

Supplement 2 to: Spatial consistency and bias in avalanche forecasts - a case study in the European Alps

Frank Techel et al.

1 Sensitivity analysis - removing individual years from the data set

Danger level $D \geq 4$:

Using D_{morning} instead of D_{max} decreased $P_{\text{v.crit}}$ (median change from 2.5% to 2.1%), but resulted in more or less the same order of the warning regions ($\rho = 0.94$), and the same regions with the highest values ($P_{\text{v.crit}} \geq 7\%$, $\text{max} = 12.2\%$). For the warning
5 region with the highest values of $P_{\text{v.crit}}$, using D_{morning} rather than D_{max} , resulted sometimes in markedly lower values ($\Delta P_{\text{v.crit}}$ 1 to 3%), with especially large differences observed in Piemonte (PIE, $\Delta P_{\text{v.crit}} = 4.7\%$).

Removing the winter 2013/2014 from the data set had the greatest impact on both the absolute values of $P_{\text{v.crit}}$ as well as the rank order. The largest changes in $P_{\text{v.crit}}$ were noted when comparing a data set excluding 2013/2014 and one excluding 2011/2012 ($\rho = 0.59$). However, six of the ten regions with the highest values of $P_{\text{v.crit}}$ remained the same even for those subsets
10 (all belonging to Briançon (BRI)).

Danger level $D = 1$:

Using D_{morning} instead of D_{max} resulted in a reduction of the number of forecasts with $D = 1$, but the rank order of the warning regions changed only marginally ($\rho = 0.90$). The rank order correlation was most sensitive to removing the 2011/2012 winter,
15 and was lowest when comparing subsets of the data either excluding the 2011/2012 or the 2013/2014 winter. However, even then the correlation was strong ($\rho = 0.80$).

Danger level $D = 3$:

The rank order correlations were most sensitive to removing the 2013/2014 winter. However, even then the correlations were
20 generally strong or very strong ($P_{D=3}$: $\rho = 0.84$, $L_{D=3}$: $\rho = 0.71$).