Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 2121, 2611–2631, 20212021 https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2121-2611-20212021 © Author(s) 2021. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



Estimation of the non-exceedance probability of extreme storm surges in South Korea using tidal-gauge data

Sang-Guk Yum¹, Hsi-Hsien Wei², and Sung-Hwan Jang^{3,4}

¹Department of Civil Engineering, Gangneung-Wonju National University, Gangneung, Gangwon-do 25457, South Korea
 ²Department of Building and Real Estate, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Kowloon, Hong Kong, PR China
 ³Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Hanyang University ERICA, Ansan, Gyeonggi-do 15588, South Korea
 ⁴Department of Smart City Engineering, Hanyang University ERICA, Ansan, Gyeonggi-do 15588, South Korea

Correspondence: Sung-Hwan Jang (sj2527@hanyang.ac.kr)

Received: 18 November 2020 – Discussion started: 21 November 2020 Revised: 22 July 2021 – Accepted: 30 July 2021 – Published: 26 August 2021

Abstract. Global warming, one of the most serious aspects of climate change, can be expected to cause rising sea levels. These have in turn been linked to unprecedentedly large typhoons that can cause flooding of low-lying land, coastal invasion, seawater flows into rivers and groundwater, rising river levels, and aberrant tides. To prevent typhoonrelated loss of life and property damage, it is crucial to accurately estimate storm-surge risk. This study therefore develops a statistical model for estimating such surges' probability based on surge data pertaining to Typhoon Maemi, which struck South Korea in 2003. Specifically, estimation of non-exceedance probability models of the typhoon-related storm surge was achieved via clustered separated peaksover-threshold simulation, while various distribution models were fitted to the empirical data for investigating the risk of storm surges reaching particular heights. To explore the non-exceedance probability of extreme storm surges caused by typhoons, a threshold algorithm with clustering methodology was applied. To enhance the accuracy of such nonexceedance probability, the surge data were separated into three different components: predicted water level, observed water level, and surge. Sea-level data from when Typhoon Maemi struck were collected from a tidal-gauge station in the city of Busan, which is vulnerable to typhoon-related disasters due to its geographical characteristics. Fréchet, gamma, log-normal, generalized Pareto, and Weibull distributions were fitted to the empirical surge data, and the researchers compared each one's performance at explaining the nonexceedance probability. This established that Weibull distribution was better than any of the other distributions for modelling Typhoon Maemi's peak total water level. Although this research was limited to one city on the Korean Peninsula and one extreme weather event, its approach could be used to reliably estimate non-exceedance probabilities in other regions where tidal-gauge data are available. In practical terms, the findings of this study and future ones adopting its methodology will provide a useful reference for designers of coastal infrastructure.

1 Introduction

1.1 Climate change and global warming

Climate change, which can directly affect the atmosphere, oceans, and other planetary features via a variety of pathways and mechanisms, notably including global warming, also has secondary consequences for nature and for human society. In the specific case of global warming, one of the most profoundly negative of these secondary effects is sea-level rise, which can cause flooding of low-lying land, coastal invasion, seawater flows into rivers and groundwater, river-level rise, and tidal aberrations.

Recent research has also reported that, under the influence of global warming, the intensities and frequencies of typhoons and hurricanes are continuously changing, increasing these hazards' potential to negatively affect water resources, transport facilities, and other infrastructure, as well as natural systems (Noshadravan et al., 2017). Ke et al. (2018) studied these new frequencies of storm-induced flooding, with the aim of formulating new safety guidelines for flood defence systems in Shanghai, China. They proposed a methodology for estimating new flooding frequencies, which involved analysing annual water-level data obtained from water-gauge stations along a river near Shanghai. The authors reported that a generalized extreme value (GEV) probability distribution model was the best fit to the empirical data, and this led them to advocate changes in the recommended height of the city's flood wall. However, Ke at al. (2018) only considered annual maximum water levels when analysing flooding frequencies, which could have led to inaccurate estimation of the exceedance probability of extreme natural hazards such as mega-typhoons, which may bring unexpectedly or even unprecedentedly high water levels. In such circumstances, the protection of human society calls for highly accurate forecasting systems, especially as inaccurate estimation of the risk probability of these hazards can lead to the construction of facilities in inappropriate locations, thus wasting time and money and endangering life. Moreover, the combined effect of sea-level rise and tropical storms is potentially even more catastrophic than either of these hazards by itself.

1.1.1 Sea-level rise

According to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC, 2007), average global temperature increased by approximately 0.74 °C (i.e. at least 0.56 °C and up to 0.92 °C) between 1906 and 2005 (Hwang, 2013). The IPCC (2007) Fourth Assessment Report (AR4) noted that since 1961, world mean sea level (MSL) has increased by around 1.8 mm (i.e. 1.3–2.3 mm) per year; when melting polar ice is taken into account, this figure increases to 3.1 mm (2.4-3.8 mm). Moreover, the overall area of Arctic ice has decreased by an average of 2.7 % annually since 1978, and the amount of snow on mountains has also declined (Kim and Cho, 2013). These observations have sparked growing interest in how much sea levels will increase, including research into how changes in the climate can best be coped with (Radic and Hock, 2011; Schaeffer et al., 2012). Most industrial facilities on the Korean Peninsula, including plants, ports, roads, and shipyards, are located near the shore - as indeed are most residential buildings. These topographical characteristics make the cities of South Korea especially vulnerable to damage caused by sea-level rise and the associated large socioeconomic losses.

1.1.2 Sea-level rise potentially affecting the city of Busan, South Korea

Yoon and Kim (2012) investigated 51 years' worth of sealevel changes using data from tidal gauges at 17 stations located around the Korean Peninsula. They utilized regression analysis to calculate the general trend in MSL for 1960–2010 at each station and found that around South Korea MSL rose more quickly than it did globally. The linear rising trend of Table 1. Largest typhoons to have struck the Korean Peninsula.

Name	Date	Amount of damage (USD)	Max. wind speed (10 min. avg., m s ⁻¹)
Rusa	30 Aug-1 Sep 2002	4.3 billion (first)	41
Maemi	12-13 Sep 2003	3.5 billion (second)	54
Bolaven	25–30 Aug 2012	0.9 billion (third)	53

MSL was relatively small along South Korea's western coast (averaging 1.3 mm yr^{-1}) but large on the southern and eastern coasts (3.2 and 2.0 mm yr⁻¹, respectively) and very large around Jeju Island (5.6 mm yr⁻¹, i.e. more than 3 times the global average).

According to AR4, the rate of sea-level rise may accelerate after the 21st century, and this should be taken into consideration when designing coastal structures if disasters are to be avoided. Therefore, places most likely to be affected by current and future climate change need more accurate predictions of sea-level variation and surge heights, with a "surge" being defined as the difference between observed and predicted sea level. In the present work, Busan, a major metropolitan area on the southeastern coast of South Korea, has been used as a case study. According to the calculations of Yoon and Kim (2012), the sea level around Busan rose by an average 1.8 mm yr^{-1} from 1960 to 2010, i.e. roughly the same as the global trend over the same period.

1.2 Problem statement

1.2.1 Typhoon trends in South Korea

The Korean Peninsula is bounded by three distinct sea systems, generally known in English as the Yellow Sea, the Korea Strait, and the East Sea or Sea of Japan. This characteristic has often led to severe damage to its coastal regions. According to the Korea Ocean Observing and Forecasting System (KOOFS), Typhoon Maemi in September 2003 had a maximum wind speed of $54 \,\mathrm{m\,s^{-1}}$ (metres per second), and these strong gusts caused an unexpected storm surge. This event caused USD 3.5 billion in property damage, as shown in Table 1. All three of the highest peaks ever recorded by South Korea's tidal-gauge stations also occurred in that month.

The most typhoon-heavy month in South Korea is August, followed by July and September, with two-thirds of all typhoons occurring in July and August. Tables 2 and 3 present statistics about typhoons in South Korea over periods of 68 and 10 years ending in 2019, respectively, and Fig. 1 shows the track of Typhoon Maemi from 4–16 September 2003. As can be seen from Fig. 1, Typhoon Maemi passed into Busan from the southeast, causing direct damage upon landfall, after which its maximum 10 min sustained wind speed was 54 m s⁻¹. Typhoon Maemi prompted the insurance



Figure 1. Track and wind speed of Maemi, 2003. The track of Typhoon Maemi was created by the authors using the base map provided by ArcGIS.

Table 2. Incidence of typhoons and typhoon landfall in South Korea, 1952–2019, by month.

	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total
Typhoons, n	29	15	15	45	67	115	245	351	322	238	152	73	1678
Typhoons, avg.	0.54	0.28	0.46	0.83	1.24	2.13	4.54	6.52	5.96	4.41	2.81	1.35	31.07
Landfalls, n	0	0	0	0	1	18	65	70	45	5	0	0	206
Landfalls, avg.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.02	0.33	1.2	1.3	0.87	0.09	0.0	0.0	3.81

Table 3. Incidence of typhoons and typhoon landfall in South Korea, 2010–2019, by month.

	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total
Typhoons, n	4	3	4	5	12	18	33	43	56	34	16	7	235
Typhoons, avg.	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.5	1.2	1.8	3.3	4.3	5.6	3.4	1.6	0.7	23.5
Landfalls, n	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	11	7	5	2	0	28
Landfalls, avg.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.3	1.1	0.7	0.5	0.2	0	2.8

industry, the South Korean government, and many academic researchers to recognize the importance of advance planning and preparations for such storms, as well as for other types of natural disasters.

1.2.2 Tidal-gauge stations in South Korea

Effective measures for reducing the damage caused by future typhoons, especially the design and re-design of waterfront infrastructure, will require accurate prediction of storm-surge height. When Typhoon Maemi struck the Korean Peninsula in 2003, South Korea was operating 17 tidal-gauge stations, of which 8 had been collecting data for 30 years or more. They were located on the western (n = 5), southern (n = 10), and eastern coasts (n = 2).

This study focuses on the 15 tidal-gauge stations located on the southern and western coasts (Fig. 2). The reason for excluding the remaining two stations is that the majority of typhoons do not arrive from the east or make landfall on that coast. The hourly tidal data for this study have been



Figure 2. Locations of the 15 tidal-gauge stations on the western and southern coasts of South Korea as of 2003. The locations of tidal-gauge stations were created by the authors using the base map provided by ArcGIS.

provided by the Korea Hydrographic and Oceanographic Agency (KHOA, 2019) and are used with that agency's permission.

1.2.3 Highest recorded water levels

The western tidal-gauge stations are located at Incheon, Gyeongin, Changwon, Gunsan, and Mokpo. These five stations have operated for different lengths of time, ranging from 2 to 61 years. Collection of the sea levels observed hourly by each station throughout their respective periods of operation revealed the top three sea-level heights at each. These heights, which are shown in Table 4, are clearly correlated with the dates of arrival of typhoons.

The same approach was applied to the data from the 10 tidal-gauge stations on the south coast, as shown in Tables 5 and 6.

1.2.4 Tidal-gauge station at the city of Busan in South Korea

One of the focal tidal-gauge stations has observation records covering more than half a century. It is located on the south coast at Busan, South Korea's second-largest city. Thanks to its location near the sea, Busan's international trade has **Table 4.** The three highest water levels recorded at each tidal-gauge station on South Korea's west coast.

Location	Years of data	Top three peaks (cm)	Dates and times of peaks (GMT+9)
		987	24 Jul 2013, 10:00
Incheon	18	981	8 Sep 2002, 06:00
		980	27 Oct 2003, 18:00
		993	30 Sep 2015, 19:00
Gyeonin	2	987	29 Sep 2015, 18:00
		986	29 Oct 2015, 18:00
		798	30 Sep 2015, 17:00
Janghang	14	796	11 Oct 2014, 17:00
		794	29 Sep 2015, 16:00
		805	19 Aug 1997, 04:00
Gunsan	37	799	21 Aug 1997, 05:00
		797	31 Aug 2000, 05:00
		544	4 Jul 2004, 04:00
Mokpo	61	544	6 Jul 2004, 05:00
		538	16 Nov 2012, 16:00

Location	Years of data	Top three peaks (cm)	Dates/times of peaks (GMT+9)
		221	18 Sep 2012, 10:00
Port of New Busan	5	219	17 Sep 2012, 09:00
		219	11 Aug 2014, 21:00
		252	17 Sep 2012, 10:00
Gadeok	40	246	17 Sep 2012, 09:00
		246	16 Jul 1987, 00:00
		265	17 Sep 2012, 10:00
Masan	37	264	17 Sep 2012, 11:00
		244	29 Aug 2004, 21:00
		133	19 Aug 2004, 08:00
Ulsan	55	120	12 Sep 2003, 21:00
		129	17 Sep 2012, 20:00
		426	12 Sep 2003, 21:00
Tongyeong	41	357	12 Sep 2012, 10:00
		356	12 Sep 2003, 20:00
		352	30 Aug 2015, 22:00
Samcheonpo	2	350	28 Oct 2015, 09:00
		350	27 Nov 2015, 10:00
		270	17 Sep 2012, 09:00
Geoje	11	259	17 Sep 2012, 10:00
		255	4 Jan 2006, 09:00
		479	17 Sep 2012, 10:00
Gwangyang	6	443	17 Sep 2012, 11:00
		441	1 Aug 2014, 22:00
		440	18 Aug 1966, 23:00
Yeosu	52	430	14 Sep 1966, 21:00
		129	17 Aug 1966, 22:00

Table 5. The three highest water levels recorded at 9 of the 10 tidalgauge stations on South Korea's south coast.

Table 6. The three highest water levels recorded at the tidal-gauge station in Busan, South Korea.

	Years of data	Top three peaks (cm)	Dates/times of peaks (GMT+9)
		211	12 Sep 2003, 21:00
Busan	54	190	12 Sep 2003, 20:00
		188	12 Sep 2003, 12:00

boomed, and as a consequence it now boasts the largest port in South Korea. The Nakdong, the longest and widest river in South Korea, also passes through it. Due to these geographical characteristics, Busan has been very vulnerable to natural disasters, and the importance of accurately predicting the characteristics of future storms is increasingly recognized by its government and other stakeholders. The top three sealevel heights at the tidal-gauge station there are shown in Ta-



Figure 3. Mean sea-level fluctuations in Busan, South Korea, 1962–2019 (KHOA, 2019).

Table 7. Kolmogorov–Smirnov normality test of sea-level fluctuation data from the Busan tidal-gauge station.

	Statistic	Degrees of freedom (df)	Significance	Pearson correlation
Sea-level fluctuation	0.084	473 352	0.200	0.96

ble 6. As this table indicates, all of the top three water heights recorded in the long history of this station occurred during Typhoon Maemi's passage through the area.

KHOA makes hourly observations of water height at the Busan tidal-gauge station, and the annual means presented in this paper have been calculated from that hourly data. As can be seen in Fig. 3, plotting MSL for each year confirms that short-term water-level variation merely masks the long-term trend of sea-level increase. Therefore, on the assumption that MSL variation was a function of time, a linear regression was performed, with the resulting coefficient of slope indicating the rate of increase (Yoon and Kim, 2012). The data utilized to estimate MSL for the tidal-gauge station in Busan were provided by KHOA, which performed quality control on the data before releasing it to us. Additionally, however, a normality test was performed, and the results (as shown in Table 7) indicated that the hourly sea-level data followed a normal distribution at a significance > 0.05. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov normality test was adopted as it is well suited to datasets containing more than 30 items.

As can be seen in Fig. 3, the average rate of increase in MSL at Busan's tidal-gauge station from 1962 to 2019 was 2.4 mm yr⁻¹, yielding a difference of 16.31 cm between the beginning and the end of that period. This finding is broadly in line with the Yoon and Kim (2012) finding that the rate of MSL increase around the Korean Peninsula as a whole between 1960 and 2010 was about 2.9 mm yr⁻¹. In addition, linear-regression analysis of the sea-level fluctuation data for 1965–2019 was utilized to discern the MSL trend. The significance level of 0.000 (< 0.05) obtained via analysis of variance (ANOVA; Table 8) indicates that the regression analysis of the sea-level fluctuation for 1965–2019 was utilized to discern the MSL trend.

	Non-standardiz	ed coefficients	Standardized	t	Significance
	Coefficients B	Standard error	beta		probability (p value)
(Constant) Sea-level fluctuations	-422.23 0.246	35.022 0.018	0.887	-12.06 13.97	0.00 0.00

Table 8. Linear-regression coefficients and sea-level fluctuations at the Busan tidal-gauge station.

Table 9. Summary of the analysis of variance results and sea-level fluctuations at the Busan tidal-gauge station.

Model	Sum of squares	df	Mean squares	F	Significance level (Sig.)	Adjusted R^2
Regression Residual	830 446 354.04 298 566 787.86	41 473 310	20 254 789.12 630.81	32 109.38	0.000	0.74
Total	1 129 013 141.90	473 351				

sion model of sea-level fluctuations was significant. Its correlation coefficient (0.96) also indicated a strong positive relationship between sea-level rise and recentness. The coefficient of determination (R^2) was utilized to describe how well the model explained the collected data. The closer R^2 is to 1, the better the model can predict the linear trend: here it was 0.74, as shown in Table 9. This means that the linear-regression model explained 74 % of the sea-level variation. While this result suggests that the linear-regression analysis for sea-level fluctuation at the tidal-gauge station in Busan is reliable, such results may not be generalizable because variation in the data could have been due to several factors, including geological variation and modification of gauge points.

1.2.5 Relationship between sea level and typhoons

When a storm occurs, surge height tends to increase, and these larger surges can cause natural disasters such as floods. In this study, before calculating the height of a surge, we took account of the dates and times when the three greatest sealevel heights were observed, as well the dates and times when typhoons occurred. These data are presented side by side in Table 10.

As Table 10 indicates, the top three recorded sea levels at each south coast tidal-gauge station corresponded with the occurrence of typhoons in 20 out of 30 cases. Moreover, the dates and times of the three highest sea levels observed during all 57 years' worth of data from Busan all coincided with Typhoon Maemi passing out of the area.

As well as USD 3.5 billion in property damage, Typhoon Maemi caused 135 casualties in Busan and nearby cities (National Typhoon Center, 2011). However, other typhoons – notably including Thelma, Samba, and Megi – also caused considerable damage, as shown in Table 1.

2 Literature review

2.1 Prior studies of Typhoon Maemi

Most previous studies devoted to avoiding or reducing natural disaster damage in South Korea have focused on storm characteristics, such as storm track, rainfall, radius, and wind field data. Their typical approach has been to create synthetic storms that can be utilized to predict real storm paths and estimate the extent of the damage they would cause.

Kang (2005) investigated the inundation and overflow caused by Typhoon Maemi at one location near the coast, using a site survey and interviews with residents, and found that the storm surge increased water levels by 80 %. Using a numerical model, Hur et al. (2006) estimated storm surges at several points in the Busan area caused by the most serious typhoons, including Sarah, Thelma, and Maemi. Having established that Maemi was accompanied by the highest storm surge, they then simulated storm surges as a means of investigating the tidal characteristics of Busan's coast and created virtual typhoons to compare against the actual tracks of Sarah, Thelma, and Maemi. When these virtual typhoons followed the track of Typhoon Maemi, their simulated storm surges were higher than the ones produced by those that followed the other two tracks.

Lee et al. (2008), using atmospheric-pressure and wind profiles of Typhoon Maemi, introduced a multi-nesting grid model to simulate storm surges. To check its performance, they used numerical methods for tidal calibration and to assess the influence of open-boundary conditions and typhoon paths. This yielded two key findings. First, the location of a typhoon's centre was the most critical factor when calculating storm surges. Second, the track of the typhoon was a secondary, but still important, factor in storm-surge prediction. However, the research of Lee et al. (2008) was limited by the fact that only recorded storm tracks were used, meaning that

Location	Years	Peak (cm)	Date (GMT+9)	Typhoon
D	5.4	211	12 Sep 2003, 21:00	Maemi
Busan	54	190 188	12 Sep 2003, 20:00 12 Sep 2003, 12:00	Maemi Maemi
		221	18 Sep 2012, 10:00	Sanba
Port of New Busan	5	219	17 Sep 2012, 09:00	Sanba
		215	18 Sep 2012, 22:00	Sanba
		252	17 Sep 2012, 10:00	Sanba
Gadeok	40	246	17 Sep 2012, 09:00	Sanba
		246	16 Jul 1987, 00:00	Thelma
		265	17 Sep 2012, 10:00	Sanba
Masan	37	264	17 Sep 2012, 11:00	NA
		244	29 Aug 2004, 21:00	NA
		133	19 Aug 2004, 08:00	Megi
Ulsan	55	120	12 Sep 2003, 21:00	Maemi
		129	17 Sep 2012, 20:00	Sanba
		426	12 Sep 2003, 21:00	Maemi
Tongyeong	41	357	12 Sep 2012, 10:00	Sanba
		356	12 Sep 2003, 20:00	Maemi
		352	30 Aug 2015, 22:00	NA
Samcheonpo	2	350	28 Oct 2015, 09:00	NA
-		350	27 Nov 2015, 10:00	NA
		270	17 Sep 2012, 09:00	Sanba
Geoje	11	259	17 Sep 2012, 10:00	Sanba
		255	4 Jan 2006, 09:00	NA
		479	17 Sep 2012, 10:00	Sanba
Gwangyang	6	443	17 Sep 2012, 11:00	Sanba
		441	1 Aug 2014, 22:00	NA
		440	18 Aug 1966, 23:00	NA
Yeosu	52	430	14 Sep 1966, 21:00	NA
		129	17 Aug 1966, 22:00	NA

Table 10. Relationship between sea level and typhoons on the southcoast of South Korea.

NA stands for not available.

their simulations could not calculate storm surges from any other possible tracks. Similarly, Chun et al. (2008) simulated the storm surge of Typhoon Maemi using a numerical model, combined with moving boundary conditions to explain wave run-up, but using data from the coastal area of Masan: a city near Busan that was also damaged by the storm. The inundation area and depth predicted by the model of Chun et al. (2008) were reasonably well correlated with the actual area and depth arrived at via a site survey. Lastly, Kim and Suh (2018) created 25 000 random storms by modifying an automatic storm-generation tool, the Tropical Cyclone Risk Model, and then simulated surge elevations for each of them. The tracks of these simulated storms had similar patterns to those of actual typhoons in South Korea.

However, while past research on Typhoon Maemi has used such input data as tidal-gauge data, atmospheric pressure, wind fields, typhoon radius, storm speed, latitude, and longitude, tidal-gauge data has not been used for estimating the exceedance probabilities of storm surges. For instance, Kim and Suh (2018) did not perform surge modelling or frequency analysis in the time domain, and although the numerical models of Chun et al. (2008) provided valuable predictions of inundation area and depth, they did not take account of tidal fluctuation, which if combined with increased water levels would have yielded different results.

Using insurance data from when Typhoon Maemi made landfall on the Korean Peninsula, Yum et al. (2021) presented vulnerability functions linked to typhoon-induced high wind speeds. Specifically, the authors used insurance data to calculate separate damage ratios for residential, commercial, and industrial buildings and four damage states adopted from an insurance company and a government agency to construct vulnerability curves. The mean-squared error and maximumlikelihood estimation (MLE) were used to ascertain which curves most reliably explained the exceedance probability of the damage linked to particular wind speeds. Making novel use of a binomial method based on MLE, which is usually used to determine the extent of earthquake damage, the same study found that such an approach explained the extent of the damage caused by high winds on the Korean Peninsula more reliably than other existing methods, such as the theoretical probability method.

2.2 Return period estimates for Hurricane Sandy

While no prior research has estimated return periods for typhoons, some studies have done so for hurricanes. For example, Talke et al. (2014) used tidal-gauge data to study the storm-surge hazard in New York Harbor over a 37-year period and found that its pattern underwent long-term changes due to sea-level rise caused in part by climate change. However, Talke et al. (2014) did not estimate a specific return period for Hurricane Sandy, which struck the United States in 2012.

Lin et al. (2010), on the other hand, did estimate the return periods of storm surges related to tropical cyclones in the New York City area, with that for Sandy in Lower Manhattan being 500 years within a 95 % CI (confidence interval), i.e. approximately 400–700 years. Lin et al. (2012) later conducted a similar analysis using computational fluid dynamics Monte Carlo simulations that took account of the randomness of the tidal-phase angle. This approach yielded a return period of 1000 years with a 90 % CI (750–1050 years). The former study can be considered the less accurate of the two because it did not consider different surge height possibilities at different time windows within the tidal cycle.

Hall and Sobel (2013) developed an alternative method to estimate Sandy return periods, based on the insight that this storm's track could have been the primary reason for the damage it caused in Lower Manhattan and other parts of the city. Specifically, they argued that Sandy's perpendicular impact angle with respect to the shore as it passed to the south of Manhattan's port was of critical importance, based on an analysis of the tracks of other hurricanes of similar intensity. They estimated the return period for Sandy's water level to be 714 years within a 95 % CI (435–1429 years).

Zervas (2013) estimated the return periods for extreme events using monthly mean water-level data from the US National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration, recorded at the tidal-gauge station in Battery Park, New York. Using GEV distribution and MLE, Zervas calculated that the return period for Sandy's peak water level was 3500 years, but sensitivity analysis suggested that the estimated results were probably inaccurate, given the GEV fit's sensitivity to the range of years used. Once Sandy was excluded, the return period was 60 000 years. This difference in results suggests that GEV distribution of the yearly maximum water level is not a realistic method for estimating extreme events in the New York Harbor area.

Building on her own past research, Lopeman (2015) – the first researcher to estimate Sandy's return period using tidalgauge data – proposed that a clustered separated peaks-overthreshold (POT) method (CSPS) should be used and that tide fluctuation, surge, and sea-level rise should all be dealt with separately because out of these three phenomena only surge is truly random. This approach led Lopeman to calculate the return period as 103 years with a 95 % CI (38–452 years).

Zhu et al. (2017) explored recovery plans pertaining to two New York City disasters, Hurricane Irene and Hurricane Sandy, using data-driven city-wide spatial modelling. They used resilience quantification and logistic modelling to delineate neighbourhood tabulation areas, which were smaller units than other researchers had previously used and enabled the collection of more highly detailed data. They also introduced the concept of "loss of resilience" to reveal patterns of recovery from these two hurricanes, again based on their smaller spatial units. Moran's I was utilized to confirm that loss of resilience was strongly correlated not only with spatial characteristics but also with socioeconomic characteristics and factors like the location of transport systems. However, given the particularity of such factors, the results of Zhu et al. (2017) might not be generalizable beyond New York City, and they made no attempt to predict future extreme events' severity or frequency.

The sharp differences in the results of the past studies cited above are due to wide variations in both the data they used and their assumptions. The present study therefore applies all of the methods used in previous studies of Hurricane Sandy's return period to estimate that of Typhoon Maemi and in the process establishes a new model.

2.3 Extreme value statistics

2.3.1 Prior studies of extreme natural hazards

Bermúdez et al. (2019) studied flood drivers in coastal and riverine areas as part of their approach to quantifying flood hazards, using 2D shallow-water models to compute the correlation between extreme events and flood drivers. They also adopted ordinary least-squares regression analysis to construct a 10 000-year time series and computed water levels' exceedance probabilities for comparison. However, the possibility of river discharges, sea-wave trends, and tidal fluctuations were not considered in their study.

The wrecking of wind farms by extreme windstorms is of considerable concern in the North Sea region, which is home to 38 such farms belonging to five different countries. According to the Monte Carlo simulation-based riskmanagement study of Buchana and McSharry (2019), the total asset value of these wind farms is EUR 35 billion. It used a log-logistic damage function and Weibull probability distribution to assess the risks posed to wind farms in that region by extreme strong wind and exceedance probability to predict the extent of financial loss from such damage in terms of solvency capital requirement (SCR). The same study also simulated the results of various climate change scenarios, and the results confirmed that higher wind speed and higher storm frequency were correlated with rises in SCR: a finding that could be expected to help emergency planners, investors, and insurers reduce their asset losses.

According to a study by Catalano et al. (2019) of highimpact extratropical cyclones (ETCs) on the northeastern coast of the Unites States, limited data caused by these storms' rarity made it difficult to predict the damage they would cause or analyse their frequency. To overcome this, they utilized 1505 years' worth of simulations derived from a long coupled model, GFDL FLOR, to estimate these extreme events' exceedance probabilities and compared the results against those of short-term time series estimation. This not only revealed that the former was more useful for statistical analysis of ETCs' key characteristics - which they defined as maximum wind speed, lowest pressure, and surge height - but also that the use of a short time series risked biassing estimates of ETCs' return levels upwards (i.e. underestimating their actual frequency). While these results regarding return levels and time series were valuable, Catalano et al. (2019) did not distinguish between the cold season and the warm season of each year, which could also have led to biased results.

A joint-probability methodology was used to analyse extreme water heights and surges on China's coast by Chen et al. (2019). They obtained the sea-level data from nine gauge stations, and utilized 35 years' worth of simulation data with a Gumbell distribution and a Gumbell–Hougaard copula. The three major sampling methods proposed in the study were structural-response, wave-dominated, and surgedominated sampling. The first was utilized to assess structures' performance in response to waves and surges. Jointprobability analysis revealed that such performances were correlated with extreme weather events in the target region and that such correlations became closer when wave motion was stronger. In addition, based on their finding that joint exceedance probability tended to overestimate return periods for certain water levels, Chen et al. (2019) recommended that offshore defence facility designers use joint-probability density to estimate return levels of extreme wave heights. However, while their study provided a useful methodology, particularly with regard to sampling methods and probability modelling of return periods and structural performance, they only looked at China's coast, and therefore their findings are unlikely to be generalizable to the Korean Peninsula.

Davies et al. (2017) proposed a framework for probability modelling of coastal storm surges, especially during nonstationary extreme storms and tested it using the El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) on the east coast of Australia. Importantly, they applied their framework to ENSO and seasonality separately. This is because while ENSO affects storm-wave direction, mean sea level, and storm frequency, seasonality is mostly related to storm-surge height, storm-surge duration, and total water height. This separation has the advantage of allowing all storm variables of nonstationary events to be modelled, regardless of their marginal distribution. Specifically, Davies et al. (2017) applied nonparametric distribution to storm-wave direction and steepness and parametric distribution to duration and surge using mixture-generalized extreme value probability modelling, which they argued was more useful than standard models like generalized Pareto distribution (GPD). They said this was because the statistical threshold in an extreme mixture model can be integrated into the analysis, whereas a GPD model should be given an unbiased threshold: if it is low, too many normal data may be included. Accordingly, they utilized bootstrapping for the confidence interval to show the uncertainty of the non-stationary aspects of the extreme events. They also added a Bayesian method to provide wider confidence intervals with less bias. Their findings are mainly beneficial to overcoming the challenges of GPD threshold selection; however, robust testing of their approach will require that it be applied to a wider range of abnormal climate phenomena.

Similar research was conducted by Fawcett and Walshaw (2016), who developed a methodology for estimating the return levels of extreme events such as sea surges and high winds of particular speeds, with the wider aim of informing practical applications such as design codes for coastal structures. They reported that two of the most popular existing methods for doing so, block maxima (BM) and POT, both have shortcomings and concluded that a Bayesian approach would be more accurate. Specifically, they argued that BM and POT methods tend to waste valuable data and that considering all exceedance via accurate estimation of the extremal index (reflecting uncertainty's natural behaviour) could compensate for this disadvantage. They further proposed that the seasonal variations should be taken into consideration with the all exceedance data, where possible.

In response to Japanese government interest in unexpected flooding caused by extreme storm surges during typhoons and other high-wind events, Hisamatsu et al. (2020) simulated typhoons as a means of predicting the cost of the damage they would cause in Tokyo Bay, which is very vulnerable to such events due to its geographic and socio-economic characteristics. Using stochastic approaches, they modelled future typhoons over a 10000-year period and calculated flooding using a numerical surge model based on the probability of historical typhoons. These flooding calculations, in turn, were utilized to create a storm-surge inundation map, representing exceedance probabilities derived from stochastic hazard calculations pertaining to 1000 typhoons. Next, the completed map was overlaid on government-provided values of Tokyo Bay's buildings and other infrastructural elements to assess the spatial extent and distribution of the likely damage. The results showed that Chiba and Kanagawa would be the most damaged areas and would suffer financial losses of JPY 158.4 billion and 91.5 billion, respectively, with an exceedance probability of 0.005 (as commonly used to estimate damage in the insurance industry). However, the real estate values they used were 2 decades out of date at the time their study was conducted, meaning that further validation of their approach will be needed.

Another effort to estimate return periods was made by McInnes et al. (2016), who created a stochastic dataset on all cyclones that occurred near Samoa from 1969 to 2009. That dataset was utilized to model storm tides using an analytic cyclone model and a hydrodynamic model, which also took into account prevailing climate phenomena such as La Niña and El Niño when estimating return periods. The authors found that tropical cyclones' tracks could be affected by La Niña and El Niño and, more specifically, that the frequency of cyclones and storm tides during El Niño was consistent across all seasons, whereas La Niña conditions make their frequency considerably lower in the La Niña season. Additionally, McInnes et al. (2016) proposed that sea-level rise had a more significant influence on storm tides than future tropical cyclones did, based on their finding that future cyclones' frequency would be reduced as the intensity of future cyclones increased. Lastly, they found that the likelihood of a storm tide exceeding a 1% annual exceedance probability (i.e. a once a century tide) was 6% along the entire coastline of Samoa. However, other effects such as sea-level fluctuations and meteorological factors were not included in their calculations.

Silva-González et al. (2017) studied threshold estimation for analysis of extreme wave heights in the Gulf of Mexico and argued that appropriate thresholds for this purpose should consider exceedances. They applied the Hill estimator method, an automated threshold-selection method, and the square-error method for threshold estimation in hydrological, coastal engineering, and financial scenarios with very limited data and found that the square-error method had the most advantages because it did not consider any prior parameters that could affect thresholds. The authors went on to propose improvements to that method, i.e. the addition of differences between quantiles of the observed samples and median quantiles from GPD-aided simulation. When GPD was utilized to estimate observed samples, it effectively prevented convergence problems with the maximum-likelihood method when only small amounts of data were available. The key advantage of the approach of Silva-González et al. (2017) is that the choice of a threshold can be made without reliance on any subjective criteria. Additionally, no particular choice of marginal probability distribution is required to estimate a threshold. However, to be of practical value, their method will need to incorporate more meteorological factors.

Lastly, the Wahl et al. (2015) study of the exceedance probabilities of a large number of synthetic and a small number of actual storm-surge scenarios utilized four steps: parameterizing the observed data, fitting different distribution models to the time series, Monte Carlo simulation, and recreating synthetic storm-surge scenarios. Specifically, projected 40 and 80 cm sea-level rises were used as the basis for investigating the effects of climate change on flooding in northern Germany. Realistic joint exceedance probabilities were used for all parameters with copula models, and the exceedance probabilities of storm surges were obtained from the bivariate exceedance probability method with two parameters, i.e. the highest total water level with the tidal fluctuations and intensity. The findings of Wahl et al. (2015) indicated that extremely high water levels would cause substantial damage over a short time period, whereas relatively small storm surges could inflict similar levels of damage but over a much longer period. However, like various other studies cited above, Wahl et al. (2015) did not take seasonal variation into account.

2.3.2 Generalized extreme value distribution

Extreme events are hard to predict because data points are so few, and predicting their probability is particularly difficult due to their asymptotic nature. Extreme value probability theory deals with how to find outlier information, such as maximum or minimum data values, during extreme situations. Examining the tail events in a probability distribution is very challenging. However, it is considered very important by civil engineers and insurers due to their need to cope with low-probability, high-consequence events. For example, the designs and insurance policies of bridges, breakwaters, dams, and industrial plants located near shorelines or other floodprone areas should account for the probability, however low, of major flooding. Various probability models for the study of extreme events could potentially be used in the present research, given that its main topic is the extreme high water levels caused by typhoons. Extreme value theories can be divided into two groups, according to how they are defined. In the first, the entire interval of interest is divided into a number of subintervals. The maximum value from each subinterval is identified as the extreme value, and following this the entirety of these extreme values converge into a GEV distribution. In the second group, values that exceed a certain threshold are identified as extreme and converge to a GPD. The following two subsections discuss the BM and POT methods as illustrations of these two groups, respectively (Coles, 2001).

Block maxima method

The BM approach relies on the distribution of the maximum extreme values in the following equation,

$$M_n = \max\{X_1, ..., X_n\},$$
 (1)

where the X_n series, comprising independent and identically random variables, occurs in order of maximum extreme values, *n* is the number of observations in a year, and M_n is the annual maximum.

Data is divided into blocks of specific time periods, with the highest values within each block collectively serving as a sample of extreme values. One limitation of this method is the possibility of losing important extreme value data because only the single largest value in each block is accounted for, and thus the second-largest datum in one block could be larger than the highest datum in another.

Peaks-over-threshold method

The POT method can address the above-mentioned limitations of BM, insofar as it can gather all the data points that exceed a certain prescribed threshold and use limited data more efficiently because it relies on relatively large or high values instead of the largest or highest ones. All values above the threshold – known as exceedances – can be explained by the differentiated tail data distribution. The basic function of this threshold is to assort the larger or higher values from all data, and the set of exceedances constitutes the sample of extreme values. This means that although POT can capture potentially important extreme values even when they occur close to each other, selecting a threshold that will yield the best description of the extreme data can be challenging (Bommier, 2014); i.e. if it is set too high, key extreme values might be lost, but if it is set too low, values that are not really extreme may be included unnecessarily. Determining appropriate threshold values thus tends to require significant trial and error, and various studies have proposed methods for optimizing such values (Lopeman et al., 2015; Pickands, 1975; Scarrott and Macdonald, 2012). Pickands (1975), for instance, suggested that independent time series that exceed high enough thresholds would follow GPD asymptotically, thus avoiding the inherent drawbacks of BM. The distribution function F of exceedance can be computed as

$$F_{\theta}(x) = P\left\{X - \theta \le x | X > \theta\right\}, \quad x \ge 0,$$
(2)

where θ is the threshold and X is a random variable.

 F_u , meanwhile, can be defined by conditional probabilities

$$F_{\theta}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{F(\theta+x) - F(\theta)}{1 - F(\theta)} & \text{if } x \ge 0\\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$
(3)

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2121-2611-20212021

The GPD can be expressed as

$$G_x(x;\xi,\sigma,\theta) = \begin{cases} 1 - \left(1 + \xi \frac{(x-\theta)}{\sigma}\right)^{1/\xi} & \xi \neq 0\\ 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{(x-\theta)}{\sigma}\right) & \xi = 0, \end{cases}$$
(4)

with x being independent and identically random variables, σ the scale, ξ the shape, and θ the threshold. All values above θ are considered tail data (extreme values). The probability of exceedance over a threshold when calculating a return level that is exceeded once every N years (N-year return periods x_N) is calculated as follows:

$$P\{X > x | X > \theta\} = \left[1 + \xi\left(\frac{x - \theta}{\sigma}\right)\right]^{-1/\xi}.$$
(5)

If the exceedances above the threshold are rare events λ (as measured by number of observations per year), we can expect $P(X > \theta)$ to follow Poisson distribution. The mean of exceedance per unit of time $(\hat{\gamma})$ describes that distribution.

$$P(X > \theta) = \frac{\gamma}{\lambda} \tag{6}$$

In other words, γ can be estimated by dividing the number of exceedances by the number of years in the observation period.

Combining the POT and Poisson processes with GPD allows us to describe the conditional probability of the extreme values that exceed the designated threshold, as per Eq. (7) (Lopeman et al., 2015):

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}.$$
(7)

In addition, when Bayes' theorem is applied to the role of GPD in conditional probability, we can rewrite Eq. (7) as follows:

$$G_X(x) = \frac{P(\theta < X < x)}{P(X > \theta)}.$$
(8)

3 Research methods

The objective of this study is to estimate the probability of the risk, for each year, of typhoon-induced high water levels in Busan. To that end, it adapts Lopeman et al.'s (2015) CSPS, which provides statistical analysis of extreme values in long time series of natural phenomena. As such, CSPS can provide useful guidance to those tasked with preparing for natural disasters on the Korean Peninsula and perhaps on its southern coast in particular. The findings from this research



Figure 4. General approach and workflow.

are therefore expected to provide a viable method of predicting economic losses associated with typhoons and corresponding models for managing emergency situations arising from natural disasters that can be used by South Korea's government agencies, insurance companies, and construction industry. Although this study focuses on a specific city-region, its proposed probabilistic methodologies should also be applicable to other coastal regions in South Korea and around the world.

To explore the non-exceedance probability of storm surges, this study utilized tidal-gauge data from the city of Busan, collected when Typhoon Maemi struck it in 2003. As shown in Fig. 4, we proceeded according to several steps. First, the observed tidal-gauge data were utilized to calculate the predicted water level through harmonic analysis and then the storm surge height, which is the difference between observed and predicted water height. Second, threshold and clustering techniques were applied to select data meaningful to the non-exceedance probabilities of extreme storm surges. Third, the extreme values were separated into cold-season and warm-season categories to boost the reliability of our probability distribution model. Fourth, the maximum-likelihood method was used to estimate nonexceedance probability. Finally, various probability models were built, and the one that best fit the empirical data was identified.

3.1 Data processing

3.1.1 Storm-surge data collection method

To determine the height of surges from publicly available KHOA data, it was first necessary to predict sea levels. Equation (9) explains the interrelationship of observed water level,

predicted water level, tidal-fluctuation height, and residual (surge) at time t_i ,

$$Y_i = X_i + S_i, \tag{9}$$

where i = 1, 2, ..., n, n is the time series of the input dataset, X_i is the predicted water height at t_i , Y_i is the observed water height at t_i , and S_i is the surge height.

3.1.2 Separation of tidal-gauge data via harmonic analysis

A standard harmonic analysis was performed to calculate predicted sea-level height based on hourly tidal-gauge data. First, this technique was used to estimate the tidal components of all seawater-level data, allowing residuals to be isolated so that surge data could be calculated once sea-level rise had been estimated. Second, the estimated constituents were used to predict tidal fluctuations in the years simulated via Monte Carlo. Then, the TideHarmonics package in R (Stephenson, 2017) was used to estimate tidal components, as detailed below.

Given a time series Y(t) of total water levels, with t denoting time in hours, the tidal component with M harmonic constituents is computed as

$$\hat{Y}(t) = Z + \sum_{m=1}^{M} A_m \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{180} \left(\omega_m t - \psi_m\right)\right),$$
 (10)

where ω_m is the angular frequency of the *m*th component in degrees per hour. The 2M + 1 parameters to be estimated are the amplitudes A_m , the phase lag ψ_m in degrees, and the MSL Z.

To account for long astronomical cycles (LACs), nodalcorrection functions for both the amplitude and phase are used. With these corrections, the tidal component takes the following form:

$$\hat{Y}_{\text{LAC}}(t) = Z + \sum_{m=1}^{M} H_m f_m(t) \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{180} (\omega_m t - g_m + u_m(t) + V_m)\right),$$
(11)

where $f_m(t)$ and $u_m(t)$ represent the nodal corrections for the amplitude and phase, respectively. In this new formulation, the amplitude and phase parameters to be estimated are denoted by H_m and g_m (in degrees). Finally, V_m is the reference signal, by which the phase lag g_m is calculated and set to refer to the origin t = 0.

The summation term in $\hat{Y}_{LAC}(t)$ can alternatively be written as follows:

$$\sum_{m=1}^{M} \beta_{m,1} f_m(t) \cdot \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{180} \left(\omega_m t + u_m(t) + V_m\right)\right) + \sum_{m=1}^{M} \beta_{m,2} f_m(t) \cdot \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{180} \left(\omega_m t + u_m(t) + V_m\right)\right), \quad (12)$$



Figure 5. Observed (green), predicted (blue), and residual (red) water levels at Busan during Typhoon Maemi.

where $\beta_{m,1} = H_m \cos(g_m)$ and $\beta_{m,2} = H_m \sin(g_m)$. What is gained from this new representation is a linear function with respect to the parameters $\beta_{m,1}$ and $\beta_{m,2}$ that need to be estimated, and hence linear regression can be used. Given the large time span covered by the data, M = 60 harmonic tidal constituents were estimated, and a constant mean sea level Z was assumed across all years of available data.

3.1.3 Observed, predicted, and residual water levels

Because observed sea level usually differs from predicted sea level, Fig. 5 depicts the former (as calculated through harmonic analysis) in blue. Predicted sea levels are shown in green, and surge height is shown in red. As the figure indicates, the highest overall water level coincided with the highest surge during Typhoon Maemi, i.e. at 21:00 GMT+9 on 12 September 2003. Given a total water height of 211 cm, the surge height was calculated as 73.35 cm. The unexpectedly large height of the surge induced by Typhoon Maemi caused USD 3.5 billion in property damage and many causalities in Busan, as mentioned in Table 1.

3.2 Data analysis

3.2.1 Threshold and target-rate selection

At a given annual target rate – i.e. number of storms per year – the algorithm proposed by Lopeman et al. (2015) (Fig. 6) computes the threshold such that this rate approximates the resulting yearly number of "exceedance clusters", i.e. consecutive surge observations that lie above the threshold. Hence, rather than choosing an "ideal" threshold according to some other criterion, the algorithm simply finds the threshold that forces a chosen target rate to occur. Accordingly, a study of this kind could set its target rate as the average rate observed over a given period or as a value that the researchers find reasonable in light of their knowledge of historical data for their focal area.



Figure 6. Threshold-selection flowchart.

Next, the algorithm iteratively updates the threshold to allow a computationally intensive (but not exhaustive) exploration of possible threshold values between its minimum value (i.e. here the minimum observed surge height) and its maximum value (i.e. maximum observed surge height). Specifically, it first sets the threshold to 0 cm and then iteratively overwrites it according to the following steps.

- 1. The exceedance clusters produced at a given iteration and given threshold are identified, and the resulting annual storm rate computed.
- 2. If the annual storm rate arrived at in step (1) is equal to (or about equal to) the chosen target, the threshold from the previous iteration is the final result, and the algorithm is stopped.

- 3. If the annual storm rate arrived at in step (1) is not close to the chosen target, the following steps are taken.
 - a. If it is smaller than the target rate, then the threshold from the previous iteration is the final result, and the algorithm is stopped.
 - b. If it is larger than the target rate, then a vector collecting the maximum height of the clusters is built and sorted in descending order. The threshold is then updated by setting it as equal to the *C*th element of this vector, where *C* is the integer closest to 54 (i.e. the number of years covered by the dataset) multiplied by the target rate. This updated threshold is used in the next iteration of the algorithm, and steps (1) through (3) are repeated.



Figure 7. Iterative process of threshold selection (1 of 3).



Figure 8. Iterative process of threshold selection (2 of 3).

As shown in Figs. 7–9, the threshold algorithm (Fig. 6) achieved convergence relatively quickly for all three target rates selected, with the number of iterations required for convergence ranging from three (with a target rate of 3.0) to five (with a target rate of 10).

Figure 10 displays six possible thresholds. The first, 31.2 cm, was based on a target rate of 3.5 and 189 clusters and is shown in red. The dark blue line represents the second threshold of 30.54 cm, (target rate = 4.0; clusters = 217), the purple line shows a threshold of 29.56 cm (target rate = 4.5; clusters = 246), the green line shows a threshold of 29.15 cm (target rate = 5.0; clusters = 274), the sky blue line shows a threshold of 28.33 cm (target rate = 6.0; clusters = 324), and the orange line shows a threshold of 26.53 cm (target rate = 8.0; clusters = 431).



Figure 9. Iterative process of threshold selection (3 of 3).



Figure 10. Various thresholds considered.

3.2.2 Clustering of the storm-surge data: interrelationship of target rate, threshold, and clusters

Figures 7–9 show that, as expected, when the target rate increases, the threshold decreases, and as the threshold decreases, the number of clusters (i.e. storm events) increases. Conversely, the lower the target rate, the lower the number of clusters and the higher the threshold. Thus, if the desired number of storms is three per year, the algorithm will converge in three iterations and set the threshold level to 32.01 cm; this results in a total of 164 storm events over the time span covered by our data. Conversely, if the desired target rate is 10 storms per year, the threshold is significantly lower (25.43 cm), and the total number of storm events more than trebles to 539 clusters (Fig. 10). As can be seen in Fig. 11, we chose only one maximum value to represent each cluster. Figures 12–14 show the stages of the clustering of surges when the target rate is set to 5.0, the threshold is 29.15 cm, and the number of clusters is 274 (though it should be noted that Fig. 12 indicates only the number of surges, due to the difficulty of visually representing all surge dates and



Figure 11. Clustering flowchart.

times from the period 1962–2019). The surge data above the designated threshold, arrived at via the threshold-selection method above, are shown in Fig. 12. Here, the data above the threshold are clustered based on their start and end times, and again only one maximum value was chosen at each cluster. Figure 14 presents all maxima obtained from a cluster separately.

3.2.3 Relationship among storm-surge parameters

Storm-surge parameters

Storm surges are characterized by four major parameters: peak time, peak height, duration, and rise ratio. Peak time follows a gamma distribution because POT produces a Poisson process of exceedance occurrence and the waiting times between consecutive exceedances in a Poisson process are by definition exponentially distributed (Lopeman et al., 2015). For peak times (interarrival times), this study therefore uses a gamma (exponential) distribution.

On the other hand, for peak height GPD is typically used because some representation theorem results from extreme value statistics indicate that if the cluster maxima follow a Poisson process, then the intensity – in this case, height – of the cluster peaks follows a GPD distribution (Lopeman et al., 2015; Zhong et al., 2014). However, a Weibull distribution has been applied to peak storm-surge heights in this study because it fits the data better, especially with regard to the right-hand tail.

Because the rise ratio does not appear to be evenly distributed along the interval [0, 1], a beta distribution was used because the rise ratio is by definition between 0 and 1, and such a distribution is commonly used to model continuous random variables that occur within that range (Lopeman et al., 2015).

Duration follows a lognormal distribution, which was used for the following two reasons previously articulated by Lopeman et al. (2015). First, it models a continuous random variable, duration, which by definition is positive. And second, it is quite flexible: as it has two parameters, it can fit the data better than other distributions with just one, e.g. exponential distribution.

Parameter interrelationships

Figures 15–17 indicate the lack of any clear relationship between rise ratio, on the one hand, and either duration or exceedance, on the other hand. However, peak exceedance and cluster duration appear to have a linear relationship.

4 Results and analysis

4.1 Storm surge simulation

After finding the threshold that resulted from a given target rate, we computed interarrival times, rise ratios, peak height, and cluster duration for each exceedance cluster. These figures were then grouped by season (the year being divided for this purpose into a cold season, lasting from 1 December through 31 May, and a warm season, 1 June through 30 November), and such groups were used to estimate the parameters of the statistical model via MLE. For the reasons given in the previous section, the interarrival times for each season were fitted with an exponential distribution, the rise ratios were fitted with a beta distribution, and the peak heights were fitted with a Weibull distribution in which the location parameter was equal to the threshold. Detailed descriptions of how we applied each of these methods are provided in turn below.

4.1.1 Maximum-likelihood estimation

If we assume that an independent and identically distributed data sample (x_1, \ldots, x_n) is observed from a population with a distribution of interest parameterized by an unknown variable θ that the researcher wants to estimate, the MLE estimator $\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}}$ is defined as

$$\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta_0} \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta_0), \qquad (13)$$

where $f(\cdot; \theta)$ denotes the probability density function of the distribution of interest, parameterized by θ_0 . The distributions of interest for the data in this study were chosen as follows.

- 1. First, $T_i \sim \text{Exponential}(\lambda)$ was chosen, where T_i denotes the interarrival time between the peak of the *i*-1th cluster and the peak of the *i*th cluster. This distributional assumption is equivalent to assuming that a Poisson process governs peak-surge arrivals.
- 2. Second, $\Phi_i \sim \text{Beta}(\alpha\beta)$ was chosen, where Φ_i denotes the rise ratio of the *i*th cluster.

Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 2121, 2611-2631, 20212021



Figure 12. Data from Busan tidal-gauge station before application of any thresholds.



Figure 13. Surges at Busan above a threshold of 29.15 cm before clustering.

3. Third, $\prod_{i} \sim \text{GPD}(\xi \sigma \theta^*)$ was chosen, where \prod_{i} denotes the peak surge height of the *i*th cluster and θ^* denotes

the selected threshold.

For the exponential distribution and interarrival times, the exact solutions of the maximization problem stated above can be derived in closed form. For the GPD distribution and peak exceedances and the beta distribution and rise ratios, the problem is solved numerically. A full description of the MLE algorithm for interarrival times, rise ratios, and peak exceedances is detailed below.

- 1. Input:
 - a. observed interarrival times t_1, \ldots, t_C of the clusters' surge peaks,
 - b. observed rise ratios ϕ_1, \ldots, ϕ_C ,
 - c. observed peak surge heights $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_C$,
 - d. number of clusters C,
 - e. threshold rate θ^* .
- 2. Output: maximum-likelihood estimates of the model parameters $\hat{\lambda}_{MLE}$, $\hat{\alpha}_{MLE}$, $\hat{\beta}_{MLE}$, $\hat{\xi}_{MLE}$, and $\hat{\sigma}_{MLE}$.



Figure 14. Surges at Busan above a threshold of 29.15 cm after clustering.



Figure 15. Relationship between exceedance and duration.



Figure 16. Relationship between exceedance and rise ratio.



Figure 17. Relationship between duration and rise ratio.

3. Procedure:

С

a. compute $\hat{\lambda}_{MLE}$ for the exponential interarrival rate λ as

$$\widehat{A}_{\text{MLE}} = \left(\sum_{c=1}^{C} t_c\right)^{-1}; \tag{14}$$

b. compute $\hat{\alpha}_{MLE}$ and $\hat{\beta}_{MLE}$ for the beta parameters α and β by numerically solving the following first-order equations,

$$\left(\psi\left(\hat{\alpha}_{\text{MLE}} + \hat{\beta}_{\text{MLE}}\right) - \psi\left(\hat{\alpha}_{\text{MLE}}\right)\right) + \sum_{c=1}^{C} \log \phi_{i} = 0;$$
(15)

	GI	PD	В	eta
Season	ξ	σ	α	β
Cold Warm	0.02 0.51	0.34 0.33	3.12 2.87	3.45 1.89

Table 11. Probability distribution parameters of the storm-surge parameters.

$$C\left(\psi\left(\hat{\alpha}_{\text{MLE}} + \hat{\beta}_{\text{MLE}}\right) - \psi\left(\hat{\alpha}_{\text{MLE}}\right)\right) + \sum_{c=1}^{C} \log\left(1 - \phi_{i}\right) = 0, \quad (16)$$

in which $\psi(\cdot)$ denotes the digamma function;

- c. compute $\hat{\xi}_{MLE}$ and $\hat{\sigma}_{MLE}$ for the GPD parameters ξ and σ (further details on this estimation can be found in the documentation provided with the ismev package; Heffernan and Stephenson, 2012);
- d. return $\hat{\lambda}_{MLE}$, $\hat{\alpha}_{MLE}$, $\hat{\beta}_{MLE}$, $\hat{\xi}_{MLE}$, and $\hat{\sigma}_{MLE}$ to step 3(a) above.

Based on our simulations, exceedances of water height above the designated threshold were computed using MLE estimates. Table 11 presents the distribution parameters of the storm-surge parameters that were computed, each using a different probability model. These distribution parameters were based on the exceedance above the algorithmically designated threshold of 29.15 cm mentioned above.

Figure 18 shows the GPD cumulative distribution function as estimated by MLE, and the empirical distribution function, with the latter shown as dots. Each dot represents the observed proportion of exceedances below a certain height in a given season (blue: cold season; red: warm season), while the corresponding value on the fitted line of the same season gives the probability that the exceedances are below that height per the estimated GPD distribution.

We also fit our empirical data to five different probability distribution models - i.e. Fréchet, gamma, GPD, lognormal, and Weibull - as seen in Figs. 19 and 20, using the case of storm-surge data. Calculation of the mean squared error between the probability models and the empirical data revealed that the gamma and Weibull distributions had the best fit to the data for both cold and warm seasons when MLE was used for estimating parameters of the probability model. These findings support previous ones by Bardsley (2019) regarding the Weibull distribution's appropriateness to extreme value estimation. According to Bardsley, such a distribution could explain enough to enable extrapolation of the degree beyond the utilized data history, provided that the scale and shape parameter of the distribution are positive (meaning that the probability model has a good fit to the data). In the case of our own research, the shape and scale parameters were 1.87



Figure 18. Non-exceedance probability plot of surge height at a target rate of 5.0.



Figure 19. Fits of six types of distributions of non-exceedance probability during the cold season at a target rate of 5.0.

and 5.21, respectively, indicating that the Weibull distribution model will likely have a good fit to large amounts of data beyond the dataset we used.

5 Conclusion

Typhoons cause numerous fatalities and immense property damage, and their frequency has recently been increasing. Nevertheless, typhoon risk assessments are not yet sufficiently comprehensive enough to estimate either the damage levels from such events or the probability of their occurrence. If they are to effectively plan for typhoons, governments and the insurance industry will need accurate estimates of both. Prompted by the high levels of damage inflicted by the high surge during South Korea's most severe typhoon, Maemi, this research has estimated the risk of storm surges through non-exceedance probability using MLE. Specifically, we estimated extreme storm surges' non-exceedance probability in



Figure 20. Fits of six types of distributions of non-exceedance probability during the warm season at a target rate of 5.0.

accordance with their water levels, with such levels serving as references for non-exceedance probability above a certain threshold. We applied various methodologies to obtain more reliable thresholds and a threshold-selection algorithm that utilized target rate and number of clusters to more accurately predict the height threshold. Additionally, we separated storm surges into cold-season and warm-season events, as this allowed for more reliable estimations given their different frequencies in these seasons. Three parameters - exceedance, rise ratio, and duration - were separated from the storm surges and compared to ascertain their relationship. This established that exceedance and duration have a quite strong linear relationship. In previous research, total water level was utilized to estimate the possibility of future occurrences, but such an approach could lead to inaccurate results for the reasons mentioned in the Sect. 2. Accordingly, in this study, we sub-categorized total water levels into predicted, observed, and surge levels. Once that had been done, surge level was found to be the main factor influencing damage to coastal infrastructure, and thus it was the only factor applied to our estimates of non-exceedance probability.

Based on a quantitative risk assessment for extreme storm surges in a city on the Korean Peninsula that was severely damaged by Typhoon Maemi due to its geographical characteristics, this study has proposed a risk-management approach to such natural hazards based on the non-exceedance probabilities of extreme storm surges. Various probability distribution models were tested within this framework to explore clustering and threshold-selection methods, and the Weibull distribution was found to have the best fit to our empirical data. Our results suggest that the use of various probability models, clustering, and separation of tidal-gauge data as described above could all benefit the accuracy of natural hazard return prediction. The present study's findings also confirm non-exceedance probability to be a useful, geographically sensitive tool for government agencies, insurance companies, and construction companies conducting risk assessments, setting insurance prices, preparing safety guidelines, and setting policies aimed at reducing typhoon-related damage and financial losses.

Although the present research investigated various nonexceedance probability distributions of typhoon-driven storm surges, it only used a single extreme event in a specified region. As such, its findings may not be applicable to other regions, each of which has its own unique weather conditions, geographic features, and tidal characteristics. Future research should therefore include tidal and environmental data from a range of different regions and various extreme events to test the present study's findings. Also, various natural hazard indicators and environmental factors such as wind speed, pressure, rainfall, landslides, and distance to waterways may be useful variables in estimating the exceedance probabilities of typhoons and other natural hazards and would thus be beneficial to risk assessment and mitigation. In addition, it should be kept in mind that much of the tidal-gauge data that this study utilized was from the fairly distant past. Thus, in similar future studies, efforts should be made to ensure that such data are reliable, especially in light of climate-change-driven patterns in sea-level behaviour.

Return periods based on various non-exceedance probability models should also be considered in future research, insofar as elaborated return period estimation can be utilized to improve disaster relief and emergency planning efforts. Our comparison of various probability models to find the best fitting distribution models could be adapted to the simulation of time series of the past typhoons, and the collected simulated storm-surge time series could then used to estimate typhoons' return periods using bootstrapping of the exceedance data. This would potentially provide more exact return periods with confidence intervals. Lastly, future work on return periods should take account of trends in sea-level change driven by climate change, which already pose a nonnegligible risk to coastal buildings and other infrastructure. Advanced statistical methods such as Monte Carlo simulations, as well as deep-learning techniques, could be applied to make typhoon return period estimates even more accurate.

Code and data availability. The data presented in this research are available from the corresponding author by reasonable request.

Author contributions. SGY contributed to the conceptualization; methodology; data curation; investigation; project administration; resources; supervision; and the writing, reviewing, and editing of the manuscript. HHW contributed to data curation, investigation, resources, and reviewing and editing the manuscript. SHJ contributed to the methodology, software, validation, and reviewing and editing the manuscript.

S.-G. Yum et al.: Estimation of the non-exceedance probability of extreme storm surges in South Korea

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Disclaimer. Publisher's note: Copernicus Publications remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Special issue statement. This article is part of the special issue "Coastal hazards and hydro-meteorological extremes". It is not associated with a conference.

Acknowledgements. This research was funded by Hanyang University ERICA.

Review statement. This paper was edited by Joanna Staneva and reviewed by four anonymous referees.

References

2630

- Bardsley, E.: The Weibull distribution as an extreme value model for transformed annual maxima, J. Hydrol., 58, 57–63, 2019.
- Bermúdez, M., Cea, L., and Sopelana, J.: Quantifying the role of individual flood drivers and their correlations in flooding of coastal river reaches, Stoch. Environ. Res. Risk A., 33, 1851– 1861, 2019.
- Bommier, E.: Peaks-Over-Threshold Modelling of Environmental Data, Department of Mathematics, Uppsala University, Uppsala, 2014.
- Buchana, P. and McSharry, P. E.: Windstorm risk assessment for offshore wind farms in the North Sea, Wind Energy, 22, 1219– 1229, 2019.
- Catalano, A. J., Broccoli, A. J., Kapnick, S. B., and Janoski, T. P.: High-Impact Extratropical Cyclones along the Northeast Coast of the United States in a Long Coupled Climate Model Simulation, J. Climate, 32, 2131–2143, 2019.
- Chen, Y., Li, J., Pan, S., Gan, M., Pan, Y., Xie, D., and Clee, S.: Joint probability analysis of extreme wave heights and surges along China's coasts, Ocean Eng., 177, 97–107, 2019.
- Chun, J.-Y., Lee, K.-H., Kim, J.-M., and Kim, D.-S.: Inundation Analysis on Coastal Zone around Masan Bay by Typhoon Maemi, J. Ocean Eng. Technol., 22, 8–17, 2008.
- Coles, S.: An introduction to statistical modeling of extreme values, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, Germany, 2001.
- Davies, G., Callaghan, D. P., Gravois, U., Jiang, W., Hanslow, D., Nichol, S., and Baldock, T.: Improved treatment of nonstationary conditions and uncertainties in probabilistic models of storm wave climate, Coast. Eng., 127, 1–19, 2017.
- Fawcett, L. and Walshaw, D.: Sea-surge and wind speed extremes: optimal estimation strategies for planners and engineers, Stoch. Environ. Res. Risk A., 30, 463–480, 2016.
- Hall, T. M. and Sobel, A. H.: On the impact angle of Hurricane Sandy's New Jersey landfall, Geophys. Res. Lett., 40, 2312– 2315, 2013.

- Heffernan, J. E. and Stephenson, A.: ismev: An Introduction to Statistical Modeling of Extreme Values, CRAN, available at: https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=ismev (last access: 10 January 2021), 2012.
- Hisamatsu, R., Tabeta, S., Kim, S., and Mizuno, K.: Storm surge risk assessment for the insurance system: A case study in Tokyo Bay, Japan, Ocean Coast. Manage., 189, 105147, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2020.105147, 2020.
- Hur, D.-S., Yeom, G.-S., Kim, J.-M., Kim, D.-S., and Bae, K.-S.: Estimation of Storm Surges on the Coast of Busan, J. Ocean Eng. Technol., 20, 37–44, 2006.
- Hwang, Y.: Stochastic analysis of storm-surge induced infrastructure losses in New York City, Doctoral degree dissertation, Columbia University in the City of New York, New York, 2013.
- IPCC Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change: Contribution of working group I to the fourth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on Climate change, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1–996, 2007.
- Kang, Y.-K.: Patterns of Water Level Increase by Storm Surge and High Waves on Seawall/Quay Wall during Typhoon Maemi, J. Ocean Eng. Technol., 19, 22–28, 2005.
- Ke, Q., Jonkman, S. N., van Gelder, P. H. A. J. M., and Bricker, J. D.: Frequency Analysis of Storm-Surge-Induced Flooding for the Huangpu River in Shanghai, China, J. Mar. Sci. Eng., 6, 70, https://doi.org/10.3390/jmse6020070, 2018.
- KHOA Korea Hydrographic and Oceanographic Agency: Korea Real Time Database for NEAR-GOOS, Busan, South Korea, 2019.
- Kim, H.-J and Suh, S.-W.: Improved Hypothetical Typhoon Generation Technique for Storm Surge Frequency Analysis on the Southwest Korean Coast, J. Coast. Res., 85, 516–520, 2018.
- Kim, T.-Y. and Cho, K.-W.: Forecasting of Sea-level Rise using a Semi-Empirical Method, J. Korea. Soc. Mar. Environ. Safe., 19, 1–8, 2013.
- Lee, J.-C., Kwon, J.-I., Park, K.-S., and Jun, K.-C.: Calculations of storm surges, Typhoon Maemi, J. Korea. Soc. Coast. Ocean Eng., 20, 93–100, 2008.
- Lin, N., Emanuel, K. A., Smith, J. A., and Vanmarcke, E.: Risk assessment of hurricane storm surge for New York City, J. Geophys. Res., 115, D18121, https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JD013630, 2010.
- Lin, N., Emanuel, K., Oppenheimer, M., and Vanmarcke, E.: Physically based assessment of hurricane surge threat under climate change, Nat. Clim. Change, 2, 462–467, 2012.
- Lopeman, M.: Extreme Storm Surge Hazard Estimation and Windstorm Vulnerability Assessment for Quantitative Risk Analysis, Doctoral degree dissertation, Columbia University in the City of New York, New York, 2015.
- Lopeman, M., Deodatis, G., and Franco, G.: Extreme storm surge hazard estimation in lower Manhattan, Nat. Hazards, 78, 335– 391, 2015.
- McInnes, K., Hoeke, R., Walsh, K., O'Grady, J., and Hubbert, G.: Application of a synthetic cyclone method for assessment of tropical cyclone storm tides in Samoa, Nat. Hazards, 80, 425– 444, 2016.
- National Typhoon Center: Typhoon technical Book, Korea Meteorological Administration, National Typhoon Center, Jeju, South Korea, 2011.

S.-G. Yum et al.: Estimation of the non-exceedance probability of extreme storm surges in South Korea

- Noshadravan, A., Miller, T. R., and Gregory, J. G.: A lifecycle cost analysis of residential buildings including natural hazard risk, J. Construct. Eng. Manage., 143, 04017014, https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)CO.1943-7862.0001286, 2017.
- Pickands III, J.: Statistical inference using extreme order statistics, Ann. Stat., 3, 119–131, 1975.
- Radic, V. and Hock, R.: Regionally differentiated contribution of mountain glaciers and ice caps to future sea-level rise, Nat. Geosci., 4, 91–94, 2011.
- Scarrott, C. and Macdonald, A.: A review of extreme value threshold estimation and uncertainty quantification, Statist. J., 10, 33– 60, 2012.
- Schaeffer, M., Hare, W., Rahmstorf, S., and Vermeer, M.: Longterm sea-level rise implied by 1.5 °C and 2 °C warming levels, Nat. Clim. Change, 2, 867–870, 2012.
- Silva-González, F., Heredia-Zavoni, E., and Inda-Sarmiento, G.: Square Error Method for threshold estimation in extreme value analysis of wave heights, Ocean Eng., 137, 138–150, 2017.
- Stephenson, A.: Harmonic Analysis of Tides, CRAN, available at: https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=TideHarmonics (last access: 10 January 2021), 2017.
- Talke, S. A., Orton, P., and Jay, D. A.: Increasing Storm Tides in New York Harbor, 1844–2013, Geophys. Res. Lett., 41, 3149– 3155, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL059574, 2014.

- Wahl, T., Mudersbach, C., and Jensen, J.: Statistical Assessment of Storm Surge Scenarios Within Integrated Risk Analyses, Coast. Eng. J., 57, 150114192730001, https://doi.org/10.1142/S0578563415400033, 2015.
- Yoon, J.-J. and Kim, S.-I.: Analysis of Long Period Sea Level Variation on Tidal Station around the Korean Peninsula, J. Coast. Res., 12, 299–305, 2012.
- Yum, S., Kim, J. H., and Wei, H.: Development of vulnerability curves of buildings to windstorms using insurance data: An empirical study in South Korea, J. Build. Eng., 34, 101932, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2020.101932, 2021.
- Zervas, C.: NOAA Technical Report NOS Co-OPS 067: Extreme Water Levels of the United States 1893–2010, Technical report, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Ocean Service, Center for Operational Oceanographic Products and Services, Silver Spring, MD, 2013.
- Zhong, H., Van Gelder, P. H. A. J. M., Van Overloop, P. J. A. T. M., and Wang, W.: Application of a fast stochastic storm surge model on estimating the high water level frequency in the Lower Rhine Delta, Nat. Hazards, 73, 743–759, 2014.
- Zhu, Y., Xie, K., Ozbay, K., Zuo, F., and Yang, H.: Data-driven spatial modeling for quantifying networkwide resilience in the aftermath of hurricanes Irene and Sandy, Transport. Res. Rec., 2604, 9–18, 2017.