### **Supplementary material**

# Technical Note: Experiences from Site-Specific Landslide Early Warning Systems

C. Michoud<sup>1</sup>, S. Bazin<sup>2</sup>, L.H. Blikra<sup>3</sup>, M.-H. Derron<sup>1</sup> and M. Jaboyedoff<sup>1</sup>

Correspondence to: C. Michoud (clement.michoud@unil.ch)

The following questionnaire has been sent in June 2011 to more than hundred institutions in charge of landslides monitoring and/or early warning centers in Asia, Europe and North-America. The results of the present paper are based on answers that we received till autumn 2011.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Norwegian Geotechnical Institute, Oslo, Norway

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Åknes/Tafjord Beredskap, Stranda, Norway



Oslo and Lausanne, the 23<sup>rd</sup> of June 2011.

Subject: Invitation to participate to a screening survey about landslides Early Warning Systems

To whom it may concern,

The large, integrating project SafeLand, funded by the European Commission in the 7<sup>th</sup> Framework Programme, is intended to develop generic risk management tools and strategies for landslides. SafeLand is a collaborative project between 27 partners from 12 countries and coordinated by the International Centre for Geohazards (ICG) in Oslo, Norway. One of the main objectives of the Safeland project is to merge experience and expert judgment and therefore to create synergies on EC-level and to make these results available to end users and local stakeholders. More information on this project is available at <a href="https://www.safeland-fp7.eu">www.safeland-fp7.eu</a>.

As part of this study, we are gathering information about the responsible organizations for landslide early warning system and risk management in selected countries. You have been identified on internet or by colleagues as an organization in charge of one or several Early Warning System(s). Thus, we would very appreciate that you fill the attached form. This short (four-page) questionnaire aims to compile information about the state of the art technologies and existing strategies. The intention of this screening study is to provide guidelines that will facilitate the establishment of new Early Warning Systems. Additional information could be sent as attached documents. As our project is limited in time, we would very much appreciate if you return this form before the 15<sup>th</sup> of September 2011 to safeland@igar.org.

Do not hesitate to spread this questionnaire to other people involved in Early Warning Systems. Of course, if you have any additional question, do not hesitate to contact us. We look forward to receiving your information.

Sincerely yours,

Sara Bazin for SafeLand Project Coordinator, Norway Clément Michoud and Prof. Michel Jaboyedoff, for University of Lausanne, Switzerland safeland@igar.org





## Questionnaire

## on landslide early warning systems

#### 1. GENERAL INFORMATION ON THE UNIT IN CHARGE OF THE EWS

Name of the operational			
unit			
Country		Location	
Person in charge of the operational unit	Name		
operational unit	Email address		
Level of operational unit	☐ National ☐	Regional Local	Private
Source of funding		Yearly cost of unit	
Are there any codes for EWS in your country?	Yes No	Are there any guidelines for EWS in your country?	Yes No
Is the unit also responsible for monitoring other than landslides?	Yes No If yes, specify volcanoes earthquakes tsunamis weather other (specify):	Number of monitored landslides with implemented EWS? Number of monitored landslides without EWS?	
Scale of landslide	Single slide	Multiple slide Region	al slide
Are the warning systems in operation?	Yes No	If not, is it:  planned under c damaged stopped	construction
Number of persons employed at the unit		A person is present on duty 2 A person is on call 24/7	24/7
Confidentiality/ Access to data	borehole, hazard/risk	of general data (e.g. Topography etc.), detailed monitoring data a whether authorization is alread	accessible on request)
Web site			





Slide has occurred yet?	Yes No (slide prone)			yes, potential for ture sliding?	Yes No			
Type of landIside	rock debris earth other (specify):		Ту	pe of slope	natural cliff quarry or mine redesigned slope other (specify):			
Triggering mechanism	rainfall earthquake erosion human activity other (specify):		Vo	olume of landslide				
Elements at risk, specify and quantify for	r each case				vays, roads, bridges, power lines) s, workers, tourists)			
Human losses (death ar due to previous events	nd injuries)	Yes 1	No	If yes, quantify:				
Economic loss due to prevents	. 1– –		No	If yes, quantify in €:				
Social consequences due to Yes No		No	If yes, specify:					
Mitigation (already performed or Yes No		No	If yes, describe (structural/non-structural):					
and planning already established Yes Nor the case		No	If yes, specify:					
Vas geology or eomorphology a lesign criterion? Vere geophysical data design criterion?	Yes	No If yes,	spec	ify (technique, profile	es, scale etc.):			
Vas hydrogeology a lesign criterion?				ify (piezometers, suc	· 			
Vere geotechnical	used to design the Yes No availabil		ility	pecify (type of test, drilling depth, location, maps illity etc.):				
lata used to design the	Lab data:	No If yes,			of tests, material tested):			
ata used to design the WS?	<del>                                     </del>			pecify type (technique), scale and date:				
	= =		spec	, .,, . (				



#### 4. MONITORING PARAMETERS, THRESHOLDS AND SENSORS EVALUATION

Please provide for each landslide or selected landslides, a map as attached file and a description of the monitoring system using the following table:

Monitoring parameter	Threshold level	Sensor type	Sensors number	Sensor reliability	Active	Duration	Frequency	MM indicator	EW parameter
		7.		-					

explanations:	
Monitoring parameter	phenomenon or factor related to slope/area of interest, which could be quantified and monitored time
Threshold level	a warning is issued when the monitoring parameter reaches this critical value
Sensor type	specify type of technology (e.g. 3C broad-band seismometer)
Sensor reliability	evaluate the instrument dependability based on time frequency of measurements and down time will values from 1 to 10 (maximum)
Active	is the monitoring still in use? (tick = yes)
Duration	duration of monitoring in years
Frequency	frequency of reading per day (D), month (M) or year (Y), for example 6xD
Mass-movement (MM) indicator	monitoring parameter characterizing directly or indirectly the dynamic state of mass-moveme processes. Evaluate the parameter with values from 1 to 10 (maximum)
Early warning (EW) parameter	mass-movement indicator allowing to detect an impending or existing critical activation acceleration of the landslide(s) by its threshold. E valuate the parameter as an EW parameter wi

values from 1 to 10 (maximum)
List of eventual monitoring parameters related to landslides:

List of eventual monitoring parameters related to landslides:
Displacement (Cummulative, Differential, Acceleration, Velocity, Settlement), Microseismicity (also microcracks/strain), Rockfall event frequency, Macrocracks and surface fissures, Stress (direct measurements), Mass loss/increment balance (areal 3D deformation at individual slopes-based e.g. on TLS or GB-InSAR), Precipitation, Snow cover, Wind velocity, Solar radiation, Air temperature, Ground Water Level, Pore-Water Pressure, Soil Suction, Discharge, Ground/superficial water quality (chem. composition, el. conductivity, pH, etc.), Electrical ground resistivity, Electrical self-potential, Density, Seismic velocity, Temperature (air, water, substrate), IP effect, Dielectric permittivity (GPR repeated measurements for monitoring), Soil humidity, Radon emanation, Factor of Safety (monitoring parameter derived from triggering factors), Regional precipitation (weather forecast for e.g. hurricanes, etc.), Volcanic activity, Regional seismicity (activity/shaking/acceleration).

Advantages and	
limitations of your	
monitoring system	
How could it be	
improved?	

Please return this form to safeland@igar.org

Page 3



## 5. WARNINGS, COMMUNICATION, AND DECISION MAKING PROCESS

How is operated the data	automatic, then specify by SMS, voice message, e-mail, other						
monitoring?	manual, then specify the frequency of data check and operator:						
And the constant and an							
Are the warning based on thresholds set on?	single sensors  Are thresholds based on minimum yes						
	multiple sensors   resolution and noise level?   no						
Are there any power	for the operational center  for the communication						
supply back-ups?							
Are there any back-ups for	for the data transfer						
communication?	for the operational center communication (internet, phone,radio)						
Type of software and							
integrated systems?							
Who designed the alarm chain?	responsible of operational unit local authorities governmental/regional institutions other, specify						
Are there several levels of	Yes No Envisaged						
warning?							
	If yes, specify how it works:						
Do you have different	No. DNo. Druitered						
Do you have different	Yes No Envisaged						
thresholds for different scenarios?	If yes, specify how it works :						
scendiios:							
Can you perform direct	Yes Is there a procedure to cancel Yes No If yes, describe:						
field observations in case	No the warning once issued?						
of a warning?							
Procedure in case of a							
warning?							
Evacuation time after a							
warning?							
How is issued the warning	siren SMS TV radio other, specify						
to the population?							
Do you have review	operational check list report to review group other, specify:						
procedures?							
How do you communicate	public reports specifying status of the landslide, if yes specify frequency:						
with the public?	public meetings, if yes specify frequency:						
	public website newspaper other, specify:						
Tests and evacuation	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Envisaged						
exercises performed?							
s dises periorifica:	If yes, specify extent and frequency:						
NATIONAL TOTAL CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH							
What are your practical	installation and maintenance of the sensors						
challenges for the EWS?	installation and maintenance of the operational unit						
	weather conditions						
	funding population response other, please specify:						
How could the actual EWS							
be improved?							
ne ilihioven.							
Diagon notices this f	to posteland@igar.am						
Please return this form	to safeland@igar.org Page 4						