



# Supplement of

## A multi-hazard risk prioritisation framework for cultural heritage assets

Giacomo Sevieri et al.

Correspondence to: Giacomo Sevieri (g.sevieri@ucl.ac.uk)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the CC BY 4.0 License.



#### **RAPID VISUAL SURVEY**

#### Cultural Heritage Resilience & Sustainability to multiple Hazards

### **GENERAL INFORMATION**

Date and Time:		Surveyor Name	<b>):</b>			
Address:		Nearby Buildin	gs: []:	Smaller [] S	Same Heigh	t []Taller
No. of Building Users:		GPS Co-Ordina	ates:			
<b>Construction Year:</b>		Confidence:	[]	۱ [] H	N	[]L
Shape and	[] Triangular Shap	e-Synchronic Gro	owth []	Elongated Sh	ape-Synchro	onic Growth
Composition of the	[] Triangular Shap	e-Diachronic Gro	wth []l	Elongated Sh	ape-Diachro	nic Growth
Block:	[] Bulk Shape-Syn	chronic Growth	[]	ndividual Buil	dings	
	[] Bulk Shape-Diad	chronic Growth				
Position in Block:	[] Corner	[]Mid-block	[]End-bloc	k []lsola	ated	]Other:
Type of Survey:	[] Desktop Review	1	[] Exterior	[ ] Pai	t. Interior	[] Interior

#### **BUILDING INFORMATION**

				No. of Stories	6:	
				Storey Heigh	<b>t</b> ( <i>m</i> ):	
				Average Heig	ht of Upper	
				Horizontal Sp	bandrel (m):	
				Connection of	of the Walls at the	[] Adequate
				Edges (Exter	ior):	[] Poor
				Wall Opening	js Max. Dim.	
				$(\boldsymbol{m} \times \boldsymbol{m})$ :		
					is Total Area	
				$(m^2)$ :		
				Opening	I J Opening with Ve	ertical Alignment at
				Layout.	[ ] Openings with	Vertical Alignment
					at an Edge of the F	açade
					[] Central Column	of Opening,
					Vertically Aligned	
				Opening Alignment:	[] Regular [] Mec	lium [] Irregular
				Dim. Betweei	n Int. Structural	
Simple Building		<u>j</u> Plan		Wall $(m \times m)$		
Max. Thickness Ext. Walls $(m)$ :				Min. Thickness Ext. Walls (m):		
Max. Thickness Int. Walls $(m)$ :				Min. Thickne	ss Int. Walls (m):	
Non-Continuous Struc	tural Wall:	[]Yes	[] No	Position:		
Plan Regularity: [	] Regular	[] Medium	[] Irregular	Confidence:	[]H []M	[]L
Height Regularity: [	] Regular	[] Medium	[] Irregular	Confidence:	[]H []M	[]L
Drawings: [	] Yes	[ ] No	[] Structural	[] Architectu	ral — File Name	

<b>ROOF INFORMA</b>	ΓΙΟΝ					Unk	Confidence
Туре:	[] Flat		[] Mono Pitch	n [] Multi Pitch	[] Gable	[]	[]H[]M[]L
Truss Material:	[] RC Sla	b	[] Timber	[] Steel	[] Other	[]	[]H[]M[]L
Slope (°):		Soffit Widt	h ( <i>m</i> ):	Mean Roof Heigh	t ( <i>m</i> ):	[]	[]H[]M[]L
Panel Material:	[] Timber	[] Steel	[] Other	Thickness (mm):		[]	[]H[]M[]L
Fastener Type:	[] Screw	[] Nail	[] Other			[]	[]H[]M[]L
No. of Purlins:			No. of Faster	ers Per Purlin Bay:		[]	[]H[]M[]L

Fastener Dia. (mm):	Fastener Penetration (mm):	[]	[]H[]M[]L
Roof-to-Wall Connection:	[] Simply Supported [] Pinned [] Fixed Suppo	rt []	[]H[]M[]L
Roof-Wall Fastener:	[ ] Metal Plate Connector [ ] Single Hurricane Tie [ ] Toe Nails [ ] Double Hurricane Tie	[]	[]H[]M[]L
Ornaments Type:	Material: Dimension $(m \times m)$ :	[]	[]H[]M[]L

STRUCTURAL INFORM	IATION			Unk	Confidence
Material of Lateral Load Resisting System:	[ ] Reinforced Concrete [ ] Steel [ ] Masonry	[ ] Reinforced Ma [ ] Confined Maso [ ] Timber	sonry []Other: onry	[]	[]H[]M[]L
Type of Lateral Load Resisting System:	[ ] Moment Resisting Frame System [ ] Bracing	[ ] RC Shear Wal [ ] Load Bearing \ [ ] Combined	[ ] Other: Walls	[]	[]H[]M[]L
Structural Condition:	] Poor / Deteriorated	[] Good / Fair	[ ] Excellent / New	[]	[]H[]M[]L
Environmental Exposure:	[ ] Dry Environment [ ] Moisture or Wetting	[ ] Aggressive Ch [ ] Saturated Salt	emical Environment Air	[]	[]H[]M[]L
Foundation Type:	[] Deep [] Superficial	[] Not Accessible	Note:	[]	[]H[]M[]L
Floor Type:	[] Timber	[] Concrete		[]	[]H[]M[]L
Load Distribution:	[] One-Way Spanning	[] Two-Way Spar	nning	[]	[]H[]M[]L
Floor-to-Wall Connection:	[] Simply Supported	[] Steel Bars	[ ] RC Ring Beam	[]	[]H[]M[]L
Retrofitting:	[]Yes []No	Description:		[]	[]H[]M[]L
Modifications:	[ ] Yes [ ] No → Po [ ] Addition of Stories [ ] Wall Opening Framin [ ] Steel Frame Opening	sition: []Extension of P g g ──► Position:	'lan	[]	[]H[]M[]L
Vulnerability Factors:	<ul> <li>Balconies</li> <li>Parapet</li> <li>Gable</li> <li>Pounding</li> <li>Mass Irregularity</li> <li>Built on Slope</li> <li>Built on Stilts</li> <li>Other:</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>[ ] Short Column</li> <li>[ ] Strong Beam-W</li> <li>[ ] Soft Storey</li> <li>[ ] Roof Thrust —</li> <li>[ ] Vaults / arches</li> <li>[ ] Connection Betw</li> <li>[ ] Existing Cracks</li> </ul>	eak Column → Length x Height (m): → Length x Height (m ween Orthogonal Wall (In → Info:	): terior)	

MASONRY				Unk	Confidence
Masonry Type:	<ol> <li>Chaotic Stone</li> <li>Hollow Brick</li> <li>Soft Stone Block</li> <li>Squared Stone Blo</li> <li>Solid Brick Masonr</li> <li>Hollow Brick with O</li> <li>Hollow Brick withou</li> <li>Concrete Blocks or</li> <li>Concrete Hollow B</li> </ol>	[ ] Masonry w [ ] Regular Siz [ ] Adobe bric ocks y and Lime Mortar Cement Mortar ut Mortar in Vertica r Expanded Clay B locks	ith Hewn Blocks zed Stone ks al Joints locks	[]	[]H[]M[]L
Mortar Type & Thickness:	[ ] Cement [ ] Mud with Cement [ ] Lime with Bricks	[ ] Mud [ ] Lime [ ] Other:	Thickness ( <i>mm</i> ):	[]	[]H[]M[]L
Maintenance:	[ ] Low	[] Medium	[] High	[]	[]H[]M[]L
Water Infiltration:	[ ] Low	[] Medium	[] High	[]	[]H[]M[]L
Mortar Loss:	[ ] Low	[] Medium	[] High	[]	[]H[]M[]L

©2019 EPICentre

Transversal Connection Quality:	[ ] Low	[] Medi	um []High		[]	[]H[]M[]L
Average Size of the Units ( <i>mm</i> ):					[]	[]H[]M[]L
Wall Tie Presence:	[]Yes []No				[]	[]H[]M[]L
No. of Leaves:	[] Single-Leaf [] N	Multi-Leaf	No. of Header Courses:		[]	[]H[]M[]L
Wall Core:	[]Yes []No	Quality:	[] Poor [] Thick [] Good		[]	[]H[]M[]L
Masonry Improvements:	[] Mixture Injection [] Concrete Jacketing					[]H[]M[]L
Material Test Results:	Attached File Name	):			[]	[]H[]M[]L

CONCRETE / CONFINED MAS	ONR	Y					Unk	Confidence
No. of Frames:	X:		<b>Y</b> :		(lf ≠ (	), Fill Rows Below)	[]	[]H[]M[]L
Beam section $(m \times m)$ :							[]	[]H[]M[]L
Column section $(m \times m)$ :							[]	[]H[]M[]L
Reinforced Bars:	[][	eformed		[] Smooth			[]	[]H[]M[]L
Infilled:	[]Y	es		[ ] No	[	] Confined Masonry	[]	[]H[]M[]L
Infill Wall Material:	[] T Thic	ïmber Plate kness ( <i>mm</i>	s):	[ ] Concrete Blo [ ] Other:	ock [ [	] Brick ] Adobe	[]	[]H[]M[]L
Mortar Type:	[]N	lone	[]	Cement []	Lime	[ ] Mud	[]	[]H[]M[]L

Confidence: Unk=Unknown, H=High, M=Medium, L=Low

Any extra comments can be added on the back of the sheet.

#### Notes: Vulnerability factors

a. Short column	At least 20% of the columns in the same Lateral Resisting System (LRS) have a height/depth ratio less than 50% of the average height/depth at that level						
b. Pounding	The building is closer than 0.2 m from an adjacent building						
c. Soft storey	Infills are missing at a one level						
d. Strong Beam-Weak	The beams are evidently stronger than the columns to which they are						
column	connected						
e. Built on Slope	There is a sensible grade change from one side of the to the other						
f. Plan irregularity	1) The LRSs do not appear relatively well distributed in plan in either or both directions						
	<ol> <li>Two or more LRSs are not orthogonal to each other</li> <li>Re-entrant corners exceed the 25% of the plan dimension</li> </ol>						
	4) There is an opening in the diaphragm with a width over 50% of the total diaphragm width at that level						
g. Elevation Irregularity	<ol> <li>The storey height is not sufficiently uniform</li> <li>Vertical elements of the LRS at upper stories are inboard of those at lower stories</li> </ol>						
h. Mass Irregularity	The area of a given storey is substantially different from the adjacent one						

