya¹, Jakob F. Steiner^{2,3}, Khwaja Momin Walizada⁴, Salar Ali⁵, Zakir Hussain Zakir⁵, Arnaud Caiserman⁶, and Teiji Watanabe⁷

¹Graduate School of Environmental Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan

²International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development, 44600 Lalitpur, Nepal

³Department of Geography and Regional Science, University of Graz, Heinrichstraße 36, 8010 Graz, Austria

⁴Aga Khan Agency for Habitat, Kabul, Afghanistan

⁵Department of Environmental Science, University of Baltistan, Skardu, 16100, Skardu, Pakistan

⁶Mountain Societies Research Institute, University of Central Asia, Khorog, 736000, Tajikistan

⁷Faculty of Environmental Earth Science and Graduate School of Environmental Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan

Correspondence: Anushilan Acharya (anushilanacharya@gmail.com) and Jakob Steiner (jff.steiner@gmail.com)

Received: 23 December 2022 – Discussion started: 12 January 2023

Revised: 6 May 2023 – Accepted: 9 June 2023 – Published: 20 July 2023

Abstract. The cryosphere in high mountain Asia (HMA) not only sustains the livelihoods of people residing downstream through its capacity to store water but also holds the potential for hazards. One of these hazards, avalanches, so far remains inadequately studied, as the complex relationship between climate and potential triggers is poorly understood due to lack of long-term observations, inaccessibility, severe weather conditions, and financial and logistical constraints. In this study, the available literature was reviewed covering the period from the late 20th century to June 2022 to identify research and societal gaps and propose future directions of research and mitigation strategies. Beyond scientific literature, technical reports, newspapers, social media and other local sources were consulted to compile a comprehensive, open-access and version-controlled database of avalanche events and their associated impacts. Over 681 avalanches with more than 3131 human fatalities were identified in eight countries of the region. Afghanistan has the highest recorded avalanche fatalities (1057), followed by India (952) and Nepal (508). Additionally, 564 people lost their lives while climbing peaks above 4500 m a.s.l., one-third of which were staff employed as guides or porters. This makes it a less deadly hazard than in the less populated European Alps, for example, but with a considerably larger number of people affected who did not voluntarily expose themselves to

avalanche risk. Although fatalities are significant, and local long-term impacts of avalanches may be considerable, so far, limited holistic adaptation or mitigation measures exist in the region. These measures generally rely on local and indigenous knowledge adapted to modern technologies. Considering the high impact avalanches have in the region, we suggest to further develop adaptation measures including hazard zonation maps based on datasets of historic events and modelling efforts. This should, however, happen acknowledging the already existing knowledge in the region and in close coordination with communities, local government and civil society stakeholders. More research studies should also be attempted to understand the trends and drivers of avalanches in the region.

1 Introduction

High mountain Asia (HMA) comprises the largest expanse of snow, glaciers and permafrost outside of the polar regions, and the meltwater from these cryospheric sources feeds 10 major river systems of Asia, sustaining the lives and livelihoods of approximately 1.9 billion people residing downstream. As such, it is very critical in terms of ensuring water availability for agriculture, domestic needs, industry, hy-

dropover and ecosystem services (Wester et al., 2019). However, the cryosphere in HMA also holds the potential for multiple hazards. Direct glacial hazards such as snow or ice avalanches, glacial lake outburst floods (GLOFs) after calving events or high melt, glacier detachments, and surges have been widely documented (Richardson and Reynolds, 2000). This is also true for indirect hazards like breaching of moraine-dammed lakes after intensive rainfall or disrupted irrigation channels associated with receding glaciers. Among all hazards, snow and ice avalanches (hereafter just referred to as avalanches) have received little attention in scientific literature in the region.

Avalanches cause a considerable amount of property and infrastructure damage annually and can severely affect socio-economic activities and livelihoods (McClung and Schaerer, 2006). The number of avalanche fatalities per year due to snow avalanches is estimated to be about 250 worldwide. In Europe and North America alone, avalanches claimed the lives of about 1900 people between the winters of 2000–2001 and 2009–2010 (Schweizer et al., 2021). In Switzerland, avalanches caused 37 % of 1023 fatalities associated with natural hazards between 1946 and 2015 (~ 5.5 fatalities per year), making it by far the deadliest category (Badoux et al., 2016). A later study finds a considerably higher number of > 20 fatalities per year between 1995 and 2014 (Berlin et al., 2019), indicating the challenge of such records, considering that Badoux et al. (2016) find a general decrease in avalanche fatalities. In the European Alps, ~ 100 fatalities per year occurred between 1937 and 2015 (Techel et al., 2016). In the USA, ~ 16 fatalities per year occurred between 1950 and 2018 (Peitzsch et al., 2020). Especially deadly events have historically been associated with mountain warfare, ranging from an event during an Alps traverse in 218 BC to the Alps traverse by Napoleon in the 19th century, fighting in the Dolomites during World War I as well as impacts along the Indo-Pakistan border (Schweizer et al., 2021). Individual death tolls of many thousands have also been recorded in the more recent past in the Andes (Plafker and Ericksen, 1978; Carey, 2005). Beyond human life, avalanches can have significant impacts on ecosystems (Bebi et al., 2009), livelihoods (Carey, 2005) and infrastructure. Compared to cryosphere hazards like glacier lake outburst floods (Emmer et al., 2022), avalanches have, however, received considerably less attention in literature.

The earliest investigations into avalanche hazards date back to the late 19th and early 20th centuries in Europe, North America and Japan (Ancy et al., 2005) but started much later in HMA regions (Deng, 1980; Yanlong and Maohuan, 1986, 1992). Prediction of snow cover and snow avalanche behaviour is very crucial in addressing adaptation, risk management and resilience strategies (Hovelsrud et al., 2018). In Switzerland, Bois et al. (1975) first attempted to develop models for avalanche forecasting, and Buser (1983, 1989) further worked on developing statistical models based on nearest neighbour methods for local fore-

casting. Numerical one-dimensional models like AVAL-1D, Voellmy–Salm or VALanghe RAdenti (VARA) (Barbolini et al., 2000; Bartelt et al., 1999; Christen et al., 2002) were used extensively in Switzerland and elsewhere in Europe, America and Asia. Their limitations in open terrain and terrain with several possible flow channels led to two-dimensional and three-dimensional models like SAMOS, a model developed to simulate dry snow avalanches (Sampl and Zwinger, 2004) or RAMMS (Rapid Mass Movement Simulation), a model to simulate flowing snow avalanches in complex terrain (Christen et al., 2010). Many of these modelling studies were motivated by risk assessments, especially after big avalanche events that caused considerable damage (e.g. the case of Galtür in 1999; Bründl et al., 2004; Fuchs et al., 2004; Keiler, 2004). As another direct result, mitigation and adaptation measures in Europe and North America have received considerable attention, and in countries like Canada, Switzerland or Norway the link between scientific evidence and agencies responsible for avalanche forecasting and hazard evaluation has been close. Parallel to model development, numerous studies have investigated the potential of remote sensing to detect and investigate snow avalanches (Eckerstorfer et al., 2016; Lato et al., 2012; Eckerstorfer et al., 2019; Bühler et al., 2009; Korzeniowska et al., 2017; Bühler et al., 2019). While some model studies have been attempted in the HMA in the 1990s and 2000s (Joshi et al., 2018; Singh et al., 2005), advanced remote sensing of avalanches in the region remains largely unexplored.

Ice avalanches have received less attention in literature due to a less frequent and often much more remote occurrence but have nevertheless been of interest for many years (Alean, 1985; Röthlisberger, 1977). They have been specifically investigated in the context of unstable ice in steep terrain in the European Alps, with an interest in characterising their magnitude (Vincent et al., 2015; Margreth et al., 2017) as well as predicting potentially hazardous break offs (Faillettaz et al., 2012, 2016; Pralong and Funk, 2006; Pralong et al., 2005; Caplan-Auerbach and Huggel, 2007). More recently the compound occurrence of ice and snow (Margreth and Funk, 1999; Bartelt et al., 2016) as well as ice and rock (Lipovsky et al., 2008; Huggel et al., 2005; Shugar et al., 2021) as part of a mass movement has received more attention, often resulting in very high-impact and long-runout scenarios.

Beyond the focus on avalanches as hazards, their response to climate change has been of interest, but so far no clear links are apparent, and studies remain largely limited to the French Alps (Martin et al., 2001; Eckert et al., 2010; Giacona et al., 2021; Eckert et al., 2013). To investigate avalanche occurrence and return periods in the past, dendrochronology has been extensively used (Casteller et al., 2008, 2011; Malik and Owczarek, 2009; Muntán et al., 2004; Tumajer and Treml, 2015).

In HMA, a comprehensive overview of the state of knowledge on snow and ice avalanches remains lacking. In this pa-

per, we therefore review knowledge and data on avalanches in the region. We rely on all available scientific literature, media coverage and records of local and indigenous knowledge. We do so to (a) quantify avalanches as a cryosphere hazard in the region for human life, livelihoods and infrastructure; (b) identify the sources of knowledge and its application for adaptation solutions, including a review of previously established programmes in response to avalanches; and (c) identify gaps and propose future directions of research and mitigation that should be undertaken to further strengthen the resilience of mountain communities against this hazard.

2 Data and methodology

Scientific literature on avalanches in HMA is limited. This is in contrast to the frequent occurrence of the hazard and repeated concerns by local mountain populations, who identify avalanches as a prime hazard of concern. Furthermore, the inclusion of local or indigenous knowledge in our understanding of avalanches, their impacts, and potential adaptation strategies remains rare in the literature in general (Butler, 1987; Carey, 2005; Alcaraz Tarragüel et al., 2012). The recent IPCC AR6 report has highlighted the importance of local and indigenous knowledge in mountain areas in our understanding of impacts and adaptation (Adler et al., 2022). In this study we therefore collected data from sources including peer-reviewed articles, newspaper articles, technical reports, social media and interaction with people in high mountain catchments in the region. The time period covered ranges from the earliest records of deaths in the late 20th century to June 2022. Information from the internet was verified by cross-checking with multiple other websites and reports. The information gathered likely does not include all events due to generally poor documentation of hazard events in the region (Emmer et al., 2022). An open-access and version-controlled database of events (HiAVAL) with all available information regarding the individual events and their impacts is available, where future updates can be traced (see the Data Availability section; Steiner and Acharya, 2023). We record events that affected high-altitude climbers (at peaks above 4500 m a.s.l.) separately, with the argument that these are people who willingly expose themselves to avalanche risk. Due to the relatively high number of fatalities from high-altitude mountaineering in HMA, these data would otherwise skew any statistics, and conclusions drawn are different than when speaking about impacts on civilians, exposed military personnel or tourists at lower elevations with no intentions of high-altitude mountaineering. In the data on high-altitude mountaineering our focus is more on the fraction of avalanche fatalities among guests (often foreign) and employees (guides, porters; often local).

While a separation is not always strictly possible, this study will focus on snow and ice avalanches. Rock avalanches (Deline et al., 2015) and events that include a

Table 1. Type and number of most important sources used in this study, including the number of events and fatalities they cover. A table with all used sources is provided in the database (see the Data Availability section). “Peer-reviewed literature” includes thesis documents. “Disaster reports” are online reports by agencies like UN OCHA (United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs), published after disaster events. “Databases” include public databases, like the Himalayan Database for high-altitude mountaineering accidents as well as non-public repositories, like those kept by AKAH (Aga Khan Agency for Habitat).

Type of source	Number	Events/fatalities
Peer-reviewed literature	14	42/733
Technical reports	4	7/883
News reports	33	35/394
Websites	5	29/151
Disaster reports	12	14/950
Oral sources	5	6/45
Databases	3	743/539

mixture of ice, snow, rock and debris (Shugar et al., 2021) have received increased attention globally but especially in HMA in recent years. In this study we will, however, only consider them when the initial dominant source was, and the initial release mechanism is, related to either ice or snow (as in the case in Nepal in 2015; Kargel et al., 2016) rather than, for example, rock fracturing (as in India in 2021; Shugar et al., 2021). While not strictly avalanches, we do consider glacier detachments to be a sub-class of ice avalanches in this review (Kääb et al., 2021).

An overview over the number and type of sources investigated in this study, and where the numbers on avalanche events and fatalities come from, is provided in Table 1. A full source document is provided in the online database (Steiner and Acharya, 2023).

Beyond national borders we use the HiMAP delineation for regions in HMA but combine all subregions of the Tian Shan (inventory OBJECTIDs 1–3) to “Tian Shan”, Pamir and Alay (5–7) to “Pamir”, eastern (12) and central (11) Himalaya to “Himalaya Central”, and all events from the Chinese Tibetan Plateau and its fringes (16, 21, 22) to “Tibet”.

3 Avalanche types and triggering mechanisms

Currently, no documentation of the specific frequency distribution of avalanches exists for HMA, nor does a systematic categorisation of their type. Snow avalanches are characterised based on their release type, sliding surface, motion and free water content. They are broadly classified into loose and slab avalanches, and both of these can be wet, dry and damp and can be either grounded, aerial or mixed. In glaciated, steep high mountain environments, the occurrence of hanging glaciers and seracs adds other potential processes. As parts of ice collapse and drop over headwalls often more

than 1 km in height, the ice and snow mix turns into a powder cloud that travels at high speed and has a large impact potential (Margreth et al., 2017; Pralong and Funk, 2006). As a consequence, such glaciers are also referred to as avalanching glaciers.

3.1 Snow avalanches on the Tibetan Plateau and the Chinese Tian Shan

General climate conditions of the avalanche areas are considered to describe snowpack conditions of the mountain areas. Three types of snow climate in mountain ranges have been categorised: maritime, transitional and continental (Mock and Birkeland, 2000; Yanlong and Maohuan, 1992). A number of studies on the Tibetan Plateau have investigated such classifications. In China, avalanches may occur throughout the year in an alpine zone with perennial snow cover, mostly wet avalanches in summer and dry avalanches in winter. Intermediate zones of mountains in the Tibetan Plateau with ample solid precipitation and low temperatures favour avalanches during the winter to spring season and in some places from late autumn to early summer (Yanlong and Maohuan, 1986). Continental-type avalanches are common in Tian Shan and Altay Shan (Yanlong and Maohuan, 1986; Hao et al., 2021a). In south-eastern Xizang (Tibet), maritime avalanches are common, and transitional-types are common over the northern slope of Daxue Shan in Sichuan, the western Nyainqentanglha Shan and Gangdise Shan in southern Xizang (Yanlong and Maohuan, 1986). Similarly, Hao et al. (2021a) classified mid-latitude regions of the central Tian Shan to be of the continental snow climate type.

Early studies along highways built on the Tibetan Plateau in China found hazardous large avalanches in the south-east to be mostly wet snow avalanches, with occasional full-depth avalanches and traces of fresh dry snow avalanches (Yanlong and Maohuan, 1986, 1992), but release mechanisms were not discussed. Avalanches occur mainly in February and March when both temperature and snowfall increase sharply. Avalanches were generally recorded when mean daily temperatures were between 2 and 0 °C, with successive heavy snowfalls, or when mean monthly air temperatures are above −3 °C. Similarly, a deep snowpack (> 0.7 m) on steeper slopes (> 35°) favours full-depth avalanches (or what the authors call depth-hoar avalanches) in the same region (Wang, 1988).

Hao et al. (2021a) provided a comprehensive study from a research station in the Tian Shan, relying on field data between 2015 and 2019 along the National Road (G218) that often is affected by avalanches in the spring and winter. They categorised avalanches into four categories: full-depth dry snow avalanche (FDA), full-depth wet snow avalanche (FWA), surface-layer dry snow avalanche (SDA) and surface-layer wet snow avalanche (SWA), based on failure layers and the properties of snow and avalanche deposits. They identified SDAs to be the most hazardous types, occur-

ring in the middle of the snow season, triggered by heavy snowfall and wind. They caused the highest avalanche volumes as a result. FWAs occurred late in the season as temperatures were rising quickly. In the same region, Hao et al. (2018) found avalanche release prevalent when precipitation was > 20.4 mm during a single storm period during the study period from November 2011 to April 2017. Of 37 avalanches, 18 were directly associated with precipitation as a trigger, followed by 10 events that were associated with rising temperatures as the main cause, whereas six events were associated with earthquakes with magnitudes $3.3 \leq M_L \leq 5.1$, and three events were triggered by other factors. Heavy snow precipitation followed by rising temperatures within 3 d and daily mean temperature approaching 0.5 °C the next day tends to increase the risk of avalanches in late February to mid-March. Generally, avalanches release at slopes between 30 and 50° (Schweizer and Jamieson, 2003; McClung and Schaerer, 2006). Release zones of two-thirds of mapped avalanches in Hao et al. (2018) ($n = 64$) were between 36 and 42° steep, ($\mu = 37.2^\circ$) and even shallower in a regional study using remote sensing data, with slopes predominately between 25 and 35° (Yang et al., 2020). This is considerably shallower than release areas in the Himalaya or than in previous studies in the Alps (38.8°) or Japan (41.2°). The density of the deposits of dry avalanches ranged from 150 to 300 kg m⁻³, whereas wet avalanches which mostly occurred when the temperature rose sharply had a deposit density of 500–700 kg m⁻³ (Hao et al., 2018).

More recently, a study at one of these research sites showed that the size and number of avalanches between 1968 and 2021 increased, albeit insignificantly, following an increase in high intensity snowfall events (Hao et al., 2023). They also found an indication that during this time period snow avalanches occurred approximately 1 week earlier than before.

3.2 Snow avalanches in the Himalaya

In the western Himalaya, Sharma and Ganju (2000) classified snow climate as the lower Himalayan zone or subtropical zone (3200–4100 m a.s.l.), the middle Himalayan zone (3500–5300 m a.s.l.), and the upper Himalayan zone or high-altitude zone (5000–5600 m a.s.l.). They used this to categorise avalanching based on terrain parameters together with 11-year meteorological datasets. The lower Himalayan zone is subject to high avalanche activity due to warm temperature, high precipitation and relatively short cold seasons, resulting in slab avalanches in peak winter and wet avalanches in late winter often mixed with debris and soil. The middle Himalayan zone is mostly affected by shallow slab avalanches, massive slab avalanches from wind-loaded slopes and wet avalanches in April and May, with incidences of loose snow avalanches.

In a study in the western Himalaya, 42 % of avalanches were found to be loose snow avalanches, and the failure of

soft slabs accounted for 17 % (Ganju et al., 2002). In the Himalaya, avalanche formation zones are usually above the tree line at very high altitudes (above 4000 m a.s.l.) and on critical slopes (30 to 45°), where heavy snow deposition due to the wind is prevalent. Similarly, airborne powder avalanches are also common (Rao, 1985). Several studies in the Indian Himalaya conclude that release areas lie between 25 to 40° (Kumar et al., 2017; Sharma and Ganju, 2000; Singh and Ganju, 2008; Singh et al., 2018; Snehmani et al., 2014).

Most of the avalanche events in the central Himalaya occur during the drier periods due to higher temperature variability in the pre-monsoon season, making it favourable for wet avalanches. Weakened snowpack from the warm monsoon season, formed as the increased water content breaks down bonds between snow grains, results in avalanches during the post-monsoon season. In the western part of the Himalaya, most of the avalanche events occurred during the winter season as a result of excessive snow loading on snow covered terrain because of heavy winter precipitation due to westerly disturbances (Prakash et al., 2014). For the European Alps, Ancey (2001) suggests that an accumulation threshold of 30 cm d⁻¹ results in widespread avalanching. Beyond an accumulation of 1.5 m during snowstorms, numerous avalanches were observed in the western Himalaya (Sharma and Ganju, 2000). Two notable avalanche events in HMA were triggered by seismic movement, namely the avalanches during the 2015 Gorkha earthquake (Fujita et al., 2017; Kargel et al., 2016) and the Lenin Peak avalanche in 1990, although the initial source of these events was failure of glacier ice, possibly exacerbated by excessive snow loading.

A number of events that caused severe impacts happened in the central Himalaya when monsoon precipitation came especially late (e.g. post-monsoon season, 2022) or typhoons in the Bay of Bengal (e.g. post-monsoon season, 2014; see Norris et al., 2015; Cannon et al., 2017). These weather systems caused excessive snowfall that led to avalanches in the short (Fig. 1; see also news reports from the post-monsoon season, 2022, which left at least 30 people dead and post-monsoon season, 2014, which left at least 40 people dead in Nepal and India) as well as the long run (Fujita et al., 2017).

On a much larger temporal scale, Ballesteros-Cánovas et al. (2018) find that in the western Himalaya warming is responsible for the increasing number of avalanches. Mostly rising temperatures during winter and early spring favoured the wetting of snow and release of wet snow avalanches, whose runout can reach up to subalpine slopes and pose threats to livelihoods, infrastructure and ecosystems.

3.3 Ice avalanches

Ice avalanches are common in glaciated mountain ranges and have resulted in avalanche disasters including the Huascaran avalanches in Peru in 1962 and 1970 and the Mattmark/Al-lalin disaster in Switzerland in 1962 (McClung and Schaerer,

2006), events that resulted in 22088 deaths and heightened awareness of this hazard at the time. Ice avalanches can be divided into two classes, namely collapsing hanging glaciers or avalanching glaciers (Faillettaz et al., 2012) and glacier detachments (Kääb et al., 2021). The first refers to the calving or falling of ice masses or seracs at positions where glacier ice flows over a steep slope or along a ridge. This occurs as a result of a tensile failure of the ice induced by the combination of internal flow (creep) and sliding of the glacier over its bed. Multiple external factors can contribute to such an event, including melt water as well as extra load on top of the ice (Faillettaz et al., 2012). The second case results when a large piece of the glacier tongue detaches to form an ice avalanche.

In HMA both types have been frequently reported. Collapse of glacier seracs in icefalls is responsible for many fatalities in the HMA. For instance, the 2014 Khumbu ice fall avalanche claimed 16 lives and again 23 in 2015 (Thakuri et al., 2020). In 2008, serac falls at the bottle neck below the peak claimed 11 lives on Chhogori (Kutsch et al., 2017). Seismic activity can also induce failure. The avalanche in Langtang Valley in 2015 was triggered by the Gorkha earthquake (Kargel et al., 2016), and the same earthquake was responsible for the avalanche in the Khumbu ice fall in 2015 (Bartelt et al., 2016). The so-called Lenin Peak disaster that killed 43 people on 13 July 1990 was also caused by a serac fall after seismic shocks. Ice avalanches from seracs or hanging glaciers can occur multiple times a day at the same location, resulting in runouts and powder clouds of just a few hundred metres to multiple kilometres (Fig. 2). Ice avalanches also provide a link between the accumulation and ablation zones in the steep topography of the region (Laha et al., 2017). Ice avalanches are more frequent in spring or summer as more basal melt water becomes available (McClung and Schaerer, 2006). Some ice avalanches, like the case in Kobang in 2021 (Fig. 2b) or the Langtang avalanche in 2015, also increase their downstream impact as they pick up more snow and debris along their path and hence become classical examples of cascading hazards.

Glacier detachments are considerably rarer than collapses of hanging glaciers, but recent studies attribute previously unknown cases to this process (Kääb et al., 2021; Leinss et al., 2021). In 2022, 140 people lost their lives in the Kolka–Karmadon rock-ice avalanche (Huggel et al., 2005), and 9 people were killed in the Aru Co detachment (Kääb et al., 2018). The two cases that occurred in 2022, with a lot of media attention in Italy and Kyrgyzstan, possibly fall in one of these two types or somewhere in between. Similarly, previous events in the Upper Indus Basin that caused significant repeat destruction have now been identified as a process where melt water accumulates in crevasses and leads to a failure of the tongue, rather than a GLOF as previously suspected.




Figure 1. (a) Glide crack in the snowpack ($d \sim 40$ cm) propagating to the ground in a $20\text{--}25^\circ$ slope as a potential precursor to a glide avalanche (October 2022, Thapa Khola, Mustang, Nepal, 4900 m a.s.l. SE exposition; Pasang Tshering Sherpa). The snowpack at this location is only 1 week old after an exceptionally late monsoon precipitation event, combined with still relatively high temperatures. (b) Ground avalanche at the same elevation but W exposition (October 2022, Jakob Steiner).

4 Recorded snow and ice avalanches in HMA

The HiAVAL database has a total of 681 entries between 1972 and 2022. Only one event has an unclear year of occurrence, 97 % have a known month and 95 % a known day. For 91 % of the events, the avalanche type is recorded. For 81 %, the record just notes a slab avalanche, and another 3 % each note a snow or wet snow avalanche. Less than 0.5 % are ice avalanches and 2.5 % glacier detachments. For 97 % of all events, coordinates are known; however, in nearly all cases that only refers to the general mountain slope and does not allow one to identify source or runout area exactly. A total of 91 % of all avalanche events occur between January and March (76 % if only considering events with recorded impacts; Fig. 4b).

Only 145 (21 %) of all events have some reported impact, and in the discussion of the results we will only refer to this fraction (Fig. 4). A large number of avalanches without any recorded impact were mapped in Afghanistan, where a dedicated monitoring project has been put in place after 2015. A total of 44 % of these events occurred in the Pamir, 18 % in the central Himalaya, 17 % in the Hindu Kush, 11 % in the western Himalaya, 3 % each in the Karakoram and the Tian Shan, and less than 1 % on the Tibetan Plateau. Additionally, 48 % of these events with impacts were recorded in Afghanistan, 16 % in India, 15 % in Nepal, 9 % in Tajikistan, 4 % in Pakistan, 3 % each in Kazakhstan and Kyrgyzstan, and 2 % in China. No recorded events exist for Bhutan. There is a clear increase in events after 2010, which can be explained by the monitoring project initiated in Afghanistan that comprehensively recorded events in the Hindu Kush and the Pamir. Fatalities are also extreme in this decade, which can be explained by extreme events in both Nepal and Afghanistan in 2015 (Table 2). In 116 events a total of 3131 people were killed, 2517 of which in Afghanistan (1057), India (952) and Nepal (508). In 45 events a total of 531 injured people were recorded.

In 24 avalanche events a total of 1902 livestock were recorded killed, often with more than 100 hundred individual yaks or goats killed in a single avalanche.

Avalanches with recorded impacts have been documented in nearly all parts of HMA, naturally clustered around the topographically rougher ring of mountain ranges from the Tian Shan in the north-west, to the Pamir, Hindu Kush, Karakoram and Himalaya in the south-east and virtually no records on the Tibetan Plateau (Fig. 3a). Large-scale events with more than 100 fatalities have occurred all over the Himalayan arc and the Pamir (Fig. 3b). In 32 avalanches more than 10 people were killed, with 2435 fatalities making up 78 % of the total (Table 2).

Avalanche fatalities for single events are generally high ($\mu = 27$, $\sigma = 32$). Of all events, 2 % (11 events) had more than 50 fatalities, and 12 % of events had less than 10 fatalities. However, in many years there were no recorded events at all.

In Afghanistan and Tajikistan predominately civilians were affected in their respective settlements or during travels on foot or on the road. Reported cases in China and Kyrgyzstan often included workers in infrastructure projects. Relatively few events impacted tourists not involved in high-altitude mountaineering (which we discuss separately in Sect. 4.3). A total of 201 military personnel (soldiers as well as civilian employees of the army) were killed along borders in the region, predominately in India and Pakistan.

4.1 Central Asia (Pamir, Hindu Kush, Tian Shan, Inner Tibet; Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Afghanistan, Pakistan, China)

Avalanches in the Central Asian Tian Shan and Pamir (and here mainly limited to Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan, with fewer cases in Kazakhstan and China) have affected skiing areas, blocked roads, and destroyed infrastructure like bridges, schools, hospitals and buildings and have repeatedly caused



Figure 2. (a) Serac fall at Manaslu (Nepal, stills from a movie; October 2022, Phurba Sonam Sherpa, <https://www.instagram.com/p/Ci33N2lpfmW/?hl=en>, last access: 18 July 2023). (b) Kobang avalanche – stills from a mobile movie taken from the Kobang Village towards Tukuhe Peak (Mustang, Nepal, November 2021; https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dxWp1D44_v4, last access: 18 July 2023). Note the dimension of the avalanche towards the second arm on the left. The powder cloud travelled nearly 10 km along the slope, spanning an elevation of nearly 4000 m a.s.l. (c) Serac fall and subsequent powder cloud at Langtang Lirung (Langtang Valley, Nepal; November 2021, Jakob Steiner). (d) Ice and snow avalanche deposits below Langshisha Ri (November 2021, Jakob Steiner). Note the gully erosion caused by repeated avalanche and subsequent small debris flow runouts over many seasons. (e) Powder avalanche captured on 17 April 1995 on Landsat 5 over Khurdopin Glacier. The total length of the powder cloud is just above 7 km along the slope at this moment.

Table 2. Overview over avalanches with more than or equal to 10 fatalities.

Location	Year	Month	Day	Latitude	Longitude	Country	Type	Fatalities
Lahaul and Spiti	1978	3	NA	32.759515	76.19334	India	NA	30
Lahaul Valley	1979	3	NA	31.841332	77.485476	India	NA	237
Shigar	1988	NA	NA	35.592339	75.568032	Pakistan	NA	42
Gokyo	1995	11	12	27.912404	86.683499	Nepal	NA	48
Anzob	1997	10	23	39.041862	68.836962	Tajikistan	NA	46
Banihal, Jammu and Kashmir	1998	2	25	33.430363	75.214877	India	NA	11
Jammu and Kashmir	2005	2	18	33.793152	74.346548	India	Snow avalanche	540
Jirgital	2006	1	30	39.405905	71.091765	Tajikistan	Snow avalanche	18
Anzob	2007	12	22	39.272888	68.541344	Tajikistan	Snow avalanche	16
Ili	2008	3	17	43.92	81.32	China	NA	16
Salang	2009	1	16	35.305848	69.049623	Afghanistan	NA	10
Salang	2010	2	8	35.310158	69.045199	Afghanistan	NA	175
Kohistan	2010	2	16	35.411909	72.94035	Pakistan	Snow avalanche	120
Afghanistan Fayzabad	2012	1	18	37.1175	70.579722	Afghanistan	Snow avalanche	29
Afghanistan	2012	1	23	36.949934	70.116616	Afghanistan	Snow avalanche	43
Badakhshan	2012	3	4	38.2	70.933333	Afghanistan	Snow avalanche	196
Gayari Military Base, Ghanche	2012	4	7	35.498008	76.753358	Pakistan	Ice and rock avalanche	140
Kaicheng Town, Yuanzhou District	2012	6	5	36.210025	104.213076	China	NA	10
Kajlar, Raghistan, Badakhshan	2015	2	21	37.702133	70.735718	Afghanistan	Snow avalanche	12
Langtang	2015	4	25	28.237596	85.498829	Nepal	Ice and rock avalanche	350
Siachen Glacier	2016	2	3	35.452442	77.085467	India	NA	10
Karnali	2016	NA	NA	29.43017	83.095098	Nepal	NA	16
Gurez Sector	2017	1	25	34.634041	74.773255	India	NA	24
Nuristan	2017	2	4	35.317202	70.898674	Afghanistan	NA	137
Barghud, Maimai, Badakhshan	2017	2	4	38.155406	71.2398802	Afghanistan	Snow avalanche	10
Line of Control	2020	1	14	34.397451	73.860665	India	Snow avalanche	10
Line of Control	2020	1	14	34.827012	74.358551	Pakistan	Snow avalanche	55
Daykundi	2020	2	13	33.703958	66.036936	Afghanistan	NA	22
Zarandabad, Khahan, Badakhshan	2021	3	5	NA	NA	Afghanistan	Snow avalanche	14
Salang	2021	3	NA	35.310158	69.045199	Afghanistan	NA	14
Chamoli	2021	4	23	30.822323	79.966271	India	Ice avalanche	15
Kunar Province, Afghanistan	2022	2	7	35.117071	71.559069	Afghanistan	Snow avalanche	19

NA: information on avalanche type not available.

loss of life. More than 270 people have been killed since the 1990s (see selected events in Table 2). Hotspots were roads like the Anzob Pass between Dushanbe and Khujand, where 77 people died. As a result, preliminary assessments have been conducted. A total of 298 avalanches were recorded in Kyrgyzstan between 1990 and 2009, and an initial susceptibility mapping was conducted for one of the most important roads in the country (Chymyrov et al., 2019). The only country in HMA where avalanche deaths were recorded in a skiing area is Kazakhstan, where two accidents happened at the Shymbulak resort.

Afghanistan has seen especially strong avalanche impacts in recent decades. Nearly 1000 people were killed between 2009 and 2022 alone. With events occurring in very remote regions, relief operations are often difficult. A recent remote sensing study suggests that since 1990 approximately 800 000 large avalanches with an area coverage of 28 500 km² occurred in Afghanistan and are responsible for damaging several villages and blocking roads and streams. The avalanche deposits have impacted about 26.2 % of the

river network in the high mountains in the Amu Panj basin (Caiserman et al., 2022). Hazard and vulnerability risk assessments in three countries (Tajikistan, Afghanistan, and Pakistan) showed that 571 villages are at risk of avalanches, with 30 000 people directly being exposed to avalanches, 75 % of which are in Afghanistan (Chabot and Kaba, 2016). A World Bank report (Ranghieri et al., 2017) on hazards in Afghanistan notes that 2 million people, USD 4 billion of assets, and 10 000 km of roads are exposed to avalanches, of which USD 990 million are in Badakhshan alone. From 2000 to 2015, more than 153 000 people were affected. More than 300 people were killed in 2015, the majority in Panjshir Valley. No significant efforts in terms of mitigative measures for protecting settlements and infrastructure have been made so far, except a few attempts to test early warning systems around the Salang Pass.

4.2 Karakoram and Himalaya (India, Nepal)

Ganju and Dimri (2004) provide an overview of avalanches in the Indian western Himalaya including 109 villages in Hi-




Figure 3. (a) Distribution map of avalanche events throughout HMA since 1972. Locations marked with a triangle are events with some recorded impact (fatalities or injured people, livestock killed or infrastructure damaged or destroyed). The colour refers to the year of the record. (b) All events with recorded fatalities from individual events. The black outline shows regional outlines of high mountain Asia, with numbers referring to region-IDs (Bolch et al., 2019) used in the avalanche database.

machal Pradesh, 91 in Jammu and Kashmir, and 16 in Uttarakhand. The avalanches created a sudden cut-off in essential supplies, loss of forest cover, loss of soil cover, road damage, hindrance in the hill development schemes, and deposits resulting in dams subsequently causing flooding. Moreover, these avalanches severely affected military operations and border area security. Many important road connections, such as Manali–Leh, and the Hindustan–Tibet road in Himachal Pradesh remain cut off for at least 5 to 6 months per year due to winter snow and avalanche threats. A case study in Jammu and Kashmir, India, from February 2005 reports the incidences of snow avalanches in the Anantnag, Poonch, Doda and Udhampur districts (Indira Gandhi National Open Uni-

versity, 2017). More than 200 000 people were directly affected by a series of avalanches, 278 people lost their lives and avalanches submerged several houses.

Avalanches with recorded impacts in the central Himalaya are few and are mainly associated with extreme weather events, especially when typhoons from the Bay of Bengal result in intense snowfall. Such events have resulted in countless avalanche deaths every decade since the 1970s. Avalanches released during the 2015 earthquake also caused numerous deaths (> 350). Although the main trigger in this case was the seismic shock, heavy snowfall from the previous year's typhoon potentially exacerbated the impact (Fujita et al., 2017).

4.3 Avalanche fatalities in high-altitude mountaineering

HMA is home to the highest peaks on the planet, including all those above 8000 m a.s.l. High-altitude mountaineering is an important economy in some regions (India, Nepal, China and Pakistan; to a more limited degree in the Central Asian states), which started with the first attempts of peaks above 6000 m a.s.l. at the end of the 19th century. In areas like the Khumbu in Nepal or the Baltoro region in Pakistan, individual peaks are scaled by more than 500 people per year. Table 3 depicts the avalanche deaths on all 14 of the 8000 m a.s.l. peaks (covering a period from 1895 to 2021, although most cases stem from the time after the 1930s), as well as high peaks for which accident records exist in the Himalayan Database (for the Himalaya, recording from 1930 to 2021) or news articles (outside of it). Deaths because of serac falls, icefalls and snow avalanches are incorporated in this table. Especially deadly events include an avalanche on Qomolangma on 18 April 2014, claiming the lives of 16, and an earthquake-triggered avalanche on 25 April 2015 killing 23, halting the expedition season for 2 consecutive years (2014 and 2015).

Overall, out of a total of 564 avalanche deaths, 191 deaths (34 %) were of locally hired personnel, with the highest fraction (66 %) on the highest peak, observations that have already been made in a previous study exclusively focusing on avalanche deaths during climbing on the highest peaks (McClung, 2016). These rates are more concentrated in the central Himalaya, where expeditions rely more on large groups of porters and guides. In the Khumbu, more locally hired people are affected by avalanches, as they contribute to a larger extent and for a longer time period to prepare the way to the summit (so called “icefall doctors”), exposing themselves to avalanche-prone areas. Other mountains with peaks above 4500 m a.s.l. recorded 207 fatalities in 56 different accidents throughout the region.




Figure 4. (a) Decadal avalanche events with some recorded impact (fatalities or injured people, livestock killed or infrastructure damaged or destroyed) and (b) fatalities (stacked bars, where grey borders separate individual events). Note that the decade from 2020 only includes 2.5 years of data. (c) Avalanche events with recorded impacts by month. Note that for the months from May to December a maximum of 3 events per region and month have been recorded, all happening in different years respectively.

Table 3. Avalanche accidents and associated fatalities on high-altitude peaks in HMA between 1895 and 2022.

Peak	Elevation	Country	Accidents	Fatalities	Hired staff	Hired staff (%)
Others	–	–	56	207	49	23.7
Shishapangma	8013	China	8	15	1	13.3
Gasherbrum II	8035	Pakistan/China	3	4	0	0.0
Faichan Kangri (Broad Peak)	8047	Pakistan	4	5	1	20.0
Gasherbrum I	8068	Pakistan/China	4	10	4	40.0
Annapurna I	8091	Nepal	19	41	13	31.7
Diamer (Nanga Parbat)	8125	Pakistan	11	36	11	30.6
Manaslu	8163	Nepal	13	41	14	34.1
Dhaulagiri	8167	Nepal	16	42	18	42.9
Cho Oyu	8201	Nepal/China	4	9	5	55.6
Makalu	8463	Nepal/China	3	3	1	33.3
Lhotse	8516	Nepal	9	13	3	23.1
Kangchenjunga	8586	Nepal/India	4	8	2	25.0
Chhogori (K2)	8611	Pakistan/China	10	24	4	16.7
Qomolangma (Mt. Everest)	8848	Nepal/China	33	106	70	66
TOTAL			197	564	191	33.9

5 Avalanches and glacier dynamics

Avalanches do not only pose direct hazards but also affect glacier mass balance and as such, indirectly, water-resource-related risks in the downstream. Several studies have shown that avalanches contribute significantly to the net accumulation in HMA. Usually, debris-covered glaciers, associated with high-relief and steep headwalls, are fed by avalanches (Benn and Lehmkuhl, 2000; Laha et al., 2017; Hewitt, 2011; Azam et al., 2018). Benn and Lehmkuhl

(2000) state that the avalanche contribution to the mass balance of Khumbu Glacier, Nepal, is 75 %. Also, large valley glaciers like Batura Glacier, Karakoram, and Fedchenko Glacier, Pamir, receive significant amounts of ice and snow by avalanches from the surrounding high-relief valleys. Ragetti et al. (2015) found that the melt of avalanche deposits contributed 16 % of the annual water balance in the Lirung sub-catchment in the central Himalaya, which constitutes 43 % of total snowmelt in the whole catchment. Another study on the Satopanth, Dunagiri and Hamtah glaciers in

the western Himalaya shows that avalanches from headwalls contributed more than 95 % of the total accumulation. A huge disparity was found while simulating the mass balance of these glaciers with (-0.2 ± 0.5 , -0.3 ± 0.2 and -0.5 ± 0.3 m w.e. yr⁻¹) and without consideration (-2.0 , -1.0 and -1.5 m w.e. yr⁻¹) of avalanches (Laha et al., 2017). Glaciers that do not show signs of rapid retreat or considerable down-wasting, even if the accumulation area ratio (AAR) of these glaciers is less than 0.2, are likely strongly avalanche-fed (Laha et al., 2017). In the Tian Shan, a study using high-resolution imagery and modelling suggests that the contribution to accumulation is considerably smaller, only up to 10 % (Turchaninova et al., 2019).

6 Mitigation measures for avalanche hazards

Studies with respect to avalanche hazard mitigation have been conducted at a government level largely in the Indian western Himalaya, with some monitoring also documented on the Tibetan Plateau in China and community-based hazard mitigation approaches in Afghanistan, Tajikistan and Pakistan.

6.1 Field monitoring and desktop analysis

Avalanche prediction based on nearest neighbours was first adopted in the early 1990s for short-term avalanche forecasts in the Indian Himalaya (Joshi et al., 2018). A few more studies were conducted using the method in the Indian Himalaya (Singh and Ganju, 2004, 2008). Singh et al. (2005) also modified this forecast model with a mesoscale weather forecast, allowing for predictions up to 4 d in advance. In the Khumbu (Kattelmann and Yamada, 1996) and Langtang regions (Fujita et al., 2017) of the central Himalaya, avalanches have been investigated regarding their triggers, but no comprehensive forecasting exists. Both optical remote sensing (Bühler et al., 2013; Kumar et al., 2017, 2018; Caiserman et al., 2022; Kumar et al., 2017; Snehmani et al., 2014; Singh et al., 2019b) and synthetic aperture radar (SAR) technologies (Eckerstorfer and Grahn, 2021; Eckerstorfer and Malnes, 2015; Patil et al., 2020) have been used for avalanche activity detection. SAR technologies have the advantage of being completely independent of light and weather conditions. In the Tian Shan, Yang et al. (2022) applied remote sensing image interpretation and drone photographs together with field investigations to determine avalanche susceptibility. In the western Himalaya, SASE (Snow & Avalanche Study Establishment; now under Defence Geoinformatics Research Establishment, DGRE) is using remote sensing and geographic information system (GIS) technology and incorporates multi-spectral, hyper-spectral, SAR and various other optical and microwave satellite data to extract snow cover and terrain information from inaccessible terrains (Singh et al., 2019a). This also included susceptibility mapping at the

regional scale (Kumar et al., 2017, 2018; Singh et al., 2019a, 2018).

Gusain et al. (2019) created a comprehensive system for providing avalanche information with different levels of detail in the Indian Karakoram using the Advanced Space-borne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer (ASTER) Global Digital Elevation Map (GDEM) version-2 at TIR-2 (11.50–12.51) with a spatial resolution of 30 m, as well as Cartosat-4 DEM at a resolution of 10 m, and satellite images from Landsat 8 and Pléiades-1A. The resulting system is supported by GIS and enables easy visualisation of the terrain. Similarly, Dewali et al. (2014) designed a real-time avalanche path warning and navigation system based on a global positioning system (GPS) within a GIS environment for the visualisation of terrain maps and navigation in avalanche-prone regions, specifically in the Manali–Dhundi area of the lower Himalaya. Camp locations, pedestrian routes and avalanche sites along pedestrian routes have been digitised, and past avalanche accidents along pedestrian routes, past avalanche occurrences and climatology of the region have also been mapped in a GIS environment. This was paired with an online platform for geospatial data visualisation, snow status updates and avalanche forecast dissemination for Jammu and Kashmir, Himachal Pradesh and Uttarakhand. A portable GPS-based real-time avalanche path warning and navigation system has also been developed. SASE has a rich network of wireless sensors, with 35 wireless nodes and a total of 128 snow and meteorological sensors recording 14 different climatological variables in an area covering 400 000 m² (2890 m to 3350 m a.s.l.) in the Pir Panjal Range in Himachal Pradesh. A database of mechanical properties and snowpack structure information of typical Himalayan snow over the last decade allows for snow cover and avalanche simulations (Singh et al., 2019a). This collective knowledge led to the establishment of an avalanche warning bulletin published regularly (<https://www.drdo.gov.in/avalanche-warning-bulletin>; last access: 22 April 2023). In the Indian Karakoram, Singh et al. (2021) discussed the installation of nine surface observatories and two automated weather stations to help in generating avalanche forecasts. Numerical models like RAMMS were applied in the western Himalaya and Karakoram to simulate avalanche incidents, for instance, to simulate avalanche incidents of the 5th of January 2018 near Chowkibal Village, Jammu, and Kashmir, India (Singh et al., 2020), and on Siachen Glacier (Sardar et al., 2017). In the south-eastern Tibetan Plateau, studies about avalanches were first carried out in 1964, with later extensive field visits between 1981 and 1983 in the Hengduan Shan, where two avalanche observation stations, one each on Baimang mountain and Bomi mountain, were established (Deng, 1980). This was more recently complemented by more detailed scientific research (Hao et al., 2018, 2021a, b).

Focus Humanitarian Assistance (FOCUS; now AKAH) is helping vulnerable communities to build resilience to disas-

ters like avalanches in Tajikistan, Pakistan and Afghanistan. It has established a network of 82 manual weather monitoring posts in January 2015 (17 in Afghanistan, 45 in Pakistan and 25 in Tajikistan) to help forecast avalanches in 571 remote high-risk villages to reduce fatalities. They are continuously conducting a programme for community avalanche education and also providing a community training manual on snow avalanche risk management. Furthermore, they are involved in identifying high-risk vulnerable villages through avalanche terrain mapping, managing specialists to analyse meteorological datasets and training the community trainers in avalanche safety and weather monitoring (Chabot and Kaba, 2016). AKAH has been conducting comprehensive training on avalanche safety to empower communities to be prepared for avalanches. For instance, in 2021, AKAH provided training to 2002 people from 205 villages in Afghanistan, equipping them with Avalanche Preparedness Team (AVPT) toolkits. Training covers a range of skills, including data collection from weather stations, which helps in predicting the likelihood of avalanche occurrence. As a result of such training, 263 villages were provided with avalanche warning messages in 2021. Similarly, AKAH has conducted about 800 HVRA (Hazard Vulnerability and Risk Assessments), together with two habitat assessments. These HVRA were then utilised to develop nearly 700 village disaster management plans and two habitat plans (Afghanistan|Disaster Preparedness and Response, 2023). In Badakhshan, Afghanistan, several government and non-government organisations are conducting programmes for community resilience, forming community emergency response teams (CERTs), avalanche preparedness teams and school emergency response teams (SERTs) for disaster management, generally raising avalanche awareness. However, effective emergency response, rescues and evacuation are affected by economic challenges and security issues. In at least one case, the programme has also resulted in the relocation of villagers, as the Verizon Village in Shighnan located in an avalanche-prone area was completely relocated to a safer location (Lashkari, 2022).

6.2 Structural measures

Prevention and control methods are divided into permanent (passive) and temporary (active) measures. Temporary measures are applied for short periods when avalanches are expected and are advantageous because of their flexibility and low cost but need a continuous evaluation of avalanche hazards and the application of safety measures. Permanent measures are usually expensive and involve large engineering works but do not need daily hazard evaluation (McClung and Schaerer, 2006). In HMA, structural measures, besides being costly, are often difficult to implement due to logistic constraints (Ganju and Dimri, 2004). A study in the eastern Karakoram found that proposed structural mitigation measures are infeasible due to steep, unstable and glaciated ter-

rain. Slope stabilisation considering preventive triggering of small avalanches has potential, but necessary infrastructure and permits are difficult to obtain, install and maintain (Singh et al., 2021).

Control structure schemes are designed based on avalanche zones: formation zone control structures, middle zone control structures and runout zone control structures. Numerous control structures in different zones were implemented in Jammu and Kashmir, Himachal and Uttarakhand in India (Rao, 1985; Singh et al., 2019a; DRDO, 2018). Several snow bridges and snow stakes are installed by SASE above the Jawahar Tunnel on the Jammu–Srinagar National Highway. Snow nets, snow fences, jet roofs and baffle walls are installed along different sections of mountain roads in the Indian Himalaya as formation zone control structures and galleries (e.g. along the Manali–Leh highway) are planned. Retarding mounds used for breaking the momentum of the avalanche mass and catch dams to retain avalanche mass in large depressions (e.g. near Shri Badrinath temple) have been installed as well (Singh et al., 2019a). Artificial triggering has been tested in Gulmarg, Jammu and Kashmir, in February 2018, using rocket launchers (84 mm RL) by the Indian Army (Singh et al., 2019a). AKAH has also shown some initiative in implementing tailored mitigation measures such as protective walls, tree planting and retrofitting buildings for greater resilience in Afghanistan (Afghanistan|Disaster Preparedness and Response, 2023).

7 Indigenous and local knowledge, adaptation, concerns, and community-based disaster management – three case studies

Knowledge about the cryosphere, hazards and adaptation already present in mountain areas has always been known (Fleetwood, 2022) but still remains rarely included in the development of solutions. At the same time, the value and importance of indigenous and local knowledge and adaptation solutions in mountains have been acknowledged (Adler et al., 2022).

Below we detail three case studies on how local populations have raised their concerns about avalanches with respect to livestock (central Himalaya, Nepal), local adaptation solutions where avalanches are turned into opportunities for other climate-related risks (Karakoram, Pakistan), and community-based disaster management stemming from high vulnerability in remote areas (Hindu Kush, Afghanistan).

7.1 Avalanches and Yak herding

Yak herding is a common mountain economy, prevalent all over HMA (Wu et al., 2016). In Nepal, it is an important source of income in the lower Mustang and the Langtang Valley, and both sites also research sites frequented by the authors. Avalanches have been identified as a major risk for

herders in Mustang where recorded events in 1987, 1998 and 2003 killed 62, 25 and 70 yaks respectively of a single owner (Degen et al., 2007) and again 14 of multiple owners at the same location in November 2021 (Fig. 2b) and 7 more in March 2022. In Langtang, 5 killed yaks were recorded in August 1997 (McVeigh, 2004), over a thousand reportedly after co-seismic avalanches in 2015, and locals have noted avalanches as a major concern in the more recent past (Tuladhar et al., 2021). While it is contested indigenous knowledge by some villagers, locals claim that *manes* or Buddhist sacred walls (Emerman et al., 2016) have also been strategically erected at locations that are or have been avalanche runout zones in the past (their location aligning well with known avalanche locations). This is done to preserve memory on where to be careful along the path or where not to build as well as avert the potential wrath from deities expressed through natural disasters like avalanches.

Avalanches have been identified as a threat that can wipe out livelihoods within an instant and potentially force people to move away and look for other professions (McVeigh, 2004; Degen et al., 2007). As a response, the International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development (ICIMOD) has started a community-based participatory approach for avalanche hazard adaptation. This includes the preparation of participatory maps, constant exchange with communities and the use of satellite data to visualise the potential of monitoring (Eckerstorfer and Grahn, 2021).

In the central Himalaya, no other mechanisms to deal with avalanche hazards have been discussed so far. Efforts generally deal with the immediate impacts caused by the avalanche hazard. For instance, the Conservation Area Management Committee of the Annapurna Conservation Area Project (ACAP), provided NPR 1000 per yak (USD ~ 7.5; approximate value of a fully grown yak at the time of writing is 150 000 NPR) to every owner that lost their animals in an avalanche in Kobang in November 2021 and March 2022 (Jeevan Thakali, Chairperson of Conservation Area Management Committee, Mustang, personal communication, 2022).

7.2 Avalanches and irrigation

High-altitude irrigation of agricultural land is challenging, as irrigation channels are difficult to upkeep. Such channels, referred to as *khul*, are commonly maintained in the Hunza Basin. However, their maintenance under the threat of avalanches is challenging (Ashraf and Ahmad, 2021; Ashraf and Akbar, 2020). Slightly further south in the Rupal Valley, such channels source melt water directly from locations with repeat avalanche deposits, as they are otherwise disconnected from the Chungphare Glacier (Nüsser et al., 2019). In the region, the practice of glacier marriages (or glacier crafting) is also practised as a response to water scarcity (Tveiten, 2007; Faraz, 2020). In a complex and long-standing practice combining local myths and a precise knowledge of the local cryosphere, glacier ice from lower elevations is placed

in caves in permafrost environments at higher elevations, exposed to avalanche activity. This way the ice deposits grow and are eventually harvested for irrigation water later.

A similar approach, although less steeped in local folklore, is being pursued in Baltistan. In a locality that has been heavily impacted by avalanches in the past, including an event in 1988 that caused 42 deaths, people migrate to lower areas in February and March to stay safe from avalanches. In early spring, water for irrigation here is still scarce, as glacier melt water peaks after May. By retaining, and in the process compressing, avalanche snow in narrow gullies where it generally descends, avalanche snow subsequently melts much slower and can be tapped for irrigation water (Zakir, 2021; Fig. 5). The technique of trapping avalanche ice mass to create a more condensed solid water reservoir is known as avalanche harvesting, exploiting the reduced melt following from increased density and decreased surface area of the snow mass. It serves both as risk reduction and to produce melt water at the time of demand during the early months of spring for irrigation. An avalanche trapping net is knitted with high-tensile gabion cables in gullies where there is hard bed rock on both sides. Each structure can have up to three to four layers of netting, with a decreasing mesh size from upstream to downstream. This is designed to reduce speed and pressure of the avalanche, and subsequently any downstream damage. Distances between layers vary between 20 and 50 m. The trapping structure itself does not add additional risk in case it is destroyed during an avalanche event, as it does not add any rolling mass. If the site for avalanche trapping is found and selected at an altitude of around 4000 m a.s.l., it can be expected that some of the condensed snow mass will remain and can grow with subsequent snowfall and avalanches, hence producing a permanent mass that could be referred to as an *artificial glacier*, as in previous attempts using means to create such bodies of ice with water fountains (Balasubramanian et al., 2023).

7.3 Community-based disaster management

Considering the increasing concern for snow hazards in Central Asia, FOCUS has implemented a comprehensive risk anticipation and community preparedness programme to reduce the adverse impact on vulnerable communities (Chabot and Kaba, 2016). Mountainous areas of Afghanistan, Pakistan and Tajikistan have an avalanche readiness programme through a Community-Based Disaster Risk Management programme (CBDRM). FOCUS units have worked on identifying avalanche zones based on HVRA maps with high-frequency and high-intensity categorisation. Similarly, awareness programmes about avalanche-prone areas, dangers, early warning signs and safe places and routes are being conducted. Moreover, the CERTs of each country are provided with proper training on early warning signs, basic snow rescue and the use of basic measurement tools to quantify avalanche risk. They are also working on stockpiling safety



Figure 5. (a) Lower agricultural areas repeatedly at risk from avalanches but also in need of irrigation water. (b) The gully in March. (c) One of the multiple nets installed along the gully to capture avalanche snow.

equipment near avalanche-prone areas, archiving avalanche incidents to categorise them, assigning well-trained and dedicated staff for data management, gathering weather information and reporting emergency response officers. Moreover, FOCUS has also sourced an avalanche expert to help develop short- and medium-term plans to mitigate the risk of avalanches in the highest-risk areas (Chabot and Kaba, 2016).

8 Discussion

8.1 Avalanche incidents and impacts

Our database provides the first comprehensive record of observed avalanches in HMA, including those that did not have any notable impact on livelihoods or infrastructure and information on impacts for those that did. Until the 2010s rarely more than one event was recorded in every 2 years in individual mountain ranges, which increased to more than four per year in the Pamir and more than one in the Hindu Kush (Fig. 4), largely due to the commencement of a dedicated avalanche monitoring programme. Records also increased elsewhere, but it is impossible to say whether an increase in avalanche activity, an increased exposure or simply an increase in reporting (e.g. advent of social media resulting in more coverage also in the local news) is responsible. Our records show that relying solely on peer-reviewed publications would result in a considerable underestimation of events, capturing only 6 % of all avalanches and 23 % of all recorded fatalities. Relying on various news sources, which, however, often have conflicting documentation of what happened, requiring a lot of cross-checking work, as well tech-

nical reports by agencies operating in hazard management in the region proves essential.

Total fatalities in the region since the early 1970s end up at an average of 62 people killed each year, or 74 when we include deaths during mountaineering. Records in the Alps, an area considerably smaller and less populated than HMA, show ~ 100 people per year who lost their lives between 1937 and 2015 (Techel et al., 2016). However, while in the Alps between 72 % and 97 % of deaths are related to mountaineering or recreation, this number is reverse in HMA (15 %) and becomes even smaller (10 %) when we consider that porters and guides died during work rather than recreation. In Switzerland, avalanche victims between 1946 and 2015 made up 37 % of all natural hazard fatalities, more than any other. For HMA, not enough comprehensive data exist for other hazards to make such a comparison. However, data from GLOFs suggest a considerably lower number of deaths (907; excluding the Kedarnath event) for a much longer period (1833–2022) for the same region (Steiner and Shrestha, 2023). Landslides claim a lot more deaths in monsoon-dominated India (~ 5000 between 1998 and 2018 excluding the Kedarnath event; Martha et al., 2021) and Nepal (2179 between 1978 and 2005; Petley et al., 2007). Records for countries entirely in HMA suggest 67 deaths in Afghanistan, 50 in Bhutan, 809 in Nepal and 75 in Tajikistan between 2004 and 2010 (Petley, 2012). The relative lack of recreational sports in avalanche-prone territory in the region therefore makes avalanches relatively less deadly than in the Alps and also much less deadly than landslides in the region but considerably more than GLOFs, a high mountain hazard that has received relatively more attention in the literature (Emmer et al., 2022).

Our data suggest that 564 people died in avalanches while mountaineering in HMA, 191 (34 %) of which were hired personnel like guides and porters, emphasising the danger of the job perceived as an important opportunity for some regions, especially in Nepal. An earlier study found slightly more fatalities for HMA (616), stemming from undocumented sources beyond peaks above 8000 m (McClung, 2016).

Previous work in North America (Schauer et al., 2021), northern Europe (Hancock et al., 2021; Fitzharris and Bakkehoi, 1986) and the Alps (Jomelli et al., 2007) has shown the potential of investigating precursor meteorological data for avalanche prediction using avalanche databases. In HMA, such forecasting only exists in the Indian western Himalaya as of yet. The present database would allow for a first analysis of such links. What is missing so far is an inventory of avalanches without significant recorded impacts for countries other than Afghanistan to make such an analysis robust. With such monitoring efforts being highly labour intensive and not possible for past events, the potential of remote sensing data would need to be further exploited, so far only done for limited areas in the region (Caiserman et al., 2022; Eckerstorfer and Grahn, 2021). Satellite imagery has the capacity to scale up avalanche monitoring, especially thanks to the availability of numerous satellite datasets, both optical and radar. Due to the challenging nature of interpreting radar data for different types of avalanches (Eckerstorfer et al., 2016; Eckerstorfer and Grahn, 2021), databases relying on field observations will however also be necessary for validation purposes. Combining the available database of events with past regional climate data would allow one to understand climatologies of avalanches in HMA better, an approach already employed in the Alps (Eckert et al., 2010). This could allow for a better understanding of the link between a changing climate and the occurrence of avalanches, so far only investigated for a field site in India (Ballesteros-Cánovas et al., 2018) and one in China (Hao et al., 2023). More recently, distributed datasets on a number of variables including snow cover (Muhammad and Thapa, 2021), snow depth (Lievens et al., 2019), snow water equivalent (Smith and Bookhagen, 2018; Liu et al., 2021) and, locally, refreezing within the snowpack (Veldhuijsen et al., 2022) have become available. Such data could be combined with records of avalanche events to investigate favourable conditions for avalanche occurrence, ideally in combination with more comprehensive assessments aided by remote sensing.

8.2 Mitigation, adaptation and scientific research

Mitigation and adaptation strategies in HMA have been highly diverse. No known efforts exist in Bhutan. In China a research station in the Tian Shan is tasked to investigate avalanche hazards specifically for roads (Hao et al., 2018). Any efforts and even accessible research in Central Asia, beyond the recent attention for glacier detachments (Leinss et

al., 2021), is mainly limited to the work of FOCUS/AKAH spanning Afghanistan, Pakistan and Tajikistan (Chabot and Kaba, 2016; Bair et al., 2020). Recently, AKAH has installed two new stations in Gunt Valley, Tajikistan, where avalanches are frequent, in order to monitor the concurrent weather conditions. In Uzbekistan, various studies employing RAMMS have been conducted in order to improve the prediction of avalanche runout and eventually inform the construction of mitigation infrastructure. Long records of avalanches including observations, characterisation of morphometry, time of release and their weather conditions have been compiled (Gidrometeoizdat, 1984) and used for mitigation infrastructure construction, especially on Kamchik Pass in the Tian Shan (Semakova and Bühler, 2017; Semakova et al., 2018, 2009). In the western Himalaya and the Karakoram some preliminary studies for specific avalanche events employing RAMMS exist as well; however, they are hampered in their quality by the lack of accurate snow depth data, general snow properties and local meteorological data (Gilany and Iqbal, 2019; Mahboob et al., 2015; Singh et al., 2020).

Afghanistan has by far the most comprehensive database on avalanches and records on their type and impacts. This reflects the country's dependence on snow as a resource as well as the considerable impact on livelihoods avalanches have had in recent years (34 % of all non-mountaineering-related fatalities in HMA have been recorded in the country). The country now also has some record of avalanches retrieved from satellite imagery (Caiserman et al., 2022), which could provide a solution for regional approaches to map avalanche frequency for both the analysis of drivers as well as impacts. Considering that fatalities in Pakistan were relatively minor (366 deaths, 195 of which are related to military operations along the Line of Control), it is perhaps not surprising that the hazard has received relatively little attention in the country. However, local adaptation attempts like avalanche harvesting are being pioneered here and show the role of indigenous knowledge when it comes to adaptation to climate risks. This incongruence between local concern about avalanches as being a dominant hazard (Tuladhar et al., 2021) and a lack of any coordinated research or adaptation response coordinated by government bodies is also true for Nepal. Conversely, in India both adaptation and research measures have been top-down driven by the army and the government, providing a comprehensive approach to tackling the challenge of avalanche risks. This has so far not been expanded beyond the western Himalaya, presumably also because in the east avalanches have been a minor concern so far. Contrary to Afghanistan, no comprehensive database of avalanches without any notable impact exists in India, but the only study to date linking a changing climate to avalanche frequency has been conducted here (Ballesteros-Cánovas et al., 2018).

In HMA, Central Asia and other regions of the world, remote sensing is being used for avalanches mapping in three ways: (a) manual digitisation of avalanches using a high resolution from origin to runout zones (Hafner et al., 2021;

Yariyan et al., 2020; Abermann et al., 2019), (b) automatic detection using radar images (Eckerstorfer et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2020; Martinez-Vazquez and Fortuny-Guasch, 2008; Vickers et al., 2016; Bühler et al., 2018; Karas et al., 2022) and (c) automatic detection with optical images (Singh et al., 2019b; Caiserman et al., 2022). Some automatic hazard indication mapping frameworks developed for the European Alps (Bühler et al., 2018, 2022) could be potentially useful in deriving avalanche hazard maps in HMA, in areas where high-quality and high-resolution DEMs, snow data and information on ground cover are available. These algorithms provide hazard maps for different return periods (Bühler et al., 2018) as well as considering the protective effect of forest cover (Bühler et al., 2022). In the western Himalaya, SASE has developed avalanche hazard data cards and digital avalanche atlases in a GIS environment for several road axes in Jammu and Kashmir and Himachal Pradesh, which give information on avalanche terrain and avalanche activities (DRDO, 2018). Another method by Barbolini et al. (2011) with two modules to perform avalanche hazard mapping over large undocumented areas is equally promising in mountainous areas. This method combines GIS tools, computational routines and statistical analysis in order to provide a “semi-automatic” definition of areas potentially affected by avalanche release and motion and has proven to be effective in all cases where a preliminary cost-efficient analysis of the territories potentially affected by snow avalanche was needed. Several regional- to local-level avalanche hazard maps and susceptibility maps using frequency ratio, fuzzy and classical analytical hierarchy processes have already been generated in the western Himalaya based on coarse- to fine-resolution digital elevation models for terrain parameters and other ground information (Kumar et al., 2017, 2016; Bühler et al., 2013; Kumar et al., 2018; Singh et al., 2019b; Snehmani et al., 2014). Methodologies using airborne (Bühler et al., 2019; Lato et al., 2012; Korzeniowska et al., 2017) and spaceborne (Bühler et al., 2009) optical remote sensing with very high resolution can detect avalanche deposits at a large scale. These algorithms are promising in remote and inaccessible areas in HMA.

All approaches have their advantages and disadvantages. High-resolution images enable a detailed outline of avalanches resulting in precise surface area estimation, but the financial cost of single images and limited spatial and temporal coverage as well as the time-consuming manual digitisation limit their use on a regional scale. Radar sensors offer a global dataset of images which assess terrain evolution using backscatter changes between two sets of images. The change in snow surface area must be intense enough to be detected through radar images. Moreover, freely available radar products such as Sentinel-1 have commenced relatively recently (2014) and therefore do not allow for long-term monitoring of avalanches. On the contrary, optical images offer longer datasets, back to the 1980s. Automatic detection of avalanches using optical images can however be

difficult, as the contrast between snow avalanche zones and normal snow cover is often difficult to discern. It hence remains up to stakeholders to choose which products and methods match their needs, objectives and most importantly human and financial resources. We suggest however that, based on studies in the region as well as in the European Alps, sufficient openly accessible guidelines as well as data are available to allow policy makers and agencies responsible for disaster risk reduction (DRR) in the region to develop action plans to mitigate avalanche hazards.

Considering that all aspects of avalanche risk management have been executed somewhere in the region, ranging from local practices on adaptation to state-of-the-art analysis of satellite imagery, but nowhere are all of them exploited in tandem, it seems prudent to learn from experiences across borders to further advance our understanding of avalanche dynamics in the region. While the runouts of avalanches are rarely of a transboundary nature and potentially will only ever be for large-scale ice avalanches or glacier detachments that are able to mobilise a lot of solid material in the downstream, seasons with heavy avalanche activity generally extend across borders (e.g. Nepal and India, Pakistan, Tajikistan and Afghanistan) and ramifications in risk (e.g. effect on international tourism in the Himalaya, labour migration in the Hindu Kush) are of a transboundary nature.

9 Conclusion

In this study we for the first time investigate all accessible snow and ice avalanche records from the HMA region as well as previous scientific research, local knowledge, and existing or previously active adaptation and mitigation solutions.

Our database spans half a century between 1972 and 2022 and records 681 individual events (88 % snow, 0.5 % ice avalanches; excluding mountaineering accidents on high peaks), of which 21 % had some recorded impact and 17 % fatalities were recorded. More than 3100 people were killed by avalanches, more than 62 in each year on average, most of them in Afghanistan (1057; 34 %) and India (952; 30 %). This suggests avalanches to be an especially deadly hazard in high mountain environments in the region, causing considerably more fatalities than, for example, glacial lake outburst floods. On average, each avalanche in our database killed 27 people, making them also especially high-impact events. Approximately 1900 livestock killed in 24 events are also noteworthy, as this impact to livelihoods has been mentioned as an especially problematic aspect of the hazard in the region.

More than 500 people have been killed in avalanches while climbing mountain peaks above 4500 m a.s.l., a third of which were porters or guides employed during these endeavours.

Scientific studies on avalanches with field investigations are so far limited to research stations on the Tibetan Plateau as well as the Indian western Himalaya. As a result, mod-

elling studies that have been carried out in a number of locations remain relatively rudimentary, as information on snow-pack and local climate is lacking.

Studies investigating the role of avalanches for glacier mass balances are still limited but suggest large differences in their relative contribution between the monsoon-dominated Himalaya, where also steep and very high headwalls surrounding glacier tongues are likely more common, conducive to avalanche occurrence (> 75 %) and the continental and less topographically extreme Tian Shan (< 15 %). Future studies should investigate their relevance on a more regional scale, also considering the increasing dynamic disconnect of accumulation and ablation areas in many glaciers in the region.

Conversely, a number of adaptation as well as mitigation measures have already been taken up. In India and China this has received large-scale funding for specific regions where avalanches have been identified as potential threats for road infrastructure and includes dedicated monitoring programmes in coordination with scientists as well as investments into state-of-the-art structural measures. Non-governmental efforts have, however, been equally successful. The monitoring of avalanches in Afghanistan is unparalleled in the region and carried by local stakeholders. It is the only country that records individual avalanche events irrespective of impact, an effort that is incredibly valuable for future studies investigating meteorological drivers. Other examples of locally carried initiatives like the harvesting of avalanche deposit for meltwater to be used in high mountain irrigation in Pakistan are also a testament for the existence of local solutions in addressing the hazard that should be investigated for potential scaling to other localities in the future.

The database of avalanche events, including geolocation, timing, type of avalanche and recorded impacts allows for future investigations of the climatologies of avalanche events in HMA. As a first step they can be used to validate automated mapping efforts using remote sensing on a regional scale and subsequently correlated with distributed climate and snow datasets to understand important regional drivers for avalanche occurrence. This would provide a basis for more robust forecasting of avalanche danger in different parts of HMA, where avalanche risks have been identified as a concern. Efforts by national agencies tasked with hazard monitoring should further invest in pairing scientific investigations of avalanche hazards with developing adaptation and mitigation solutions, an approach that has seen success in other mountain regions. Based on the impacts that we record here that avalanches had in the past in HMA, we suggest that the hazard should be given a higher priority in DRR strategies of the different governmental and non-governmental stakeholders in the domain of high mountain hazards. Methodologies as well as data are available to address the issue with both remote sensing data as well as field-based installations. National and local solutions for such avalanche warning and forecasting should always keep in mind already existing lo-

cal adaptation solutions and knowledge with respect to the hazard. Future interdisciplinary studies should further investigate local solutions in response to avalanche hazards as well as record experiences with the hazard and whether and how they have changed in the more recent past. This would allow one to understand whether avalanche risks are changing (either due to climate or more socio-economic exposure) and help in building future adaptation solutions.

Data availability. The data collected for this review are available at DOI <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7066940> (Steiner and Acharya, 2023) and <https://github.com/fidelsteiner/HiAVAL>, where they will be updated regularly.

Author contributions. AA compiled the review, analysed the data and wrote the paper. JFS supported in data compilation and analysis and co-wrote the paper. KMW provided avalanche records from Afghanistan, and all authors contributed to writing the paper.

Competing interests. The contact author has declared that none of the authors has any competing interests.

Disclaimer. Publisher's note: Copernicus Publications remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations. The views and interpretations in this publication are those of the authors and are not necessarily attributable to ICIMOD.

Special issue statement. This article is part of the special issue "Estimating and predicting natural hazards and vulnerabilities in the Himalayan region". It is not associated with a conference.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Inka Koch and Denis Samyn for their earlier contributions to this work. We are grateful to the reviews of Yves Bühler and Markus Eckerstorfer and the additional comments from Wolfgang Schwanghart, which helped us to considerably improve the paper.

Review statement. This paper was edited by Wolfgang Schwanghart and reviewed by Markus Eckerstorfer and Yves Bühler.

References

- Abermann, J., Eckerstorfer, M., Malnes, E., and Hansen, B. U.: A large wet snow avalanche cycle in West Greenland quantified using remote sensing and in situ observations, *Nat. Hazards*, 97, 517–534, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-019-03655-8>, 2019.
- Adler, C., Wester, P., Bhatt, I., Huggel, C., Insarov, G., Morecroft, M., Muccione, V., and Prakash, A.: Cross-Chapter Paper 5: Mountains, in: *Climate Change 2022, Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability, Contribution of Working Group II to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, edited by: Pörtner, H. O., Roberts, D. C., Tignor, M., Poloczanska, E. S., Mintenbeck, K., Alegria, A., Craig, M., Langsdorf, S., Löschke, S., Möller, V., Okem, A., and Rama, B., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA, 2273–2318, <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009325844.022>, 2022.
- Afghanistan|Disaster Preparedness and Response: <https://the.akdn/en/where-we-work/central-asia/afghanistan/disaster-preparedness-and-response-afghanistan> (last access: 16 July 2023), 2023.
- Alcaraz Tarragüel, A., Krol, B., and van Westen, C.: Analysing the possible impact of landslides and avalanches on cultural heritage in Upper Svaneti, Georgia, *J. Cult. Herit.*, 13, 453–461, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.culher.2012.01.012>, 2012.
- Alean, J.: Ice Avalanches: Some Empirical Information about their Formation and Reach, *J. Glaciol.*, 31, 324–333, <https://doi.org/10.3189/S002214300006663>, 1985.
- Ancey, C.: Snow Avalanches, in: *Geomorphological Fluid Mechanics*, edited by: Balmforth, N. J. and Provenzale, A., Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 319–338, ISBN 978-3-540-42968-5, https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-45670-8_13, 2001.
- Ancey, C., Bakkehøi, S., Birkeland, K., Decker, R., Hutter, C., Issler, D., Jóhannesson, T., Lied, K., Nishimura, K., Pudasaini, S. P., Schaerer, P., and Sokratov, S.: Some notes on the history of snow and avalanche research in Europe, Asia and America, *ICE*, 39, 3–11, 2005.
- Ashraf, A. and Ahmad, I.: Prospects of cryosphere-fed Kuhl irrigation system nurturing high mountain agriculture under changing climate in the Upper Indus Basin, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 788, 147752, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.147752>, 2021.
- Ashraf, A. and Akbar, G.: Addressing Climate Change Risks Influencing Cryosphere-Fed Kuhl Irrigation System in the Upper Indus Basin of Pakistan, *International Journal of Environment*, 9, 184–203, <https://doi.org/10.3126/ije.v9i2.32700>, 2020.
- Azam, M. F., Wagnon, P., Berthier, E., Vincent, C., Fujita, K., and Kargel, J. S.: Review of the status and mass changes of Himalayan-Karakoram glaciers, *J. Glaciol.*, 64, 61–74, <https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2017.86>, 2018.
- Badoux, A., Andres, N., Techel, F., and Hegg, C.: Natural hazard fatalities in Switzerland from 1946 to 2015, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 16, 2747–2768, <https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-16-2747-2016>, 2016.
- Bair, E. H., Rittger, K., Ahmad, J. A., and Chabot, D.: Comparison of modeled snow properties in Afghanistan, Pakistan, and Tajikistan, *The Cryosphere*, 14, 331–347, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-331-2020>, 2020.
- Balasubramanian, S., Hoelzle, M., and Waser, R.: Fountain scheduling strategies for improving water-use efficiency of artificial ice reservoirs (Ice stupas), *Cold Reg. Sci. Technol.*, 205, 103706, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coldregions.2022.103706>, 2023.
- Ballesteros-Cánovas, J. A., Trappmann, D., Madrigal-González, J., Eckert, N., and Stoffel, M.: Climate warming enhances snow avalanche risk in the Western Himalayas, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 115, 3410–3415, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1716913115>, 2018.
- Barbolini, M., Gruber, U., Keylock, C. J., Naaim, M., and Savi, F.: Application of statistical and hydraulic-continuum dense-snow avalanche models to five real European sites, *Cold Reg. Sci. Technol.*, 31, 133–149, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-232X\(00\)00008-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-232X(00)00008-2), 2000.
- Barbolini, M., Pagliardi, M., Ferro, F., and Corradeghini, P.: Avalanche hazard mapping over large undocumented areas, *Nat. Hazards*, 56, 451–464, 2011.
- Bartelt, P., Salm, B., and Gruber, U.: Calculating dense-snow avalanche runout using a Voellmy-fluid model with active/passive longitudinal straining, *J. Glaciol.*, 45, 242–254, <https://doi.org/10.3189/S00221430000174X>, 1999.
- Bartelt, P., Buser, O., Valero, C. V., and Bühler, Y.: Configurational energy and the formation of mixed flowing/powder snow and ice avalanches, *Ann. Glaciol.*, 57, 179–188, <https://doi.org/10.3189/2016AoG71A464>, 2016.
- Bebi, P., Kulakowski, D., and Rixen, C.: Snow avalanche disturbances in forest ecosystems – State of research and implications for management, *Forest Ecol. Manag.*, 257, 1883–1892, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2009.01.050>, 2009.
- Benn, D. I. and Lehmkuhl, F.: Mass balance and equilibrium-line altitudes of glaciers in high-mountain environments, *Quatern. Int.*, 65–66, 15–29, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1040-6182\(99\)00034-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1040-6182(99)00034-8), 2000.
- Berlin, C., Techel, F., Moor, B. K., Zwahlen, M., Hasler, R. M., and for the Swiss National Cohort study: Snow avalanche deaths in Switzerland from 1995 to 2014 – Results of a nation-wide linkage study, *PLoS ONE*, 14, e0225735, <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0225735>, 2019.
- Bois, P., Oblod, C., and Good, W.: Multivariate data analysis as a tool for day-by-day avalanche forecast, in: *Proceedings of the international symposium on snow mechanics*, Grindelwald, Switzerland, 1974, International Association of Hydrological Sciences Publ. No. 114, International Association of Hydrological Sciences, 391–403, 1975.
- Bolch, T., Shea, J. M., Liu, S., Azam, F. M., Gao, Y., Gruber, S., Immerzeel, W. W., Kulkarni, A., Li, H., Tahir, A. A., Zhang, G., and Zhang, Y.: Status and Change of the Cryosphere in the Extended Hindu Kush Himalaya Region, in: *The Hindu Kush Himalaya Assessment: Mountains, Climate Change, Sustainability and People*, edited by: Wester, P., Mishra, A., Mukherji, A., and Shrestha, A. B., Springer International Publishing, Cham, 209–255, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-92288-1_2019.
- Bründl, M., Etter, H.-J., Steiniger, M., Klingler, Ch., Rhyner, J., and Ammann, W. J.: IFKIS - a basis for managing avalanche risk in settlements and on roads in Switzerland, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 4, 257–262, <https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-4-257-2004>, 2004.
- Bühler, Y., Hüni, A., Christen, M., Meister, R., and Kellenberger, T.: Automated detection and mapping of avalanche deposits using airborne optical remote sensing data, *Cold Reg. Sci. Technol.*,

- 57, 99–106, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coldregions.2009.02.007>, 2009.
- Bühler, Y., Kumar, S., Veitinger, J., Christen, M., Stoffel, A., and Snehmani: Automated identification of potential snow avalanche release areas based on digital elevation models, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 13, 1321–1335, <https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-13-1321-2013>, 2013.
- Bühler, Y., von Rickenbach, D., Stoffel, A., Margreth, S., Stoffel, L., and Christen, M.: Automated snow avalanche release area delineation – validation of existing algorithms and proposition of a new object-based approach for large-scale hazard indication mapping, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 18, 3235–3251, <https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-18-3235-2018>, 2018.
- Bühler, Y., Hafner, E. D., Zweifel, B., Zesiger, M., and Heisig, H.: Where are the avalanches? Rapid SPOT6 satellite data acquisition to map an extreme avalanche period over the Swiss Alps, *The Cryosphere*, 13, 3225–3238, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-13-3225-2019>, 2019.
- Bühler, Y., Bebi, P., Christen, M., Margreth, S., Stoffel, L., Stoffel, A., Marty, C., Schmucki, G., Caviezel, A., and Kühne, R.: Automated avalanche hazard indication mapping on a statewide scale, *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, 22, 1825–1843, 2022.
- Buser, O.: Avalanche forecast with the method of nearest neighbours: An interactive approach, *Cold Reg. Sci. Technol.*, 8, 155–163, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-232X\(83\)90006-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-232X(83)90006-X), 1983.
- Buser, O.: Two Years Experience of Operational Avalanche Forecasting using the Nearest Neighbours Method, *Ann. Glaciol.*, 13, 31–34, <https://doi.org/10.3189/S026030550000759X>, 1989.
- Butler, D. R.: Snow-avalanche hazards, Southern Glacier National Park, Montana: The nature of local knowledge and individual responses, *Disasters*, 11, 214–220, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7717.1987.tb00640.x>, 1987.
- Caiserman, A., Sidle, R. C., and Gurung, D. R.: Snow Avalanche Frequency Estimation (SAFE): 32 years of monitoring remote avalanche depositional zones in high mountains of Afghanistan, *The Cryosphere*, 16, 3295–3312, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-16-3295-2022>, 2022.
- Cannon, F., Carvalho, L. M. V., Jones, C., Hoell, A., Norris, J., Kiladis, G. N., and Tahir, A. A.: The influence of tropical forcing on extreme winter precipitation in the western Himalaya, *Clim. Dynam.*, 48, 1213–1232, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-016-3137-0>, 2017.
- Caplan-Auerbach, J. and Huggel, C.: Precursory seismicity associated with frequent, large ice avalanches on Iliamna volcano, Alaska, USA, *J. Glaciol.*, 53, 128–140, <https://doi.org/10.3189/172756507781833866>, 2007.
- Carey, M.: Living and dying with glaciers: people's historical vulnerability to avalanches and outburst floods in Peru, *Global Planet. Change*, 47, 122–134, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2004.10.007>, 2005.
- Casteller, A., Christen, M., Villalba, R., Martínez, H., Stöckli, V., Leiva, J. C., and Bartelt, P.: Validating numerical simulations of snow avalanches using dendrochronology: the Cerro Ventana event in Northern Patagonia, Argentina, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 8, 433–443, <https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-8-433-2008>, 2008.
- Casteller, A., Villalba, R., Araneo, D., and Stöckli, V.: Reconstructing temporal patterns of snow avalanches at Lago del Desierto, southern Patagonian Andes, *Cold Reg. Sci. Technol.*, 67, 68–78, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coldregions.2011.02.001>, 2011.
- Chabot, D. and Kaba, A.: Avalanche Forecasting in the Central Asian Countries of Afghanistan, Pakistan and Tajikistan, in: *International Snow Science Workshop 2016 Proceedings*, 2–7 October 2016, Breckenridge, CO, USA, 480–483, <https://arc.lib.montana.edu/snow-science/item/2310> (last access: 16 July 2023), 2016.
- Christen, M., Bartelt, P., and Gruber, U.: AVAL-1D: An avalanche dynamics program for the practice, in: *International Congress Interpraevent*, Matsumoto, Japan, 715–725, <http://supplyline.cl/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/AVAL.pdf> (last access: 16 July 2023), 2002.
- Christen, M., Kowalski, J., and Bartelt, P.: RAMMS: Numerical simulation of dense snow avalanches in three-dimensional terrain, *Cold Reg. Sci. Technol.*, 63, 1–14, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coldregions.2010.04.005>, 2010.
- Chymyrov, A., Zeidler, A., Perzl, F., and Nazarkulov, K.: The Avalanche Geodatabase Development and Hazard Mapping for the Bishkek-Osh Road in Kyrgyzstan, *International Journal of Geoinformatics*, 15, 19–27, 2019.
- Degen, A. A., Kam, M., Pandey, S. B., Upreti, C. R., Pandey, S., and Regmi, P.: Transhumant pastoralism in yak production in the Lower Mustang District of Nepal, *Nomadic Peoples*, 11, 57–85, 2007.
- Deline, P., Hewitt, K., Reznichenko, N., and Shugar, D.: Rock Avalanches onto Glaciers, in: *Landslide Hazards, Risks, and Disasters*, Academic Press, Boston, 263–319, <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-396452-6.00009-4>, 2015.
- Deng, Y. X.: Snow avalanche in Guxiang region of Xizang and its role in the physical geographic processes of high mountains, *Acta Geographica Sinica*, 35, 242–250, 1980 (in Chinese).
- Dewali, S. K., Joshi, J. C., Ganju, A., and Snehmani: A GPS-based real-time avalanche path warning and navigation system, *Geomat. Nat. Haz. Risk*, 5, 56–80, 2014.
- DRDO: Avalanche Hazard Mitigation in Western and Central Himalaya, Defence Research and Development Organisation (DRDO), Ministry of Defence, Government of India, Delhi, 2018.
- Eckerstorfer, M. and Grahn, J.: Snow avalanche detection using Sentinel-1 in Langtang, Nepal, NORCE Norwegian Research Centre, ISBN 978-82-8408-179-3, 2021.
- Eckerstorfer, M. and Malnes, E.: Manual detection of snow avalanche debris using high-resolution Radarsat-2 SAR images, *Cold Reg. Sci. Technol.*, 120, 205–218, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coldregions.2015.08.016>, 2015.
- Eckerstorfer, M., Bühler, Y., Frauenfelder, R., and Malnes, E.: Remote sensing of snow avalanches: Recent advances, potential, and limitations, *Cold Reg. Sci. Technol.*, 121, 126–140, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coldregions.2015.11.001>, 2016.
- Eckerstorfer, M., Vickers, H., Malnes, E., and Grahn, J.: Near-Real Time Automatic Snow Avalanche Activity Monitoring System Using Sentinel-1 SAR Data in Norway, *Remote Sens.-Basel*, 11, 2863, <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs11232863>, 2019.
- Eckert, N., Parent, E., Kies, R., and Baya, H.: A spatio-temporal modelling framework for assessing the fluctuations of avalanche occurrence resulting from climate change: application to 60 years of data in the northern French Alps, *Climatic Change*, 101, 515–553, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-009-9718-8>, 2010.

- Eckert, N., Keylock, C. J., Castebrunet, H., Lavigne, A., and Naaim, M.: Temporal trends in avalanche activity in the French Alps and subregions: from occurrences and runout altitudes to unsteady return periods, *J. Glaciol.*, 59, 93–114, <https://doi.org/10.3189/2013JoG12J091>, 2013.
- Emerman, S. H., Adhikari, S., Panday, S., Bhattarai, T. N., Gautam, T., Fellows, S. A., Anderson, R. B., Adhikari, N., Karki, K., and Palmer, M. A.: The Integration of the Direct and Indirect Methods in Lichenometry for Dating Buddhist Sacred Walls in Langtang Valley, Nepal Himalaya, *Arct. Antart. Alp. Res.*, 48, 9–31, <https://doi.org/10.1657/AAAR0015-026>, 2016.
- Emmer, A., Allen, S. K., Carey, M., Frey, H., Huggel, C., Korup, O., Mergili, M., Sattar, A., Veh, G., Chen, T. Y., Cook, S. J., Correas-Gonzalez, M., Das, S., Diaz Moreno, A., Drenkhan, F., Fischer, M., Immerzeel, W. W., Izagirre, E., Joshi, R. C., Kougkoulos, I., Kuyakanon Knapp, R., Li, D., Majeed, U., Matti, S., Moulton, H., Nick, F., Piroton, V., Rashid, I., Reza, M., Ribeiro de Figueiredo, A., Riveros, C., Shrestha, F., Shrestha, M., Steiner, J., Walker-Crawford, N., Wood, J. L., and Yde, J. C.: Progress and challenges in glacial lake outburst flood research (2017–2021): a research community perspective, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 22, 3041–3061, <https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-22-3041-2022>, 2022.
- Faillietaz, J., Funk, M., and Sornette, D.: Instabilities on Alpine temperate glaciers: new insights arising from the numerical modelling of Allalingsletscher (Valais, Switzerland), *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 12, 2977–2991, <https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-12-2977-2012>, 2012.
- Faillietaz, J., Funk, M., and Vagliasindi, M.: Time forecast of a break-off event from a hanging glacier, *The Cryosphere*, 10, 1191–1200, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-10-1191-2016>, 2016.
- Faraz, S.: The glacier ‘marriages’ in Pakistan’s high Himalayas, <https://www.thethirdpole.net/en/climate/the-glacier-marriages-in-pakistans-high-himalayas/> (last access: 16 July 2023), 2020.
- Fitzharris, B. B. and Bakkehøi, S.: A synoptic climatology of major avalanche winters in Norway, *J. Climatol.*, 6, 431–446, <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.3370060408>, 1986.
- Fleetwood, L.: Science on the Roof of the World: Empire and the Remaking of the Himalaya, Cambridge University Press, ISBN 978-1009123112, 2022.
- Fuchs, S., Bründl, M., and Stötter, J.: Development of avalanche risk between 1950 and 2000 in the Municipality of Davos, Switzerland, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 4, 263–275, <https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-4-263-2004>, 2004.
- Fujita, K., Inoue, H., Izumi, T., Yamaguchi, S., Sadakane, A., Sunako, S., Nishimura, K., Immerzeel, W. W., Shea, J. M., Kayastha, R. B., Sawagaki, T., Breashears, D. F., Yagi, H., and Sakai, A.: Anomalous winter-snow-amplified earthquake-induced disaster of the 2015 Langtang avalanche in Nepal, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 17, 749–764, <https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-17-749-2017>, 2017.
- Ganju, A. and Dimri, A. P.: Prevention and Mitigation of Avalanche Disasters in Western Himalayan Region, *Nat. Hazards*, 31, 357–371, <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:NHAZ.0000023357.37850.aa>, 2004.
- Ganju, A., Thakur, N. K., and Rana, V.: Characteristics of avalanche accidents in western Himalayan region, India, in: Proceedings of the International Snow Science Workshop, Penticton, BC, Canada, 29 September–4 October 2002, ISSW, 200–207, 2002.
- Giacona, F., Eckert, N., Corona, C., Mainieri, R., Morin, S., Stoffel, M., Martin, B., and Naaim, M.: Upslope migration of snow avalanches in a warming climate, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 118, e2107306118, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2107306118>, 2021.
- Gidrometeoizdat: Avalanches Cadastre of USSR, GIMIZ, Leningrad, 1984.
- Gilany, N. and Iqbal, J.: Simulation of Glacial Avalanche Hazards in Shyok Basin of Upper Indus, *Sci. Rep.-UK*, 9, 20077, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-56523-7>, 2019.
- Gusain, H. S., Negi, H. S., Dhamija, S., Mishra, V. D., and Snehmani: Development of avalanche information system using remote sensing and GIS technology in the Indian Karakoram Himalaya, *Current Science*, 117, 104–109, 2019.
- Hafner, E. D., Techel, F., Leinss, S., and Bühler, Y.: Mapping avalanches with satellites – evaluation of performance and completeness, *The Cryosphere*, 15, 983–1004, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-15-983-2021>, 2021.
- Hancock, H., Hendriks, J., Eckerstorfer, M., and Wickström, S.: Synoptic control on snow avalanche activity in central Spitsbergen, *The Cryosphere*, 15, 3813–3837, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-15-3813-2021>, 2021.
- Hao, J., Huang, F., Liu, Y., Amobichukwu, C. A., and Li, L.: Avalanche activity and characteristics of its triggering factors in the western Tianshan Mountains, China, *J. Mt. Sci.*, 15, 1397–1411, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11629-018-4941-2>, 2018.
- Hao, J., Mind’je, R., Liu, Y., Huang, F., Zhou, H., and Li, L.: Characteristics and hazards of different snow avalanche types in a continental snow climate region in the Central Tianshan Mountains, *J. Arid Land*, 13, 317–331, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40333-021-0058-5>, 2021a.
- Hao, J., Zhang, Z., and Li, L.: Timing and identification of potential snow avalanche types: a case study of the central Tianshan Mountains, *Landslides*, 18, 3845–3856, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10346-021-01766-7>, 2021b.
- Hao, J., Zhang, X., Cui, P., Li, L., Wang, Y., Zhang, G., and Li, C.: Impacts of Climate Change on Snow Avalanche Activity Along a Transportation Corridor in the Tianshan Mountains, *Int. J. Disast. Risk Sc.*, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13753-023-00475-0>, in press, 2023.
- Hewitt, K.: Glacier Change, Concentration, and Elevation Effects in the Karakoram Himalaya, Upper Indus Basin, *Mt. Res. Dev.*, 31, 188–200, <https://doi.org/10.1659/MRD-JOURNAL-D-11-00020.1>, 2011.
- Hovelsrud, G. K., Karlsson, M., and Olsen, J.: Prepared and flexible: Local adaptation strategies for avalanche risk, *Cogent Social Sciences*, 4, 1460899, <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2018.1460899>, 2018.
- Huggel, C., Zraggen-Oswald, S., Haeblerli, W., Kääh, A., Polkvoj, A., Galushkin, I., and Evans, S. G.: The 2002 rock/ice avalanche at Kolka/Karmadon, Russian Caucasus: assessment of extraordinary avalanche formation and mobility, and application of QuickBird satellite imagery, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 5, 173–187, <https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-5-173-2005>, 2005.
- Indira Gandhi National Open University: Understanding Natural Disasters: in UNIT 14 Avalanches: Case Studies, <https://www.studocu.com/in/document/indira-gandhi-national-open-university/>

- understanding-natural-disasters/unit-14-understanding-natural-resources-the/23729553, (last access: 16 July 2023), 2017.
- Jomelli, V., Delval, C., Grancher, D., Escande, S., Brunstein, D., Hetu, B., Fillion, L., and Pech, P.: Probabilistic analysis of recent snow avalanche activity and weather in the French Alps, *Cold Reg. Sci. Technol.*, 47, 180–192, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coldregions.2006.08.003>, 2007.
- Joshi, J. C., Kumar, T., Srivastava, S., Sachdeva, D., and Ganju, A.: Application of Hidden Markov Model for avalanche danger simulations for road sectors in North-West Himalaya, *Nat. Hazards*, 93, 1127–1143, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-018-3343-7>, 2018.
- Kääb, A., Leinss, S., Gilbert, A., Bühler, Y., Gascoïn, S., Evans, S. G., Bartelt, P., Berthier, E., Brun, F., Chao, W.-A., Farinotti, D., Gimbert, F., Guo, W., Huggel, C., Kargel, J. S., Leonard, G. J., Tian, L., Treichler, D., and Yao, T.: Massive collapse of two glaciers in western Tibet in 2016 after surge-like instability, *Nat. Geosci.*, 11, 114–120, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-017-0039-7>, 2018.
- Kääb, A., Jacquemart, M., Gilbert, A., Leinss, S., Girod, L., Huggel, C., Falaschi, D., Ugalde, F., Petrakov, D., Chernomorets, S., Dokukin, M., Paul, F., Gascoïn, S., Berthier, E., and Kargel, J. S.: Sudden large-volume detachments of low-angle mountain glaciers – more frequent than thought?, *The Cryosphere*, 15, 1751–1785, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-15-1751-2021>, 2021.
- Karas, A., Karbou, F., Giffard-Roisin, S., Durand, P., and Eckert, N.: Automatic Color Detection-Based Method Applied to Sentinel-1 SAR Images for Snow Avalanche Debris Monitoring, *IEEE T. Geosci. Remote*, 60, 1–17, <https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2021.3131853>, 2022.
- Kargel, J. S., Leonard, G. J., Shugar, D. H., Haritashya, U. K., Bevington, A., Fielding, E. J., Fujita, K., Geertsema, M., Miles, E. S., Steiner, J., Anderson, E., Bajracharya, S., Bawden, G. W., Breashears, D. F., Byers, A., Collins, B., Dhital, M. R., Donnellan, A., Evans, T. L., Geai, M. L., Glasscoe, M. T., Green, D., Gurung, D. R., Heijen, R., Hilborn, A., Hudnut, K., Huyck, C., Immerzeel, W. W., Liming, J., Jibson, R., Kääb, A., Khanal, N. R., Kirschbaum, D., Kraaijenbrink, P. D. A., Lamsal, D., Shiyin, L., Mingyang, L., McKinney, D., Nahirmick, N. K., Zhuotong, N., Ojha, S., Olsenholler, J., Painter, T. H., Pleasants, M., Pratima, K. C., Yuan, Q. I., Raup, B. H., Regmi, D., Rounce, D. R., Sakai, A., Donghui, S., Shea, J. M., Shrestha, A. B., Shukla, A., Stumm, D., van der Kooij, M., Voss, K., Xin, W., Weihs, B., Wolfe, D., Lizong, W., Xiaojun, Y., Yoder, M. R., and Young, N.: Geomorphic and geologic controls of geohazards induced by Nepal's 2015 Gorkha earthquake, *Science*, 351, 159–163, <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aac8353>, 2016.
- Kattelmann, R. and Yamada, T.: Storms and Avalanches of November 1995, Khumbu Himal, Nepal, in: *Proceedings of the 1996 International Snow Science Workshop*, 6–11 October 1996, Banff, Canada, 276–278, 1996.
- Keiler, M.: Development of the damage potential resulting from avalanche risk in the period 1950–2000, case study Galtür, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 4, 249–256, <https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-4-249-2004>, 2004.
- Korzeniowska, K., Bühler, Y., Marty, M., and Korup, O.: Regional snow-avalanche detection using object-based image analysis of near-infrared aerial imagery, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 17, 1823–1836, <https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-17-1823-2017>, 2017.
- Kumar, S., Snehmami, Srivastava, P. K., Gore, A., and Singh, M. K.: Fuzzy–frequency ratio model for avalanche susceptibility mapping, *Int. J. Digit. Earth*, 9, 1168–1184, 2016.
- Kumar, S., Srivastava, P. K., and Snehmami: GIS-based MCDA–AHP modelling for avalanche susceptibility mapping of Nubra valley region, Indian Himalaya, *Geocarto Int.*, 32, 1254–1267, <https://doi.org/10.1080/10106049.2016.1206626>, 2017.
- Kumar, S., Srivastava, P. K., and Snehmami: Geospatial Modelling and Mapping of Snow Avalanche Susceptibility, *J. Indian Soc. Remote*, 46, 109–119, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12524-017-0672-z>, 2018.
- Kutsch, E., Turner, N., Denyer, D., and Hällgren, M.: A case of dying leadership: Examining the K2 disaster of 2008, *Proceedings*, 2017, 12001, <https://doi.org/10.5465/AMBPP.2017.12001abstract>, 2017.
- Laha, S., Kumari, R., Singh, S., Mishra, A., Sharma, T., Banerjee, A., Nainwal, H. C., and Shankar, R.: Evaluating the contribution of avalanching to the mass balance of Himalayan glaciers, *Ann. Glaciol.*, 58, 110–118, <https://doi.org/10.1017/aog.2017.27>, 2017.
- Lashkari, S. H.: Avalanche risk reduction and community resilience building in (Badakhshan, Afghanistan), PhD thesis, Brac University, <https://dspace.bracu.ac.bd/xmlui/handle/10361/18046?show=full> (last access: 16 July 2023), 2022.
- Lato, M. J., Frauenfelder, R., and Bühler, Y.: Automated detection of snow avalanche deposits: segmentation and classification of optical remote sensing imagery, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 12, 2893–2906, <https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-12-2893-2012>, 2012.
- Leinss, S., Bernardini, E., Jacquemart, M., and Dokukin, M.: Glacier detachments and rock-ice avalanches in the Petra Pervogo range, Tajikistan (1973–2019), *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 21, 1409–1429, <https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-21-1409-2021>, 2021.
- Lievens, H., Demuzere, M., Marshall, H.-P., Reichle, R. H., Brucker, L., Brangers, I., de Rosnay, P., Dumont, M., Giroto, M., Immerzeel, W. W., Jonas, T., Kim, E. J., Koch, I., Marty, C., Saloranta, T., Schöber, J., and De Lannoy, G. J. M.: Snow depth variability in the Northern Hemisphere mountains observed from space, *Nat. Commun.*, 10, 1–12, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-12566-y>, 2019.
- Lipovsky, P. S., Evans, S. G., Clague, J. J., Hopkinson, C., Couture, R., Bobrowsky, P., Ekström, G., Demuth, M. N., Delaney, K. B., Roberts, N. J., Clarke, G., and Schaeffer, A.: The July 2007 rock and ice avalanches at Mount Steele, St. Elias Mountains, Yukon, Canada, *Landslides*, 5, 445–455, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10346-008-0133-4>, 2008.
- Liu, Y., Fang, Y., and Margulis, S. A.: Spatiotemporal distribution of seasonal snow water equivalent in High Mountain Asia from an 18-year Landsat–MODIS era snow reanalysis dataset, *The Cryosphere*, 15, 5261–5280, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-15-5261-2021>, 2021.
- Mahboob, M. A., Iqbal, J., and Atif, I.: Modeling and Simulation of Glacier Avalanche: A Case Study of Gayari Sector Glaciers Hazards Assessment, *IEEE T. Geosci. Remote*, 53, 5824–5834, <https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2015.2419171>, 2015.

- Malik, I. and Owczarek, P.: Dendrochronological Records of Debris Flow and Avalanche Activity in a Mid-Mountain Forest Zone (Eastern Sudetes – Central Europe), *Geochronometria*, 34, 57–66, <https://doi.org/10.2478/v10003-009-0011-7>, 2009.
- Margreth, S. and Funk, M.: Hazard mapping for ice and combined snow/ice avalanches – two case studies from the Swiss and Italian Alps, *Cold Reg. Sci. Technol.*, 30, 159–173, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-232X\(99\)00027-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-232X(99)00027-0), 1999.
- Margreth, S., Funk, M., Tobler, D., Dalban, P., Meier, L., and Laufer, J.: Analysis of the hazard caused by ice avalanches from the hanging glacier on the Eiger west face, *Cold Reg. Sci. Technol.*, 144, 63–72, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coldregions.2017.05.012>, 2017.
- Martha, T. R., Roy, P., Jain, N., Khanna, K., Mrinalni, K., Kumar, K. V., and Rao, P. V. N.: Geospatial landslide inventory of India – an insight into occurrence and exposure on a national scale, *Landslides*, 18, 2125–2141, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10346-021-01645-1>, 2021.
- Martin, E., Giraud, G., Lejeune, Y., and Boudart, G.: Impact of a climate change on avalanche hazard, *Ann. Glaciol.*, 32, 163–167, <https://doi.org/10.3189/172756401781819292>, 2001.
- Martinez-Vazquez, A. and Fortuny-Guasch, J.: A GB-SAR Processor for Snow Avalanche Identification, *IEEE T. Geosci. Remote.*, 46, 3948–3956, <https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2008.2001387>, 2008.
- McClung, D. and Schaerer, P. A.: *The Avalanche Handbook*, The Mountaineers Books, 350 pp., ISBN 978-0898868098, 2006.
- McClung, D. M.: Avalanche character and fatalities in the high mountains of Asia, *Ann. Glaciol.*, 57, 114–118, 2016.
- McVeigh, C.: Himalayan herding is alive and well: The economics of pastoralism in the Langtang valley, *Nomadic Peoples*, 8, 107–124, 2004.
- Mock, C. J. and Birkeland, K. W.: Snow Avalanche Climatology of the Western United States Mountain Ranges, *B. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 81, 2367–2392, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0477\(2000\)081<2367:SACOTW>2.3.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0477(2000)081<2367:SACOTW>2.3.CO;2), 2000.
- Muhammad, S. and Thapa, A.: Daily Terra–Aqua MODIS cloud-free snow and Randolph Glacier Inventory 6.0 combined product (M*D10A1GL06) for high-mountain Asia between 2002 and 2019, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 13, 767–776, <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-13-767-2021>, 2021.
- Muntán, E., Andreu, L., Oller, P., Gutiérrez, E., and Martínez, P.: Dendrochronological study of the Canal del Roc Roig avalanche path: first results of the Aludex project in the Pyrenees, *Ann. Glaciol.*, 38, 173–179, <https://doi.org/10.3189/172756404781815077>, 2004.
- Norris, J., Carvalho, L. M. V., Jones, C., and Cannon, F.: WRF simulations of two extreme snowfall events associated with contrasting extratropical cyclones over the western and central Himalaya, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 120, 3114–3138, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JD022592>, 2015.
- Nüsser, M., Dame, J., Parveen, S., Kraus, B., Baghel, R., and Schmidt, S.: Cryosphere-Fed Irrigation Networks in the Northwestern Himalaya: Precarious Livelihoods and Adaptation Strategies Under the Impact of Climate Change, *Mt. Res. Dev.*, 39, R1–R11, <https://doi.org/10.1659/MRD-JOURNAL-D-18-00072.1>, 2019.
- Patil, A., Singh, G., Kumar, S., Mani, S., Bandyopadhyay, D., Nela, B. R., Musthafa, M., and Mohanty, S.: Snow characterization and avalanche detection in the Indian Himalaya, in: *IGARSS 2020–2020 IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium*, Waikoloa, HI, USA, 26 September 2020–2 October 2020, IEEE, 2005–2008, <https://doi.org/10.1109/IGARSS39084.2020.9324621>, 2020.
- Peitzsch, E., Boilen, S., Logan, S., Birkeland, K., and Greene, E.: Research note: How old are the people who die in avalanches? A look into the ages of avalanche victims in the United States (1950–2018), *Journal of Outdoor Recreation and Tourism*, 29, 100255, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jort.2019.100255>, 2020.
- Petley, D.: Global patterns of loss of life from landslides, *Geology*, 40, 927–930, <https://doi.org/10.1130/G33217.1>, 2012.
- Petley, D. N., Hearn, G. J., Hart, A., Rosser, N. J., Dunning, S. A., Oven, K., and Mitchell, W. A.: Trends in landslide occurrence in Nepal, *Nat. Hazards*, 43, 23–44, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-006-9100-3>, 2007.
- Plafker, G. and Ericksen, G. E.: Chapter 8 – Nevados Huascarán Avalanches, Peru, in: *Developments in Geotechnical Engineering*, edited by: Voight, B., Elsevier, 14, 277–314, 1978.
- Prakash, S., Giri, R. K., and Chand, S.: Environmental hazard – landslides and avalanches (Kashmir region), *International Journal of Physics and Mathematical Sciences*, 4, 87–99, 2014.
- Pralong, A. and Funk, M.: On the instability of avalanching glaciers, *J. Glaciol.*, 52, 31–48, <https://doi.org/10.3189/172756506781828980>, 2006.
- Pralong, A., Birrer, C., Stahel, W. A., and Funk, M.: On the predictability of ice avalanches, *Nonlin. Processes Geophys.*, 12, 849–861, <https://doi.org/10.5194/npg-12-849-2005>, 2005.
- Ragettli, S., Pellicciotti, F., Immerzeel, W. W., Miles, E. S., Petersen, L., Heynen, M., Shea, J. M., Stumm, D., Joshi, S., and Shrestha, A.: Unraveling the hydrology of a Himalayan catchment through integration of high resolution in situ data and remote sensing with an advanced simulation model, *Adv. Water Resour.*, 78, 94–111, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2015.01.013>, 2015.
- Ranghieri, F., Fallesen, D. M. G., Jongman, B., Balog-Way, S. A. B., Mashahid, S. S., Siercke, G. A., and Simpson, A. L.: Disaster risk profile: Afghanistan, World Bank Institute, Washington, DC, USA, <http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/284301491559464423/Disaster-risk-profile-Afghanistan> (last access: 16 July 2023), 2017.
- Rao, N. M.: Avalanche protection and control in the Himalayas, *Defence. Sci. J.*, 35, 255–266, 1985.
- Richardson, S. D. and Reynolds, J. M.: An overview of glacial hazards in the Himalayas, *Quatern. Int.*, 65–66, 31–47, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1040-6182\(99\)00035-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1040-6182(99)00035-X), 2000.
- Röthlisberger, H.: Ice Avalanches, *J. Glaciol.*, 19, 669–671, <https://doi.org/10.3189/S0022143000029580>, 1977.
- Sampl, P. and Zwinger, T.: Avalanche simulation with SAMOS, *Ann. Glaciol.*, 38, 393–398, <https://doi.org/10.3189/172756404781814780>, 2004.
- Sardar, T., Xu, A., and Raziq, A.: Snow Avalanche Susceptibility Based Assessment of Release Zones over Complex Terrain of Siachen Glacier Applying Ramms and Dsr as Active Macroclimatic Factor, *Procedia Comput. Sci.*, 107, 427–435, 2017.
- Schauer, A. R., Hendriks, J., Birkeland, K. W., and Mock, C. J.: Synoptic atmospheric circulation patterns associated with deep persistent slab avalanches in the western United States, *Nat. Haz-*

- ards Earth Syst. Sci., 21, 757–774, <https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-21-757-2021>, 2021.
- Schweizer, J. and Jamieson, J. B.: Snowpack properties for snow profile analysis, *Cold Reg. Sci. Technol.*, 37, 233–241, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-232X\(03\)00067-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-232X(03)00067-3), 2003.
- Schweizer, J., Bartelt, P., and van Herwijnen, A.: Chapter 12 – Snow avalanches, in: *Snow and Ice-Related Hazards, Risks, and Disasters (Second Edition)*, edited by: Haerberli, W. and Whiteman, C., Elsevier, 377–416, <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-394849-6.00012-3>, 2021.
- Semakova, E. and Bühler, Y.: TerraSAR-X/TanDEM-X data for natural hazards research in mountainous regions of Uzbekistan, *JARS*, 11, 036024, <https://doi.org/10.1117/1.JRS.11.036024>, 2017.
- Semakova, E., Myagkov, S., and Armstrong, R. L.: The current state of avalanche risk analysis and hazard mapping in Uzbekistan, in: *International Snow Science Workshop, Davos, Switzerland, 27 September–2 October 2009*, ISSW, 509–513, 2009.
- Semakova, E., Safronov, V., Mamaraimov, A., Nurtaev, B., Semakov, D., and Bühler, Y.: Applying numerical snow avalanche simulations for hazard assessment in the Kamchik pass area, Uzbekistan, in: *International Snow Science Workshop, Innsbruck, Austria, 7–12 October 2018*, ISSW, 701–704, 2018.
- Sharma, S. S. and Ganju, A.: Complexities of avalanche forecasting in Western Himalaya – an overview, *Cold Reg. Sci. Technol.*, 31, 95–102, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-232X\(99\)00034-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-232X(99)00034-8), 2000.
- Shugar, D. H., Jacquemart, M., Shean, D., Bhushan, S., Upadhyay, K., Sattar, A., Schwanghart, W., McBride, S., Vries, M. V. W. de, Mergili, M., Emmer, A., Deschamps-Berger, C., McDonnell, M., Bhambri, R., Allen, S., Berthier, E., Carrivick, J. L., Clague, J. J., Dokukin, M., Dunning, S. A., Frey, H., Gascoïn, S., Haritashya, U. K., Huggel, C., Käab, A., Kargel, J. S., Kavanaugh, J. L., Lacroix, P., Petley, D., Rupper, S., Azam, M. F., Cook, S. J., Dimri, A. P., Eriksson, M., Farinotti, D., Fiddes, J., Gnyawali, K. R., Harrison, S., Jha, M., Koppes, M., Kumar, A., Leinss, S., Majeed, U., Mal, S., Muhuri, A., Noetzli, J., Paul, F., Rashid, I., Sain, K., Steiner, J., Ugalde, F., Watson, C. S., and Westoby, M. J.: A massive rock and ice avalanche caused the 2021 disaster at Chamoli, Indian Himalaya, *Science*, 373, 300–306, <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abh4455>, 2021.
- Singh, A. and Ganju, A.: A supplement to nearest-neighbour method for avalanche forecasting, *Cold Reg. Sci. Technol.*, 39, 105–113, 2004.
- Singh, A., Srinivasan, K., and Ganju, A.: Avalanche forecast using numerical weather prediction in Indian Himalaya, *Cold Reg. Sci. Technol.*, 43, 83–92, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coldregions.2005.05.009>, 2005.
- Singh, A., Juyal, V., Kumar, B., Gusain, H. S., Shekhar, M. S., Singh, P., Kumar, S., and Negi, H. S.: Avalanche hazard mitigation in east Karakoram mountains, *Nat. Hazards*, 105, 643–665, 2021.
- Singh, D. and Ganju, A.: Expert system for prediction of avalanches, *Current Science*, 94, 1076–1081, 2008.
- Singh, D. K., Gusain, H. S., Mishra, V. D., and Gupta, N.: *Avalanche Hazard Mitigation in Western & Central Himalaya-II*, Defence Research and Development Organisation, 2019a.
- Singh, D. K., Mishra, V. D., Gusain, H. S., Gupta, N., and Singh, A. K.: Geo-spatial Modeling for Automated Demarcation of Snow Avalanche Hazard Areas Using Landsat-8 Satellite Images and In Situ Data, *J. Indian Soc. Remote*, 47, 513–526, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12524-018-00936-w>, 2019b.
- Singh, D. K., Mishra, V. D., and Gusain, H. S.: Simulation and Analysis of a Snow Avalanche Accident in Lower Western Himalaya, India, *J. Indian Soc. Remote*, 48, 1555–1565, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12524-020-01178-5>, 2020.
- Singh, V., Thakur, P. K., Garg, V., and Aggarwal, S. P.: ASSESSMENT OF SNOW AVALANCHE SUSCEPTIBILITY OF ROAD NETWORK - A CASE STUDY OF ALAKNANDA BASIN, *Int. Arch. Photogramm. Remote Sens. Spatial Inf. Sci.*, XLII-5, 461–468, <https://doi.org/10.5194/isprs-archives-XLII-5-461-2018>, 2018.
- Smith, T. and Bookhagen, B.: Changes in seasonal snow water equivalent distribution in High Mountain Asia (1987 to 2009), *Science Advances*, 4, e1701550, <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1701550>, 2018.
- Snehmani, Bhardwaj, A., Pandit, A., and Ganju, A.: Demarcation of potential avalanche sites using remote sensing and ground observations: a case study of Gangotri glacier, *Geocarto Int.*, 29, 520–535, <https://doi.org/10.1080/10106049.2013.807304>, 2014.
- Steiner, J. F. and Acharya, A.: fidelsteiner/Hi-AVAL: HiAVAL 1.0.0 (v1.0.0), Zenodo [data set], <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7066940>, 2023.
- Steiner, J. F. and Shrestha, F.: fidelsteiner/HMAGLOFDB: HMAGLOFDB v1.0.1 (v1.0.1), Zenodo [code], <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7271187>, 2023.
- Techel, F., Jarry, F., Kronthaler, G., Mitterer, S., Nairz, P., Pavšek, M., Valt, M., and Darms, G.: Avalanche fatalities in the European Alps: long-term trends and statistics, *Geogr. Helv.*, 71, 147–159, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gh-71-147-2016>, 2016.
- Thakuri, S., Chauhan, R., and Baskota, P.: Glacial Hazards and Avalanches in High Mountains of Nepal Himalaya, *Nepal Mountain Academy*, 87–104, 2020.
- Tuladhar, S., Pasakhala, B., Maharjan, A., and Mishra, A.: Unravelling the linkages of cryosphere and mountain livelihood systems: A case study of Langtang, Nepal, *Advances in Climate Change Research*, 12, 119–131, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accre.2020.12.004>, 2021.
- Tumajer, J. and Treml, V.: Reconstruction ability of dendrochronology in dating avalanche events in the Giant Mountains, Czech Republic, *Dendrochronologia*, 34, 1–9, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dendro.2015.02.002>, 2015.
- Turchaninova, A. S., Lazarev, A. V., Marchenko, E. S., Seliverstov, Yu. G., Sokratov, S. A., Petrakov, D. A., Barandun, M., Kenzhebaev, R., and Saks, T.: Methods of snow avalanche nourishment assessment (on the example of three Tian Shan glaciers), *Ice and Snow*, 59, 460–474, <https://doi.org/10.15356/2076-6734-2019-4-438>, 2019.
- Tveiten, I. N.: Glacier growing: A local response to water scarcity in Baltistan and Gilgit, Pakistan, Master thesis, Department of International Environment and Development Studies, Norwegian University of Life Science, Oslo, Norway, 64 pp., 2007.
- Veldhuijsen, S. B. M., Kok, R. J. de, Stigter, E. E., Steiner, J. F., Saloranta, T. M., and Immerzeel, W. W.: Spatial and temporal patterns of snowmelt refreezing in a Himalayan catchment, *J. Glaciol.*, 68, 369–389, <https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2021.101>, 2022.
- Vickers, H., Eckerstorfer, M., Malnes, E., Larsen, Y., and Hindberg, H.: A method for automated snow avalanche

- debris detection through use of synthetic aperture radar (SAR) imaging, *Earth and Space Science*, 3, 446–462, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016EA000168>, 2016.
- Vincent, C., Thibert, E., Harter, M., Soruco, A., and Gilbert, A.: Volume and frequency of ice avalanches from Taconnaz hanging glacier, French Alps, *Ann. Glaciol.*, 56, 17–25, <https://doi.org/10.3189/2015AoG70A017>, 2015.
- Wang, Y. L.: The relation between the growth of seasonal depth hoar and the avalanches in China, *Journal of Glaciology and Geocryology*, 20, 173–180, 1988.
- Wester, P., Mishra, A., Mukherji, A., and Shrestha, A. B. (Eds.): *The Hindu Kush Himalaya Assessment*, in: *Mountains, Climate Change, Sustainability and People*, Springer International Publishing, <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-92288-1>, 2019.
- Wu, N., Shaoliang, Y., Joshi, S., and Bisht, N.: *Yak on the Move: Transboundary Challenges and Opportunities for Yak Raising in a Changing Hindu Kush Himalayan Region*, ICIMOD, Kathmandu, Nepal, 214 pp., ISBN 9789291153749, 2016.
- Yang, J., Li, C., Li, L., Ding, J., Zhang, R., Han, T., and Liu, Y.: Automatic Detection of Regional Snow Avalanches with Scattering and Interference of C-band SAR Data, *Remote Sens.-Basel*, 12, 2781, <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12172781>, 2020.
- Yang, J., He, Q., and Liu, Y.: Winter–Spring Prediction of Snow Avalanche Susceptibility Using Optimisation Multi-Source Heterogeneous Factors in the Western Tianshan Mountains, China, *Remote Sens.-Basel*, 14, 1340, <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs14061340>, 2022.
- Yanlong, W. and Maohuan, H.: An outline of avalanches in China, *Cold Reg. Sci. Technol.*, 13, 11–18, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-232X\(86\)90003-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-232X(86)90003-0), 1986.
- Yanlong, W. and Maohuan, H.: An outline of avalanches in the southeastern Tibet Plateau, China, *Ann. Glaciol.*, 16, 146–150, <https://doi.org/10.3189/1992AoG16-1-146-150>, 1992.
- Yariyan, P., Avand, M., Abbaspour, R. A., Karami, M., and Tiefenbacher, J. P.: GIS-based spatial modeling of snow avalanches using four novel ensemble models, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 745, 141008, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141008>, 2020.
- Zakir, Z. H.: *Avalanche Harvesting – An innovative approach towards creation of solid water reservoirs and avalanche/flood risk reduction*, University of Baltistan Skardu, Skardu, Gilgit-Baltistan, 2021.