



# Supplement of

# Adaptation and application of the large LAERTES-EU regional climate model ensemble for modeling hydrological extremes: a pilot study for the Rhine basin

Florian Ehmele et al.

Correspondence to: Florian Ehmele (florian.ehmele@kit.edu)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the article licence.

#### 20 **1. Added Value of the Bias Correction**

21 Figure S1 shows the bias of the corrected and uncorrected model data of block 4. In the 22 uncorrected model precipitation, a positive bias towards E-OBS is visible within the Rhine catchment (Fig. S1 a). There are only a few places with negative bias. Overall, there is a clear 23 24 improvement after bias correction (Fig. S1 b). The remaining bias of LAERTES-EU data block 4 25 towards E-OBS, which is below 0.5 mm, is mostly negative. Similar to E-OBS, the uncorrected 26 model precipitation is overestimated towards observed precipitation in HYRAS (Fig. S1 c). Again, bias correction leads to a reduction of this overestimation, however, by resulting in a slight under-27 28 representation of rainfall (Fig. S1 d).



Figure S1. Bias within in the Rhine catchment in daily precipitation [in mm] for ensemble mean based on a), c) LAERTES-EU data block 4 without bias correction, and b), d) LAERTES-EU data block 4 with bias correction in comparison to E-OBS (top) and HYRAS (bottom). Thin black lines show country borders, bold black line indicates the Rhine basin, blue lines indicate rivers, and cyan shaded areas show lakes.

### 33 2. Validation of the hydrological model

Figures S2-S6 show the time series for different gauging station within the Rhine basin for three 34 35 historical flood events capturing different catchment sizes. Displayed are the observed discharges 36 and the simulation results of the HBV model driven by precipitation amounts of the observational 37 data sets HYRAS and E-OBS. The overall temporal evolution of the events is well captured with 38 some deviations at the peak discharges, especially for the smaller catchments. The model runs on 39 a daily time step with daily input data and these smaller catchments have a higher sensitivity to 40 the inter-day variability of precipitation. Furthermore, the differences between the E-OBS driven 41 simulations and the HYRAS driven runs are more distinct for the smaller catchments due to the 42 higher resolution of the HYRAS data set.



Figure S2. Time series of simulated and observed discharges (black) at Bad Vilbel station (BADV) for the flood events (a) March 1988, (b) December 1993, and (c) January 1995. The simulations are

45 forced with HYRAS (red), and E-OBS (yellow), respectively.



**Figure S3.** Same as Fig. S2 but for Betzdorf station (BETZ).



47 Figure S4. Same as Fig. S2 but for Rockenau station (ROCK).



**Figure S5.** Same as Fig. S2 but for Frankfurt Osthafen station (FRAN).



**Figure S6.** Same as Fig. S2 but for Grolsheim station (GROL).

## 50 **3. Added Value of Bias Correction for hydrological applications**

51 Figures S7-S11 show the discharge for different return periods (RPs) estimated from observations,

52 simulations driven with observed precipitation, and simulations driven by LAERTES-EU. The RPs

53 from observations are calculated using different distributions, namely Weibull, Gamma, and

54 Gumbel. The RPs from the observational forced simulations are estimated via Weibull distribution.





**Figure S7:** Return values of observed and simulated discharges at Bad Vilbel (BADV) station. Given are the Weibull (black solid), Gumbel (black dashed), and Gamma distributions (black dot-dashed) for observed discharges as well as the Weibull distributions for the simulation forced with observed precipitation from E-OBS (orange) and HYRAS (red). The results from uncorrected LAERTES-EU driven simulations are given in green and those driven by corrected LAERTES-EU data are shown in blue. The shaded areas represent the 95% confidence intervals of HYRAS (red) and Q obs Weibull (gray). The length of each time series is given in the legend.





65 Figure S8: Same as Figure S7, but for Betzdorf (BETZ).







72 Figure S10: Same as Figure S7, but for Rockenau (ROCK).



**Figure S11:** Same as Figure S7, but for Frankfurt Osthafen (FRAN).