



## ***Corrigendum to*** **“Spatiotemporal evolution and meteorological triggering conditions of hydrological drought in the Hun River basin, NE China” published in Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 22, 995–1014, 2022**

**Shupeng Yue<sup>1,2</sup>, Xiaodan Sheng<sup>3,4</sup>, and Fengtian Yang<sup>1,2</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Key Laboratory of Groundwater Resources and Environment (Jilin University), Ministry of Education, Changchun 130021, China

<sup>2</sup>Jilin Provincial Key Laboratory of Water Resources and Environment, Jilin University, Changchun 130021, China

<sup>3</sup>Municipal and Environment Engineering School, Shenyang Jianzhu University, Shenyang 110170, China

<sup>4</sup>Dahuofang Reservoir Authority of Liaoning Province Liability Company, Fushun 113006, China

**Correspondence:** Fengtian Yang ([yangfengtian@jlu.edu.cn](mailto:yangfengtian@jlu.edu.cn))

Published: 1 April 2022

The following changes correct mistakes introduced by the authors during the writing of the article:

1. The last sentence in the Abstract should be replaced with “Additionally, the middle and lower reaches of the HRB showed the highest drought propagation threshold in moderate, severe, and extreme drought scenarios.” Similarly, the sentence in the last numbered item of Sect. 5 that starts “The midstream of the HRB” should be replaced with “The middle and lower reaches of the HRB showed the highest drought propagation threshold in moderate, severe, and extreme drought scenarios”.
2. In the last sentence of the first paragraph of Sect. 4.4, “HRB reservoir” should be replaced with “DHF reservoir”. Similarly, in the second sentence of the second paragraph of Sect. 4.4, “HRB reservoir” should be replaced with “DHF reservoir”.
3. The second paragraph of Sect. 5, starting “The hydrological drought showed a gradually strengthening trend”, should be the first numbered conclusion of the subsequent list. The sequence of the other conclusions should be shifted accordingly (point 1 is point 2, point 2 is point 3, etc.).
4. In point 3 of Sect. 5 (now point 4; see above), the numerical values of the average  $T_p$  in BKQ, DHF, SY, and XJWP are incorrect. The values “4.1, 4.3, 14.9, and 1.9” should be “4.5, 4.5, 17.2, and 15.8”, which is consistent with the previous text.